PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: September 13, 2022

TIME: 8:41 AM

WSR 22-19-024

Agency: Utilities and Transportation Commission								
□ Original Notice								
⊠ Supplemental Notice to WSR <u>22-07-071</u>								
□ Continuance of WSR								
□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 20-15-127; or								
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW .								
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Rulemaking to implement HB 1841, Chapter 170, Laws of 2020, which pertains to the establishment of minimum crew size on certain trains. This rulemaking is recorded as Docket TR-200536 at the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC).								
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:					
November 22, 2022	1:30 p.m	Zoom: https://utc-wa- gov.zoom.us/j/89372464039?p wd=Vmw1R2pLREZwd1pNM2N KSktEV3dHZz09	Public hearing to consider adoption of the proposed rule.					
Date of intended adop	ption: Nove	mber 22, 2022 (Note: This is NOT	the effective date)					
Submit written comments to:								
Name: Amanda Maxwe	ell, Executive	e Director and Secretary						
Address: P.O. Box 472	250, Olympia	a, WA 98504-7250						
Email: records@utc.wa.gov								
Fax:								
Other: (360) 664-1160								
By (date) November 7, 2022								
Assistance for persons with disabilities:								
Contact <u>Human Resources</u>								
Phone: (360) 664-1160								
Fax:								
TTY: (360) 586-8203								
Email: human_resource	es@utc.wa.	gov						
Other:								
By (date) November 7, 2022								
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: In 2020, the Legislature enacted the Laws of 2020, chapter 170, which provided the UTC with revised regulatory authority over train crew size. The legislation also added new sections to and repeals specific sections of chapter 81.40 RCW, necessitating a rulemaking to								

define Class I railroads, provide automatic waivers, and establish a process for UTC-ordered crew size increases.

with revised regulestablishing rules	atory authority over train cre for the UTC to apply specif	E Legislature enacted the Laws of 2020, chapter 17 ew size. This rulemaking enables the UTC to impleic definitions in the law, require minimum crew size order crew size increases when necessary, and a	ement the new law, es on certain trains, grant
81.40.150(1) state 81.40.025(4)(a) s	es that the UTC's "paramou tates that the UTC "may ord	01.040; RCW 81.01.010, RCW 81.04.160, chapter ont obligation" is the furtherance of safety in railroader railroad carriers to increase the number of railroad employees, or the environment"	d transportation. RCW
	plemented: RCW 81.40.00		
Is rule necessary	y because of a:		
Federal La	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Federal Co	☐ Yes ☒ No		
State Cour	□ Yes ⊠ No		
If yes, CITATION			
Agency commer matters:	nts or recommendations, i	f any, as to statutory language, implementation	n, enforcement, and fiscal
matters.			
Name of propon	ent: (person or organization) Utilities and Transportation Commission	 □ Private
		,	□ Public
Name of agency	personnel responsible fo	r:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Betty Young	621 Woodland Square Loop SE Lacey, Washington 98503	(360) 292-5470
Implementation:	Amanda Maxwell	621 Woodland Square Loop SE Lacey, Washington 98503	(360) 664-1110
Enforcement:	Amanda Maxwell	621 Woodland Square Loop SE,	(360) 664-1110
Is a school distri	ict fiscal impact statemen	Lacey, Washington 98503 t required under RCW 28A.305.135?	☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, insert state	-	required under NOW 20/1000.100.	_ 103 Z NO
The public ma	y obtain a copy of the school	ol district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
Name:			
Address	S:		
Phone:			
Fax: TTY:			
Email:			
Other:			
Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under F	CW 34.05.328?	
☐ Yes: A pro	eliminary cost-benefit analys	sis may be obtained by contacting:	
Name:			
Address	S:		
Phone:			
Fax: TTY:			
Email:			
Other:			
	se explain: RCW 34.05.328	B does not apply to the Washington Utilities and Tr	ransportation Commission as it
	ne listed agencies in RCW 3		

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small	Busines	s Economic Impact Statement:					
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
 □ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description: □ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process 							
defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this projections.							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.							
, ,	☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:						
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)					
(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)					
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)					
(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)					
(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process					
		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)					
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under RC	• •					
Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:							
COMPLETE THIS SECTION O	NLY IF N	O EXEMPTION APPLIES					
If the proposed rule is not exempt, does it impose more-than	n-minor co	osts (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?					
No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. The UTC is proposing to adopt rules that enable the UTC to implement the law, apply specific definitions in the law, require minimum crew sizes on certain trains, grant automatic waivers to certain railroad carriers, order crew size increases when necessary, and assess fines for violations. On May 6, 2021, the UTC mailed a notice to all stakeholders interested in the rulemaking, providing a copy of the draft rules and an opportunity to respond to an SBEIS questionnaire. The notice requested that entities affected by the proposed rules provide information about possible cost impacts of the rules with specific information for each rule that the entity identified as causing an impact. The UTC did not receive any information in response to the questionnaire. On Nov. 15, 2021, the UTC sent a supplemental SBEIS questionnaire to affected entities, providing an additional opportunity to respond. The UTC received no responses to the supplemental questionnaire. Based on the information available to it, the UTC determined that the proposed rules merely implement the statute as required by the Legislature. □ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by							
contacting: Name: Address:							
Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:		,					
Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	Signatu						
Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:	Signatu						