EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_\_(CEL-8)
DOCKET NOS. UE-920433, UE-920499 and UE-921262
WITNESS: C.E. LYNCH

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**COMPLAINANT** 

VS.

# PUGET SOUND POWER & LIGHT COMPANY RESPONDENT

**EXHIBIT** 

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION UE-920433;-920499;
No. -921262 Ex. 77

#### SUMMARY OF PARTIES' POSITIONS ON MAJOR COS ISSUES

### The peak credit method

PARTY DEMAND / ENERGY FACTORS

WICFUR 31 / 69

SWAP 30 / 70

PUGET 16 / 84

BOMA 16 / 84

PUBLIC COUNSEL 13 / 87

STAFF 12 / 88

FEA Does Not Support Method

## 2. The method to classify and allocate non-generation related transmission costs

PARTY METHOD

WICFUR 100% Demand

SWAP 100% Demand

PUGET 100% Demand

BOMA 100% Demand

PUBLIC COUNSEL 13% Demand / 87% Energy (Peak Credit)

STAFF 12% Demand / 88% Energy (Peak Credit)

FEA Does Not Address in Testimony

### 3. The method to classify and allocate distribution related costs

PARTY METHOD

WICFUR Minimum System

BOMA Minimum System

PUGET POWER Basic Customer (qualified endorsement)

PUBLIC COUNSEL Basic Customer

STAFF Basic Customer

SWAP No testimony on issue

FEA No testimony on issue

### SUMMARY OF PARTIES' POSITIONS ON MAJOR COS ISSUES

### 4. The determination of the demand and energy allocation factors.

PARTY CP DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTORS

WICFUR 3 CP based on 95% of Peak Demand Adjusted for

Weather and the Imputed Benefits of Conservation

BOMA 200 CP

PUGET POWER 200 CP

PUBLIC COUNSEL 200 CP

STAFF 200 CP

SWAP No testimony on issue

FEA No testimony on issue

PARTY ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTORS

WICFUR Annual test period kWhs adjusted for weather and

the imputed benefits of conservation

BOMA Annual test period kWhs

PUGET POWER Annual test period kWhs

PUBLIC COUNSEL Annual test period kWhs

STAFF Annual test period kWhs

SWAP Annual test period kWhs adjusted for the seasonal

difference in energy costs

FEA No testimony on issue