BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

SHUTTLE EXPRESS, INC.,

Petitioner and Complainant,

v.

SPEEDISHUTTLE WASHINGTON, LLC

Respondent.

DOCKET NOS. TC-143691 TC-160516 TC-161257 (consolidated)

INTRODUCTION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF PETITIONER/COMPLAINANT

SHUTTLE EXPRESS, INC.

BY

JASON DELEO

April 5, 2017

| 1 | Q: | Please state your full name and business address. |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | A: | Jason DeLeo. 16224 16th Avenue, S.W., Burien, Washington, 98166. I previously filed |
| 3 | | opening testimony in this case last December. |
| 4 | Q: | Have you read pages 35 to 38 of Mr. Roemer's testimony filed by SpeediShuttle that |
| 5 | | responded to your opening testimony? |
| 6 | A: | Yes. |
| 7 | Q: | What is your reaction? |
| 8 | A: | He seems to question the accuracy of my opening testimony, both in terms of the overall |
| 9 | | point and in some details. |
| 10 | Q: | Do you agree with him? |
| 11 | A: | Not at all, when he is talking about my personal knowledge and observations. There are |
| 12 | | some things he talks about that I do not have personal knowledge about, like the emails in |
| 13 | | his Exhibit HJR-15, none of which were copied to me, even though he said I sent one of |
| 14 | | them. But overall, his testimony does not make sense to me, both in terms of my actual |
| 15 | | knowledge and in terms of how I know SMS solved the problem that SpeediShuttle |
| 16 | | created. |
| 17 | Q: | What do you mean by "doesn't make sense"? |

A: SMS entered into its agreement with SpeediShuttle in large part because SpeediShuttle
represented to us that they would greet every one of our arriving passengers at baggage

| 1 | claim. This is an important service feature for us. When Speedishuttle failed to greet a |
|---|---|
| 2 | significant number of our inbound passengers it created real problems for us. The |
| 3 | problems were significant enough to force us to switch to Shuttle Express midway through |
| 4 | the busy cruise season. In addition to the expense of switching carriers, we then had to |
| 5 | bear the cost of providing our own greeters for passengers at baggage claim, since that is |
| 6 | not part of the Shuttle Express service offering. If SpeediShuttle had been greeting all or |
| 7 | nearly all of our passengers, as they promised, why would SMS go to all that trouble and |
| 8 | expense? We would not have. It would not have made good sense. |
| | |

- 9 Q: Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 10 A: Yes, it does.