Exhibit No. ___ (TES-1T)
Docket UE-100749
Witness: Thomas E. Schooley

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET UE-100749

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP D/B/A PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,

Respondent.

TESTIMONY OF

Thomas E. Schooley

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Working Capital, Cost-of-Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design, and Low Income Bill Assistance Program

October 5, 2010

1	Q.	Please list the adjustments you made to PacifiCorp's test year results to
2		implement the results of your investor-supplied working capital analysis.
3	A.	I made the following four adjustments to PacifiCorp's test-year results of operations:
4		• Adjustment 8.1, Cash Working Capital: This adjustment removes
5		PacifiCorp's one-eighth method working capital calculation of \$11,145,151
6		\$11,105,103 (Washington) from rate base, plus the residual cash working
7		capital from the Company's data, leaving a zero balance for working capital.
8		• Adjustment 8.2, Jim Bridger Mine Rate Base: This adjustment removes
9		from rate base \$4,039,570 (Washington) of materials & supplies, and pit
10		inventory (fuel stock) related to the Jim Bridger Mine. See Exhibit No. RBD-
11		3, Tab 8, page-8.2.1 <u>8.2 revised 11/23/10</u> .
12		• Adjustment 8.12, Remove Current Assets: This adjustment removes from
13		rate base \$3,524,551 (Washington) from FERC Account 151 (Fuel Stock),
14		and \$7,775,703 (Washington) from FERC Account 154 (plant materials and
15		operating supplies), leaving a zero balance for both accounts.
16	l	• Adjustment 9.1.1, Production Factor Adjustment: The reduction to rate
17		base in Adjustment 8.2 (my second adjustment above) is carried forward to
18		Adjustment 9.1.1. The effect is a small increase to rate base of \$7,143 \(\frac{\$7,141}{2} \)
19		(Washington).
20		The net effect of these adjustments is to remove each PacifiCorp working
21		capital adjustment in this case, because Staff's analysis shows investors have not
22		supplied working capital to PacifiCorp, and therefore the Commission should not
23		include any working capital amounts in rate base to earn a return for investors

I		5. Response to PacifiCorp on Working Capital
2		
3	Q.	What does PacifiCorp propose for a working capital in this case?
4	A.	The Company proposes to include in rate base a total of \$22,405,357 related to
5		working capital. This amount comes from three different sources:
6		• PacifiCorp uses the "one-eighth" method to derive \$11,145,151 \(\frac{\$11,105,103}{} \)
7		in cash working capital. The Company's calculation is in Exhibit No
8		(RBD-3), Tab-1_8, page-1.0, line 41_8.1, revised 11/23/10.
9		• PacifiCorp directly includes in rate base \$3,524,551 \$5,554,908 worth of fuel
10		stock (Exhibit No (RBD-3), Tab 1, page 1.0, line 39, col. 3.).
11		• PacifiCorp directly includes in rate base \$7,775,703 \$9,777,775 worth of
12		plant materials and operating supplies (materials & supplies) (Exhibit No.
13		(RBD-3), Tab 1, page 1.0, line 40, col. 3.).
14	,	The figures for each of these three items are shown in Company witness Mr.
15		Dalley's Exhibit No (RBD-3), Tab 2, revised 11/23/10, page 2.2, lines 42-44.2
16		
17	Q.	Should the Commission include any of these amounts in rate base?
18	A.	No.
19		
20	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should reject the Company's proposal to
21		include \$11,105,103 in rate base, based on the Company's use of the one-eighth
22		method.

² There is an unexplained \$40,048 discrepancy between Exhibit No. ____(RBD-3), Tab 1, page 1.0, line 41 and Exhibit No. ____(RBD-3), Tab 2, page 2.2, line 44. This same discrepancy exists in Miscellaneous Rate Base.

1	A.	The Company's one-eighth method is a simple calculation, but it suffers by its
2		simplicity because it fails to demonstrate that the working capital it derives is
3		provided by investors. Because investors are only allowed a return on the capital
4		they have provided the company, the Company needs to demonstrate that investors
5	,	supplied this capital. The Company's one-eighth method fails to demonstrate that.
6		The one-eighth method simply takes total operations and maintenance
7		expenses and divides it by eight. As a result, the one-eighth method will always
8		result in a positive working capital allowance, regardless whether investors supply
9		working capital to the firm. In other words, the one-eighth method assumes
10		investors supply working capital, without proving that assumption. That is not
11		appropriate.
12		
13	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should reject the Company's proposal to
14		include in rate base \$3,524,551 <u>\$5,554,908</u> worth of fuel stock and \$7,775,703
15		\$9,777,775 worth of materials and supplies.
16	A.	These accounts are current assets, as shown in the Company's FERC Form 1
17		adjusted results in RBD-3, tab 2, page 2.2, lines 42-43. As such, these items should
18	'	only be included in working capital to the extent investor's supply that capital.
19		These items should not be automatically included as line item rate base accounts, as
20		PacifiCorp presents them.
21		

1		Commission should reject the one-eighth method offered by PacifiCorp, and also
2		remove the current asset accounts fuel stock, and materials & supplies from the
3	·	results of operations, including the current asset accounts from Adjustment 8.2, Jim
4		Bridger Mine. This results in a reduction to rate base of \$26,484,975 \$26,444,927
5	l	(Washington). The production factor adjustment is also revised to reflect the change
6		in Adjustment 8.2, for an increase to rate base of \$7,143 \(\frac{\$7,141}{2}\) (Washington).
7		
8		IV. REVENUE ALLOCATION
9		
10	Q.	What is revenue allocation?
11	A.	Revenue allocation, also known as rate spread, is the process of determining the
12		portion of total revenues to be collected from each rate schedule.
13		
14	Q.	Please contrast revenue allocation with rate design.
15	A	Rate design takes the total revenue allocated to each rate schedule (the revenue
16		allocation) and determines the specific charges within the schedule, such as the basic
17		charge per month, the demand charge per kilowatt, and the exact cents per kilowatt-
18		hour.
19		
20	Q.	What is the basic principle behind allocating revenues to the rate schedules?
21	A.	The basic principle is cost causation: customers should be charged for service based
22		on the costs they impose on the total system. The premise of cost causation is
23		present in many aspects of determining rates in a price-regulated industry.