EXHIBIT NO. ___(JKP-14T)
DOCKET NO. UE-072300/UG-072301
2007 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JANET K, PHELPS

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

Docket No. UE-072300 Docket No. UG-072301

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL
DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF
JANET K. PHELPS
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

APRIL 11, 2008

7

6

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL
DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF
JANET K. PHELPS

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Are you the same Janet K. Phelps who provided prefiled direct testimony in this Docket on behalf of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE" or "the Company")?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What topics are you covering in your supplemental direct testimony?
- A. My supplemental direct testimony describes 1) changes to pro forma revenue that are related to the updated gas revenue requirement presented in the prefiled supplemental direct testimony of Karl R. Karzmar, and 2) how PSE has spread the updated revenue requirement to customer classes.
 - II. UPDATED PRO FORMA REVENUE AND RATE SPREAD
- Q. What changes were made to pro forma revenue?

- A. Pro forma revenue at existing rates includes an adjustment to Schedule 101 gas revenues to reflect the new revenue adjustment factor proposed in the revenue requirement. The revenue adjustment factor adjusts gas rates to include revenue to cover revenue sensitive items. Because the conversion factor in the updated revenue requirement changed from the originally proposed level, the revenue adjustment factor also changed, requiring an update to pro forma revenue. This change in the conversion factor was caused by an adjustment to bad debt expense as discussed in Mr. Karzmar's prefiled supplemental direct testimony. The updated pro forma revenue, which is presented as Exhibit No.___(JKP-15), is \$1,068,232,081 as compared to \$1,068,194,798 in the original filing.
- Q. What effect does the updated revenue requirement have on the average rate increase of all gas schedules?
- A. The updated revenue requirement raises the average rate increase over updated pro forma revenues of all gas schedules from 5.31 percent to 5.44 percent.

 Proposed increases by rate schedule, as originally filed and as updated, are presented in the following table:

¹Including gas costs except Schedule 57

Q. How were these updates to the average rate increases calculated?

A. The additional rate increase was spread to rate classes on the same basis as the originally proposed increase. This analysis is presented in Exhibit No.___(JKP-16). In the original filing, each class was assigned a percent of average increase based on that class's parity ratio, and that percent determined the size of the increase to the class. The additional \$1,295,133 increase shown in column K of Exhibit No.___(JKP-16) was assigned to classes in proportion to the original increase shown in column I.

Q. Is the Company calculating rates and filing revised tariff sheets to reflect these adjustments?

Prefiled Supplemental Direct Testimony (Nonconfidential) of Janet K. Phelps Exhibit No. ___(JKP-14T) Page 3 of 4

1

2

3

45

67

8

10

11