## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Joint Application of	Docket No. UT-100820
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS ) INTERNATIONAL INC. AND ) CENTURYTEL, INC. )	SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO CHALLENGE CLASSIFICATION OF CENTURYLINK RESPONSE TO SPRINT DATA
For Approval of Indirect Transfer of control of ) Qwest Corporation, Qwest Communications )	REQUEST NO. 42
Company LLC, and Qwest LD Corp.	

TO:

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

AND TO:

ALL PARTIES ON THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE LIST

## I. INTRODUCTION

On September 10, 2010, this Commission issued Order 09 Granting in Part and Denying in Part Sprint Nextel Corporation's ("Sprint") Motion to Compel Joint Applicants to Respond to Data Requests. Paragraph 28 of Order 09 required CenturyLink "to provide to Sprint the revenues associated with the Ethernet services it provides to customers within Qwest's ILEC service territory in Washington." On Thursday, September 16, 2010, CenturyLink provided a supplemental response but designated the critical revenue information as "highly confidential." The consequence of this designation is to prohibit Sprint's in-house counsel

and expert from seeing this information, which renders it useless for purposes of analysis or testimony.

Sprint's counsel contacted counsel for Qwest and CenturyLink and asked that this number be re-designated as confidential thereby allowing Sprint to actually use this number for purposes of its analysis and testimony. CenturyLink's counsel refused to reclassify the number as requested on September 21, 2010. Therefore, Sprint was forced to bring the instant motion.

## II. ARGUMENT

- Paragraph 20 of Order 01 in this docket allows Sprint to challenge CenturyLink's classification of the provided number as highly confidential. CenturyLink bears the burden of proving that such a designation is necessary. CenturyLink cannot meet the standard of Order 01 which allows the highly confidential classification only for information for which there is "a highly significant risk of competitive harm to CenturyLink or third parties." Paragraph 12 of Order 01 admonishes the parties to carefully scrutinize provided information and to restrict use of the highly confidential designation only to information that might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without heightened protections.
- 4 CenturyLink cannot meet this standard. It contends that service-specific revenue information merits highly confidential treatment, but it fails to explain why it would suffer competitive harm if the information is only subject to confidential protection.
- When Qwest responded to Sprint DR No. 41(virtually the same as DR No. 42 directed to CenturyLink) it initially designated its response as "highly confidential." Thereafter, however, Qwest re-designated it as confidential and provided supplemental responses.

SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO CHALLENGE CLASSIFICATION OF CENTURYLINK RESPONSE TO SPRINT DATA REQUEST 42 (UT-100820) 2

If Qwest could re-designate the same response from highly confidential to confidential, there is no reason why CenturyLink cannot also do so in its response to the same request. If CenturyLink is allowed to maintain the highly confidential classification, for all practical purposes this Commission's ruling in Order 09 regarding Sprint DR No. 42 will be worthless because Sprint's in-house counsel and experts cannot see the response, which was the product of Sprint's Motion to Compel. Unless this number is re-designated as confidential, Sprint will affectively be depicted due process because it correct use the information rule is found to

effectively be denied due process because it cannot use the information which it fought to

obtain. A sweeping statement that service-specific revenue information merits highly

confidential does not meet the high standard for using the most restrictive category of

information.

7 CenturyLink cannot prove that it will suffer competitive harm if Sprint uses the number it

provided subject to the confidential protections available in this case. Therefore, Sprint

respectfully requests the Commission to require CenturyLink to re-designate its supplemental

response to Sprint Data Request No. 42 as confidential. Sprint also requests a ruling on this

request on an expedited basis because of the impending testimony submission deadline.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2010.

GRAHAM & DUNN PC

Julith A. Endejan, WSBA # 11016

2801 Alaskan Way ~ Suite 300

Seattle, WA 98121

Tel: (206) 624-8300/Fax: (206) 340-9599

Email: jendejan@grahamdunn.com

Kristin L. Jacobson 201 Mission Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (707) 816-7583

Email: Kristin.l.jacobson@sprint.com

Kenneth Schifman Diane Browning 6450 Sprint Parkway Overland, KS 66251 Tel: (913) 315-9783

Email: Kenneth.schifman@sprint.com Diane.c.browning@sprint.com

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. UT-100820

I hereby certify that on September 22, 2010, the original and 12 copies of Sprint Nextel Corporation's Motion to Challenge Classification of CenturyLink Response to Sprint Data Request No. 42 were sent by email and Federal Express to:

David S. Danner
Secretary and Executive Director
c/o Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
Records Department
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

I hereby certify that I have this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2010, served a true and correct copy of Sprint Nextel Corporation's Motion to Challenge Classification upon the parties of record, via E-mail and U.S. Mail as follows:

Arthur A. Butler Ater Wynne LLP

601 Union Street, Suite 1501 Seattle, WA 98101-3981 Tel: 206.623.4711

Tax: 206.467.8406

Email: aab@aterwynne.com

On behalf of Level 3 Communications, LLC

**Greg Rogers** 

Senior Corporate Counsel Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 El Dorado Boulevard Bloomfield, CO 80021-8869

Tel: 720.888.2512 Fax: 720.888.5134

Email: greg.rogers@level3.com

On behalf of Level 3 Communications, LLC

Kristin L. Jacobson	Kenneth Schifman
201 Mission Street, Suite 1500	Diane Browning
San Francisco, CA 94105	6450 Sprint Parkway
Tel: 707.816.7583	Overland, KS 66251
Email: Kristin.1.jacobson@sprint.com	Tel: 913.315.9783
	Tel: 913.315.9284
On behalf of Sprint Nextel Corporation	Email: Kenneth.schifman@sprint.com
	Diane.c.browning@sprint.com
	On behalf of Sprint Nextel Corporation
	C' L CC. I
Lisa A. Anderl	Simon J. ffitch
Qwest Corporation	Attorney General of Washington
Room 3206 1600 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Public Counsel Section Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98181	800 Fifth Avenue
Fax: 206.343.4040	Seattle, WA 98104-3188
Email: <u>lisa.anderl@qwest.com</u>	Fax: 206.389.2079
O 1-1-16-6 O	Email: simonf@atg.wa.gov
On behalf of Qwest	On behalf of Public Counsel
Stephen S. Melnikoff	Katherine K. Mudge
U.S. Army Litigation Division	Director, State Affairs & ILEC Relations
Regulatory Law Office	Covad Communications Company
901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 700	7000 N. Mopac Expressway, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1837	Austin, TX 78731
Fax: 703.696.2960	Fax: 512.514.6520
Email: Stephen.melnikoff@hqda.army.mil	Email: kmudge@covad.com
	The second secon
On behalf of Department of Defense and All Other	On behalf of Covad Communications Company
Federal Executive Agencies	
Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski	Michele Singer-Nelson
State of Washington	Penny Stanley
1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW	360networks (USA) inc.
P.O. Box 40128	370 Interlocken Boulevard, Suite 600
Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Broomfield, CO 80021
Email: jcameron@utc.wa.gov	Email: Mnelson@360.net
	Penny.stanley@360.net
On behalf of Commission Staff	
	On behalf of 360networks

Calvin Simshaw	Marguerite E. Friedlander
CenturyLink	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
805 Broadway	P.O. Box 47250
Vancouver, WA 98660	Olympia, WA 98504-7250
Email: calvin.simshaw@centurylinkl.com	Olympia, WA 96504-7250
Eman. Carvin.sinishaw@centuryimkr.com	
On behalf of CenturyLink	
Gregory J. Kopta	Karen L. Clauson
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP	Integra Telecom, Inc.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200	6160 Golden Hills Drive
Seattle, WA 98101-3045	Golden Valley, MN 55416
Fax: 206.757.7700	Fax: 763.745.8459
Email: gregkopta@dwt.com	Email: klclauson@integratelecom.com
Brognopta@aw.com	Zinan. Motation@integrationcom
On behalf of Covad, XO, twtelecom Integra	On Behalf of Integra
Rex Knowles	William Haas
XO Communications Services, Inc.	Vice President Regulatory and Public Policy
13865 Sunrise Valley Drive	PAETEC Communications, Inc.
Herndon, VA 20171	1 Martha's Way
Fax: 801.983.1667	Cedar Rapids, IA 52233
Email: rexknowles@xo.com	Email: William.haas@paetec.com
<u>10.110.0 //10.00</u>	
On behalf of XO	On behalf of PAETEC
Lyndall Nipps	James C. Falvey
Tw telecom	Senior Regulatory Counsel
845 Camino Sur	Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.
Palm Springs, CA 92262-4157	420 Chinquapin Round Road
Email: lyndall.nipps@twtelecom.com	Suite 2-I
	Annapolis, MD 21401
On behalf of twetelecom	Email: jfalvey@pacwest.com
	On behalf of Pac-West
Gregory Merz	K.C. Halm
Gray, Plant, Mooty, Mooty & Bennett, P.A.	Davis Wright Tremaine LP
500 IDS Center	1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
80 South Eight Street	Washington, DC 20006
Minneapolis, MN 55402	Email: kchalm@dwt.com
Fax: 612. 632.4257	Linan. Kenaniwawi.com
Email: Gregory.merz@gpmlaw.com	On behalf of Charter
Dian. Gregory.merz@gpman.com	On Schule Of Charles
On behalf of Integra	

Michael R. Moore
Charter Communications
12405 Powerscourt Drive
St. Louis, MI 63131

Email: Michael.moore@chartercom.com

On behalf of Charter Fiberlink

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2010 at Seattle, Washington,

Darlyne De Mars

Assistant to Judith A. Endejan