Exhibit No. ___ (KHB-1T)
Docket UE-100749

Witness: Kathryn H. Breda

DOCKET UE-100749

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP D/B/A PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,

Respondent.

TESTIMONY OF

Kathryn H. Breda

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Federal Tax Issues

October 5, 2010 Revised October 8, 2010 Revised December 6, 2010

1		adjustment to remove flow-through tax recognition for the current
2		year and adjusted deferred income taxes to reflect flow-through
3		treatment.
4		b. Staff Adjustment 8.11, Repairs Deduction. This restating adjustment
5		reflects the restatement of the Repairs Deduction, recorded in
6		September 2009, reflecting an entire year effect of the 2008 federal
7		income tax return adjustment.
8		
9	Q.	Please identify the revenue requirement impacts of your recommendations.
10	A.	My removal of Company Adjustment 7.9, Current Year Deferred Income Tax
11		removes the current year impact of the Company's proposal to adopt normalization
12		starting January 1, 2011, and reflects deferred taxes on a flow-through basis
13		consistent with what has been authorized by the Commission. This adjustment
14		increases net operating income by \$525,562 \$323,865, decreases, increases the net
15		rate base by \$262,781 \$5,401,575, and decreases overall revenue requirement by
16		\$816,135_\$1, 986,754. These are Washington figures. My Exhibit No (KHB-2)
17		contains these figures.
18		My Adjustment 8.11, Repairs Deduction, includes the annual effect of the
19		repairs deduction in Accumulated Deferred Income Tax. This restating adjustment
20		decreases Net Rate Base by \$14,463,670 and decreases overall revenue requirement
21		by \$1,745,310. These are Washington figures. My Exhibit No (KHB-3)
22		contains the calculation of these figures.
23		

1		all companies. This change would require full analysis on a case-by-case
2		basis in order to fully understand the magnitude involving to all companies
3	·	and their ratepayers.
4		
5	Q.	Please explain Staff's adjustment to remove the Company's Adjustment 7.9,
6		Current Year Deferred Income Tax Normalization.
7	A.	As I have explained, the Company's Adjustment 7.9 removes the effects of flow-
8		through for the year 2009. Staff's Adjustment 7.9 simply reverses the Company's
9		adjustment consistent with and reflects flow-through treatment, consistent with what
10		has been authorized by the Commission. and It is Staff's position that the
11	1	Commission should deny the Company's request to adopt full normalization
12		beginning January 1, 2011.
13		Staff's adjustment increases net operating income by \$525,562 \$323,865,
14		increases decreases the net rate base by \$262,718 \$5,401,575, and decreases overall
15		revenue requirement by \$816,135 \$1,986,754. These are all Washington figures.
16		
17	,	B. Allow Normalization of the Repairs Deduction
18		
19	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should allow normalization of the
20		unprotected portion of the Repairs Deduction.
21	A.	The Commission should allow normalization of the unprotected portion of the
22		repairs deduction for the following reasons, with the following test year effect: