0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:2.10
Steed, Shannon
But you should be able to see here in just a second.
0:0:10.590 --> 0:0:11.860
Steed, Shannon
That available to everybody.
0:0:14.150 --> 0:0:14.920
Charlee Thompson
Looks good.
0:0:14.650 --> 0:0:15.100
Marie Stangeland
Yes.

0:0:16.40 --> 0:0:16.540
Steed, Shannon
Thank you.
0:0:16.570 --> 0:0:16.930
Hockett, Constance
Yes.
0:0:18.20 --> 0:0:21.430
Steed, Shannon
So we will go ahead and begin with our our roll call as we normally do.
0:0:22.400 --> 0:0:26.760
Steed, Shannon
If you could just let me know if you're present, we'll start with Blue Mountain Action Council.
0:0:27.610 --> 0:0:29.760
Estella Avalos
Stella here for Blue Mountain action council.
0:0:30.330 --> 0:0:31.50
Steed, Shannon
Hi Stella.
0:0:32.180 --> 0:0:37.460
Steed, Shannon
Community Action connections. I know Dalia is here. Dalia, is there anyone else with you from your agency?

0:0:38.740 --> 0:0:40.180
401078f8-86c7-463c-b235-1084ab648cc6
No, just me, Shannon.

0:0:40.660 --> 0:0:40.970
Steed, Shannon
OK.
0:0:42.400 --> 0:0:50.930
Steed, Shannon
So Dale and Sandy, let me know that they are closing early today. So they're not with us. How about coastal Community Action program?

0:0:56.180 --> 0:0:58.470
Steed, Shannon
Joanne Douglas, Community Action Council.
0:0:59.130 --> 0:0:59.680
Vern Gurnard (Guest)
Yes.
0:1:1.380 --> 0:1:2.260
Steed, Shannon
I is that Vern?
0:1:2.630 --> 0:1:3.320
Vern Gurnard (Guest)
Yeah, it is.
0:1:3.920 --> 0:1:4.650
Steed, Shannon
I think spurn.
0:1:5.290 --> 0:1:6.850
Steed, Shannon
Kitsap Community resources.
0:1:11.810 --> 0:1:13.710
Steed, Shannon
Lower Columbia Community Action Center.
0:1:18.720 --> 0:1:20.560
Steed, Shannon
Northwest Community Action Center.
0:1:22.800 --> 0:1:24.930
Jose Alvarez (NCAC)
Uh Jose Alvarez here for CAC.
0:1:25.590 --> 0:1:26.230
Steed, Shannon
Hi, Jose.

0:1:27.410 --> 0:1:28.520
Steed, Shannon
Oh, I see of Washington.
0:1:33.430 --> 0:1:36.850
Steed, Shannon
And opportunity council. I know Marie is here. Anyone else?
0:1:43.300 --> 0:1:44.810
Steed, Shannon
Reaction of Skagit County.
0:1:47.970 --> 0:1:49.260
Misty
That's me, Shannon.
0:1:50.430 --> 0:1:50.980
Misty
Misty.
0:1:55.460 --> 0:1:56.280
Hockett, Constance
Yes.
0:1:50.720 --> 0:1:56.430
Steed, Shannon
Almost funny. Thanks so much, county Human Services. Constance did. Is anyone else you?
0:1:57.200 --> 0:1:59.230
Hockett, Constance
Sarah is here with me. Sarah Haskell.
0:1:59.830 --> 0:2:0.900
Steed, Shannon
OK. Thanks Sarah.
0:2:1.910 --> 0:2:3.410
Steed, Shannon
Uh WTC staff?
0:2:8.180 --> 0:2:13.150
Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
This is Hanna Navarro. I'm here. And a couple other colleagues are here as well.
0:2:14.140 --> 0:2:14.350
Steed, Shannon
Thanks.

0:2:13.770 --> 0:2:15.920
Roberts, Andrew (UTC)
The this is Andrew Roberts. I'm here.
0:2:15.710 --> 0:2:17.880
Sellards, Andrew (UTC)
And this is Andy Sellards from staff.
0:2:20.210 --> 0:2:22.50
Steed, Shannon
Thank you all the energy project.
0:2:22.990 --> 0:2:26.610
Ross Quigley
Yeah, this is Ross Quigley and I'm attending until about 3:00. I have another meeting.
0:2:28.440 --> 0:2:29.170
Steed, Shannon
OK. Thank you.
0:2:29.850 --> 0:2:31.980
Yochi Zakai
Good afternoon. Yokosuka is here as well.
0:2:32.680 --> 0:2:33.630
Steed, Shannon
I OK. Thank you.
0:2:34.320 --> 0:2:35.90
Steed, Shannon
Public counsel.
0:2:37.890 --> 0:2:39.730
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yes, this is Corey doll. I'm on the line.
0:2:40.500 --> 0:2:42.900
Steed, Shannon
Agree Northwest Energy coalition.
0:2:44.10 --> 0:2:45.340
Charlee Thompson
Hi, this is Charlie Thompson.
0:2:46.120 --> 0:2:46.670
Steed, Shannon
I, Charlie.

0:2:47.350 --> 0:2:48.460
Steed, Shannon
Our Department of Commerce.
0:2:52.170 --> 0:2:53.670
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
Michelle De Bell is here.
0:2:54.360 --> 0:2:55.400
Steed, Shannon
Hi, welcome the shell.
0:2:56.340 --> 0:2:58.790
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
Welcome and Happy New Year everybody.
0:2:59.610 --> 0:3:1.20
Steed, Shannon
Yes, happy New Year to you.
0:3:2.590 --> 0:3:8.220
Steed, Shannon
And for Cascade natural gas, we've had some last minute changes. Uh because of last minute things that have come up.

0:3:8.750 --> 0:3:14.270
Steed, Shannon
Umm, so I know that Dan can't be with us and Lori is not able to join us.
0:3:14.810 --> 0:3:18.900
Steed, Shannon
Um, mark Chiles. I think he's unable to join as well.
0:3:20.400 --> 0:3:21.210
Steed, Shannon
How about Byron?
0:3:22.670 --> 0:3:23.60
Pfordte, Byron
I'm here.
0:3:24.390 --> 0:3:26.300
Steed, Shannon
And Chris is here.
0:3:27.220 --> 0:3:30.100
Steed, Shannon
And Pam is out on vacation, I believe.

0:3:35.100 --> 0:3:35.790
Mickelson, Christopher
That's correct.
0:3:34.980 --> 0:3:35.960
Steed, Shannon
Is there anyone in this?
0:3:36.810 --> 0:3:38.560
Steed, Shannon
Is there anyone whose name I did not call?
0:3:41.500 --> 0:3:44.30
Ortiz, Noemi
Just me and John penalty knowing me or this cascade.
0:3:45.230 --> 0:3:47.80
Steed, Shannon
Oh, I know. Amy, thanks for joining us.
0:3:46.830 --> 0:3:47.380
Ortiz, Noemi
I.

0:3:49.920 --> 0:3:55.850
Steed, Shannon
So we will move ahead with our agenda and I'll go ahead and begin here with our company update.
0:3:56.970 --> 0:4:26.20
Steed, Shannon
And this is just a brief recap of the November WEAF report that I sent out by e-mail on December 14th. And if you look here over to the right side of your screen, I've just included this information here and what I provided was just a snapshot of the spending that occurred during November. And so I've included a few images here. The first is just suspending overview of pledges, which again is just a snapshot of the most recent.

0:4:26.160 --> 0:4:53.170
Steed, Shannon
In the previous month and can we can so we can compare that to the previous program years to sort of give us a landmark of where we are in comparison and this can tell us how our program year is progressing. So of course what this just being November, we just have our first two months here and we're happy to see that we've had a an increase in pledge spending between October and November and a nice increase compared to November of last year as well.

0:4:53.900 --> 0:5:23.750
Steed, Shannon
And I've also included our program year budget. We have 3 tiers for our program year, which is our base of 1.2 million. And then we also have our $15 \%$ budget increase built in at 1.4. And then we have an
additional $5 \%$ soft cap as well, which takes us up to 1.5 million. And you'll notice that these three tiers are the same over the last three program years. And this is because of a rate case from.

0:5:23.890 --> 0:5:44.390
Steed, Shannon
At 2016, which created a 5 year plan for us and the 2021 program year capped out that five year plan for the budget and we have carried that same budget over for the previous two for the current year and the previous program year as well. So the spending limits have not changed.

0:5:46.480 --> 0:5:59.30
Steed, Shannon
And then I've also included a little granular data here as far as the agency totals for the month, just to show us the different activity that's going on between our agency partners throughout our service territory.

0:6:0.870 --> 0:6:13.520
Steed, Shannon
And then the last bit of information I have is the updated budget totals, which takes us down to the screen down here. And this just tells us how much is available for each tier of the budget level.

0:6:14.990 --> 0:6:21.800
Steed, Shannon
So we have a long way to go and we have 1.3 million left available to our final $5 \%$ soft cap for the program year.

0:6:23.0 --> 0:6:25.90
Steed, Shannon
Any questions about that before we move on?

## 0:6:30.840 --> 0:7:1.30

Yochi Zakai
Hey, Shannon, this is Yoki. With the energy project, no questions. Thank you for sharing. I would just note that you know, I know it's kind of a historical remnant of the prior rate cases that we have this budget and then a budget increase and then a CAP after that. And so I think that one of the things we might wanna think about is in the next great case, maybe just taking the highest number and setting that as the budget rather than having three different numbers.

0:7:1.580 --> 0:7:2.10
Steed, Shannon
OK.
0:7:3.0 --> 0:7:3.920
Steed, Shannon
All right. Thank you.
0:7:5.210 --> 0:7:6.680
Steed, Shannon
Any other comments or questions?

0:7:11.330 --> 0:7:20.350
Mickelson, Christopher
So Yoki the Chris Mickelson instead of maybe doing the rate case, we could always try to work that as part of the next.

0:7:21.80 --> 0:7:27.240
Mickelson, Christopher
Program year and uh. If everybody agrees, I I would can't imagine the Commission would be against that.

0:7:47.360 --> 0:7:47.840
Mickelson, Christopher
It does.
0:7:30.830 --> 0:7:48.520
Yochi Zakai
Yeah, that sounds fine. Good. Those kinds of changes are normally done in a rate case, but you know, there's consensus to do it outside the rate case. That's fine. Again, I think this is more of a complication in that it just makes reporting a little more complicated on Cascades end. So, yeah, if everybody.

0:7:50.100 --> 0:7:50.590
Yochi Zakai
Yeah.
0:7:49.920 --> 0:8:17.130
Mickelson, Christopher
But I'm also thinking you know the rate case, we wouldn't file one until probably late next year, so you wouldn't get an order till 2024, maybe in time for the 2024 program year. So I'm just kind of thinking ahead like you may not actually get that implemented until 2020, late 2024 or 2025. So if we can get consensus on that, then I think it would be a lot easier just to.

0:8:18.280 --> 0:8:20.990
Mickelson, Christopher
Make that as part of our next program you're filing.
0:8:23.320 --> 0:8:34.170
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. Well, let's hear from other folks. I tap tap would be supportive of that. I don't know if there's anybody on the call who would have any concerns about just taking the highest number and using that as our budget.

0:8:37.890 --> 0:8:39.640
Charlee Thompson
I don't think anyone has any concerns.
0:8:47.40 --> 0:8:47.830
Mickelson, Christopher
Public counsel.

0:8:52.120 --> 0:8:53.170
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
That sounds fine to me.
0:8:56.140 --> 0:8:57.400
Mickelson, Christopher
UTC staff.
0:8:59.600 --> 0:9:1.470
Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Yeah, that that's OK with.
0:9:3.140 --> 0:9:4.390
Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
With me.
0:9:10.880 --> 0:9:12.590
Mickelson, Christopher
Any of the agencies or?
0:9:24.170 --> 0:9:26.580
Lorena Shah
This Lorena know concern from our agency.
0:9:35.180 --> 0:9:37.150
Misty
This misty no concern it's gadget.
0:9:39.920 --> 0:9:42.940
Alan Walker, CDCAC (Guest)
Alan Walker, no concern from Chelan Douglas Community Action Council.
0:9:47.230 --> 0:9:47.540
Hockett, Constance
You know.
0:9:46.730 --> 0:9:52.340
Mickelson, Christopher
And I see a few people wrote in the chat saying no concerns. So sorry, it's.
0:9:51.550 --> 0:9:57.180
Hockett, Constance
No concerns from Snohomish County Energy assistance, as far as I could see.
0:10:4.510 --> 0:10:9.220
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright, sounds like no concerns all around, so we will.

0:10:11.550 --> 0:10:14.180
Mickelson, Christopher
Get that implemented as part of our next program here.
0:10:17.700 --> 0:10:18.810
Mickelson, Christopher
Shannon, back to you.
0:10:20.260 --> 0:10:28.810
Steed, Shannon
Thank you everyone. So if there are no further questions, I'm going to turn this over to Byron, who will be filling in for Dan.

0:10:30.440 --> 0:10:42.340
Pfordte, Byron
Thanks, Shannon. Yeah, we'll go through some of the items covered in the small group in our last small group meeting, which probably by no surprise touches on a lot of the agenda items we have for today.

0:10:43.580 --> 0:11:2.900
Pfordte, Byron
Under Item 2 and so since I'm covering those as well, we may just as I'm going through our small group update, I may touch on some of the items under \#2 there. At the same time and try to kill two birds with one stone. The first item we discussed from our last meeting was actually it covers.

0:11:4.300 --> 0:11:21.330
Pfordte, Byron
The $25 \%$ addition to the WEAF pledge and and that being retroactive for customers back to the beginning of the heating season October 1st and and Cascade and I think we had a pretty good consensus that that.

0:11:23.510 --> 0:11:26.490
Pfordte, Byron
Making that benefit retroactive.
0:11:26.570 --> 0:11:27.990
Pfordte, Byron
From was.
0:11:29.530 --> 0:11:30.720
Pfordte, Byron
The way to go and so.
0:11:32.140 --> 0:11:55.50
Pfordte, Byron
The question was raised from our small group meeting by Lorena on on how we want to handle those retroactive credits and cascade is willing to to apply the credits and provide the agencies with the updated customer data. And so if that works for everyone, if there's no objections to that, then that's how we'll we'll move along with that. Any concerns with with taking that route.

0:11:57.390 --> 0:12:1.920
Misty
So this is Skagit, I just have a quick question. I wasn't at the last meeting but.
0:12:3.850 --> 0:12:13.350
Misty
So if you guys are gonna do the credit, you're gonna contact, you're gonna let us know who and how much. Do we just need to note the file, Shannon, is that all you want us to do?

0:12:17.610 --> 0:12:24.200
Steed, Shannon
Well, we wanted, we want to provide this information to you and however you need to document the files. Of course, we would leave up to you.

0:12:26.630 --> 0:12:28.640
Steed, Shannon
That may be an individual agency decision.
0:12:29.650 --> 0:12:32.140
Steed, Shannon
Or if you feel like you don't need it, you could just let me know.
0:12:34.700 --> 0:12:46.140
Lorena Shah
Yeah, just a little background. Misty. I was thinking about specific certain agencies that maybe have their own databases that they would want to update in that kind of thing. So I think it is probably an agency by agency decision.

0:12:50.150 --> 0:12:59.360
Misty
Yeah, I I would like to know just to be able to have it so we can and and I would just document the files I think in docuware I put a note page or something in there.

0:13:0.40 --> 0:13:0.960
Misty
That we have so.
0:13:1.720 --> 0:13:2.50
Misty
OK.
0:13:3.910 --> 0:13:9.270
Steed, Shannon
All right, I'll plan to send it to every agency unless I hear from anyone specifically that they don't need it.
0:13:13.20 --> 0:13:17.290
Pfordte, Byron
Or did you or I'm sorry. Yochi. Did you pop up because you had comment?

0:13:17.920 --> 0:13:47.780
Yochi Zakai
Ohh yeah, I just wanted to make sure everyone was on the same page because not everyone was at the small group meeting, so I was just going to provide a little more background and say that you know the some of the stakeholders that the last big group meeting made this proposal to increase the pledges by $25 \%$ and Cascade agreed to it at the last small group meeting. So now we're talking about how we would implement that and the two parts of it would be.

0:13:47.970 --> 0:14:18.560
Yochi Zakai
Alright, you know, increasing the pledge amounts by $25 \%$ going forward, what we're talking about now, it sounds like is cascades also gonna go back and look at the grants that we're given this program here.
So since October 1st, an increase those by $25 \%$ and then the other part of it that we had proposed that Cascade agreed to was increasing the the CAP on.

0:14:18.640 --> 0:14:22.830
Yochi Zakai
The the highest grant amount by $25 \%$ as well.
0:14:23.890 --> 0:14:24.690
Misty
So you.
0:14:23.990 --> 0:14:29.210
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, you're getting a little me ahead of me there. I was. I was getting that. But. But thank you. Yeah, that leads to.

0:14:29.550 --> 0:14:32.700
Pfordte, Byron
Umm, the next point, but I think somebody else had a comment there.
0:14:32.950 --> 0:14:36.750
Misty
Well, I my question is, is there going to be a new calculation form then?
0:14:37.790 --> 0:14:39.290
Misty
If we're going forward.
0:14:41.390 --> 0:14:41.780
Misty
Sorry.
0:14:37.960 --> 0:14:56.20
Pfordte, Byron
Well, yeah, and that's that's the next part. So moving forward, the the idea is to simply add a calculation
or a formula to the current calculator that takes that amount. So what's currently calculated and just adds $25 \%$ to that grant amount.

0:14:58.150 --> 0:14:58.980
Pfordte, Byron
Does that make sense?
0:15:1.150 --> 0:15:2.190
Pfordte, Byron
Any questions about that?
0:15:2.870 --> 0:15:10.410
Misty
It it makes sense, it's just it's is there going to be a new calculator that is gonna come out to do that?
0:15:11.500 --> 0:15:13.320
Misty
Automatically. OK.
0:15:11.160 --> 0:15:22.770
Mickelson, Christopher
Yes. And that's the next discussion item I'll talk about. So if you would hold your question until after that discussion and if I don't answer then.

0:15:23.50 --> 0:15:24.980
Mickelson, Christopher
Have ask your questions then.
0:15:26.120 --> 0:15:27.950
Pfordte, Byron
And we'll if if.
0:15:29.10 --> 0:15:58.560
Pfordte, Byron
In a moment, I'll let Chris adjust that or address that a little bit more, but I I just want to learn from you all. If there's if there's any concerns with \#1 cascade applying these grants retroactively supplying information to the agencies and then \#2 any issues with applying the grants for future grants with the method we're proposing and and all that. Keep in mind that all of these would require tariff changes, so.

0:15:59.440 --> 0:16:15.570
Pfordte, Byron
If I have the, I think the next Open Commission meeting is January 12th and so it would be on the agenda for that meeting and the hope would be that they would, you know, take an approval action on it at that meeting and we would be able to implement from that point on. But.

0:16:15.980 --> 0:16:27.880
Pfordte, Byron
Um, Chris, actually, if you if you want to discuss, you know what that would look like for the calculator.

Now we can we can knock that out and and and get through a majority of what's on the agenda item too if you want.

0:16:28.540 --> 0:16:30.270
Mickelson, Christopher
Sure. So.
0:16:31.670 --> 0:16:44.670
Mickelson, Christopher
Unfortunately, I wasn't at the small WEAF advisory group meeting held earlier this month, so please feel free Yoki public counsel others to chime in if I.

0:16:45.770 --> 0:16:49.330
Mickelson, Christopher
Misconstrue anything? Uh, but basically, uh.
0:16:50.320 --> 0:16:51.400
Mickelson, Christopher
There was talk about.
0:16:53.10 --> 0:17:8.840
Mickelson, Christopher
Redoing the calculator, adding in the $80 \% \mathrm{AMI}$ and then also adding in this additional $25 \%$ both to the credit, so it's gonna go from 500 up to 6:25 and then also to the grant amount that.

0:17:9.950 --> 0:17:11.560
Mickelson, Christopher
Previous applied to others.
0:17:12.540 --> 0:17:38.290
Mickelson, Christopher
And so the eligibility threshold will vary by county and as part of the AMI piece. However, the benefit curve for the AMI piece will be fixed by the medium county. And so incorporating this they're probably will be some format issue changes to the calculator, but there will be a new calculator. The goal is to get it out.

0:17:39.780 --> 0:17:44.630
Mickelson, Christopher
That by the end of next month so you could start using it February on.
0:17:45.910 --> 0:17:46.860
Mickelson, Christopher
Kind of that next.
0:17:47.900 --> 0:17:50.640
Mickelson, Christopher
A topic where it's what 2A?

0:17:51.520 --> 0:18:4.630
Mickelson, Christopher
Or it looks like, uh, if there is consensus and agreement on kind of everything we talking about the calculator, the $25 \%$ applying it to pledges that.

0:18:6.280 --> 0:18:18.650
Mickelson, Christopher
From the start of the program year, then we will get these tariff changes in place, get it filed tomorrow with the goal to it looks like the next open meeting will be.

0:18:21.410 --> 0:18:37.570
Mickelson, Christopher
Think there's one tomorrow, but we won't make that one the next one. That this would be available is for the January 12th. We actually probably won't be doing LSND since the calculator won't be in place, so we'll actually just let it do the normal 30 day process.

0:18:38.510 --> 0:18:39.250
Mickelson, Christopher
Umm.
0:18:40.60 --> 0:18:42.950
Mickelson, Christopher
I I'll. I'll just leave it there for right now. Any questions?
0:18:58.40 --> 0:19:10.300
Yochi Zakai
Thanks, Chris. No questions. I'll just say like I did in the e-mail that generally this sounds like a good approach, but obviously if any of the agencies have any specific questions or clarifications would be good to get those addressed.

0:19:17.500 --> 0:19:18.890
Pfordte, Byron
Any any questions about that?
0:19:20.810 --> 0:19:21.530
Pfordte, Byron
Before we move on.
0:19:24.370 --> 0:19:25.720
Pfordte, Byron
No. OK.
0:19:26.280 --> 0:19:26.700
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
0:19:27.820 --> 0:19:38.510
Pfordte, Byron

The that covers the majority of the topics from our last small group meeting and a majority of the topics under this meeting's agenda for \#2.

0:19:39.10 --> 0:19:46.820
Pfordte, Byron
Umm, the the last topic that was discussed actually has to do with agenda item 3 for this meeting and it's the.

0:19:48.630 --> 0:19:50.540
Pfordte, Byron
Program design for.
0:19:52.120 --> 0:20:5.300
Pfordte, Byron
For the new Arrearage management and rate discount program and and specifically focusing on impacts to the agencies and the items that we discussed during our small group meeting.

0:20:6.530 --> 0:20:16.410
Pfordte, Byron
Were along the lines of creating a rate base or income based tiers for the program and I believe based on on five tier levels.

0:20:16.890 --> 0:20:24.20
Pfordte, Byron
Umm. And then joint administration of the program between the utilities and the Community Action agencies.

0:20:25.700 --> 0:20:44.410
Pfordte, Byron
And and the big focus there is is information sharing between the utility US and and the agencies. So that all programs available to customers that they qualify for potentially qualify for our are made known to them and and they are given the option to enroll in those.

0:20:45.870 --> 0:20:54.170
Pfordte, Byron
And then enrollment for the arrearage management and rate discount program through self attestation of income and household size.

0:20:56.150 --> 0:21:10.830
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, obviously these are the items that that still need to to be discussed and and hammered out, but audits for verification and that includes you know percentage of audit, who who should handle the audits.

0:21:12.520 --> 0:21:25.350
Pfordte, Byron
Type of income and the length of enrollment processing changes for income. And then there's several items that just.

0:21:26.310 --> 0:21:30.560
Pfordte, Byron
Fall under enrollment use of categorical eligibility and.
0:21:32.830 --> 0:21:34.400
Pfordte, Byron
And qualifying customers that way.
0:21:36.550 --> 0:21:37.230
Pfordte, Byron
Let's see.
0:21:41.930 --> 0:21:56.520
Pfordte, Byron
And then one of the points brought up was just managing overlap between LIHEAP and the build discount program, developing a plan to maximize use of of federal funding so that those funds are are put to good use.

0:21:57.360 --> 0:22:15.970
Pfordte, Byron
So that that wraps up what we covered in our small group meeting and it and it does lead us into our third topic for this meeting and and that is the design of of the new program and and as I said specifically agency impacts.

0:22:16.620 --> 0:22:20.930
Pfordte, Byron
Um from this design and and so I mean if.
0:22:26.220 --> 0:22:26.720
Pfordte, Byron
If.
0:22:27.600 --> 0:22:31.30
Pfordte, Byron
If it makes sense to everyone else, I think probably the the best.
0:22:32.550 --> 0:22:33.860
Pfordte, Byron
Place to start is with.
0:22:35.10 --> 0:22:50.560
Pfordte, Byron
The the first topic and that is the design of the income income based tiers and originally we had presented and Chris you can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe it was four tiers and the suggestion was to move that to five tiers.

0:22:52.310 --> 0:22:56.70
Pfordte, Byron
And and I forget exactly how those were broken broken up, but.

0:22:58.670 --> 0:23:1.950
Pfordte, Byron
I think if you know if we can come to some.
0:23:2.610 --> 0:23:7.60
Pfordte, Byron
Consensus on on those tier levels that would be a good first step.
0:23:10.370 --> 0:23:13.180
Pfordte, Byron
Do you have Chris or Shannon, do you have?
0:23:13.900 --> 0:23:14.650
Pfordte, Byron
The.
0:23:15.740 --> 0:23:19.460
Pfordte, Byron
Original tears that that we presented in handy that you could share.
0:23:25.990 --> 0:23:27.700
Steed, Shannon
I do not, but I can see if I can find them.
0:23:33.20 --> 0:23:51.420
Yochi Zakai
While we're looking for that and at risk of jumping around a little bit, which I wanna apologize for, can I ask you know and maybe we could save this till the end if we don't want to deal with it now, but could we also just get an update on the Department of Commerce?

0:23:51.500 --> 0:23:56.480
Yochi Zakai
Umm Arrearage funding that I know that they were trying to get out.
0:23:57.830 --> 0:23:59.240
Yochi Zakai
Uh, before the end of the year.
0:24:0.770 --> 0:24:5.730
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
You're gonna have to talk to Brian Sarensen about that, because I don't have any additional news.
0:24:8.140 --> 0:24:17.890
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
And if I understand the question, that money for the ARREARAGE funding for the Public Utilities is being handled by the Energy Office.

0:24:19.110 --> 0:24:21.290
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
My heap, the LIHEAP program's not doing it.
0:24:23.850 --> 0:24:24.160
Yochi Zakai
I.

0:24:24.10 --> 0:24:33.110
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
So if you need to have information on that, please contact Brian and he'll he'll get it for you or he'll wrap you to somebody who can.

0:24:35.550 --> 0:24:51.560
Yochi Zakai
OK, I just didn't know Cascade had had been in contact with them because I think we got an updated our last small group meeting about that and I was just thinking it might be nice to share that with the other with the agencies that weren't at that small group meeting as well.

0:25:2.810 --> 0:25:3.200
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
OK.
0:24:56.690 --> 0:25:3.550
Yochi Zakai
But if we don't have it, then that can wait until the next time we meet. So that's fine as well. Just wanted to bring it up.

0:25:7.810 --> 0:25:12.490
Pfordte, Byron
Make it Chris supplied the tier levels for this. I'm gonna. I'm gonna share my screen here and.
0:25:15.950 --> 0:25:22.410
Pfordte, Byron
Or at least this portion of it and and it's in the chat as well. If you all want to. Would prefer to look at it that way.

0:25:32.180 --> 0:25:35.120
Pfordte, Byron
Bear with me here. Just a moment. I got too many windows open.
0:25:38.570 --> 0:25:44.360
Pfordte, Byron
Ohh great, I'm just going to share my teams window and I don't think I've got anything in there confidential.

0:25:49.650 --> 0:25:59.640
Mickelson, Christopher

While we're waiting on that, can we just get confirmation that everybody was OK with the proposed? Oh, we've tariff changes, that Cascade will.

0:26:0.530 --> 0:26:1.430
Mickelson, Christopher
File tomorrow.
0:26:2.690 --> 0:26:4.360
Mickelson, Christopher
Have we heard from?
0:26:5.650 --> 0:26:9.500
Mickelson, Christopher
The energy project so public counsel staff others.
0:26:10.160 --> 0:26:11.50
Mickelson, Christopher
If you could chime in.
0:26:11.820 --> 0:26:12.650
Mickelson, Christopher
And agencies.
0:26:16.850 --> 0:26:24.630
Lorena Shah
Thanks, good clarifying question. Does the tariff currently also include the minimum we forward?
0:26:28.310 --> 0:26:30.440
Lorena Shah
Or just the upper limit.
0:26:31.900 --> 0:26:35.500
Mickelson, Christopher
It just reflects 8 upper. I don't think there is a minimum.
0:26:36.120 --> 0:26:36.890
Lorena Shah
OK. Thanks.
0:26:41.440 --> 0:26:42.40
Pfordte, Byron
If I make this.
0:26:43.190 --> 0:26:43.830
Yochi Zakai
Proceed.
0:26:43.40 --> 0:26:44.570
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
And just to clarify the.

0:26:45.540 --> 0:26:46.0
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Go ahead.
0:26:47.980 --> 0:26:59.600
Yochi Zakai
Ohh, I just gonna ask Chris, we we just talked about the general, the, the, the general contents, you didn't distribute a draft that I missed, did you because I didn't see a draft.

0:27:0.330 --> 0:27:12.720
Mickelson, Christopher
Correct. There's no draft. Basically all would happen is we add in the $80 \%$ AMI language. We've changed the $\$ 500.00$ WEAF.

0:27:14.250 --> 0:27:18.570
Mickelson, Christopher
Program grant cap up to 625.
0:27:20.710 --> 0:27:24.460
Mickelson, Christopher
And I might even be able to do even the program.
0:27:27.110 --> 0:27:29.980
Mickelson, Christopher
Cost change that we talked about at the very beginning.
0:27:30.900 --> 0:27:35.610
Mickelson, Christopher
And just make that set at the 1.5 million soft cap amount.
0:27:40.360 --> 0:27:46.450
Mickelson, Christopher
But no, they're they're there is not a draft yet. I'll be working on that once we have consensus.
0:27:49.20 --> 0:27:49.540
Yochi Zakai
Thanks.
0:27:49.110 --> 0:27:53.190
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yeah, the the tariff changes discussed that.
0:27:54.510 --> 0:27:56.460
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
No, we're just discussed or?
0:27:57.190 --> 0:27:58.500
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Are suitable to public counsel.

0:28:3.120 --> 0:28:7.910
Charlee Thompson
This is Charlie with the Northwest Energy coalition. They're also fine with NYC. Thanks.
0:28:12.280 --> 0:28:15.410
Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Umm yeah, those those are also good with staff.
0:28:19.740 --> 0:28:28.110
Hockett, Constance
This is Constance with Snohomish County Energy assistance. Unfortunately, my supervisor is off today.
Excuse.

0:28:29.680 --> 0:28:33.330
Hockett, Constance
But I don't foresee any issues. From what I understand.
0:28:44.10 --> 0:28:45.330
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright. Well thank you everyone.
0:28:47.530 --> 0:28:52.190
Yochi Zakai
So Lorena asked about the the minimum award.
0:28:53.650 --> 0:29:6.470
Yochi Zakai
And apparently there is no minimum awarded as what is what I heard. And I know that some other utilities do have kind of a minimum award amount.

0:29:7.750 --> 0:29:19.120
Yochi Zakai
And I'm wondering if that's something that we could think about adding. I know this is kind of late, so if it's not appropriate then you know, maybe we can.

0:29:20.140 --> 0:29:32.800
Yochi Zakai
You know, we can hold off on that, but if it's something that folks are open to and we're making some tariff changes that everybody has consensus about, I'm wondering if we might be able to include.

0:29:33.800 --> 0:29:52.170
Yochi Zakai
A minimum amount as well because it seems like if we're giving out awards that are less than $\$ 100$ sometimes, maybe that's not the best use of of everyone's time. And we could maybe up it to to to amount that's a little bit more significant.

0:29:54.440 --> 0:29:58.150
Mickelson, Christopher
So these are for customers who qualify.

0:29:59.130 --> 0:29:59.770
Mickelson, Christopher
But.
0:30:1.250 --> 0:30:5.310
Mickelson, Christopher
Get something less than whatever this minimum threshold would be. Is that correct?
0:30:8.410 --> 0:30:26.80
Lorena Shah
Yeah, that's correct. So it's like PSE or even LIHEAP, if somebody's calculation, you know, their benefit calculation brings them in less than two, if it's 200 for LIHEAP, we think it's 2 or 250 for PSE help it then just rounds that benefit up to to that minimum threshold.

0:30:27.520 --> 0:30:29.770
Lorena Shah
We have we have one, at least the.
0:30:28.620 --> 0:30:32.270
Mickelson, Christopher
That two 50s based off combine utilities, right?
0:30:33.980 --> 0:30:42.30
Lorena Shah
No, that that's for both combined and electric only PSE LIHEAP's 200. I'm not necessarily proposing we go all the way up to 250 .

0:30:43.190 --> 0:30:44.240
Lorena Shah
But maybe.
0:30:43.210 --> 0:30:46.310
Mickelson, Christopher
Do you know what PSE gas minimum is?
0:30:49.960 --> 0:30:50.380
Lorena Shah
I.

0:30:51.310 --> 0:30:52.240
Lorena Shah
I'm not here.
0:30:51.360 --> 0:30:53.190
Hockett, Constance
I believe it's 250 as well.

0:30:54.140 --> 0:30:54.560
Hockett, Constance
Isn't it?
0:30:54.10 --> 0:30:57.640
Lorena Shah
Is it 250 for each? I'm not quite sure how the the the.
0:30:57.550 --> 0:30:58.90
Hockett, Constance
Umm.
0:30:58.540 --> 0:31:0.930
Lorena Shah
For benefits when it's both our.
0:31:2.450 --> 0:31:5.420
Lorena Shah
Nobody on the call is because we're all CNG weave.
0:31:8.820 --> 0:31:11.60
Lorena Shah
Yeah, which I don't have that answer handy.
0:31:13.10 --> 0:31:16.60
Pfordte, Byron
We can reach out and and see if we can find that.
0:31:17.520 --> 0:31:21.830
Pfordte, Byron
But that was that would be something you'd need to include in this next filing, right, Chris?
0:31:22.320 --> 0:31:30.900
Mickelson, Christopher
Yeah, I would need to include it in the tariff and then it would also have to be something that works into the calculator. So I'm I'm just kind of concerned about.

0:31:31.570 --> 0:31:32.380
Mickelson, Christopher
Those timings.
0:31:34.600 --> 0:31:37.670
Mickelson, Christopher
Unless we just agree to a minimum now and.
0:31:38.520 --> 0:31:41.310
Mickelson, Christopher
I'll do my darndest to get it built into the calculator.

0:31:44.680 --> 0:31:46.80
Yochi Zakai
Yeah, I mean it.
0:31:47.790 --> 0:31:48.450
Yochi Zakai
And we will.
0:31:49.490 --> 0:31:50.960
Yochi Zakai
Think about the.
0:31:52.100 --> 0:31:54.890
Yochi Zakai
You know the average bill amount and.
0:31:56.180 --> 0:32:5.850
Yochi Zakai
And what might be worth it for people coming into the office and going through the whole, you know, the whole process to get an award, you know?

0:32:6.630 --> 0:32:12.440
Yochi Zakai
If 250 feels feels too high, you know, maybe maybe $\$ 100$ would be reasonable.
0:32:15.310 --> 0:32:24.190
Pfordte, Byron
250 does seem a bit high for just a natural gas customer for me, but I don't. Shannon, do you have any idea like the average benefit?

0:32:25.50 --> 0:32:26.390
Pfordte, Byron
That we provide.
0:32:28.950 --> 0:32:30.620
Steed, Shannon
No, not off the top of my head.
0:32:31.310 --> 0:32:32.460
Steed, Shannon
I can let.
0:32:31.680 --> 0:32:34.20
Pfordte, Byron
So I don't throwing a lot of curve balls at you right now, yeah.
0:32:34.190 --> 0:32:37.230
Steed, Shannon
That's OK, that's alright. Keeps me on my toes.

0:32:37.620 --> 0:32:42.120
Steed, Shannon
Umm, let me see if I can find something. Wanna give me just a minute.
0:32:42.640 --> 0:32:44.690
Pfordte, Byron
OK. Yeah. I think if we can.
0:32:46.330 --> 0:32:46.940
Mickelson, Christopher
So.
0:32:46.150 --> 0:32:51.500
Pfordte, Byron
Find a compromise between the average benefit, maybe in an average bill that might be good.
0:32:52.720 --> 0:32:52.980
Mickelson, Christopher
Would.
0:32:52.240 --> 0:32:58.130
Misty
I I have a question. This is misty from Skagit. Back when we originally started with.
0:32:58.810 --> 0:33:1.540
Misty
I believe we had a minimum benefit.
0:33:2.190 --> 0:33:5.330
Misty
And if I'm correct, I believe it was $\$ 75$.
0:33:8.780 --> 0:33:11.430
Mickelson, Christopher
Have 75 is what we pay the agencies.
0:33:11.700 --> 0:33:28.60
Misty
Yes. And I believe when we originally started we way back when it originally started, there was a minimum benefit and they they made that decision that they didn't want the minimum to be less than what agencies were paid to complete the file.

0:33:33.510 --> 0:33:35.170
Misty
Because because.
0:33:36.530 --> 0:33:37.0
Misty
Yeah.

0:33:37.680 --> 0:33:38.460
Misty
Because some.
0:33:33.540 --> 0:33:39.730
Mickelson, Christopher
Unfortunately, I can't speak to that. I wasn't here at that time without going back and look at tariffs.
0:33:40.120 --> 0:33:44.180
Misty
Some of the benefits that we're giving out are like.
0:33:44.860 --> 0:33:46.440
Misty
\$18.00 benefits.
0:33:50.780 --> 0:33:58.870
Misty
Or even less than that. And and I think I've and Marie was here when I when we started. That too, but.
0:34:0.160 --> 0:34:11.640
Misty
It might have been $\$ 100$. It might have been a 5 th 75 to $\$ 100$ minimum benefit. I just, I don't remember the exact minimum, but I do remember at one point in time we did have a minimum benefit.

0:34:18.630 --> 0:34:21.120
Lorena Shah
I can look that up really quick. I have a way.
0:34:15.290 --> 0:34:21.880
Steed, Shannon
You know, Misty, I'm trying to think back and I just. It's ringing a bell, but I just didn't recall because it was so long ago.

0:34:22.790 --> 0:34:23.420
Misty
Yeah.
0:34:23.400 --> 0:34:23.630
Lorena Shah
Like.
0:34:23.30 --> 0:34:26.520
Marie Stangeland
Yeah, this is Maria. And I think it was $\$ 100$, but.
0:34:27.600 --> 0:34:33.350
Marie Stangeland
And we always had a minimum up until just the last this last year, I think or the year before.

0:34:34.770 --> 0:34:53.810
Steed, Shannon
No, like once the calculator came in, the minimums went away because it was the calculator's job to determine what the minimum, you know, what the specific grant amount is. And so that takes away minimums. But it, you know, the the maximum 500 is cap is still there, right? Because that's the most someone could get with WEAF at the time.

0:34:54.370 --> 0:34:57.550
Steed, Shannon
Umm, so the calculator took care of the minimums.
0:35:0.70 --> 0:35:7.840
Steed, Shannon
I can't. I did find that for the last program, you're the average we've pledge was \$377.00.
0:35:12.380 --> 0:35:18.80
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, I would suggest. I mean, with inflation rates everything, I mean, it wouldn't be out of.
0:35:19.440 --> 0:35:19.890
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
0:35:21.280 --> 0:35:26.150
Pfordte, Byron
The the scope of of benefits to maybe make that minimum around 200.
0:35:28.770 --> 0:35:30.470
Pfordte, Byron
Maybe one 5200.
0:35:28.940 --> 0:35:33.50
Mickelson, Christopher
I I was gonna say $20 \%$ of what our Max is.
0:35:34.960 --> 0:35:38.500
Mickelson, Christopher
I could probably build that in fairly easy if people are OK with that.
0:35:43.380 --> 0:35:44.930
Pfordte, Byron
The Max is 500, correct.
0:35:45.870 --> 0:35:47.560
Mickelson, Christopher
It will be 625.

0:35:48.940 --> 0:35:49.740
Mickelson, Christopher
So I think.
0:35:49.150 --> 0:35:50.980
Yochi Zakai
So that would be 125.
0:35:49.50 --> 0:35:51.20
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, that's right. With the with the $25 \%$.
0:35:51.400 --> 0:35:52.40
Mickelson, Christopher
Exactly.
0:35:54.980 --> 0:36:4.570
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. So how do we feel? So 100 or 125 or the two numbers that have been thrown out? Does that seem like the right range to everyone?

0:36:5.770 --> 0:36:9.780
Pfordte, Byron
I think the upper bounds of that, the 1:25, yeah, the $20 \%$ would be good.
0:36:10.590 --> 0:36:21.650
Hockett, Constance
I think the 1:25 would be good. I think. I mean, we have a minimum, but because of like been all that.
But yeah, I think 125 would be great.
0:36:30.400 --> 0:36:31.560
Lorena Shah
Opportunity council.
0:36:30.170 --> 0:36:32.80
Mickelson, Christopher
Public counsel staff.
0:36:33.670 --> 0:36:36.60
Lorena Shah
Because they opportunity Council supports 125.
0:36:37.390 --> 0:36:44.850
Pfordte, Byron
And I'm asking this going just because I don't know. But you know, if it creates a credit on their account, does that interfere with any other assistance?

0:36:47.470 --> 0:36:48.990
Pfordte, Byron
That they could potentially receive.
0:36:55.280 --> 0:36:55.580
Pfordte, Byron
No.
0:36:57.340 --> 0:36:59.70
Steed, Shannon
Well, it depends on how well.
0:37:0.30 --> 0:37:2.220
Steed, Shannon
We have that $\$ 300.00$ credit limit.
0:37:3.420 --> 0:37:6.650
Steed, Shannon
And spent EA, that's been received in prior program years.
0:37:7.590 --> 0:37:8.140
Steed, Shannon
Umm.
0:37:8.650 --> 0:37:10.380
Misty
But that only affects WEAF, right?
0:37:11.30 --> 0:37:17.840
Steed, Shannon
That only affects WEAF. It does not affect anything like like the LIHEAP programs or or anything like that.
0:37:19.130 --> 0:37:19.460
Pfordte, Byron
OK.

0:37:24.630 --> 0:37:35.650
Mickelson, Christopher
So that brings me to 1 aspect of this Hern 25 minimum. It sounds like we've got consensus, so though I don't think I heard from public counsel or staff.

0:37:38.530 --> 0:37:38.830
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
And.
0:37:36.710 --> 0:37:41.260
Mickelson, Christopher
Uh, would that override the $\$ 300$ ?

0:37:42.550 --> 0:37:46.740
Mickelson, Christopher
Credit threshold that can't be created on an account related to WEAF.
0:37:50.830 --> 0:37:54.210
Steed, Shannon
I don't think so, because that threshold is to do with prior program years.
0:38:2.790 --> 0:38:6.480
Mickelson, Christopher
Gotcha. Yeah. Yep. Yep. Yep. Yeah, so.
0:37:55.40 --> 0:38:6.500
Steed, Shannon
I mean a pledge, a pledge amount is a pledge amount, whether it's a minimum of 125 or, you know, like the $\$ 18.00$ that someone mentioned. The calculators will generate today. So I don't think that it would.

0:38:11.60 --> 0:38:14.740
Sellards, Andrew (UTC)
Yeah, there. And he's all item staff. I don't have an issue with the 1:25 amount.
0:38:18.500 --> 0:38:22.150
Charlee Thompson
This is Charlie from Enwik uh. We support the proposed 125 minimum.
0:38:25.10 --> 0:38:30.780
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
That makes sense to public counsel. Sorry I didn't try been earlier. I'm trying to multitask and unsuccessfully.

0:38:37.500 --> 0:38:41.750
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright, thank you. I will get that also worked into.
0:38:42.660 --> 0:38:46.720
Mickelson, Christopher
The tariff revisions and build that into the calculator.
0:38:49.720 --> 0:38:50.990
Pfordte, Byron
Look at that progress.
0:38:52.20 --> 0:38:52.520
Pfordte, Byron
Yay.
0:39:0.50 --> 0:39:0.590
Pfordte, Byron
OK.

0:39:7.910 --> 0:39:8.550
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah.
0:39:0.180 --> 0:39:11.530
Mickelson, Christopher
Byron, I think you're still showing your screen, but we no longer see the tears, which I think was the topic you want to see. If people wanna set for the next meeting.

0:39:13.140 --> 0:39:17.680
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, I got tired of staring at it. So II hit it for a bit. There we go.
0:39:22.230 --> 0:39:25.360
Pfordte, Byron
So Chris was kind enough to.
0:39:26.690 --> 0:39:30.450
Pfordte, Byron
Put in a again, I think it's in chat here for everybody to see.
0:39:31.570 --> 0:39:33.740
Pfordte, Byron
The proposed tier levels.
0:39:34.980 --> 0:39:36.530
Pfordte, Byron
The original proposal which?
0:39:37.930 --> 0:39:40.320
Pfordte, Byron
I think we can disregard.
0:39:41.560 --> 0:39:44.710
Pfordte, Byron
And and focus on these tier levels here.
0:39:47.50 --> 0:39:50.670
Pfordte, Byron
And these would change correct Chris with.
0:39:51.700 --> 0:39:56.990
Pfordte, Byron
SMI going away and and AMI replacing it, is that correct?
0:39:57.340 --> 0:39:58.530
Mickelson, Christopher
Yeah, sorry.

0:39:59.10 --> 0:39:59.290
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah.
0:40:7.30 --> 0:40:7.890
Pfordte, Byron
No, that's fine.
0:39:59.710 --> 0:40:8.350
Mickelson, Christopher
And I think that's what I meant to do on my second one through 5 tiers of, but I was going too quick. Yes, that's supposed to be AMI.

0:40:9.90 --> 0:40:9.450
Pfordte, Byron
OK.
0:40:13.160 --> 0:40:13.800
Pfordte, Byron
Any.
0:40:14.670 --> 0:40:16.200
Pfordte, Byron
Questions or comments?
0:40:20.440 --> 0:40:20.950
Yochi Zakai
I guess.
0:40:20.200 --> 0:40:22.210
Pfordte, Byron
About the YOUR levels presented here.
0:40:22.880 --> 0:40:48.540
Yochi Zakai
Yeah, I think like one of the threshold decisions that I think we should think about is you know the you know the the benefit curve, right, how we're gonna set the tier levels and I guess I'm curious, you know what folks think about using, you know a fixed amount like?

0:40:49.920 --> 0:41:8.490
Yochi Zakai
FPL or what we're gonna be doing in the interim here for this we've program year is kind of using the median county AMI versus having a different benefit curve for each county.

0:41:10.990 --> 0:41:22.750
Yochi Zakai
You know I and and and I guess I'm curious to think about the benefits and drawbacks of having an independent, you know, having a separate benefit curve for for each county and not.

0:41:24.220 --> 0:41:51.60
Mickelson, Christopher
So I I would personally like to get away from the benefit curve if possible so we don't have to kind of have these 1617 different benefit curves for all the different counties and FPL it may be better just to go to a set percentage amount like for example, if you're zero to $15 \% \mathrm{AMI}$, you get $100 \%$ or $95 \%$ or whatever that amount is we just.

0:41:51.920 --> 0:41:52.420
Mickelson, Christopher
Create.
0:41:53.300 --> 0:42:13.900
Mickelson, Christopher
A percentage threshold. This is how much you get. You know you get $95 \%$ error $G$ rate discount or you get $100 \%$ arrears forgiveness for tier one and so on and so forth. Instead of trying to figure out a benefit curve for each of the counties, because then that zero to 15 AMI.

0:42:14.650 --> 0:42:15.480
Mickelson, Christopher
Applies.
0:42:16.350 --> 0:42:18.520
Mickelson, Christopher
To all the counties, regardless how.
0:42:19.960 --> 0:42:27.320
Mickelson, Christopher
You fall. So then it's really your income against your your particular counties AMI.
0:42:28.250 --> 0:42:29.180
Mickelson, Christopher
If that makes sense.

0:42:33.950 --> 0:42:34.190
Mickelson, Christopher
Sure.
0:42:30.530 --> 0:42:35.600
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
It does, but I'd like to say something from the Department of Commerce.
0:42:36.600 --> 0:42:38.120
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
And this group can.
0:42:39.40 --> 0:42:49.790
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
Set up the calculation as they feel is best. LIHEAP will not move away in the foreseeable future from federal poverty. Double.

0:42:50.750 --> 0:42:53.60
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
I just want everybody to be aware of that.
0:42:54.330 --> 0:42:59.880
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
OK, so you may be comparing apples to bananas here, which still fruit.
0:43:1.110 --> 0:43:11.240
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
But in the future, if you decide to mix those modes, even though this is a private group, it can cause some questions. It's OK. I just want people to know what's going on.

0:43:14.680 --> 0:43:15.960
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
And I hope that makes sense.
0:43:20.750 --> 0:43:21.240
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
OK.
0:43:23.380 --> 0:43:23.810
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
All right.
0:43:27.10 --> 0:43:27.670
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
OK.
0:43:17.740 --> 0:43:30.210
Yochi Zakai
Yeah, I think we we we acknowledge that there could be different benefit curves and benefit amounts between the different programs. I think that is an acceptable variation.

0:43:31.90 --> 0:43:31.950
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
Okie doke.
0:43:33.30 --> 0:43:33.410
DeBell, Michelle (COM)
Thank you.
0:43:42.700 --> 0:43:43.140
Yochi Zakai
Umm.
0:43:43.910 --> 0:44:11.300
Yochi Zakai
I guess I'm. I'm particularly curious to hear from, you know, the agencies, you know to, you know,
curious, you know, do you think that, you know, having a benefit curve for each individual county in the in the build discount rate is something that you know might be beneficial? Do we need more time to think about this, which is also an OK question or an OK response or you know is using?

0:44:11.380 --> 0:44:17.820
Yochi Zakai
Ohm, you know a single benefit curve statewide, something that's probably OK.
0:44:39.320 --> 0:44:42.200
Pfordte, Byron
I'll just reiterate what Yochi said there. You know there's.
0:44:43.180 --> 0:44:46.730
Pfordte, Byron
You know if if there is a need for more time to.
0:44:48.150 --> 0:44:52.940
Pfordte, Byron
Think about this. You know, that's perfectly we want to get this right, obviously and.
0:44:54.100 --> 0:45:2.90
Pfordte, Byron
You know, keeping in mind the financial situation with inflation and rates and things like that.
0:45:2.930 --> 0:45:7.80
Pfordte, Byron
You know, we want to make sure that whatever we implement for our.
0:45:7.940 --> 0:45:21.820
Pfordte, Byron
2023 in October is going to meet the needs you know, as as, as best as we can foresee the the financial state of a lot of our customers being and then and so that I mean.

0:45:22.750 --> 0:45:24.940
Pfordte, Byron
Keeping that in mind, you know we're going to have to.
0:45:25.800 --> 0:45:32.690
Pfordte, Byron
Kind of consult a crystal ball here a little bit, I think, and make sure that that what we set up is is.
0:45:33.800 --> 0:45:34.530
Pfordte, Byron
Is going to meet.
0:45:35.700 --> 0:45:36.550
Pfordte, Byron
Potentially.

0:45:38.140 --> 0:45:42.610
Pfordte, Byron
The the financial situation of our customers at that you know a year from now or two years from now even.

0:45:43.770 --> 0:45:44.840
Pfordte, Byron
Which makes it a little bit.
0:45:46.400 --> 0:45:48.490
Pfordte, Byron
Of of a more difficult task.
0:45:50.260 --> 0:45:50.590
Pfordte, Byron
To.
0:45:51.770 --> 0:45:56.470
Pfordte, Byron
Design a program not knowing exactly where our customers are going to be. You know a year and a half.
0:45:57.210 --> 0:45:57.680
Pfordte, Byron
From now.
0:46:2.500 --> 0:46:4.80
Pfordte, Byron
So do we want to kind of?
0:46:5.100 --> 0:46:23.810
Pfordte, Byron
Think about this for a little bit. The proposed tier levels, as I said, are in the chat, so you can consult those whenever you need. And I think we've we've had them. I think it was in our last PowerPoint presentation we presented and and again keep in mind that the SMI should be AMI in this table here, but.

0:46:26.30 --> 0:46:27.690
Pfordte, Byron
Do we want to payable this?
0:46:28.380 --> 0:46:29.920
Pfordte, Byron
For, for, for now.
0:46:32.30 --> 0:46:33.250
Pfordte, Byron
Or any questions about it?

0:46:35.320 --> 0:46:46.670

## Lorena Shah

I think what might be helpful least it would be for me is to run both scenarios with some just to kind of see it a little bit differently. Like if somebody.

0:46:48.710 --> 0:46:52.60
Lorena Shah
Just trying to think, just think through here. One of my asking for.
0:46:54.120 --> 0:47:7.690
Lorena Shah
The question is whether we anchor the tiers based on the median income of the median income county, or if it's individualized for each county.

0:47:9.370 --> 0:47:10.320
Lorena Shah
I think I'm.
0:47:12.470 --> 0:47:13.460
Lorena Shah
Don't please.
0:47:9.600 --> 0:47:16.690
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. And I think the other sorry to cut you off, Lorena. The other the IT could be or or it could just be based on FPL.

0:47:18.330 --> 0:47:23.480

## Lorena Shah

Or just based on FPL until we hit that that 200\%, then we flow up to.
0:47:24.450 --> 0:47:24.900
Lorena Shah
80.

0:47:25.790 --> 0:47:29.310
Lorena Shah
Personally, I like that I think for simplicity sake.
0:47:33.370 --> 0:47:33.970
Yochi Zakai
Yes.
0:47:31.170 --> 0:47:36.560
Lorena Shah
I don't think that's what I would prefer, where we're only really dealing with AMI at the very top tier.
0:47:37.260 --> 0:47:50.650
Yochi Zakai

Yeah. So the way the way Avista did it is they had their tears based on Ohdc FPL only and then the only difference was what the top threshold was. So the.

0:47:50.800 --> 0:48:6.510
Yochi Zakai
That I should be able to find it on my desk somewhere. What the what? The top of this. The tier was, but basically, you know, it was an FPL amount as like the lower bounds of the top tier and then the higher bounds of the program was.

0:48:6.790 --> 0:48:23.280
Yochi Zakai
You know what? Whatever the highest eligibility level was, but they didn't bother to put together a, you know, a benefit curve for that vary by county. It was just uniform for the whole service area based on based on FPL.

0:48:24.690 --> 0:48:24.870
Lorena Shah
Yeah.
0:48:24.430 --> 0:48:31.30
Pfordte, Byron
So just the top tier would take the highest benefit of FPL or AMI or it just automatically went to AMI?
0:48:34.490 --> 0:48:38.620
Yochi Zakai
Let me let let me see if I can find it and share it to explain.
0:48:37.390 --> 0:48:39.420
Charlee Thompson
I have it. I have it pulled up.
0:48:39.960 --> 0:48:40.590
Yochi Zakai
You do.
0:48:40.240 --> 0:48:49.990
Charlee Thompson
Umm yeah. And I can share a screen shot. They have their top tiers 151 FPL to the greater of 200\% FPL or $80 \%$ AMI.

0:48:52.520 --> 0:48:53.670
Charlee Thompson
And I think that was also.
0:48:55.390 --> 0:49:2.880
Charlee Thompson
Talking with others at end like we we, I think we liked that that method of keeping with FPL until that hitting that top tier.

0:49:3.800 --> 0:49:5.140
Charlee Thompson
But open for more discussion.
0:49:6.180 --> 0:49:7.560
Yochi Zakai
And do you know the?
0:49:6.680 --> 0:49:12.910
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright, so so. Ohh sorry. Didn't mean to cut off so tier one through 4 on the proposal that.
0:49:14.360 --> 0:49:15.550
Mickelson, Christopher
Prime was shown earlier.
0:49:16.270 --> 0:49:18.380
Mickelson, Christopher
Our Byron was showing earlier. Uh.
0:49:19.120 --> 0:49:41.40
Mickelson, Christopher
That's all FPL and then Tier 5 would be the 151 up to $200 \%$ and really in essence it's gonna be 61 to $80 \%$ AMI. Although it sounds like Vista just says up to $80 \%$ AMI in all likelihood that's really kind of the percent range that those customers would fall into.

0:49:43.150 --> 0:49:43.700
Mickelson, Christopher
OK.
0:49:47.370 --> 0:50:13.860
Yochi Zakai
I just found it and put it in the chat, so this this first tier is 0 to $5 \%$ and then they give a $94 \%$ bill discount and then 6 to $50 \%$ FPL. You would get a $75 \%$ discount and so on. And then that top tier is 151 to either $200 \%$ FPL or $80 \%$ AMI and then they give the the $15 \%$ discount there.

0:50:15.610 --> 0:50:22.800
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright, so they did kind of go with what I was proposing. Where you do a anchored percentage for the tier, OK.

0:50:27.860 --> 0:50:38.700
Yochi Zakai
And one thing that I I really like about the design that Avista have again is that you know, obviously they're really high discount for the you know, really, really low income folks.

0:50:43.40 --> 0:50:46.450
Mickelson, Christopher
Which we also had as per of our initial proposal, so.

0:50:47.90 --> 0:50:47.340
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
0:51:0.330 --> 0:51:13.660
Mickelson, Christopher
Alright, well I I would say everybody continue to think about it and think about what those tier breaks should be. It looks like a Vista and cascade are pretty much the same on tiers.

0:51:14.470 --> 0:51:15.800
Mickelson, Christopher
Three form 5.
0:51:16.500 --> 0:51:21.520
Mickelson, Christopher
It's really tier one and two where that break should be and then.
0:51:22.650 --> 0:51:26.500
Mickelson, Christopher
Even think about what those discounts might be, which.
0:51:27.670 --> 0:51:30.480
Mickelson, Christopher
That may change as we start to, you know, kind of.
0:51:32.410 --> 0:51:38.330
Mickelson, Christopher
Create something to show you know what would what would the program cost be as we.
0:51:39.70 --> 0:51:45.170
Mickelson, Christopher
Do this kind of structure and that may also start to change people's minds too. So.
0:51:58.240 --> 0:51:58.730
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
0:52:1.390 --> 0:52:2.380
Pfordte, Byron
Moving on.
0:52:4.310 --> 0:52:5.60
Pfordte, Byron
Be.
0:52:10.30 --> 0:52:14.840
Pfordte, Byron
The next kind of the next topic within the the program design is structure.

0:52:16.30 --> 0:52:25.810
Pfordte, Byron
There's a pretty indepth covers, quite a bit, and that's, you know, the joint administration between the utilities and and the OR between cascade and and the agencies and.

0:52:26.440 --> 0:52:28.660
Pfordte, Byron
Umm and that's you know.
0:52:29.670 --> 0:52:34.370
Pfordte, Byron
I think top of mind with that is just making sure that customers who enroll in the energy discount program are also.

0:52:35.280 --> 0:52:36.490
Pfordte, Byron
Being presented with.
0:52:37.800 --> 0:52:39.250
Pfordte, Byron
Other services that.
0:52:40.610 --> 0:52:45.940
Pfordte, Byron
They potentially have or would qualify for and.
0:52:50.750 --> 0:52:51.650
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, we've.
0:52:52.780 --> 0:52:56.990
Pfordte, Byron
Shared that our our customer service representatives and and.
0:52:58.150 --> 0:52:59.600
Pfordte, Byron
I would say all of.
0:53:1.680 --> 0:53:6.270
Pfordte, Byron
Most to all, if not all of our uh energy assistance or bill assistance.
0:53:7.430 --> 0:53:9.320
Pfordte, Byron
Outreach includes information.
0:53:10.20 --> 0:53:19.590
Pfordte, Byron
Uh for customers to contact agencies for LIHEAP and and other services, and so I beyond that.

0:53:22.370 --> 0:53:31.380
Pfordte, Byron
I'm I'm open to any suggestions or ideas for kind of how to cross promote programs and get customers.
0:53:33.900 --> 0:53:38.30
Pfordte, Byron
Enrolled and exposed to the programs we offer while also.
0:53:39.210 --> 0:53:44.240
Pfordte, Byron
Making sure they're they're getting all of the assistance they can through the agencies as well.
0:53:57.280 --> 0:54:29.390
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. Thanks, Byron. So I have to say, you know, I think that it's gonna take a lot of thought and effort and I don't think in 15 minutes or half an hour we should try to crack this nut completely because it's a, it's a big, it's a big question that, that, that you've brought up. But one of the things that I have been thinking about that I wanted to put out there is that I think that as we move to the build discount rate.

0:54:29.590 --> 0:55:0.760
Yochi Zakai
That we should be tracking the number of customers that are enrolled by the utility and that we are successfully able to hand off to the agencies and are able to complete, you know, intake at the agencies for evaluation and enrollment in the other programs and services that are offered by the agencies like.

0:55:0.960 --> 0:55:31.670
Yochi Zakai
Liheap and weatherization and rental assistance and childcare assistance and all the other things that you know that that the agencies do, and so you know, I think figuring out a plan to do that is important, and I don't have a fully baked proposal there. But the proposal that I do have that I have, I'm pretty sure I'd like to propose as a good idea would just be.

0:55:31.830 --> 0:55:42.320
Yochi Zakai
To track the number of customers so that we all acknowledge that that's a goal that we have for the program and we can measure our progress towards meeting that goal.

0:55:44.640 --> 0:55:57.10
Pfordte, Byron
We're saying not not providing on a regular basis the exact customer information, but just the quantity so that that could measured against what the agencies are enrolling because that is what you're proposing.

0:55:58.530 --> 0:56:29.60
Yochi Zakai
So I think the referral process itself will have to include individual customer information, yeah, because you're going to say, hey, these people have self attested that you know they are low income and you
know the utility is enrolled them in the build discount rate and that would then give the agency the opportunity to follow up with them to say hey, you know we know.

## 0:56:29.180 --> 0:56:39.960

Yochi Zakai
You know, they you believe your income qualified and therefore you know, you're probably eligible for, you know, this other suite of programs that we have to offer that could really help you out.

0:56:40.630 --> 0:56:42.540
Pfordte, Byron
Would that equate to?
0:56:42.610 --> 0:56:47.990
Pfordte, Byron
You ohdc eventually a 100\% audit then of who we enroll.
0:56:49.430 --> 0:56:50.580
Pfordte, Byron
Since we're providing.
0:56:51.720 --> 0:57:20.670
Yochi Zakai
I don't, I don't think so, because we can't guarantee that those customers will actually, again, when I'm proposing to track is the number of customers that actually make it in the door, right, just because you're providing contact information and perhaps more to the agency doesn't mean that the customers actually gonna, you know, pick up the phone or end up in an intake appointment.

0:57:23.760 --> 0:57:39.750
Pfordte, Byron
So just I'm just thinking out loud. If if one of those customers outside of the formal audit process is found to not have qualified for any services including our energy discount program at that point.

0:57:41.670 --> 0:57:44.840
Pfordte, Byron
What? Uh, what would be the process, would we?
0:57:46.810 --> 0:57:52.820
Pfordte, Byron
Would we just, I mean it could. It is supposed to be a random audit at that point. So I don't know.
0:57:54.300 --> 0:57:56.70
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. So I think if.
0:57:54.630 --> 0:57:56.770
Pfordte, Byron
Would we have to disqualify the customer at that point?

0:57:57.780 --> 0:58:28.630
Yochi Zakai
Yeah. So if customer is enrolled by the utility via self attestation in the bill discount rate, and then at a later time through the CAA process, you know the agency you know verifies their income to be, let's say, in a different tier, I think, you know at that point we would wanna adjust them and put them in the correct tier based on their verified income. But I don't think we would want to.

0:58:43.660 --> 0:58:44.40
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah.
0:58:28.750 --> 0:58:45.630
Yochi Zakai
And perhaps if they don't income qualify at all, then they would be unenrolled from you know, the program. But I don't think that we would wanna go back and take away any benefits that were provided up until that time.

0:58:49.780 --> 0:58:50.270
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, that's.
0:58:52.430 --> 0:58:53.380
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah, that's.
0:58:49.500 --> 0:58:55.140
Yochi Zakai
At least that's the way I've been thinking about it. So you know, other people have different ideas. Open to hear it.

0:58:57.120 --> 0:58:58.390
Pfordte, Byron
Definitely something to.
0:58:59.460 --> 0:59:0.230
Pfordte, Byron
Consider.
0:59:7.190 --> 0:59:8.210
Pfordte, Byron
Underground apartment.
0:59:2.50 --> 0:59:17.760
Yochi Zakai
And you know, it could work in in the customers favor also right. Perhaps their self attested income doesn't include all of these deductions that you know when they go through it with the CAA, they're like, oh, well, you shouldn't count.

0:59:18.790 --> 0:59:33.210
Yochi Zakai
You know this income for for a certain reason or you missed, you know, someone who was staying with you that should have been a member of your household or something like that. You know that that changes, that changes their income.

0:59:34.730 --> 0:59:41.730
Yochi Zakai
In in a way that they might be eligible for, you know, a a discount tier that gets them a higher benefit.
0:59:48.50 --> 1:0:1.30
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah. And I'm not looking when I when I present these topics, I'm not looking for any of these to be resolved in in 30 minutes, but definitely want at least to get the thought process going. And so this is good, yeah.

1:0:2.90 --> 1:0:6.580
Pfordte, Byron
Any other comments or suggestions, ideas around?
1:0:8.840 --> 1:0:11.50
Pfordte, Byron
I guess sharing customer information around these programs.
1:0:19.850 --> 1:0:41.290
Pfordte, Byron
If not, I would like I said I would encourage you to at least think about it, because obviously we want these customers to be able to utilize all the funds available to them and and it's important that whatever communication we can provide, however, we can provide it is going to be key in and getting them to each of these programs so.

1:0:43.560 --> 1:0:50.720
Pfordte, Byron
Please do have have that you know in the back of your mind as you're thinking about these this program design.

1:0:51.570 --> 1:0:52.160
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
1:0:54.850 --> 1:1:20.260
Pfordte, Byron
And I will say, uh, this kind of is a little bit off topic, but we so we currently have the energy discount program in Oregon and and have a online form for customers to initiate the process and we collect some information. I am working with. There are security concerns with collecting that information on us on our static websites and so.

1:1:20.640 --> 1:1:21.200
Pfordte, Byron
Umm.
1:1:22.160 --> 1:1:26.110
Pfordte, Byron
Just for your information, I am working so to get.
1:1:28.130 --> 1:1:30.380
Pfordte, Byron
Security in place so that we can collect.
1:1:32.60 --> 1:1:50.990
Pfordte, Byron
Pretty much any information we would need from the customer to qualify them through an online application and so it would be my hope is that that would be well in place before October 1st of of 2023. So I think that would.

## 1:1:52.970 --> 1:2:3.990

Pfordte, Byron
Help help streamline the process and and and and with that form we can collect you know demographic information which was one of the topics that.

1:2:5.260 --> 1:2:16.700
Pfordte, Byron
Was brought up earlier about providing that and sharing that information between the utility and the CAA. So if we can, if we can get that process ironed out or when we do, we will get it ironed out.

## 1:2:18.20 --> 1:2:24.670

Pfordte, Byron
We will be able to collect that information and store it and at least temporarily, and share it. So just an update on that.

1:2:25.310 --> 1:2:25.980
Pfordte, Byron
Uh.

## 1:2:28.20 --> 1:2:30.10

Pfordte, Byron
Which time do we have left here? About 25 minutes.

## 1:2:31.630 --> 1:2:56.520

Yochi Zakai
Yeah, I don't. I I would say I don't think that we necessarily need to try to fill up the whole time if we don't have stuff. I'm, I'm, I'm I personally would be OK ending a little bit early. So yeah, I'll leave it, leave it up to you though, if there's anything else you think was worth calling out for further discussion now certainly open to having a little bit more discussion as well.

1:2:57.80 --> 1:3:9.520
Pfordte, Byron
Yeah. No, I can certainly use another twenty 1520 minutes back of my day, that's for sure. There's a lot of lot of fires going on right now, but I let me just peruse this list, make sure there's anything that.

## 1:3:9.600 --> 1:3:12.950

Pfordte, Byron
The doesn't need to be addressed at this time.

## 1:3:15.220 --> 1:3:15.850

Pfordte, Byron
And understand.
1:3:14.780 --> 1:3:43.410
Yochi Zakai
And and while you're doing that I I'm, I'm just going to give a pitch to the other Community Action agencies that are on the call about our small group meetings. So we have established them to be occurring at this time. I believe on the second and third weeks of the month on Wednesday, Wednesday afternoons at this time. And then we're gonna have the large group.

1:3:44.750 --> 1:3:45.800
Yochi Zakai
On the 4th.
1:3:47.540 --> 1:3:48.130
Yochi Zakai
Uh.

## 1:3:49.50 --> 1:4:17.280

Yochi Zakai
Is that no. So the small group is going to be the 2nd and the 4th week of the month at this time on Wednesday, and then the large advisory group meetings such as this one are gonna be on the third Wednesday of the month at this time. And we're hoping to get one or two more Community Action agencies reps to join the small group meeting to provide your perspective on.

1:4:18.220 --> 1:4:24.890
Yochi Zakai
You know how we should design this bill discount rate program and so tap would certainly encourage.
1:4:25.10 --> 1:4:49.150
Yochi Zakai
Uh, you know anyone who's interested in might have some time to drop in on on somewhere. All of those meetings to join us cuz it would really be great to get some more CAA folks in those discussions where we're really going to dive into a lot of these details. And then I think these larger meetings are kind of intended to be more of a report back about how those discussions are going.

1:4:52.390 --> 1:5:7.880
Lorena Shah

Currently it's just me wrapping you all along with the energy projects so, and if you're not able to make the commitment, just feel free to e-mail me, e-mail Yoki at any time with questions or thoughts you have about it, so you're part of that.

1:5:11.860 --> 1:5:20.450
Pfordte, Byron
I don't have anything else. II do want to open it though to Shannon and Chris to see if they have anything else before we adjourn for the day.

1:5:21.430 --> 1:5:22.320
Pfordte, Byron
Jenn and Chris.
1:5:21.650 --> 1:5:41.320
Yochi Zakai
And I just say, yeah, thanks. But before you run off to that next topic and see what they have, I would just say if none of the other CPA's decide to step up, then I'm gonna have to start calling people because Lorena, Lorena, needs some more support. So we really need one or two more people to join us. So if no one steps up, expect a phone call from me soon.

1:5:50.530 --> 1:5:51.370
Pfordte, Byron
There's for sure.
1:5:50.140 --> 1:5:52.890
Mickelson, Christopher
Yes, we would love to hear from all our agencies, so.
1:5:53.790 --> 1:5:54.600
Mickelson, Christopher
Highly recommended.
1:5:55.780 --> 1:6:8.890
Mickelson, Christopher
No, nothing here. Like I said, just kind of action item for everyone for our next time we meet is think about those tiers and where those thresholds should be and what kind of percentages we should be looking at.

1:6:12.930 --> 1:6:13.790
Steed, Shannon
Nothing else from me.
1:6:16.650 --> 1:6:18.320
Pfordte, Byron
Anything from anyone else?
1:6:24.510 --> 1:6:29.420
Pfordte, Byron
Well, I do want to wish everybody a happy holidays and Merry Christmas and happy New Year.

1:6:30.640 --> 1:6:34.650
Pfordte, Byron
I appreciate you taking your time so close to the holidays and I.
1:6:35.410 --> 1:6:46.660
Pfordte, Byron
I do feel like we made some progress today. I and I appreciate the input. It's extremely valuable and and much appreciated. So thank you. And if there's no other questions or comments, we'll end the meeting for today.

1:6:48.780 --> 1:6:49.250
Pfordte, Byron
Thank you.
1:6:48.310 --> 1:6:49.500
Mickelson, Christopher
Stay warm, everyone.
1:6:50.560 --> 1:6:51.410
Steed, Shannon
Thanks everybody.
1:6:50.840 --> 1:6:51.420
Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Thanks.

1:6:51.140 --> 1:6:52.700
Hockett, Constance
Thank you. Happy holidays.
1:6:51.120 --> 1:6:54.470
Charlee Thompson
Thanks so much. Bye. Hello. Happy holidays.
1:6:53.550 --> 1:6:54.800
Yochi Zakai
Thanks everyone. Bye.
1:6:53.330 --> 1:6:55.610
Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Thanks. Thank you. Thank you all.
1:6:56.340 --> 1:6:56.720
Pfordte, Byron
Thank you.

