

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:4.420

Blattner, Lori

Thanks for joining today. I sent out a.

0:0:5.250 --> 0:0:9.90

Blattner, Lori

The agenda not with a lot of morning, but.

0:0:10.510 --> 0:0:16.50

Blattner, Lori

But with a little bit of a pre warning just to kind of set the stage for or hoping to talk about today.

0:0:16.790 --> 0:0:17.360

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:0:18.60 --> 0:0:27.750

Blattner, Lori

I think just internally as we're kind of talking about where we're at, it seems like it would be a good idea maybe to take a step back.

0:0:28.460 --> 0:0:31.750

Blattner, Lori

And just do a little bit of a reset with the committee.

0:0:33.900 --> 0:0:38.810

Blattner, Lori

I think it's really important. I think all of us have the same goal, right?

0:0:39.950 --> 0:0:47.520

Blattner, Lori

And I think just recognizing that the trying to figure out the best way to provide assistance to our customers.

0:0:48.870 --> 0:1:0.70

Blattner, Lori

You know, trying to make sure that the people that need help are getting it. I think we're all on the same page with that. And so it's really the when we get down to the details of of how we do that and.

0:1:1.20 --> 0:1:7.180

Blattner, Lori

Uh, I just trying to think about how we can make sure that.

0:1:7.920 --> 0:1:27.610

Blattner, Lori

That these meetings are productive and and you know that we have some well documented processes for a how the meetings run and then how we make decisions and how we track stuff moving forward. I think if we spend a little bit of time today working on that, I really think that that's gonna help us.

0:1:28.480 --> 0:1:36.370

Blattner, Lori

Uh, as we do go forward to have a a more productive process than a better working group so.

0:1:37.170 --> 0:1:43.360

Blattner, Lori

If if everybody's alright with that kind of as a project and A and an objective.

0:1:44.570 --> 0:1:47.740

Blattner, Lori

That's really what we were thinking, maybe that we can focus on.

0:1:48.590 --> 0:1:54.830

Blattner, Lori

Uh for the meeting today, so I'm seeing some head nods. But is that everybody good with that?

0:1:57.320 --> 0:1:58.130

Charlee Thompson

That's good with me.

0:1:57.750 --> 0:1:59.360

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Yeah, that sounds good to me.

0:1:59.850 --> 0:2:0.270

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yep.

0:2:0.430 --> 0:2:0.790

Blattner, Lori

OK.

0:2:2.620 --> 0:2:4.630

Blattner, Lori

So I I put up on this screen.

0:2:5.990 --> 0:2:7.570

Blattner, Lori

The agenda that we sent out.

0:2:8.510 --> 0:2:9.920

Blattner, Lori

And.

0:2:11.540 --> 0:2:13.330

Blattner, Lori

So the the 1st.

0:2:15.690 --> 0:2:19.810

Blattner, Lori

I guess the first topic will be defining the the process.

0:2:20.580 --> 0:2:36.140

Blattner, Lori

And then we thought it also might be helpful to at the end, kind of set the agenda for the next meeting so that we all kind of know what we're gonna talk about next time and we can provide some information ahead of time. But just to get that agreement on what we're going to talk about.

0:2:37.480 --> 0:2:37.930

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:2:39.90 --> 0:2:49.180

Blattner, Lori

So I think the first thing maybe to to talk about is I guess first, is there anything that we put on the agenda?

0:2:50.570 --> 0:2:55.700

Blattner, Lori

Anything else that we should add to the agenda as far as discussion points?

0:2:56.370 --> 0:2:57.910

Blattner, Lori

On the process side of things.

0:2:59.600 --> 0:3:1.390

Blattner, Lori

And I've got just a.

0:3:3.140 --> 0:3:9.570

Blattner, Lori

So meeting scheduling the agendas and then how the meeting format works, is there anything else that you guys wanna have?

0:3:13.480 --> 0:3:26.650

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I guess the one thing that I think it might be good to add is you know we we made a request for a third party facilitator. So it might be good to discuss that as well.

0:3:43.630 --> 0:3:44.580

Blattner, Lori

Any other topics?

0:3:52.920 --> 0:3:58.980

Blattner, Lori

So I guess to start off with and on the scheduling side of things.

0:3:59.770 --> 0:4:5.980

Blattner, Lori

Uh, we have the larger weak committee and then we kind of.

0:4:7.90 --> 0:4:10.470

Blattner, Lori

As a result of trying to work through the.

0:4:11.310 --> 0:4:18.730

Blattner, Lori

You know the quick energy discount program implementation idea. They've added this smaller group.

0:4:19.680 --> 0:4:20.220

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:4:20.810 --> 0:4:22.290

Blattner, Lori

So kind of curious.

0:4:23.10 --> 0:4:25.580

Blattner, Lori

Does it make sense to continue to have these?

0:4:26.120 --> 0:4:28.690

Blattner, Lori

Uh, smaller group meetings?

0:4:29.570 --> 0:4:36.190

Blattner, Lori

Should we be having these meetings with the entire advisory group? Should we be doing both?

0:4:38.400 --> 0:4:40.400

Blattner, Lori

Just thoughts from you guys on.

0:4:41.290 --> 0:4:44.130

Blattner, Lori

You know, we want to be, we don't wanna waste people's time.

0:4:44.730 --> 0:4:46.450

Blattner, Lori

We're going to make sure everybody's included.

0:4:47.140 --> 0:4:49.500

Blattner, Lori

Uh, that wants to be Charlie. Go ahead.

0:4:51.20 --> 0:4:51.920

Charlee Thompson

Yeah. Thanks.

0:4:52.400 --> 0:5:0.390

Charlee Thompson

Umm, I think it makes sense to keep going with the subcommittee meetings. I think they're probably easier to coordinate and like you said, it might be a a more efficient use of time.

0:5:0.910 --> 0:5:13.580

Charlee Thompson

Umm, I guess unless everyone on the full leaf group wants to be on the subcommittee, then it just becomes the WEA group. But yeah, I'm. I'm for the smaller working group.

0:5:20.650 --> 0:5:21.260

Blattner, Lori

Video.

0:5:21.80 --> 0:5:21.390

Tillis, Daniel

And.

0:5:24.40 --> 0:5:24.730

Tillis, Daniel

Good, Lorena.

0:5:27.880 --> 0:5:28.450

Lorena Shah

Excuse me.

0:5:29.120 --> 0:5:39.410

Lorena Shah

I think one thing I just wanna check myself on just so I'm understanding it correctly. We had originally started this small group to talk about kind of that interim.

0:5:40.190 --> 0:5:51.980

Lorena Shah

Piece of you know the puzzle while as my understanding, the larger subcommittee was gonna continue working on the Permanent AMP program or is that still the?

0:5:53.50 --> 0:6:0.680

Lorena Shah

The landscape that we're in are or are we now thinking that it might be the small this subcommittee that's really?

0:6:1.580 --> 0:6:10.10

Lorena Shah

Getting down to the details on the the proposed AMPED or we still focused on a transitional program.

0:6:11.510 --> 0:6:12.180

Tillis, Daniel
Yeah, you're.

0:6:11.540 --> 0:6:15.680

Blattner, Lori
And I think that's sort of the that's sort of the question that we're working through is.

0:6:16.420 --> 0:6:16.930

Blattner, Lori
Uh.

0:6:18.100 --> 0:6:22.190

Blattner, Lori
If we if we decide to keep the smaller group, what is the role of the small group?

0:6:24.300 --> 0:6:30.970

Blattner, Lori
And how does that fit into the the larger advisory group? So I think that's a very pertinent question.

0:6:31.970 --> 0:6:41.690

Tillis, Daniel
Yeah. And and your question is exactly where I was. I was starting to go as we we actually started the the way I recall it, we actually started the smaller group meetings.

0:6:42.890 --> 0:6:50.280

Tillis, Daniel
It might have been Charlie who said it in. We had the follow up meeting after our original.

0:6:51.920 --> 0:7:20.730

Tillis, Daniel
Reintroduction of the AMPED program the larger, full AMPED program with an implementation date proposed at no later than October 1st, 2023. And then we had a meeting with a smaller group about a week later because Yochi wasn't able to attend the larger meeting and have some concerns that he shared via e-mail. And then Corey had some concerns, I think late in the meeting and they were really specific to SMI versus AMI and 150% versus 200% and so.

0:7:20.830 --> 0:7:34.980

Tillis, Daniel
The follow up meeting was to address those and then I think it was Charlie who suggested that or share with us in that meeting that some of the other utilities were had subcommittees or subgroups meeting more often on an energy discount program or discount rate program.

0:7:36.50 --> 0:7:49.460

Tillis, Daniel
And we're working toward the, you know, the October 23 date as well. And that's I think when we decided to continue having the smaller group meetings to work more specifically on some of the details of.

0:7:50.140 --> 0:8:17.470

Tillis, Daniel

A energy or a rate discount program, and then bring those items back to the larger group to discuss. I think is what the plan was. And then of course the engine reprisal happened and and that that's what we talked a lot about in between. So I think that's where we are with these or how they started. And I think as Lori just said, the question is if we wanna keep them, what is the purpose of the smaller group meetings?

0:8:18.660 --> 0:8:23.30

Tillis, Daniel

And how often and duration and all those all those questions.

0:8:31.160 --> 0:8:32.210

Yochi Zakai

Yeah.

0:8:32.980 --> 0:9:3.150

Yochi Zakai

You know, I would be interested in hearing from Lori a little bit more. This is Yoki. I'll. I'll. I'll say really quick that I think it makes sense to have a small group that meets more often. I think it should be, you know, open to everybody in the advisory committee and those that wanna come. Can I don't think we have to send out every invite. I think we did a good job at the last meeting being like hey, this group exists. We're meeting. Let us know if you want to join.

0:9:3.400 --> 0:9:18.770

Yochi Zakai

And I think what we have the big groups, it would be good to, you know the the big advisory group meetings would be good to update them on what's been accomplished in the in the small group. But I don't think they need to be a part of all the detailed discussions.

0:9:19.830 --> 0:9:45.130

Yochi Zakai

What I don't have a good sense of and I wanna lean on Lorena a little bit for is, you know, which of these discussions are appropriate to have in the bigger group from the beginning. And I think particularly you know, you've proposed starting our discussions on changes to the current year program. And so I think.

0:9:45.900 --> 0:10:16.110

Yochi Zakai

It makes sense to me. And um, the again, I wanna hear what Lorena and others think about it, but I think it makes sense to me that the smaller group would be working on kind of the longer term changes to to the program, but I'm not sure you know we're talking about, you know, current air changes as you know that are going to happen within a couple months to, you know to the WEA program. You know like we.

0:10:16.170 --> 0:10:29.300

Yochi Zakai

Outlined, you know, at the last meeting if that's something that we might wanna have, you know, the

the big group have input on right away. So I'll stop talking and and Lorena, can I get your thoughts on that?

0:10:31.360 --> 0:10:32.110

Lorena Shah

Yeah.

0:10:33.890 --> 0:10:42.200

Lorena Shah

I think that makes sense. I think anything that is a change for this current season should probably be with the larger advisory group that.

0:10:43.480 --> 0:11:18.390

Lorena Shah

So that they can weigh in on that. I am currently. It appears the only cap Rep on the small group right now that I'm aware of, or at least that's here today. And so that I if we're gonna be working on the longer term, which makes sense. I mean that's how we're doing it in PSE. There's a bill discount rates, sub work group that's a sub work group of the advisory committee. But that has a lot more cap representation on it than this one does. So I think we probably maybe once we determine the focus of this do another invite to the CAP agency. So there are a couple more representatives.

0:11:18.510 --> 0:11:23.610

Lorena Shah

On on this group to talk long term. So I think that's where where I'm at.

0:11:31.350 --> 0:11:31.780

Blattner, Lori

Well.

0:11:32.560 --> 0:11:33.270

Blattner, Lori

On the way in.

0:11:36.440 --> 0:11:36.910

Blattner, Lori

Anna.

0:11:37.920 --> 0:11:38.470

Blattner, Lori

Andrew.

0:11:41.360 --> 0:11:45.590

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

I'm sorry, Lori, you were cutting out just a bit there.

0:11:46.770 --> 0:11:48.940

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yeah, I I agree that a small.

0:11:49.870 --> 0:11:56.740

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Group is valuable for um discussing the details of the energy discount program.

0:11:59.910 --> 0:12:8.500

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Going forward, but I yeah, I think it would be useful to maybe determine in the larger group.

0:12:8.760 --> 0:12:9.550

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Um.

0:12:11.180 --> 0:12:21.760

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

What issues should be dealt with through the small group versus the big group, and then just some function to report back to the bigger group?

0:12:23.40 --> 0:12:24.630

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

I think would also be valuable.

0:12:33.190 --> 0:12:36.20

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Yeah, I this is Corey. I I agree.

0:12:37.200 --> 0:12:41.190

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

With that I I do think that since program changes would be more imminent.

0:12:42.450 --> 0:12:49.720

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

For this year, have them with a larger group just to get everyone with the agencies looped into that conversation immediately and then.

0:12:50.850 --> 0:12:54.750

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

As Hanna said, I think reporting back to the larger group from small group work.

0:12:55.90 --> 0:13:0.440

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Umm does make sense, especially since the small group is going to be meeting more frequently.

0:13:1.150 --> 0:13:8.560

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Umm and extending invites to agency folks to these meetings that they can attend might be might be helpful to just to have more.

0:13:9.440 --> 0:13:9.850

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Umm.

0:13:10.980 --> 0:13:16.130

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
More folks with that direct experience involved in those conversations to the extent they can.

0:13:17.280 --> 0:13:18.210

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
They can participate.

0:13:25.680 --> 0:13:28.310

Blattner, Lori
How does that sort of sum up so?

0:13:29.190 --> 0:13:34.830

Blattner, Lori
The current year program changes would be discussed in the full committee.

0:13:36.0 --> 0:13:40.330

Blattner, Lori
The long term program we would meet with a small group.

0:13:41.190 --> 0:13:44.500

Blattner, Lori
Plan to report back to the full committee and then.

0:13:45.810 --> 0:13:51.400

Blattner, Lori
Explained in the full committee, kind of that plan and then re extend the invitation.

0:13:52.310 --> 0:13:52.740

Blattner, Lori
Uh.

0:13:53.430 --> 0:13:56.610

Blattner, Lori
So that anybody that wants to be part of these small group meetings would have.

0:13:57.540 --> 0:14:4.110

Blattner, Lori
Yeah, with full knowledge of what the small groups going to be talking about, they they would then be able to to decide whether or not they want to.

0:14:6.570 --> 0:14:6.910

Blattner, Lori
That.

0:14:9.380 --> 0:14:10.50

Blattner, Lori

Well, that sort of.

0:14:9.150 --> 0:14:28.40

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I think that sounds good. And we can and tap can also commit to doing some more outreach after we have that meeting if some more agencies don't voluntarily step up, we can contact them and encourage them because as Lorena said, I think ideally we have more than one one agency Rep at the meeting.

0:14:29.920 --> 0:14:39.150

Yochi Zakai

Charlie, I'm curious. You know, I know you've participated in the Avista process. Do you recall how many agencies sent reps to to the small group?

0:14:40.240 --> 0:14:47.210

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, that's a good question. I think it's a small handful, definitely more than one, probably less than four or five.

0:14:48.690 --> 0:14:50.260

Charlee Thompson

I can check quickly but yeah.

0:14:56.160 --> 0:14:56.510

Charlee Thompson

Umm.

0:14:48.950 --> 0:14:56.530

Yochi Zakai

OK, cool. Yeah, it doesn't matter. It's just good to get a sense of how things have gone elsewhere. So thank you.

0:14:59.600 --> 0:15:5.980

Blattner, Lori

I think that's sort of answers the decision on the on the purpose sort of answers the question on.

0:15:6.800 --> 0:15:14.400

Blattner, Lori

A. Whether or not to to keep the small group, I think we agreed that having the small group to talk about the long term program, I said.

0:15:28.590 --> 0:15:33.460

Blattner, Lori

Uh, and then talking about. So then the meeting schedule?

0:15:34.170 --> 0:15:39.680

Blattner, Lori

Uh, currently, I believe we've got a meeting scheduled for the small group.

0:15:40.480 --> 0:15:42.690

Blattner, Lori

And the entire group.

0:15:44.710 --> 0:15:47.970

Blattner, Lori

Help me out, Dan or Shannon. I think it is December.

0:15:49.640 --> 0:15:51.510

Blattner, Lori

Like the 21st or something.

0:15:53.760 --> 0:16:10.90

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah, they're, they're both that week. The full advisory group, actually, they're both on that date. The 21st? Yeah. It just happened to work out that way based on the advisory group being once a month. And the small group being, I think every two weeks, I think is what we had scheduled right now.

0:16:14.80 --> 0:16:25.670

Tillis, Daniel

We have another small group meeting scheduled for actually next week this coming Wednesday the 7th. This one got moved from Thanksgiving Week, so we're kind of a little bit off what the the schedule would be.

0:16:29.40 --> 0:16:33.390

Blattner, Lori

So I guess I'm curious when I think about the 21st, a couple of questions.

0:16:34.920 --> 0:16:39.890

Blattner, Lori

Is that too close to the holidays to get good representation from?

0:16:40.540 --> 0:16:43.160

Blattner, Lori

The full group, if we wanna try to make.

0:16:44.790 --> 0:16:46.240

Blattner, Lori

They're gonna try to talk about.

0:16:47.160 --> 0:16:49.380

Blattner, Lori

Yes, you're correct. Program changes.

0:16:50.740 --> 0:16:54.270

Blattner, Lori

Would we be at better off seeing if we could move that up a week?

0:16:55.900 --> 0:17:0.650

Blattner, Lori

And then it seemed to me like having both meetings the same day maybe is.

0:17:1.560 --> 0:17:4.800

Blattner, Lori

Is problematic. We're gonna try to report back.

0:17:6.150 --> 0:17:11.60

Blattner, Lori

The folders are progress, so I'll just throw those couple of things out and see what you guys do.

0:17:17.560 --> 0:17:25.960

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

I this is Hannah with staff. I would prefer having that big group meeting a week earlier than the 21st.

0:17:31.610 --> 0:17:33.780

Blattner, Lori

The for the folder full commit.

0:17:35.580 --> 0:17:36.490

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Yeah, that's fine with me.

0:17:39.70 --> 0:17:39.460

Charlee Thompson

Same.

0:17:38.810 --> 0:17:40.610

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

Yes, this is Andrew with staff. I yeah, same here.

0:17:41.200 --> 0:17:41.440

Charlee Thompson

Umm.

0:17:41.480 --> 0:18:4.260

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah. And I think if if we decide that, I think it's a good decision. I just I think we send it out as that's the schedule and it if folks can't attend, that's OK because trying to find a date and time where everybody can attend is is gonna be challenging at at any time. But certainly they changed that. Now it's I think we just put it on the schedule and hope that we get good participation so.

0:18:5.490 --> 0:18:12.510

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, it's about 10 days from now. It's not or 12 days. I guess it's not a ton of time, but it is a.

0:18:13.200 --> 0:18:14.680

Yochi Zakai

Yeah. Yeah, it is noticed.

0:18:12.980 --> 0:18:16.840

Tillis, Daniel

Right. But that holiday week will be challenging, so yeah.

0:18:16.170 --> 0:18:23.600

Yochi Zakai

No, I I agree. I'm. I'm available, but don't wanna force other people who already have plans to be with family to do something else.

0:18:26.180 --> 0:18:29.270

Blattner, Lori

Was that the 14th that we're talking about then is that?

0:18:32.750 --> 0:18:34.280

Tillis, Daniel

Uh, yes, that'd be a week earlier.

0:18:33.300 --> 0:18:36.50

Blattner, Lori

I'm sure my screen. Sorry I can't delete you.

0:18:36.780 --> 0:18:38.740

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah, that'd be a week earlier the 14th.

0:18:40.150 --> 0:18:44.950

Tillis, Daniel

And I know the full advisory group is normally 4 to 5.

0:18:47.300 --> 0:18:49.790

Tillis, Daniel

Mountain time 3 to 4 Pacific times so.

0:18:54.490 --> 0:19:0.0

Lorena Shah

I'm not available on the 14th. I'm available on the 21st that time actually.

0:19:0.950 --> 0:19:4.340

Lorena Shah

That works better for my agency, but I don't know about others.

0:19:13.380 --> 0:19:20.530

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, actually it looks like NW Naturals Subcommittee on the same thing. Bill discount rate is the 14th from 3 to 4 Pacific.

0:19:24.150 --> 0:19:25.90

Tillis, Daniel

That's the challenge.

0:19:24.480 --> 0:19:25.390

Blattner, Lori

What about the?

0:19:24.240 --> 0:19:26.440

Charlee Thompson

But I don't know how much overlap, yeah.

0:19:27.10 --> 0:19:27.390

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah.

0:19:27.40 --> 0:19:28.280

Blattner, Lori

What about the 15th or?

0:19:35.130 --> 0:19:35.580

Blattner, Lori

Ah.

0:19:27.190 --> 0:19:41.120

Lorena Shah

I think a lot of the agencies tend to be their holidays. It's the in between Christmas and New Year's, the tough week or this in going into the holiday, most are still running full programs and stuff.

0:19:46.650 --> 0:19:49.930

Yochi Zakai

Sorry Lorena, I didn't catch that. Can you say it again?

0:19:50.660 --> 0:20:11.350

Lorena Shah

I was just saying I think the 21st probably is OK for a majority of the agencies. We're still usually kind of up in full running until about the Friday before Christmas when things start really slowing down. It's the week after. That's really tough. So I'm feeling like the 21st would still have a really good shot at getting most of the agencies.

0:20:17.570 --> 0:20:19.190

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, I could attend the 21st also.

0:20:19.990 --> 0:20:21.560

Yochi Zakai

I'm unavailable as I mentioned.

0:20:27.390 --> 0:20:33.690

Blattner, Lori

If we if we did the full committee meeting, left that on the 21st.

0:20:35.570 --> 0:20:37.450

Blattner, Lori

Should we try to move the?

0:20:38.550 --> 0:20:40.240

Blattner, Lori

Small groups so that it's not the same.

0:20:44.70 --> 0:20:45.770

Blattner, Lori

Or should we cancel this small group?

0:20:47.490 --> 0:21:17.520

Yochi Zakai

So if I can skip around a little bit, I think you know the the next thing you have down that is is the duration and you know one of the things that we mentioned the last time we met also and in their written document was that we feel like 90 minutes would be good because that would give us a chance to dive in a little more and so.

0:21:17.830 --> 0:21:32.580

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I think that it probably if if we are gonna meet again. I I I think we should have probably 90 minutes set up for that. And so yeah, if we're gonna have another meeting on that day it probably doesn't make sense to do so.

0:21:37.300 --> 0:21:45.840

Blattner, Lori

There was sort of that's sort of where I was going. So it's good to bring in that the duration causes file, but it seems like that's a lot so.

0:21:47.180 --> 0:21:47.460

Blattner, Lori

Right.

0:21:48.120 --> 0:21:56.840

Tillis, Daniel

And I think that that helps us with the rest of December, maybe. So then after that, what do we think of frequency of small group meetings I I think.

0:21:58.250 --> 0:22:5.780

Tillis, Daniel

Well, I guess I'll, I won't say what I think. What do we think of frequency of small group meetings and then frequency of the the full advisory group meetings?

0:22:10.500 --> 0:22:11.880

Blattner, Lori

So this would put.

0:22:12.990 --> 0:22:18.140

Blattner, Lori

This is the think we set full green meetings. This is the third.

0:22:19.680 --> 0:22:21.10

Blattner, Lori

Uh, Wednesday, right?

0:22:24.390 --> 0:22:28.330

Tillis, Daniel

Right now, the full advisory group meetings are scheduled for the third Wednesday of every month.

0:22:31.320 --> 0:22:42.600

Blattner, Lori

It sounds like maybe that makes sense to leave that since some of the other, it sounds like the other utilities are sort of stat and so if we keep if we keep that date, maybe that's.

0:22:43.650 --> 0:22:44.720

Blattner, Lori

Clear for everybody.

0:22:46.860 --> 0:22:48.200

Blattner, Lori

To work around other utilities.

0:22:53.610 --> 0:22:53.790

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

Yep.

0:22:52.880 --> 0:22:55.760

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yeah, keeping keeping that time works for me.

0:22:56.320 --> 0:22:57.780

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

As with that the Greeble, that's fine, I.

0:23:1.810 --> 0:23:2.250

Yochi Zakai

Yeah.

0:23:1.590 --> 0:23:2.260

Blattner, Lori
So then.

0:23:3.80 --> 0:23:5.920

Blattner, Lori
This small community van around that.

0:23:8.800 --> 0:23:16.10

Blattner, Lori
It seems like it would make sense perhaps to go on the off weeks to like the second.

0:23:17.140 --> 0:23:18.800

Blattner, Lori
Four weeks of a month, maybe.

0:23:21.680 --> 0:23:23.250

Charlee Thompson
Yeah, I think that makes sense.

0:23:24.330 --> 0:23:25.620

Charlee Thompson
Avista has been going.

0:23:26.520 --> 0:23:33.980

Charlee Thompson
Maybe since July, I think every other, so every two weeks the 1st and 3rd or I can afford for 90 minutes.
So I think that would be kind of on par.

0:23:35.380 --> 0:23:46.380

Charlee Thompson
PSE is kind of trying to get their process through faster, so I think they're doing two hour 2 hour meetings either every week or every other week. But I think this makes sense for cascade.

0:23:51.390 --> 0:23:52.60

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yeah, that works.

0:23:54.420 --> 0:23:55.640

Blattner, Lori
With that boy.

0:23:57.60 --> 0:23:58.630

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yes, sorry, that's that was Corey.

0:23:59.300 --> 0:24:3.890

Blattner, Lori
OK. Because I just want to be sure we hear from everybody, how about staff is that?

0:24:7.90 --> 0:24:8.220

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yeah, that works.

0:24:9.980 --> 0:24:11.240

Blattner, Lori

And Wednesday.

0:24:14.720 --> 0:24:20.320

Lorena Shah

We still looking at 2 to 3 for that on the 2nd and 4th or two to 3:30.

0:24:23.190 --> 0:24:24.80

Lorena Shah

Was that?

0:24:26.40 --> 0:24:26.330

Blattner, Lori

Speak.

0:24:25.450 --> 0:24:27.860

Lorena Shah

Got another standing meeting at that time.

0:24:29.570 --> 0:24:30.60

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah, right.

0:24:29.240 --> 0:24:30.840

Blattner, Lori

I think that's kind of open for discussion.

0:24:31.650 --> 0:24:34.760

Tillis, Daniel

Right now it's two to three on wednes those Wednesdays, right?

0:24:35.220 --> 0:24:35.930

Lorena Shah

Right.

0:24:36.170 --> 0:24:36.540

Tillis, Daniel

OK.

0:24:39.930 --> 0:24:47.200

Tillis, Daniel

I mean, I think it's open for discussion if if you know the group thinks there's a better time on those days or a better day of the week.

0:24:58.170 --> 0:24:59.720

Lorena Shah
Looking at the 2nd and.

0:25:3.900 --> 0:25:4.490

Lorena Shah
And like.

0:25:7.250 --> 0:25:16.220

Lorena Shah
3:00 to 4:30 would be most ideal, but I can make 2:00 to 3:30. This is a disappearing small group, so my other meeting can wait for a few months if need be.

0:25:20.170 --> 0:25:21.280

Blattner, Lori
Has to be selfish.

0:25:21.370 --> 0:25:24.950

Blattner, Lori
UH-430 Pacific is 530 now so.

0:25:26.310 --> 0:25:27.590

Blattner, Lori
If be nice to do it.

0:25:26.500 --> 0:25:28.300

Lorena Shah
Very good point. Fair enough.

0:25:32.10 --> 0:25:32.420

Blattner, Lori
OK.

0:25:33.550 --> 0:25:38.160

Tillis, Daniel
Yeah. So did we decide that the small group meetings would also be 90 minutes in duration?

0:25:39.170 --> 0:25:40.640

Tillis, Daniel
So both both meetings OK.

0:25:45.130 --> 0:25:48.60

Blattner, Lori
OK. So we'll get those on the calendar.

0:25:50.500 --> 0:25:51.100

Tillis, Daniel
What about?

0:25:50.350 --> 0:25:51.360

Blattner, Lori

I guess we'll have the.

0:25:52.880 --> 0:25:53.430

Blattner, Lori

Go ahead. Yeah.

0:25:52.380 --> 0:25:59.490

Tillis, Daniel

What about earlier in the day AM instead of afternoon on the on Wednesdays for the small group meeting is or.

0:26:0.230 --> 0:26:2.160

Tillis, Daniel

Does anybody have any good options for those?

0:26:3.560 --> 0:26:4.250

Tillis, Daniel

For AM.

0:26:7.640 --> 0:26:15.90

Yochi Zakai

I'm wide open. I only have a lunch hour meeting, so noon Pacific on Wednesdays as my only recurring thing.

0:26:18.940 --> 0:26:23.530

Lorena Shah

I have a standing 10:00 o'clock meeting which is like falls right in the middle of the morning.

0:26:28.360 --> 0:26:28.990

Charlee Thompson

And I have a.

0:26:28.20 --> 0:26:30.110

Lorena Shah

I could do like an 8:30 to 10:00 or a.

0:26:30.830 --> 0:26:39.770

Lorena Shah

Are at 10:30. They usually only 1/2 hour 10:30 to noon. Something in there I don't know. Or that 2:00 to 3:30 will work for me as well.

0:26:43.80 --> 0:26:45.490

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, I was going to say I was standing 930 to 10.

0:26:47.140 --> 0:26:47.930

Charlee Thompson

To add to the.

0:26:48.850 --> 0:26:50.150

Charlee Thompson

Tango, yeah.

0:26:51.820 --> 0:26:55.510

Tillis, Daniel

So that are we saying 2:00 to 3:30 Pacific Time is still the best time.

0:26:57.90 --> 0:27:4.140

Charlee Thompson

Yeah. Or I think we're gonna just also gave a late morning time, which I don't know if that works for everyone.

0:27:3.960 --> 0:27:6.490

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I heard 10:30 to noon also, right.

0:27:6.820 --> 0:27:7.200

Charlee Thompson

Umm.

0:27:6.20 --> 0:27:7.260

Lorena Shah

And 30 to noon.

0:27:9.370 --> 0:27:11.960

Tillis, Daniel

I'm fine with 10:30 to noon if that works for people.

0:27:14.590 --> 0:27:15.380

Charlee Thompson

That works with me.

0:27:14.820 --> 0:27:17.110

Blattner, Lori

That better than the than the afternoon.

0:27:18.950 --> 0:27:21.660

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

I'm sorry. What was the date on that again? I will double check my calendar.

0:27:22.760 --> 0:27:25.130

Blattner, Lori

As a second four weeks of the month.

0:27:25.110 --> 0:27:26.620

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
I need to unlock your iPhone first.

0:27:26.700 --> 0:27:29.680

Blattner, Lori
Either 2:00 to 3:30 or.

0:27:30.630 --> 0:27:31.570

Blattner, Lori
10:30 to move.

0:27:39.810 --> 0:27:40.940

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yeah, I can make that work.

0:27:43.260 --> 0:27:45.140

Blattner, Lori
Uh. Which one afternoon or morning?

0:27:59.460 --> 0:28:0.700

Pfordte, Byron
You may be checking with the dog.

0:28:3.590 --> 0:28:7.780

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
I know she there's someone out in the hallway and she's not happy about it.

0:28:11.890 --> 0:28:14.510

Blattner, Lori
Did you prefer the morning or the afternoon, please?

0:28:18.650 --> 0:28:19.590

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
I.

0:28:20.640 --> 0:28:25.410

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
You know, I I could make either work. Afternoon might be a little bit better, but I can. I can make either work. What?

0:28:32.250 --> 0:28:33.780

Blattner, Lori
I'm not sure if we get a good consensus.

0:28:35.650 --> 0:28:40.690

Tillis, Daniel
I would say it's a. It's a light. Lean toward afternoon. That's what I feel like I'm hearing, but.

0:28:43.560 --> 0:28:44.750

Yochi Zakai

Have we heard from Hannah?

0:28:47.290 --> 0:28:47.910

Yochi Zakai

Andrew.

0:28:47.520 --> 0:28:56.410

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

I'm I'm I'm available at either of those times. I think afternoon might be a slightly preferable, but either would work.

0:28:57.880 --> 0:29:4.130

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

Yeah. Just looking at mine and Andrew Roberts calendar, uh, simultaneous and I think afternoons typically work a little bit better.

0:29:6.350 --> 0:29:6.650

Blattner, Lori

OK.

0:29:9.430 --> 0:29:10.670

Blattner, Lori

Sounds like it's even.

0:29:12.740 --> 0:29:13.660

Blattner, Lori

That's something you know.

0:29:15.570 --> 0:29:20.680

Blattner, Lori

OK, so I think that answers all of us scheduling questions, so we'll.

0:29:22.680 --> 0:29:31.670

Blattner, Lori

We'll send out those meeting invite to the folks on this call and then after we have the a chance to make that.

0:29:33.820 --> 0:29:34.290

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:29:35.470 --> 0:29:43.510

Blattner, Lori

Announcement. I guess if we're both gonna be on the 21st, then we can decide or we can extend the invitation to anybody else that wants to to join that.

0:29:45.440 --> 0:29:46.790

Blattner, Lori

It's a good time blocked off.

0:29:50.430 --> 0:29:51.440

Blattner, Lori

OK. So then.

0:29:51.820 --> 0:29:54.140

Blattner, Lori

Uh on agenda list?

0:29:55.950 --> 0:29:56.500

Blattner, Lori

Ohh.

0:29:57.540 --> 0:30:0.870

Blattner, Lori

So wanted to talk a little bit about the process for.

0:30:1.560 --> 0:30:3.730

Blattner, Lori

Ohh creating the agenda.

0:30:6.140 --> 0:30:6.880

Blattner, Lori

And I think.

0:30:7.730 --> 0:30:15.800

Blattner, Lori

So I haven't obviously been part of this for a long time. I think there's sort of a standing agenda for some standing agenda items for the full committee.

0:30:16.510 --> 0:30:17.670

Blattner, Lori

That cracked.

0:30:23.160 --> 0:30:30.330

Tillis, Daniel

Umm, maybe a couple minor ones, but I don't think there's there. There aren't many that are standing recurring.

0:30:31.130 --> 0:30:33.150

Tillis, Daniel

Discussions for the full advisory group.

0:30:35.260 --> 0:30:39.930

Tillis, Daniel

Maybe typically maybe an update on how the year is gone, but.

0:30:41.700 --> 0:30:48.290

Tillis, Daniel

Not not much. That's recurring every every meeting. Not right now. But Shannon, you know, correct me if I'm wrong on that and.

0:30:50.700 --> 0:31:14.830

Steed, Shannon

I did originally lists a few items here, but obviously over time these things would change as we get through the program year. The initial items I had are regular LIHEAP program, updates, are AMPED program design, CBO pilot, program development and enrollment growth and outreach, and then open discussion or new items by all members.

0:31:18.970 --> 0:31:22.920

Tillis, Daniel

And those are all great topics, and they're all important topics. But I think based on.

0:31:23.460 --> 0:31:33.950

Tillis, Daniel

Umm, you know the request of focus on fewer topics in the meetings. I don't think we're gonna be able to keep or want to keep all of those as recurrent topics on on the agenda.

0:31:39.650 --> 0:31:40.480

Blattner, Lori

So I guess maybe.

0:31:39.620 --> 0:31:40.550

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I.

0:31:42.410 --> 0:31:46.820

Blattner, Lori

I was just going to say that maybe that's a questions, should the full committee.

0:31:47.500 --> 0:31:51.650

Blattner, Lori

Meeting 20. Change some of these app like some standing update.

0:31:52.930 --> 0:31:53.460

Blattner, Lori

Ah.

0:31:55.720 --> 0:32:1.40

Blattner, Lori

Because it seems like if we're gonna be meeting in the small committee to try to work through some decisions on the.

0:32:2.70 --> 0:32:4.460

Blattner, Lori

Program going forward, we might be able to be.

0:32:5.230 --> 0:32:8.180

Blattner, Lori

Or just create some standing updates. Everybody knows what to buy.

0:32:9.400 --> 0:32:9.920

Blattner, Lori

Hold it.

0:32:11.400 --> 0:32:12.110

Blattner, Lori

Thoughts on that?

0:32:18.220 --> 0:32:23.830

Yochi Zakai

Charlie, I'm curious to hear how that communication happens in the in the other groups you've participated in.

0:32:25.480 --> 0:32:38.280

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, so since joining NYC at the end of June, the full committees for PSTN Avista have only met like once or twice. So I haven't quite gathered a consistent idea of how they run it.

0:32:39.400 --> 0:32:48.940

Charlee Thompson

Honestly, I'm not recalling like a a lot of time dedicated to an update from the subcommittee in the full group, but I think it is. We should do that.

0:32:50.180 --> 0:32:52.270

Charlee Thompson

To what extent or how detailed that I know?

0:32:54.930 --> 0:32:57.940

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, I don't know how helpful that is. Hopefully this a little bit helpful.

0:32:59.950 --> 0:33:3.980

Blattner, Lori

Do you think have a kind of standing agenda items is that?

0:33:5.10 --> 0:33:5.560

Blattner, Lori

5 minutes.

0:33:7.360 --> 0:33:12.940

Charlee Thompson

Yes, and give me a SEC to refresh on what what they're consistently talking about.

0:33:22.230 --> 0:33:25.20

Yochi Zakai

And while she's looking there, I would say.

0:33:26.780 --> 0:33:53.570

Yochi Zakai

I think you know tap would be interested in having a meeting devoted to, you know, the CBO outreach program. You know, we proposed it. We're excited about it, but we certainly, I think with sufficient advanced notice, you know, the gas can do some thinking about it and come prepared to discuss how to make that happen. But.

0:33:55.330 --> 0:33:55.980

Yochi Zakai

Having.

0:33:56.800 --> 0:34:6.200

Yochi Zakai

Given it the head space when it's just one item on on an agenda of many, but it deserves the headspace, so we we we we should make some time for that.

0:34:8.160 --> 0:34:10.310

Blattner, Lori

So we've got 3 minutes perhaps.

0:34:12.320 --> 0:34:12.820

Blattner, Lori

Some.

0:34:13.470 --> 0:34:15.290

Blattner, Lori

Some update times and then.

0:34:16.970 --> 0:34:18.960

Blattner, Lori

Like many meeting, maybe should be.

0:34:19.690 --> 0:34:22.670

Blattner, Lori

We should pick something as a group to discuss.

0:34:23.890 --> 0:34:26.840

Blattner, Lori

You know, I don't know, maybe it's 1/2 hour of kind of update.

0:34:28.0 --> 0:34:35.30

Blattner, Lori

Uh regular updates and then the other hour or discussion on a topic for that.

0:34:42.480 --> 0:34:46.160

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

This is Hannah from staff.

0:34:46.280 --> 0:35:0.200

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Umm, I think that per like perhaps the LIHEAP update that seems like something that could be probably just emailed and then I think the AMP design update is something.

0:35:2.560 --> 0:35:3.30

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

That.

0:35:4.220 --> 0:35:32.240

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Uh should be reported on at each advisory committee meeting, and then I agree with Yuki that CBO outreach. I don't know if that needs to be a standing update at every meeting, but should have a specific meeting to dedicated to that because I do think that 30 minutes at the start of each meeting just for updates might not be the most valuable use of time.

0:35:37.920 --> 0:35:38.390

Blattner, Lori

Carly.

0:35:40.780 --> 0:35:57.750

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, I just looked at what PSE universe have been talking about in their full low income advisory group meetings and it's it's kind of it doesn't seem like very consistent PSE and it might be fall under the category of updates. But PC has been talking about like a survey that they've done as cap agencies and this has been talking about.

0:35:58.270 --> 0:36:1.930

Charlee Thompson

Um, conditions that came out of their CEIP.

0:36:2.0 --> 0:36:15.780

Charlee Thompson

Be settlement or their D R&D R Community Engagement plan or or things like that. So and I think both have been giving maybe maybe this is a consistent item but giving updates on their disconnection efforts and practices.

0:36:17.720 --> 0:36:25.730

Charlee Thompson

Yeah. So things that come up out of this EP or GRC or whatever else along with this connections and community engagement.

0:36:31.630 --> 0:36:33.180

Blattner, Lori
So maybe a short.

0:36:35.360 --> 0:36:41.330

Blattner, Lori
Sounds like maybe a short update on things that the company thinks might be interesting for the advisory group.

0:36:42.160 --> 0:36:43.0

Blattner, Lori
And then uh.

0:36:44.360 --> 0:36:46.720

Blattner, Lori
Discussion on whatever the topic is.

0:36:48.720 --> 0:36:51.970

Blattner, Lori
That we that we've agreed there bringing up is that.

0:36:56.910 --> 0:37:2.220

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Yeah, that sounds good. I think a good way to start out each meeting too is just kind of.

0:37:3.900 --> 0:37:5.350

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Recap any.

0:37:5.990 --> 0:37:9.100

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)
Uh, unresolved items from the last meeting.

0:37:19.780 --> 0:37:22.290

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)
Yeah, I think that makes sense. Hanna, this is Corey.

0:37:39.750 --> 0:37:40.960

Blattner, Lori
That sort of sum up.

0:37:42.20 --> 0:37:43.530

Blattner, Lori
Or I guess the other thing would be.

0:37:54.240 --> 0:37:55.430

Blattner, Lori
That sort of sum up what we.

0:38:4.740 --> 0:38:7.70

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yeah, that I think that looks good to me.

0:38:13.580 --> 0:38:13.940

Yochi Zakai

Umm.

0:38:14.910 --> 0:38:16.680

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I think.

0:38:18.580 --> 0:38:25.950

Yochi Zakai

I I guess I'm not 100% sure cuz I haven't participated in a ton of these meetings, but at first glance I think it's OK.

0:38:26.30 --> 0:38:42.60

Yochi Zakai

Umm I I don't love the term AMPED that cascade has been using for their program, but I'm OK with that in acknowledgement that that is what you have been using. But terminology should not be the hang up for getting into substance.

0:38:48.340 --> 0:38:49.710

Blattner, Lori

We'll just call it the new program.

0:38:48.770 --> 0:38:49.820

Lorena Shah

I think this looks.

0:38:48.710 --> 0:38:50.910

Pfordte, Byron

Currently, we don't have AMPED anywhere.

0:38:54.740 --> 0:39:2.440

Lorena Shah

They say I think this looks good and will feel manageable and productive for the CAP agencies.

0:39:9.110 --> 0:39:11.520

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

This looks good to public counsel too.

0:39:18.120 --> 0:39:19.570

Blattner, Lori

Anna, stop. Marie.

0:39:21.540 --> 0:39:22.100

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:39:24.680 --> 0:39:25.180

Blattner, Lori

I guess.

0:39:26.80 --> 0:39:35.230

Blattner, Lori

It sounded like I don't know, it's some of the feedback and and information that we received and then some of the other meeting discussions.

0:39:37.10 --> 0:39:39.740

Blattner, Lori

Maybe trying to take a?

0:39:40.870 --> 0:39:42.610

Blattner, Lori

You know this new program?

0:39:43.320 --> 0:39:43.980

Blattner, Lori

And.

0:39:44.50 --> 0:39:45.380

Blattner, Lori

It's uh.

0:39:46.180 --> 0:39:50.710

Blattner, Lori

Breaking it into topics and honestly, I don't know what that looks like, but.

0:39:51.330 --> 0:39:51.960

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

0:39:52.820 --> 0:39:55.660

Blattner, Lori

You know, calculating the number of meetings we have between.

0:39:56.310 --> 0:40:0.80

Blattner, Lori

I don't know. Now in in June or something and.

0:40:1.360 --> 0:40:9.620

Blattner, Lori

And then trying to calculate, you know, trying to come up with the topic list and prioritize that a little bit and assign those to.

0:40:10.620 --> 0:40:13.740

Blattner, Lori

Uh to different meetings? Maybe something like that would be.

0:40:15.570 --> 0:40:17.580

Blattner, Lori

I don't know a good way. At least you could start.

0:40:18.180 --> 0:40:20.910

Blattner, Lori

But I'm open to other anybody else that has ideas.

0:40:22.910 --> 0:40:23.850

Blattner, Lori

Other approach that.

0:40:35.310 --> 0:40:40.360

Yochi Zakai

Early. Can I lean on you again? Do you know how topics have been set in the other?

0:40:41.980 --> 0:40:42.440

Charlee Thompson

Yeah.

0:40:41.380 --> 0:40:42.860

Yochi Zakai

Processes you've participated in.

0:40:43.540 --> 0:40:44.460

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, sure.

0:40:46.460 --> 0:40:51.710

Charlee Thompson

So I'll keep talking about Avista because they're process, works, works pretty well and I've enjoyed being a part of that.

0:40:53.450 --> 0:41:3.610

Charlee Thompson

So they have 4 overarching topics, it's joint administration between utility and agency, self attestation verification, and then LIHEAP interface.

0:41:5.290 --> 0:41:8.440

Charlee Thompson

But it's not like 4 weeks. Let's do one topic per.

0:41:9.150 --> 0:41:17.420

Charlee Thompson

Each meeting, it's kind of like we'll do. There's probably a bunch of subtopics that could be that we could detail here under joint administration, for example.

0:41:18.40 --> 0:41:20.740

Blattner, Lori

Can you give me those four again? Slow, I type slow.

0:41:20.510 --> 0:41:27.340

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, yeah. Joint administration between utilities and cap agencies. Self attestation.

0:41:29.520 --> 0:41:31.240

Charlee Thompson

Uh, income verification.

0:41:32.920 --> 0:41:34.390

Charlee Thompson

And LIHEAP interface.

0:41:38.170 --> 0:41:38.680

Tillis, Daniel

Charlie.

0:41:38.60 --> 0:41:39.780

Charlee Thompson

And what? Umm.

0:41:40.780 --> 0:41:42.890

Tillis, Daniel

Sorry, go ahead. I'll, I'll ask after you finish.

0:41:43.620 --> 0:41:44.640

Charlee Thompson

OK. Yeah, yeah.

0:41:45.720 --> 0:41:55.520

Charlee Thompson

What Avista has been doing is kind of so like, let's this week we're gonna start first thing off with joint administration. I think they might come up with like here are some of the things that we need to talk about.

0:41:57.760 --> 0:42:0.90

Charlee Thompson

Yes, learn it and uh, verification of thought it.

0:42:1.190 --> 0:42:6.230

Charlee Thompson

Umm, so yeah, this will say. Here's something that we can talk about. And then within that meeting some of the.

0:42:6.980 --> 0:42:12.330

Charlee Thompson

Advisory group members might also say like, but we also haven't thought about this or talking about this, so that all come up as well.

0:42:14.430 --> 0:42:26.70

Charlee Thompson

And what we end with is usually after every meeting there's at least one decision point, maybe two decision points, and then we'll kind of say like, OK, this is on deck for in two weeks from now when we meet again.

0:42:28.170 --> 0:42:34.920

Charlee Thompson

And I think that's works. That's worked pretty well. And like I said, they've been doing it for about five months and we're I think finalizing.

0:42:35.970 --> 0:42:37.120

Charlee Thompson

What stage are we at?

0:42:38.940 --> 0:42:42.690

Charlee Thompson

We still talk about LIHEAP interface and then kind of talking about some data collection.

0:42:45.240 --> 0:42:47.580

Charlee Thompson

As well, but it it seems to be going.

0:42:48.620 --> 0:42:52.350

Charlee Thompson

I think going pretty smoothly with that, that process and that cadence.

0:42:54.930 --> 0:42:55.280

Tillis, Daniel

And.

0:42:54.360 --> 0:42:57.430

Blattner, Lori

But do you have a? Do you have a a?

0:42:58.470 --> 0:43:1.290

Blattner, Lori

A page that have lists out all the topics.

0:43:2.730 --> 0:43:7.800

Charlee Thompson

Um, just the broad topics and the decision points.

0:43:10.310 --> 0:43:11.60

Charlee Thompson

I can probably.

0:43:11.740 --> 0:43:19.270

Charlee Thompson

Briefly, just make an outline of like joint administration and hear all the things we talked about attestation and here all the things we talked about, if that's helpful and I can share that.

0:43:20.470 --> 0:43:21.220

Charlee Thompson

But I think.

0:43:20.150 --> 0:43:21.820

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, if you wanna share that with us though.

0:43:20.400 --> 0:43:33.90

Tillis, Daniel

Am I remembering correctly? Am I remembering correctly? The those meetings are specific to creating the the rate discount program that is targeted to start October 1st, 2023.

0:43:33.590 --> 0:43:33.850

Charlee Thompson

Yep.

0:43:34.580 --> 0:43:46.690

Tillis, Daniel

So somewhere just I'm not sure if that was clear for you. So those those subcommittee meetings are specific to a rate discount. They're not on several other topics, so.

0:43:47.360 --> 0:43:47.750

Charlee Thompson

Yeah.

0:43:49.360 --> 0:43:49.820

Tillis, Daniel

So.

0:43:48.940 --> 0:43:56.330

Yochi Zakai

I would say I do think that they are designing their bill, discount and arrearage management program at the same time.

0:43:56.940 --> 0:43:57.350

Tillis, Daniel

OK.

0:43:59.410 --> 0:44:4.90

Tillis, Daniel

Which was the plan for our small groups as well, was to be.

0:44:5.690 --> 0:44:10.520

Tillis, Daniel

On AMPED or whatever, it ends up being called and whatever the program design ends up being. So yeah.

0:44:11.180 --> 0:44:11.520

Charlee Thompson

Mm-hmm.

0:44:19.230 --> 0:44:27.290

Yochi Zakai

And again, I didn't courage. You again. I'm sure if you reach out to Avista, that they'd be willing to chat about how things go and perhaps share some of some of their materials.

0:44:28.190 --> 0:44:28.540

Tillis, Daniel

Sure.

0:44:28.390 --> 0:44:39.100

Yochi Zakai

And and and I think these four topics do overlap well with you know the you know the the issues that I laid out in.

0:44:40.80 --> 0:44:44.30

Yochi Zakai

In the the tapped feedback document I provided as well.

0:44:47.760 --> 0:44:49.50

Tillis, Daniel

Yeah, I do feel like.

0:44:51.140 --> 0:45:8.470

Tillis, Daniel

We have some topics that might be good to resolve at the smaller team, small group level, or at least make some recommendations at the smaller group level and take to the larger revisory group that we might want to start with before we, you know, move into.

0:45:9.680 --> 0:45:16.990

Tillis, Daniel

The rate discount plus orange management, such as you know some of the ideas around changes to current year we've.

0:45:18.630 --> 0:45:29.760

Tillis, Daniel

So the possibility of a of a summer reach forgiveness program, current reach forgiveness program. And then and with the weave changes at the AMI component, getting that integrated so.

0:45:30.920 --> 0:45:31.980

Tillis, Daniel

I think those are.

0:45:32.860 --> 0:45:34.710

Tillis, Daniel

To me, there are higher priority than.

0:45:36.330 --> 0:45:40.900

Tillis, Daniel

The rate discount average management you know as we have time to to address those topics.

0:45:45.680 --> 0:45:50.920

Yochi Zakai

And didn't we say we would pursue those in the larger group rather than the smaller group?

0:45:53.280 --> 0:45:54.50

Tillis, Daniel

Uh, we we.

0:45:53.10 --> 0:45:56.560

Blattner, Lori

I think that'll be part of our meeting for this family, so.

0:45:57.630 --> 0:46:13.350

Tillis, Daniel

We did say that I don't know, and that's fine, if that's what we want to do. I just didn't know if we wanted to try to talk through options and make recommendations in the smaller group and then take those to the larger group to get feedback. So I I'm OK with either approach.

0:46:20.520 --> 0:46:27.570

Yochi Zakai

I guess I defer to to Lorena if she thinks it's problematic. If we start talking about it first, then the small group.

0:46:30.40 --> 0:46:41.520

Lorena Shah

I think probably if we can spend a little bit of time in the small group and kind of tee up, tee it up for, I don't know, I go back and forth. It'll really depend on how much is.

0:46:42.500 --> 0:46:49.380

Lorena Shah

What the impact on the agencies is gonna be if there is a, you know, relatively high impact or sort of any impact it really needs to.

0:46:51.700 --> 0:47:5.110

Lorena Shah

I think it really probably needs to stay with a larger group and we just we take some time in the next couple advisory committee meetings to really drill down on that. So everybody can feel comfortable with that way forward.

0:47:5.770 --> 0:47:10.760

Lorena Shah

Now, do we spend a little bit of time here, maybe formulating that that that might be beneficial?

0:47:12.200 --> 0:47:14.230

Lorena Shah

But maybe not come to decisions here.

0:47:17.410 --> 0:47:18.900

Blattner, Lori

I think logistically.

0:47:19.60 --> 0:47:22.120

Blattner, Lori

Ohh what we decided was.

0:47:23.870 --> 0:47:38.70

Blattner, Lori

Our next meeting will be the full committee on December 21st, so maybe we start in the whole committee with that. Maybe that's our topic for the for the next meeting and then we can kind of see at the end of that meeting we'll have and decide whether.

0:47:39.220 --> 0:47:43.420

Blattner, Lori

That's a topic for the small group. Then in January, or if it's.

0:47:45.70 --> 0:47:48.60

Blattner, Lori

You know, if we get far enough along, maybe it's it's not an issue we can.

0:47:48.880 --> 0:47:50.560

Blattner, Lori

Finalized things like that.

0:48:0.780 --> 0:48:1.360

Blattner, Lori

Ohh.

0:47:52.880 --> 0:48:6.390

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I would observe that we do have another small group on the calendar for December 7th next Wednesday. So you know, perhaps if we wanna have some initial discussions we could we could start there and then.

0:48:6.470 --> 0:48:25.750

Yochi Zakai

Umm. And then bring it to the bigger group. But I wanna be sensitive to lorena's concern which I think if I'm hearing it correctly is that we have sufficient time in the large group to thoroughly think through and vet the.

0:48:27.10 --> 0:48:36.510

Yochi Zakai

Impact on the work that the agencies will need to do is probably the the appropriate focus for for that group.

0:48:40.90 --> 0:48:41.360

Blattner, Lori

Forget about the 7th baby.

0:48:52.90 --> 0:49:16.180

Yochi Zakai

And maybe that's a good way to think about it generally to if we're gonna bring updates to the small group that we should probably try to do that with a focus on how will these changes impact the, you know, the CAA's most rather than maybe some of the design decisions that are more company focused.

0:49:16.730 --> 0:49:23.90

Yochi Zakai

I'm just thinking through this now for the first time, so hopefully that's kind of makes sense.

0:49:24.620 --> 0:49:26.100

Blattner, Lori

So this update.

0:49:29.990 --> 0:49:30.600

Blattner, Lori

For this.

0:49:30.680 --> 0:49:34.60

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, this update here more focused on.

0:49:35.180 --> 0:49:36.430

Blattner, Lori

Impacts to the agency.

0:49:56.240 --> 0:50:4.390

Blattner, Lori

But we can reach out to Avista on the on the subcommittee. These we can reach out to Avista to.

0:50:7.110 --> 0:50:9.970

Blattner, Lori

Kind of get there topic list.

0:50:11.820 --> 0:50:12.700

Blattner, Lori
And maybe that's.

0:50:13.500 --> 0:50:15.290

Blattner, Lori
Something that we can work on in our.

0:50:16.800 --> 0:50:20.660

Blattner, Lori
Meeting next week too, it's it's working for them.

0:50:22.370 --> 0:50:23.30

Blattner, Lori
The topic.

0:50:29.330 --> 0:50:37.880

Yochi Zakai
And again, the the letter and the feedback that I provided had more than topics, but it's probably possible to still that down into topics as well.

0:50:39.190 --> 0:50:43.740

Yochi Zakai
It's although it's always harder to write something short than to write something wrong, right?

0:50:45.190 --> 0:50:46.840

Blattner, Lori
Especially for an attorney.

0:50:49.990 --> 0:50:53.100

Charlee Thompson
Yeah. Yukio is also thinking your letter might be a good.

0:50:53.960 --> 0:50:55.100

Charlee Thompson
Topic list outline.

0:51:5.270 --> 0:51:5.870

Blattner, Lori
And then.

0:51:8.400 --> 0:51:11.190

Blattner, Lori
I I think another so anything else on?

0:51:12.90 --> 0:51:13.620

Blattner, Lori
Uh, kind of the agenda?

0:51:14.920 --> 0:51:15.310

Blattner, Lori
Either.

0:51:16.960 --> 0:51:23.300

Blattner, Lori
That seems like a a place to start, and then obviously these would be set in stone and we can adjust it they need.

0:51:25.120 --> 0:51:26.100

Blattner, Lori
It started.

0:51:33.510 --> 0:51:34.40

Blattner, Lori
Have you?

0:51:39.490 --> 0:51:40.220

Blattner, Lori
And then.

0:51:42.450 --> 0:51:46.130

Blattner, Lori
Distribution of agenda and pre read material.

0:51:47.210 --> 0:51:47.770

Blattner, Lori
Uh.

0:51:49.300 --> 0:51:53.70

Blattner, Lori
A couple of days ahead. Did that need to be a full week ahead?

0:51:54.360 --> 0:51:56.480

Blattner, Lori
How much? How much lead time, I guess.

0:51:58.900 --> 0:52:1.290

Blattner, Lori
On I'm sending agenda though.

0:52:5.500 --> 0:52:7.700

Charlee Thompson
Maybe by the Friday of the week before.

0:52:8.500 --> 0:52:10.180

Charlee Thompson
If the meetings are happening on Wednesday.

0:52:11.370 --> 0:52:11.600

Blattner, Lori

You.

0:52:11.670 --> 0:52:13.440

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

That's exactly what I was thinking.

0:52:14.730 --> 0:52:15.90

Charlee Thompson

Great.

0:52:17.80 --> 0:52:20.210

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Get that? That's sufficient for for.

0:52:20.890 --> 0:52:27.590

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Public counsel, we would also have the ability to request additional items to be added or modified.

0:52:29.940 --> 0:52:33.770

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, that would give everybody a chance to provide a little bit of feedback to you all.

0:52:34.0 --> 0:52:34.300

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Sure.

0:52:36.710 --> 0:52:37.520

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

That makes sense to me.

0:52:47.960 --> 0:52:48.860

Blattner, Lori

Well for them.

0:52:51.280 --> 0:52:54.920

Blattner, Lori

Trying to run something that Skype well then meeting format.

0:52:56.100 --> 0:53:0.450

Blattner, Lori

Uh, we sort of talked about this honestly in the.

0:53:2.30 --> 0:53:5.500

Blattner, Lori

I think this first part we sort of talked about in the.

0:53:6.590 --> 0:53:7.760

Blattner, Lori

Discussion on agenda.

0:53:10.990 --> 0:53:11.580

Blattner, Lori

Ohh.

0:53:11.820 --> 0:53:40.470

Yochi Zakai

Yeah. And I guess one thing that I've been thinking about looking at that is maybe it will be helpful to start with a discussion of the topic. Perhaps instead of a proposal. I'm I'm I'm a little bit torn on this cuz I can appreciate that sometimes a proposal is the best thing that helps to get discussions started. But sometimes it also feels like, I guess what I don't.

0:53:47.240 --> 0:53:47.520

Blattner, Lori

Right.

0:53:41.140 --> 0:53:53.140

Yochi Zakai

What? What I wanna avoid is having feel like it's a it's a pre baked plan that comes and so there's a balance there and I'm not quite sure what the answer to achieving that balance is.

0:53:58.370 --> 0:54:1.720

Blattner, Lori

Well, and that's a potentially something we can.

0:54:3.120 --> 0:54:5.650

Blattner, Lori

We can revoke open to and.

0:54:7.710 --> 0:54:10.80

Blattner, Lori

Decide which works best for you.

0:54:11.780 --> 0:54:15.730

Blattner, Lori

The idea what the presentation would be exactly what you said to get something.

0:54:17.20 --> 0:54:21.10

Blattner, Lori

To toss it out there, right and then and then have something to work from.

0:54:23.630 --> 0:54:30.850

Blattner, Lori

But I guess also the proposal could come either way. The company could present it or someone else to present it.

0:54:35.610 --> 0:54:36.200

Blattner, Lori

Ah.

0:54:37.300 --> 0:54:41.370

Charlee Thompson

A thought on that going again, back to an example from Avista.

0:54:42.890 --> 0:54:51.160

Charlee Thompson

Like so say the topic is, let's talk about income verification. Should we use net or gross income?

0:54:52.420 --> 0:55:14.340

Charlee Thompson

To talk about that so that we would all know going into advance like of the meeting like, OK, we're gonna talk about net or gross income. So we'll coming in with our probably our ideas and maybe I don't know justification for that. And what Vista does is they kind of say like, OK, so here's our topic net versus gross income with net like what they've already thought through like he's kind of like the pros and cons of that with gross. Here's the pros and cons of this.

0:55:14.990 --> 0:55:23.470

Charlee Thompson

Go like discussion and then people kind of hop in and then it wiggles its way down to a decision point. So it's not quite a proposal, but Avista prepares.

0:55:24.420 --> 0:55:28.280

Charlee Thompson

Such that they can talk about both, I guess and then leave it open for discussion.

0:55:29.400 --> 0:55:31.460

Blattner, Lori

So it sounds like maybe start with a question.

0:55:33.980 --> 0:55:34.350

Blattner, Lori

Right.

0:55:33.90 --> 0:55:35.120

Charlee Thompson

Yeah. Yeah, very conversational.

0:55:37.290 --> 0:55:38.250

Blattner, Lori

And then the search.

0:55:40.260 --> 0:55:41.40

Blattner, Lori

I like that.

0:55:49.200 --> 0:55:53.510

Blattner, Lori

And we can send the in the agenda, right? We can send the.

0:55:56.930 --> 0:55:57.770

Blattner, Lori

That's topic.

0:56:0.70 --> 0:56:1.360

Blattner, Lori

Like you're saying, people.

0:56:2.990 --> 0:56:9.140

Blattner, Lori

Would be nice if everybody came to the the meeting with ideas kind of already.

0:56:9.850 --> 0:56:11.80

Blattner, Lori

You know positive, so.

0:56:12.600 --> 0:56:35.710

Yochi Zakai

Yeah. And for that to happen, I think it might be useful to even set the topic a little bit earlier than the agenda goes out. I mean, I we probably won't always be able to do that, but to the extent you know, we can I think at least for me it would be helpful to have more than you know you know, 2 1/2 days if it's a.

0:56:36.730 --> 0:56:41.840

Yochi Zakai

To to to at least know the topic. If not, maybe all the details that that go into it.

0:56:44.120 --> 0:56:44.530

Blattner, Lori

That's.

0:56:43.900 --> 0:56:46.410

Charlee Thompson

I think it'll eventually. Sorry, go ahead.

0:56:47.160 --> 0:56:54.430

Blattner, Lori

I was just gonna say so that that this kind of document that we're talking about for this just seems like maybe that would help with that.

0:56:58.920 --> 0:57:11.720

Charlee Thompson

And I was going to say yochi, I think it will eventually kind of fall into that anyway, like on say we finish our meeting on Wednesday, we'll know what the next topic hopefully is for the next Wednesday, which gives us, you know a few more days. And then Friday the agenda gets sent out.

0:57:20.220 --> 0:57:20.760

Blattner, Lori

Umm.

0:57:22.200 --> 0:57:25.570

Blattner, Lori

Delete that questions like it's about that, and then.

0:57:27.90 --> 0:57:38.680

Blattner, Lori

I think it's really important. I guess I would like to suggest that I think that these meetings, it's really important for all of the groups to come with and their perspectives.

0:57:39.330 --> 0:57:44.890

Blattner, Lori

And then have time, you know, kind of like we're doing today. Just be sure that we go through and have everybody give their comments.

0:57:46.280 --> 0:57:47.680

Blattner, Lori

You know, whatever, but topic is.

0:57:55.690 --> 0:58:3.920

Blattner, Lori

And then a an agreement or either reach an agreement on it's topic or I think we need to also maybe have a specific plan.

0:58:4.960 --> 0:58:14.800

Blattner, Lori

If if we didn't reach agreement on whatever the topic is in the meeting to keep things moving forward, we need to kind of set up a plan of, OK, what do we need to investigate next? What are the next steps?

0:58:15.900 --> 0:58:16.190

Blattner, Lori

No.

0:58:18.300 --> 0:58:22.410

Blattner, Lori

Have sort of halfway to get to the agreement on whatever that topic is, but.

0:58:28.500 --> 0:58:30.630

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Uh, yeah, that sounds right to me.

0:58:37.560 --> 0:58:39.0

Blattner, Lori

And then follow up.

0:58:40.930 --> 0:58:45.230

Blattner, Lori

We've been sending out a meeting notes. Is there anything else that we need?

0:58:51.90 --> 0:58:51.840

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Um.

0:58:53.150 --> 0:58:57.870

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

I I think PRC starts out all of their meetings with.

0:58:58.500 --> 0:59:15.410

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Just two slides where they show how feedback from the prior meeting impacted setting the agenda for the next meeting and I can send you an example of that, but they list out there each of those.

0:59:16.930 --> 0:59:20.860

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

If there were any questions that were unresolved also.

0:59:24.230 --> 0:59:25.540

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

As just a way of.

0:59:27.820 --> 0:59:33.610

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Highlighting things that were unresolved in a place that isn't just in the meeting notes.

0:59:35.500 --> 0:59:40.200

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Which I I think is useful and I can send you an example of that.

0:59:42.20 --> 0:59:43.370

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, that would be super problem.

0:59:45.110 --> 0:59:51.350

Blattner, Lori

And that sort of helps provide, it sounds like that provides the closure from the previous meeting. We didn't make a decision.

0:59:52.170 --> 0:59:56.860

Blattner, Lori

Uh allows everybody to go back, think about it, and then maybe come back and make a decision.

0:59:57.810 --> 0:59:58.620

Blattner, Lori

At the next week.

0:59:59.610 --> 0:59:59.980

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Yeah.

1:0:7.0 --> 1:0:8.730

Charlee Thompson

Here I'm gonna put in the chat.

1:0:9.550 --> 1:0:11.900

Charlee Thompson

What, again? What if Vista does?

1:0:13.270 --> 1:0:24.490

Charlee Thompson

So Anna Matthew sends out a follow up e-mail the day of. Or maybe the day after the meeting that we had, and she always lists key decisions that happened at that meeting, but also key decisions to date.

1:0:25.130 --> 1:0:40.620

Charlee Thompson

Um discussion points so that that's kind of like what the meeting minutes where they come in to play the discussion points where the decisions of that day were achieved and then the talking point or the decision points for the next week. So everyone can prepare in advance.

1:0:49.610 --> 1:0:53.980

Blattner, Lori

I like that idea of sending out a keeping a list of the key decisions.

1:0:59.890 --> 1:1:0.330

Charlee Thompson

Yeah.

1:0:54.630 --> 1:1:3.10

Blattner, Lori

And setting us out after every meeting. So then it's sort of a reminder, this is what we've already decided. And then there's the new decisions. And like, here's what.

1:1:2.870 --> 1:1:9.150

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, it's very helpful. I always like just go back to the previous e-mail to remind myself of what happened weeks ago, yeah.

1:1:20.580 --> 1:1:23.580

Blattner, Lori

So that's sort of gets us through. We're a little bit over on time.

1:1:26.880 --> 1:1:39.560

Blattner, Lori

So on the third party facilitator, so I I completely understand where that comes from. You know sort of giving his phone in the last meeting or the the one before that.

1:1:40.430 --> 1:1:42.930

Blattner, Lori

I guess we would like to suggest that.

1:1:43.980 --> 1:1:53.40

Blattner, Lori

We try, you know, a few of these meetings with the system that we've outlined and we have those people down that path and.

1:1:55.260 --> 1:1:56.440

Blattner, Lori

If you guys are going to go back.

1:1:58.650 --> 1:2:28.850

Yochi Zakai

Yeah, I think we're willing to do that. I think, uh, you know, just just an acknowledgement of what we, you know, what we laid out here is a much more active and time consuming facilitation role for meetings that will take place three times a month. And so, you know, I think I'm open to certainly having the company play that role with the acknowledgement that that's a lot more work to do.

1:2:28.960 --> 1:2:41.930

Yochi Zakai

That can hopefully be done within, you know and. And I guess if the work can't be done within, you know the existing staffing, then you know hopefully something can be done about that to either hire a third party or make space to make sure it gets done.

1:2:44.590 --> 1:2:45.860

Blattner, Lori

That's a good point if it's.

1:2:46.450 --> 1:2:47.40

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

1:2:54.650 --> 1:2:58.190

Yochi Zakai

Because, yeah, I mean, I think with with Avesta we're speaking with.

1:2:59.670 --> 1:3:5.600

Yochi Zakai

As as I understand it, staff that you know, work almost exclusively on the.

1:3:6.0 --> 1:3:17.30

Yochi Zakai

Umm uh, you know, energy assistance programs and I know most of the folks on this call have jobs that have them focusing on much more than that.

1:3:18.970 --> 1:3:20.760

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, spread a little bit thinner.

1:3:23.150 --> 1:3:24.760

Blattner, Lori

So that's that is a good point.

1:3:26.480 --> 1:3:28.790

Blattner, Lori

We'll talk about that a little bit internally and then.

1:3:30.270 --> 1:3:30.900

Blattner, Lori

Back with them.

1:3:32.650 --> 1:3:33.470

Blattner, Lori

Recommendation.

1:3:32.890 --> 1:3:33.850

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Yeah, I.

1:3:34.980 --> 1:3:36.320

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Ohh sorry for interrupting alright.

1:3:37.600 --> 1:3:40.590

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

I I I do think that, you know, I think this has been a very.

1:3:41.350 --> 1:3:45.770

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Today's meeting especially has been productive and I think we've had.

1:3:47.80 --> 1:3:50.460

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

A good example of how we can build consensus and talk through things.

1:3:51.180 --> 1:4:3.350

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Um, if we if after the company discusses and we decide that ultimately we're not going to move forward with a third party facilitator, at least in the immediate term.

1:4:3.950 --> 1:4:7.30

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Umm, I think it would be good to.

1:4:9.110 --> 1:4:18.270

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Agree to a way that we can have the conversation of if there is a. If we do reach a point where it, it looks apparent where a third party facilitator is going to be.

1:4:19.390 --> 1:4:25.380

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Really beneficial and moving the conversation forward, I think we need to figure out how we do that, how we decide that.

1:4:26.80 --> 1:4:30.370

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Umm. And you know, maybe that's just checking in.

1:4:33.400 --> 1:4:35.700

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

At every meeting, perhaps.

1:4:36.860 --> 1:4:45.790

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Sending out some sort of anonymous survey to give feedback about how meetings are going. I I don't know. I think there just has to be a way.

1:4:48.230 --> 1:4:51.170

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

To move forward because it it might not even be.

1:4:51.980 --> 1:5:3.830

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

A situation where you know meetings are especially tense or contentious where we decide we need a third party facilitator. It might be something more along the lines of what Yochi had said about, you know.

1:5:5.440 --> 1:5:12.950

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Cascade realizing that wow, this is a big undertaking, it would be more productive to have someone more focused on this than be spread thin.

1:5:13.690 --> 1:5:17.800

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

So I I think that that's a long way of saying we should figure out a way to check in.

1:5:18.760 --> 1:5:20.490

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Amongst everyone to to figure that out.

1:5:21.840 --> 1:5:31.220

Blattner, Lori

I think that's good and I think part of the the meetings you know going forward, I think that it's important for everybody to to feel comfortable.

1:5:31.900 --> 1:5:40.0

Blattner, Lori

Uh, you know, kind of just saying how things are going too, as part of the feedback. You know, if there's issues, we certainly wanna.

1:5:40.950 --> 1:5:45.380

Blattner, Lori

Hear those that have those too so that we can hopefully dress them so.

1:5:46.810 --> 1:5:47.670

Blattner, Lori

Check in is important.

1:5:49.640 --> 1:5:51.40

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Yeah, that makes that makes sense to me.

1:5:54.890 --> 1:5:56.980

Blattner, Lori

So for next week's meeting.

1:5:59.190 --> 1:6:1.290

Blattner, Lori

As far as uh topic?

1:6:3.580 --> 1:6:9.450

Blattner, Lori

Is it is the best topic the we've we've program here.

1:6:10.290 --> 1:6:10.740

Blattner, Lori

Uh.

1:6:11.390 --> 1:6:13.780

Blattner, Lori

Topics for the.

1:6:14.340 --> 1:6:15.760

Blattner, Lori

Uh, call groups.

1:6:17.490 --> 1:6:21.780

Blattner, Lori

You know for next year. What what do you guys think is the most important topic to?

1:6:24.140 --> 1:6:25.180

Blattner, Lori

What kind of focus on that?

1:6:31.0 --> 1:6:38.770

Tillis, Daniel

And Lori, are you asking for the meeting next week with the small group or you asking for the meeting on the 21st for the advisory group?

1:6:39.670 --> 1:6:42.50

Blattner, Lori

Yeah, a small group first.

1:6:45.590 --> 1:6:53.490

Yochi Zakai

Yeah. So I think there are two short term things that based on this conversation come to mind that would be good to talk about again.

1:6:54.570 --> 1:7:14.490

Yochi Zakai

And we don't have to do both of them at the at the next meeting, but I think they're they're they're both important for, you know, establishing next steps. And, you know, one is the current year program changes and then the other is kind of putting together our topic list and selecting the first topic that we'll dive into.

1:7:18.980 --> 1:7:20.40

Charlee Thompson

Yeah, that sounds good to me.

1:7:23.720 --> 1:7:28.200

Blattner, Lori

So maybe start with current year program changes, because that's probably the most.

1:7:29.610 --> 1:7:31.200

Blattner, Lori

Immediate need and then.

1:7:32.200 --> 1:7:34.890

Blattner, Lori

If we if we get through that quickly, then maybe we can.

1:7:36.590 --> 1:7:38.240

Blattner, Lori

Move to the topic list of that.

1:7:43.800 --> 1:7:47.270

Blattner, Lori

Well, things are hanging in with us for about 10 minutes over I'd like to.

1:7:48.10 --> 1:7:54.220

Blattner, Lori

And on time. So I apologize for that, but anything anybody have anything else before we go?

1:7:58.440 --> 1:8:8.320

Charlee Thompson

Umm, it might be helpful to check in. I think you're planning to do it, but it might be helpful to check in with the best Avista on the topic list before the meeting on the 7th next week.

1:8:9.400 --> 1:8:9.860

Charlee Thompson

AM.

1:8:9.770 --> 1:8:10.20

Blattner, Lori

Yeah.

1:8:10.830 --> 1:8:11.860

Blattner, Lori

I think that's a good idea.

1:8:14.740 --> 1:8:17.710

Blattner, Lori

Anything we can plagiarize we're all about.

1:8:20.190 --> 1:8:29.170

Yochi Zakai

They just say thank you again for the tone reset. It's been very constructive and appreciate everyone coming together with the with that approach.

1:8:32.350 --> 1:8:32.840

Blattner, Lori

Thank you.

1:8:35.960 --> 1:8:38.730

Blattner, Lori

We're we're all on the same team. I think that's the most important.

1:8:39.430 --> 1:8:43.400

Blattner, Lori

You know, for this, for this discussion, we want the same outcome.

1:8:46.570 --> 1:8:48.740

Blattner, Lori

All right. Well, everybody have a fantastic weekend.

1:8:50.60 --> 1:8:51.580

Navarro, Hanna (UTC)

Thanks, Lori. Thanks everyone.

1:8:50.720 --> 1:8:52.710

Tillis, Daniel

Thanks. Talk to everybody later. Bye.

1:8:52.930 --> 1:8:53.300

Charlee Thompson

Fail.

1:8:53.590 --> 1:8:54.160

Sellards, Andrew (UTC)

Thank you all.

1:8:52.960 --> 1:8:54.630

Blattner, Lori

Thank you. Bye.

1:8:54.650 --> 1:8:55.310

Lorena Shah

Thanks all.

1:8:56.120 --> 1:8:56.500

Corey Dahl (PCU-he/him) (Guest)

Thanks.