BEFORE THE

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WALLA WALLA COUNTRY CLUB,)
Complainant,)) DOCKET UE-143932
v.)
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,)))
Respondent.)))

EXHIBIT NO.___(DJM-3C)

REDACTED PACIFIC POWER RESPONSES TO CLUB DATA REQUESTS

June 24, 2015

Please refer to the Company's Response to DR 022 and Responses Exh. G. Is the policy included in Exh. G the same policy that was in effect when Pacific Power provided its July 2012 and January 2013 estimates to the Club? If not, please provide a copy of any different policy in effect during the time that either estimate was provided.

RESPONSE: No. Pacific Power did not have a written internal policy in 2012 or 2013.

RESPONSES TO COMPLAINANT'S THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS - 5

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 Telephone: 206.622.1711

26

Please refer to Answer ¶ 13. Please provide explanation and support for the following statement: "Pacific Power understands that Complainant and Columbia Rural Electric Association seek to use facilities owned by Pacific Power."

RESPONSE: Generally, customers are required to install conduits and vaults. When Pacific Power installs the wire, transformers and meter it takes over ownership of the conduits and vaults. Thus, Pacific Power has ownership of the conduits and vaults on Complainant's property. On January 7, 2013, Bill Clemens communicated with the Complainant's manager Jeff Thomas. Mr. Thomas informed Mr. Clemens that CREA was planning on using existing conduit. See documents attached as Exhibit F.

In regard to Rule 6, Section I of the Pacific Power's Net Removal Tariff, please provide a narrative response explaining the Company's policy and/or position as to when the Company is required to remove facilities in conjunction with a permanent disconnection.

RESPONSE: Please see the requested policy which is attached as Exhibit G.

Please refer to Answer ¶ 22 and Complaint ¶ 22. Please provide: a) a narrative response explaining the Company's policy and/or position that supports Pacific Power's denial that "Rule 6 does not allow the Company to require facility removal when safety and operational reasons do not make removal necessary"; and b) any studies or documents containing Pacific Power's policy, as referenced in the answer to part a).

<u>RESPONSE</u>: The denial in Paragraph 22 of the Answer simply communicates Pacific Power's understanding that safety and operational reasons exist which require removal of the subject facilities. In paragraph 22 of its Complaint, the Walla Walla Country Club alleged to the contrary.

O24 Please refer to Complaint Exh. H. Does the Company agree that, on May 31, 2013, Pacific Power offered to sell conduit and vaults to the Club for \$66,718, in lieu of removal? If not, please explain.

RESPONSE: Pacific Power avers that Exhibit H to the Complaint speaks for itself. Accordingly, Pacific Power agrees the offer was made and rejected.

RESPONSES TO COMPLAINANT'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS - 7

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law U.S. Bank Centre 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 95101-4010 Telephone: 206.622-1711 Pages 3-4 of Exhibit No.___(DJM-3C) are confidential pursuant to WAC 480-07-160 and have been redacted in their entirety.