## Wyse, Lisa (UTC)

From: Williams, James F. (Perkins Coie) [JWilliams@perkinscoie.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2007 10:40 AM

To: Mace, Theodora (UTC)

Cc: Beane, Amanda J. (Perkins Coie); pattw@foster.com; Cedarbaum, Bob (UTC)

Subject: RE: City of Tumwater/PSE Litigation at WUTC - Extension of Time to File Potential Petition for

Review of ALJ Initial Decision

## Dear Judge Mace:

PSE is requesting an extension of time to potentially file a petition for administrative review of the Initial Order in this matter. As the email string below indicates, counsel for the parties have been discussing a stipulated extension since Tuesday of this week. Mr. Cederbaum previously confirmed that the Staff does not object to a 60 day extension if the City does not object. This morning, counsel for the City of Tumwater confirmed that City does not object to extending by 60 days the time allowed to file a potential petition for administrative review.

As we understand the timing, the current due date for a petition for administrative review is June 14th. Assuming that you and the WUTC approve of the 60 day extension, the new deadline for filing a petition would be August 16, 2007. At this point, we are prepared to submit a draft stipulated order for your or the WUTC's approval but we seek your guidance on how you would like us to proceed.

From: Williams, James F. (Perkins Coie) Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2007 8:41 AM

To: 'Cedarbaum, Bob (UTC)'

Cc: Beane, Amanda J. (Perkins Coie); pattw@foster.com; Schleh, Cathi (Perkins Coie)

Subject: RE: City of Tumwater/PSE Litigation at WUTC - Extension of Time to File Potential Petition for Review

of ALJ Initial Decision

Ok. Thanks.

Will:

Please let us know what you find out from the City as soon as you can. Should the City agree, I would like to submit a proposed stipulated order extending the petition deadline for Judge Mace's consideration by the end of the week.

From: Cedarbaum, Bob (UTC) [mailto:BCedarba@utc.wa.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 06, 2007 8:38 AM **To:** Williams, James F. (Perkins Coie)

Cc: Beane, Amanda J. (Perkins Coie); pattw@foster.com; Schleh, Cathi (Perkins Coie)

**Subject:** RE: City of Tumwater/PSE Litigation at WUTC - Extension of Time to File Potential Petition for Review of ALJ Initial Decision

If Tumwater does not object, neither does Staff, either for a 45 or 60 day extension for a petition for administrative review.

Bob Cedarbaum Assistant Attorney General Phone: 360-664-1188 City of Tumwater/PSE Litigation at WUTC - Extension of Time to File Potential Petition ... Page 2 of 2

E-mail: bcedarba@utc.wa.gov

**From:** Williams, James F. (Perkins Coie) [mailto:JWilliams@perkinscoie.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2007 8:36 AM

**To:** Cedarbaum, Bob (UTC)

Cc: Beane, Amanda J. (Perkins Coie); pattw@foster.com; Schleh, Cathi (Perkins Coie)

Subject: City of Tumwater/PSE Litigation at WUTC - Extension of Time to File Potential Petition for Review of ALJ

Initial Decision

## Dear Bob:

This is a follow up to the voicemail message I left for you yesterday afternoon. PSE would like to know whether Staff would object to an extension of the June 14 deadline by which to file a potential petition for review of the ALJ's Initial Decision.

After speaking with Will yesterday, the original proposal of 45 days in my voicemail will not work because Will has vacation scheduled around the end of July. While he does not know whether the City of Tumwater would object to an extension, it sounds like an extension of 60 days from June 14th would work best for me and for Will. That would require PSE to file a petition by August 16.

Please let me know whether an extension of the petition filing deadline to August 16, 2007, would be acceptable.

Best regards,

James

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.