Exhibit No.___ (RJF-10T) Docket No. UE-100749 Witness: Randall J. Falkenberg

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

)	
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	Docket No. UE-100749
v.)	
)	
PACIFICORP d/b/a PACIFIC POWER &)	
LIGHT COMPANY,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDALL J. FALKENBERG ON BEHALF OF THE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES

December 6, 2010

1 2	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME RANDALL FALKENBERG WHO PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
5	A.	My supplemental testimony is being provided in response to the Second
6		Prehearing Conference Order in this proceeding. In that order, the Commission
7		directs the Company and other parties to file revised testimony and exhibits to
8		comply with the requirements of WAC § 480-07-510 as discussed during the
9		explanatory session held with the Commission advisors. I also sponsor and
10		describe supplemental workpapers.
11	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS YOU CONTEST.
12	A.	In my original pre-filed testimony and this supplemental testimony, I contest the
13		Company's adjustment 3.5 (Green Tag Revenue) and 5.2, Net Power Costs Pro-
14		Forma. I also introduce a new adjustment not addressed by the Company, 4.9,
15		related to a Combined Cycle Plant Start Up O&M Pro-Forma adjustment.
16	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE EXHIBIT NO(RJF-11).
17	A.	This exhibit presents the composite effect of the three adjustments referenced
18		above in the format prescribed by WAC § 480-07-510, as was explained to me at
19		the Second Prehearing Conference. It shows the development of the test year
20		revenue requirement based on my adjustments starting from the Company's per-
21		books and restating adjustments, as required. Next the Company Pro-Forma
22		adjustment is shown, and finally my 3 composite adjustments: 3.5.1, 4.9 and

5.2.1-5.2.21. Note that I have no ratebase adjustment in this testimony. Nor do I

contest the Company's actual cost or restating adjustments.

23

24

- 1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE EXHIBIT NO. ___(RJF-12).
- 2 A. This exhibit provides a breakdown of my adjustments to 5.2, Net Power Costs
- 3 Pro-Forma, in the format prescribed by WAC § 480-07-510.
- 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DIFFERNCE BETWEEN TABLE 1 AND 5 EXHIBIT NO. __(RJF-11) AND EXHIBIT NO. __(RJF-12).
- 6 A. In Table 1 of my previously filed testimony, the impact on expenses or revenue of
- 7 my proposed adjustments is presented. In Exhibit No. ___(RJF-11) and Exhibit
- 8 No. ___(RJF-12), the revenue requirements impact including taxes to these
- 9 adjustments is presented. I have revised Table 1 and included it with this
- supplemental testimony so that it shows each adjustment based on the numbering
- 11 convention applied in Exhibit No. ___(RBD-3), as was suggested at the
- November 17, 2010 Second Prehearing Conference.
- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN TABLE 1 REVISED.
- 14 A. There are additions to the information provided earlier. The adjustment
- numbering system is as follows. Adjustments 1-21 are all net power cost
- adjustments that should be combined with Adjustment 5.2 proposed by the
- 17 Company. Thus, I identify these adjustments as 5.2.1 to 5.2.21. For example,
- Adjustment 1 from my original direct testimony is listed as Adjustment 5.2.1.
- 19 The new numbering is shaded in Table 1 Revised. Adjustment 4.9 corresponds to
- 20 my original Adjustment 22, while Adjustment 3.5.1 corresponds to my original
- 21 adjustment 23. Adjustment 3.5.1 should be used in addition to Company
- Adjustment 3.5. (I don't dispute that per-books Green Tag Revenues should be
- removed, but propose they be replaced with Pro-Forma Green Tag Revenues.)

Table 1
Summary of Recommended Adjustments - Revised
Total

		West Control Area		Jurisdiction
			CAEW	22.27%
			CAGW	22.09%
I GRID (Net V	ariable Power Cost Issues)			
i. Onib (itel vi	PacifiCorp Request NPC	569,914,100.82		128,870,625.00
A. GRID Sales	• •	000,014,100.02		120,010,020.00
5.2.1	1 Added Sales Margins	(2,641,596.41)	1	(585,874.38)
	mitment Logic Error and Start Up Costs	(=,0.1,0001.1)		(000,01 1100)
5.2.2	2 Commitment Logic Screens	(4,388,595.37))	(973,337.79)
C. Long Term	Contract Modling	,		,
5.2.3	3 East Market Sale - Corrections and Expansion	(1,015,601.10))	(225,248.14)
5.2.4	4 East Market Sale - Reliability Benefits	(1,249,212.07)		(277,060.25)
5.2.5	5 SCL Stateline Termination /Renegotiation	(3,958,799.80)		(878,014.29)
5.2.6	6 SMUD Contract Delivery Pattern	(2,067,393.41)		(458,523.05)
D. Transmissio	•	,		
5.2.7	7 Colstrip East Trans. Cost	(206,009.85))	(45,690.51)
5.2.8	8 PACE Trans. Cost	(1,641,156.00)		(363,988.71)
5.2.9	9 DC Intertie Costs	(4,766,400.00)		(1,057,130.32)
5.2.10	10 NF Trans	(719,499.83)		(159,576.43)
E. Wind Integra	ation Adjustments	,		,
5.2.11	11 Model Wind Intra Hour Wind Integration Cost in GRID	(563,211.12))	(124,913.47)
5.2.12	12 Non-Owned Inter Hour Wind	(1,428,815.49)		(316,894.13)
5.2.13	13 Non-SCL Stateline Intra Hour Wind Integration	(289,015.53))	(64,100.18)
5.2.14	14 Oregon Wind Farm Intra Hour Wind Integration	(833,682.70))	(184,900.82)
5.2.15	15 Cambell Wind Farm Intra Hour Wind Integration	(1,161,496.55))	(257,606.00)
F. Outage Mod	eling and Other NPC Adjustments			
5.2.16	16 Planned Outage Schedule	(1,937,493.53))	(429,712.82)
5.2.17	17 Colstrip Outage	(1,697,532.79))	(376,492.40)
5.2.18	18 JBFuel Adjustments	(2,935,047.00))	(650,958.20)
5.2.19	19 Minimum Loading and Deration Adj.	(1,352,178.81))	(299,897.03)
5.2.20	20 Forward Price Curve Update	(3,457,535.40)		(766,839.86)
5.2.21	21 Balancing Adjustment -est.	1,000,000.00		221,788.00
Subtotal NPC I	Baseline Adjustments -	(37,310,272.75))	(8,274,970.77)
	•			
Allowed - Fina	I GRID Result	532,603,828.07		120,595,654.23
G. Other Adjus	stments			
4.9	22 Combined Cycle O&M Adjustment	(2,530,000.00))	(561,123.64)
3.5.1	23 Renewable Energy Credit Revenue	-,,		(4,870,266.34)
Total Adjustme		(20.940.272)		(13,706,360.75)
Total Adjustments (39,840,273) (13,706,360.75			(13,700,300.75)	

Est. WA

1 2 3	Q.	DOES THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY INCLUDE A SECTION EXPLAINING THE REASON, THEORY AND CALCULATION OF EACH PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT
4	A.	No. Each adjustment is discussed in my previously filed testimony and includes a
5		description of the adjustment, the reasons for it, and how it was calculated. I do
6		not believe that any of my adjustments differs specifically from established
7		WUTC precedent, but if the Commission were to believe otherwise, the departure
8		from precedent is simply the value of the adjustment as shown in Exhibit No.
9		(RJF-11) and Exhibit No(RJF-12).
10 11	Q.	HAVE YOU PROVIDED ADDITIONAL WORKPAPERS WITH YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
12	A.	Yes. Supplemental workpapers are provided to the parties which provide
13		additional support for the information presented herein.
14 15	Q.	DOES THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY SUBSTANTIVELY CHANGE YOUR PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY?
16	A.	No. I am, however, filing errata corrections simultaneously with this
17		supplemental testimony. These are minor corrections pursuant to WAC § 480-07-
18		460 that change typographical errors discovered subsequent to filing my
19		testimony.
20	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?

21 **A.** Yes.