End of Workshop #2 Industry Check-in

	Issues	Industry Comments
Rate/Fares Methodologies		
1.	Establish a banded rate methodology. (As proposed, this option would remove the need for fuel surcharge filings).	The only proposal that makes sense. PRIORITY: EXTREAMLY HIGH
2.	Establish a return on investment methodology.	Not practical, too complex :PRIORITY low
3.	Maintain status quo – entry and ratemaking methodology (Operating Ratio 93).	Not an option, if accepted then 4 years of industry and WUTC(taxpayer) time wasted PRIORITY ZERO
4.	Develop alternative approaches within the operating ratio method using Lurito/Gallagher and Kosh turnover ratio methodology. Rate base rate of return.	Complicating an outdated inappropriate method with strategies not applied to the transportation industry. PRIORITY: NOT TO BE CONSIDERED Not an option PRIORITY ZERO
Proposals to change current UTC procedures		
6.	Create a pass-through process for fuel expenses with true up. Allow carriers to file promotional rates on one-day	Under banded method not necessary. PRIORITY MED Necessary in a changing market place. PRIORITY HIGH
7.	notice. Valid for 90 days.	Operations
8.	Maintain strict entry standards.	Not this CR-101 Priority ZERO
9.	Create rules outlining ratemaking methodology.	The most important issue: PRIORITY EXTREAMLY HIGH
10.	Increase enforcement against illegal carriers.	Should have been done all along. PRIORITY HIGH
11.	Additional emphasis on safety standards and service quality.	Safety is first but this is not the place to adjust the rules, see previous CR-102 PRIORITY LOW
Legislation		
12.	Propose legislation to eliminate entry and rate regulation, but maintain registration, safety standards and insurance.	Not a consideration of this review. Not one operator is in favor of this item. RCW 81 is being reviewed under a different docket number. PRIORITY ZERO
Other issues for consideration		
	Staff to listen to operators	Give credence to operator's suggestions not just dismiss them. PRIORITY HIGH