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1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 \* \* \* \* \* \*

3 ALJ CLARK: Good afternoon. It's

4 approximately 1:30 p.m., November 17th, 2010, in the

5 Commission Hearing Room in Olympia, Washington.

6 This is the time and place set for a second

7 prehearing conference in the matter of, Washington

8 Utilities and Transportation Commission, Complainant v.

9 PacifiCorp, d/b/a as Pacific Power & Light Company,

10 Respondent.

11 Patricia Clark, Administrative Law Judge for the

12 Commission, presiding.

13 This matter has been given Docket Number

14 UE-100749.

15 The issue of this afternoon's prehearing

16 conference came before the Commission on November 9th,

17 2010, when the Commission issued notice of this

18 prehearing conference to address the issues raised when

19 certain parties failed to follow the Commission's

20 directive, which was entered in Order Number 4,

21 June 17th, 2010.

22 Specifically, the Commission's rule,

23 WAC-480-07-510 governs the content for requests for

24 general rate relief.

25 That rule requires the company to submit an
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1 exhibit with results of operation statement that

2 demonstrates testing your actual results, together with

3 restating and proforma adjustments supporting the

4 requested rate increase.

5 To ensure consistency in the comparison of the

6 company's filings with the filings of other parties, the

7 Commission requires the intervenors, Public Council and

8 Commission staff, to present their cases in the same

9 manner required by rule for the company.

10 And those are the requirements that were placed in Order

11 Number 4 in this proceeding.

12 In this case, the jointly filed testimony of

13 Public Council, and the Industrial Customers of

14 Northwest Utilities, as well as the separately sponsored

15 testimony of the Industrial Customers of Northwest

16 Utilities, does not follow the Commission's filing

17 requirement. Moreover, the pre-filed responsive

18 testimony of Commission staff does not segregate its

19 adjustments. And finally, the company's rebuttal

20 testimony compounds these errors.

21 As a result of these file deficiencies, the

22 Commission cannot determine the revenue effect of each

23 proposed adjustment.

24 To remedy the party's filing deficiencies, the

25 Commission has concluded that the pre-filed testimony
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1 and exhibits must be refiled in the form of revised

2 testimony and exhibits. All this is from the notice of

3 this afternoon's prehearing conference.

4 And so the primary purpose of today's prehearing

5 conference is to establish a date by which the parties

6 can properly refile the testimony and exhibits that are

7 required, and to make a determination whether a delay in

8 the hearing date is warranted to address this filing

9 requirement.

10 For today's prehearing conference, the Commission

11 does have its policy advisors available. Right now,

12 Mr. Maurice Twitchell, Mr. Steve Johnson, and Mr. Danny

13 Kermode are seated to the right of the hearing room.

14 In a few minutes we will go off record, and they

15 will join me on the bench.

16 And we are going to have them explain further

17 some of the deficiencies in the pre-filed testimony, and

18 then give you the opportunity to ask questions, if that

19 is necessary, in order for you to understand or clarify

20 the filing requirements.

21 While we are off record, there may be a further

22 opportunity for the parties to also explain what is in

23 that testimony.

24 Before we take appearances, I want everyone to be

25 cognizant that we have a number of individuals who are
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1 participating via the Commission's bridge line.

2 Therefore, it's necessary for you to speak a little more

3 loudly than you would ordinarily speak, and perhaps a

4 little more slowly than you would ordinarily speak.

5 And for those individuals on the bridge line,

6 it's necessary for you to practically yell in order for

7 us to hear you in the hearing room. And because we

8 can't see you, it is necessary for you to identify

9 yourselves each and every time you speak, so that the

10 court reporter will be able to accurately reflect

11 whatever comments you make.

12 Again, for those individuals appearing on the

13 bridge line, please do not place your line on hold, or

14 this will pipe music into the hearing room, and it's

15 very disruptive.

16 At this time, I'm going to take appearances on

17 behalf of the parties, and I will also ask them to

18 identify those individuals they have brought with them

19 to this afternoon's prehearing conference.

20 I'm going to commence with PacifiCorp.

21 MS. MCDOWELL: This is Katherine McDowell,

22 here on behalf of PacifiCorp. With me I have a number

23 of witnesses. We have brought with us Mr. Dally and

24 Mr. Fuller as designated in the prehearing conference

25 order.
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1 We were not able to bring Mr. Wilson, Mr. Duval,

2 or Mr. Brighton because of previous commitments. In

3 their place we have brought Ms. Hui Shu and Mr. Bill

4 Griffith, who could speak to all the issues they have

5 spoken to.

6 ALJ CLARK: Can you spell the name

7 immediately preceding Mr. Griffith?

8 MS. MCDOWELL: That is, H-u-i, S-h-u.

9 ALJ CLARK: Perfect. She is a manager?

10 MS. MCDOWELL: She is a manager in our net

11 power cost area.

12 ALJ CLARK: Okay, great. And appearing on

13 behalf of the Commission's staff?

14 MR. TROTTER: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 Donald Trotter, Assistant Attorney General for

16 Commission staff. In the hearing room are the three

17 staff witnesses identified in the notice, Mr. Foisy,

18 Ms. Novak, andMs. Breda, and also Mr. Tom Skoley is

19 available.

20 ALJ CLARK: All right. Thank you very much.

21 It is my understanding, prior to this prehearing

22 conference, Public Council will not have their attorney

23 present this afternoon, but rather than the Industrial

24 Customers of Northwest Utilities Council would be

25 available to address issues associated with the
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1 testimony jointly filed by those parties.

2 Appearing on behalf of the industrial customers

3 of Northwest Utilities?

4 MR. SANGER: This is Irion Sanger appearing,

5 Your Honor, and hopefully on the phone are

6 Randal Falkenberg and Greg Meyer, the two witnesses

7 which were directed to appear today.

8 ALJ CLARK: That is correct, Mr. Sanger.

9 Both Mr. Meyer and Mr. Falkenberg have already connected

10 to the bridge line.

11 Appearing on behalf of WalMart Stores, Inc. and

12 Sam's West, Incorporated?

13 MR. BUTLER: This is Arthur A. Butler. We

14 were not directed to have any witnesses present.

15 ALJ CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Butler. And that

16 is correct.

17 Appearing on behalf of the Energy Project?

18 MR. PURDY: This is Brad Purdy, Your Honor,

19 and we also do not have a witness.

20 ALJ CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Purdy. And that

21 is correct. The Commission did not direct you to have

22 any witnesses available.

23 The first thing I'd like to do this afternoon is

24 to establish procedural schedule for the parties to

25 refile testimony and exhibits.

0099

1 While this may feel to some like we're putting

2 the cart before the horse, I think it is important to

3 try to come up with an alternate filing deadline and an

4 alternate hearing date first.

5 The reason for doing that is, Mr. Butler, on

6 behalf of his client, and Mr. Purdy, on behalf of his

7 client, may elect not to participate in the remainder of

8 this afternoon's prehearing conference, because we don't

9 have filing problems with their particular testimony.

10 They are certainly welcome to stay on the line.

11 But the major thing that they would have input on, I

12 believe, would be the refiling schedule and the hearing

13 schedule, and so I'd like to address those issues first.

14 Anyone have a problem with that? Apparently not.

15 All right.

16 So I guess my first question is:

17 How much time would the parties need in order to

18 refile testimony and exhibits in order to meet the

19 filing requirements that were in order Number 4 in the

20 notice of this prehearing?

21 MR. TROTTER: Well, Your Honor, this is

22 Don Trotter. From the staff's perspective, I think the

23 cart is a little bit before the horse. I think we need

24 a clearer understanding of the issue that has been

25 identified with staff's exhibits.
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1 We thought the four adjustments that were

2 identified in the notice were separate, segregated

3 adjustments. They are simply negating company

4 adjustments, and so we're unclear of what the issue is

5 there. And it also says some of staff's adjustments

6 effect more than one issue. So if there are other areas

7 of concern, if we could talk to the policy people and

8 get a list of those, we could get a better sense of how

9 long it would take.

10 ALJ CLARK: All right. That's fair.

11 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, this is Irion

12 Sanger. We in our office and would be able to turn

13 around any information we get from our consultants

14 expeditiously within a couple days, or two or three

15 days.

16 However, from discussions with my consultants,

17 they are unclear as to what corrections they need to

18 make. And at this point, I don't feel confident setting

19 a schedule because they don't know what they need to do.

20 We will dedicate our time and put this as our

21 highest priority to get it done quickly. I just don't

22 know how long it's going to take them.

23 ALJ CLARK: That's fair. So the best laid

24 plans have now, I think, sort of fallen apart.

25 Gracefully fallen apart but fallen apart.
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1 So what I would suggest is to ask Mr. Butler and

2 Mr. Purdy, and I'm going to give you a choice here. You

3 can remain on the line as we have more detailed

4 off-record discussion of some of the problems with the

5 filings as we have them now, and you're welcome to stay

6 and listen to that. And we would then discuss, if

7 necessary, a schedule, refiling schedule and hearing

8 schedule after that.

9 Or plan B is that we can have this discussion off

10 record, and that we can call you to have you initiate

11 calling back in to the bridge line when we get back, if

12 necessary, to discuss those issues.

13 I'm going to turn to you first, Mr. Butler.

14 MR. BUTLER: I'm perfectly content to do

15 whatever the Commission would prefer. I could stay on

16 the line if you would like, or I could have you call

17 back.

18 ALJ CLARK: I certainly have no preference,

19 Mr. Butler.

20 MR. BUTLER: I will just stay on the line,

21 then.

22 ALJ CLARK: Mr. Purdy?

23 MR. PURDY: I would prefer to take advantage

24 of the time to work on another matter and call back.

25 ALJ CLARK: All right. Fine. We'll let you
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1 know when it's an adequate opportunity to do that.

2 Thank you.

3 I think that now the timing is such where it

4 would be appropriate for us to go off record, and

5 Mr. Twitchell and Mr. Johnson and Mr. Kermode will join

6 me on the bench, and we will have an informal discussion

7 regarding the issues.

8 We are off record until further call.

9 (Off the record.)

10 ALJ CLARK: We're back on the record.

11 This was an extremely lengthy discussion between

12 the policy advisors and the parties to this case

13 regarding the testimony and exhibits that have been

14 filed thus far. However, I think it was a very fruitful

15 discussion.

16 I'm extremely appreciative of the effort that all

17 of the parties put in this afternoon to ensure that the

18 record in this case is clear and that it complies with

19 the Commission's rules, and it is going to give the

20 commissioners the information they need in order to make

21 a decision in this case.

22 While it was a somewhat painful exercise at

23 times, I want to commend everyone for their efforts in

24 working through these issues, okay?

25 With that said, we left it with the parties
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1 agreeing that they would need to file some supplemental

2 testimony in addition to the testimony that has already

3 been filed, and that would in some instances modify the

4 pre-filed testimony that we have thus far.

5 And I would like to reiterate on the record, this

6 is an opportunity to file supplemental testimony that

7 corrects earlier testimony. This is not a second bite

8 at the apple and an opportunity for parties to take on

9 new issues in the company's case that they have not

10 previously addressed or to change positions regarding

11 those issues. But simply this is an opportunity to

12 further explain each party's position in a manner that

13 the Commission will be able to follow it.

14 With that said, we remained off record to give

15 the parties the opportunity to come up with some dates

16 for submitting an additional three rounds of testimony.

17 And I think at this juncture we are ready to have

18 the parties memorialize that for us.

19 MR. TROTTER: If I may, Your Honor, this is

20 Don Trotter for UTC staff.

21 The following, I believe, we are in general

22 agreement with.

23 You gave us two possible weeks for hearings. And

24 it turns out the week of January 18th was problematic,

25 and so we were looking at the week of the 24th. The
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1 last day of that week is a furlough day, so we would be

2 looking at earlier days of that week.

3 MS. CLARK: Okay, great.

4 MR. TROTTER: Given that, we did add a

5 couple of extra days at the front end to make sure

6 parties can get to the Commission what they need to get

7 to the Commission.

8 So the company would file its revisions on the

9 23rd of November, and the staff and other parties would

10 file their response changes on December 6th, and then

11 the company would file rebuttal, and staff and other

12 parties would file cross-answering updates or

13 corrections on December 10th.

14 I think there was -- parties did not have the

15 chance to contact every single one of their witnesses to

16 determine their availability the week of the 24th. I

17 think everyone committed to do that by tomorrow in case

18 there is a problem. But there seemed to be a general

19 sense that that was going to work.

20 ALJ CLARK: All right. Excellent.

21 And so what I'm going to do is hold off issuing

22 notice -- I mean, issuing a prehearing conference order

23 in this matter until I hear from the parties.

24 Would it be reasonable for me to give the parties

25 until noon tomorrow to reach other witnesses?
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1 MR. TROTTER: Yes.

2 MS. MCDOWELL: Yes.

3 ALJ CLARK: All right. Then I'm just going

4 to hold off. If you could let me know, or confirm

5 whether or not that would work, I would greatly

6 appreciate that.

7 The rest of the time, as I indicated earlier, on

8 the Commission's calendar for January 24th through the

9 28th, I think we do need to schedule some additional

10 matters. One would be a prehearing conference in

11 advance of that evidentiary hearing. And ordinarily we

12 do that approximately a week, and I'm kind of working

13 backwards now from the dates that the parties have given

14 me. And that would mean a prehearing conference on the

15 18th of January.

16 Would that work for the parties?

17 MS. MCDOWELL: Yes.

18 MR. PURDY: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge. This is

19 Brad Purdy. We were looking at a hearing date of the

20 18th.

21 ALJ CLARK: It would be a prehearing

22 conference date. It looks like the hearing would be

23 actually January 24th to 28th, Mr. Purdy.

24 MR. PURDY: Oh, okay.

25 MS. MCDOWELL: To the 27th, right, because
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1 of the furlough day on the 28th?

2 ALJ CLARK: I'm sorry. 24th to 27th, yes.

3 And holding a prehearing conference approximately a week

4 before that.

5 I will note that this is a prehearing conference

6 we frequently do not have because it was -- it's

7 traditionally set up to mark exhibits and handle other

8 procedural matters, and we usually have those hopefully

9 well in hand, and there is a strong likelihood that

10 would not be held. I would like to have one built into

11 the schedule just in case.

12 And then, of course, we need a deadline for

13 filing cross-examination exhibits.

14 MR. TROTTER: Do you want to say the

15 previous Friday, Your Honor?

16 ALJ CLARK: All right. Let's see. You mean

17 the Friday previous to the hearing?

18 MS. MCDOWELL: 14th.

19 ALJ CLARK: Oh, the 14th? Okay.

20 Is that going to work for everyone?

21 MR. TROTTER: Yes.

22 MR. SANGER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 ALJ CLARK: That was Mr. Sanger?

24 MR. SANGER: Yes.

25 ALJ CLARK: And then did the parties discuss
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1 post-hearing briefing?

2 MS. MCDOWELL: Your, Honor, before we move

3 to that --

4 ALJ CLARK: I'm sorry.

5 MS. MCDOWELL: I believe the current

6 schedule has a deadline for discovery that ties to the

7 prehearing -- I mean the deadline for submitting

8 cross-examination exhibits.

9 If I recall, the deadline in this calendar is

10 Friday. So that would have been, I think, five business

11 -- five business days before the cross-examination

12 exhibits were due.

13 ALJ CLARK: That's correct.

14 No, it was ten days. The discovery deadline was

15 November 19th, and the deadline for cross-examination

16 was ten days after, on the 29th.

17 MS. MCDOWELL: Oh, so -- okay. All right.

18 So if we're on the 14th, does that take us back

19 to the 4th?

20 ALJ CLARK: Well, that's a good question.

21 Do the parties foresee the need for additional

22 discovery, if all we're doing is basically correcting

23 and sort of further explaining the case you already

24 have? I'm kind of hoping the answer to that is no.

25 MS. MCDOWELL: Oh, I see. Whether we could
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1 just adhere to the current discovery deadline and not do

2 additional discovery.

3 ALJ CLARK: Yeah. I mean, it seems to me

4 since you, you know, you actually already filed rebuttal

5 and cross-answering testimony, I'm hoping there would be

6 somewhat limited discovery, perhaps.

7 MR. TROTTER: Yes, Your Honor. That's --

8 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, this is Mr. Sanger

9 on the phone. My understanding was that the discovery

10 deadline was put into place in order to not burden

11 parties when they're preparing for the hearing.

12 And the schedule that was adopted did not

13 necessarily allow for parties to submit a round of

14 discovery and get the answers and submit additional

15 questions, given that the work papers would be five days

16 after the date of the testimony.

17 We also had some issues in getting work papers

18 from some of the witnesses. So whatever schedule that's

19 adopted, I would recommend that we move out the deadline

20 for discovery.

21 MR. TROTTER: Well, Your Honor, I think your

22 comment is appropriate. There may be some additional

23 explanation that generates discovery. I doubt it, but

24 there might be.

25 So perhaps the deadline, if there is a new one,
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1 it should be for any new testimony or any changed

2 adjustment that is made.

3 ALJ CLARK: Well, yeah. I -- I mean, the

4 whole purpose of this is not to expand the opportunity

5 to change your case. It's really to expand the

6 Commission's understanding of the positions the parties

7 have taken.

8 It's hard for me to look at that as a discovery

9 opportunity when it's really the Commission itself that

10 is initiating the modification and schedule to conform

11 to some filing rules and regulations and things like

12 that, okay?

13 So I would say to the extent, you know, the

14 parties raise something new, I think that actually

15 creates another hornet's nest of problems.

16 So I guess we could move the discovery deadline

17 out slightly, but I think the parties need to be

18 cautious in engaging in additional discovery at this

19 juncture.

20 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, we don't need the

21 discovery deadlines moved out considerably. However, we

22 received the work papers on Wednesday of this week, and

23 with the discovery deadline on Friday, it was going to

24 be very time consuming and very difficult for us to get

25 the discovery in. And we would not have gotten any of
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1 those responses back in time to ask any follow-up

2 questions.

3 So given that we now have more time, I think it

4 would be helpful for us to understand the other parties'

5 case.

6 ALJ CLARK: You're going to have to help me

7 out here a little bit. Understand the parties' case on

8 what?

9 MR. SANGER: The rebuttal cases, for

10 example, PacifiCorp made their rebuttal filing. In that

11 rebuttal filing they address a number of power cost

12 issues. We do not receive the work papers for those

13 rebuttal power cost issues, at least inadvertently until

14 Wednesday of this week.

15 Any discovery we get -- we have to send out all

16 our questions in the next couple of days. Then when we

17 get those answers back, there won't be additional

18 opportunity under the current schedule to ask follow-up

19 questions.

20 So we were working very expeditiously to get our

21 discovery out under the current schedule.

22 Now that we have a longer schedule, an additional

23 week or two for us to be able to conduct discovery would

24 be very helpful.

25 MS. MCDOWELL: So I would just say this:
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1 That we did provide some work papers. There were

2 some work papers that were inadvertently, either they

3 got lost in the mail or didn't get sent, but we ended up

4 -- we did email Mr. Sanger or Mr. Sanger's expert some

5 more papers yesterday.

6 We also got, I think, almost 100 data requests

7 from ICNU yesterday. So we're -- I mean, normally we

8 would want to be very accommodating about discovery and

9 make sure everybody has what they need.

10 But I'm a little worried about getting hit with

11 another 100 data requests. That's a very difficult

12 thing for us to manage, especially in a five-day

13 business turnaround. It's late in the case to get 100

14 data requests.

15 MR. SANGER: All I'm saying is, we have a

16 hearing now at the end of January, and we would be

17 willing to extend the day due date if we're providing

18 data responses. We have a very tight schedule built

19 into an idea that we're having a hearing coming up very

20 soon.

21 Given that we do not have a hearing coming up

22 very soon and it has been extended, I think it is

23 reasonable to allow the parties time to conduct

24 discovery.

25 ALJ CLARK: Mr. Sanger, you know, I
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1 understand your argument. But unfortunately, I'm not

2 buying it.

3 I think that what we're going to do is keep the

4 original discovery deadline. The reason I'm doing that

5 is, again, the expectation is not that the parties will

6 change their case.

7 I don't think you need additional time to go

8 through the company rebuttal or the cross-answering

9 testimony. You have the substance of what the parties'

10 position is on all of those issues.

11 And the sole reason we are extending this

12 schedule is to get further clarification, backup,

13 documentation, et cetera, for what the parties have

14 already submitted.

15 So I'm going to leave the discovery deadline as

16 it is. I don't see the need to move the discovery

17 deadline. But I don't see a briefing.

18 MR. TROTTER: Yes, Your Honor. We didn't

19 specifically discuss that, if you want to inform us what

20 the current interval is.

21 ALJ CLARK: Current spread is, okay.

22 There is approximately three weeks in-between the

23 close of the evidentiary hearing and the initial

24 post-hearing brief. That is, the hearing closed on

25 January 10th, and initial post-hearing brief on
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1 January 5th. And then post-hearing reply brief

2 January 18th, which is an additional two weeks beyond

3 that. I don't know if the parties want to consider

4 simultaneous briefing, or if they want to keep initial

5 and reply briefing.

6 MR. TROTTER: Well, Your Honor, we would be

7 happy with the simultaneous. That interval is fine. My

8 recollection of the prehearing optional if the company

9 elected to file one there would be simultaneous reply.

10 ALJ CLARK: That's correct.

11 MR. TROTTER: We don't have a problem with

12 that option or interval.

13 MS. MCDOWELL: We're fine with it as well,

14 but because the hearing is now further out, I'm not sure

15 if the Commission would want to try to truncate that

16 schedule.

17 I think we'll want the opportunity to file a

18 reply brief. My experience in these things is, you

19 know, if any use of predicting how this will go. I will

20 suspect we want to file a reply brief.

21 ALJ CLARK: Well, I think it would be

22 somewhat helpful to the Commission to truncate the

23 schedule honestly.

24 MR. TROTTER: Your Honor, the suggestion

25 might be to truncate the reply brief, and if you're
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1 going to shorten that time, then maybe a page limit

2 would be a way to make that work. But three weeks to

3 prepare a primary brief is probably the minimum. So,

4 15-page reply brief, for example.

5 MS. MCDOWELL: Are you talking about --

6 there is a page limit in the rules.

7 MR. TROTTER: Page limit of 60, but no page

8 limit on reply.

9 MS. MCDOWELL: Oh.

10 MR. TROTTER: So shorten the time for reply

11 and put a 15-page limit on that makes it workable.

12 MS. MCDOWELL: And I -- I think a 15-page

13 limit is not -- it's hard to agree to that in advance of

14 knowing how the issues will come out and what the reply

15 brief will need to address. So happy to truncate the

16 timing, and so thus willing to agree to a page limit on

17 it.

18 ALJ CLARK: So that looks like it gets us to

19 the 15th of April for initial; is that correct?

20 MR. TROTTER: February?

21 ALJ CLARK: February.

22 MS. MCDOWELL: February.

23 ALJ CLARK: That's pretty far out. When we

24 get too far out, that's when I start getting worried

25 about the suspension deadline.
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1 So can the parties live with less time for

2 briefing?

3 MS. MCDOWELL: We could if we could expedite

4 the production of the transcripts. Usually we're able

5 to do that.

6 ALJ CLARK: I'm sure that won't be a

7 problem.

8 Mr. Trotter?

9 MR. TROTTER: I have to look at past

10 schedules in other cases. I thought this was a bit

11 longer than that. I thought this one was a

12 particularly short interval to start with, but we'll

13 work with whatever the Commission desires, Your Honor.

14 I don't see any need to debate it further. If you make

15 your choice, we'll live with it.

16 ALJ CLARK: All right. Well, you know, I

17 certainly hate to cut things short for the parties, but

18 its kind of backing everybody into a corner here. I

19 think it would be better off if I set that deadline for

20 the 11th rather than the 15th.

21 Then we go back to the -- and the reply briefs

22 are two weeks after that. I'm going to have to go with

23 a week on that.

24 Can you live with that, Ms. McDowell?

25 MS. MCDOWELL: I sort of think that would

0116

1 take you to the 18th. Would it be possible to get to

2 the following Monday. To have the 21st of that weekend.

3 That way, if you don't get the initial briefs,

4 you know, until late in the day on a Friday, you would

5 still have the ability to have a -- the full five days

6 to work on a file on the 21st.

7 ALJ CLARK: Okay. So that would be initial

8 briefs February 11th, and the reply briefs

9 February 21st.

10 MR. TROTTER: Your Honor, do you show a

11 furlough day in that range?

12 ALJ CLARK: Just a second. Let me go back

13 to the calendar. I don't see -- yes, there is. The

14 22nd.

15 MR. TROTTER: The 21st is a holiday.

16 ALJ CLARK: Why isn't the holiday showing up

17 on the calendar?

18 MR. TROTTER: Set filing --

19 ALJ CLARK: So the answer is the 18th in

20 advance. I can't really move it out. I can't have any

21 filing dates on a furlough day, and I can't -- having it

22 on a holiday really moves it to the next business day,

23 which would be the 22nd. Now we have effectively moved

24 it out to the 23rd.

25 MS. MCDOWELL: Got it.
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1 ALJ CLARK: It's a timing issue,

2 regrettably.

3 MS. MCDOWELL: I see what you're saying, so,

4 yeah. Okay.

5 ALJ CLARK: Yeah.

6 MS. MCDOWELL: We will work with that, and

7 you will probably get the page limit you desire and

8 timeline.

9 ALJ CLARK: There's another bonus truncating

10 the deadline truncates the page.

11 MS. MCDOWELL: That's right.

12 ALJ CLARK: I'm not hearing anything from

13 Mr. Sanger or Butler or Purdy. You're going to be

14 screaming at me; am I correct?

15 MR. BUTLER: This is Mr. Butler, and those

16 dates are fine with us.

17 ALJ CLARK: Mr. Purdy?

18 MR. PURDY: Your Honor, I just need to, as I

19 said, confirm with Chuck Ebert that that hearing date

20 will work. I'm hopeful it will, and I'll get back to

21 you by the morning.

22 ALJ CLARK: Okay. Great. Excellent.

23 Is there anything further that we should consider

24 on the record this afternoon?

25 I'm going to wait until I hear from the parties
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1 via email tomorrow at noon before we issue a prehearing

2 conference order regarding the schedule.

3 Other than that, the schedule is reasonable and

4 I'm adopting it. And we are adjourned.

5 (The prehearing conference was concluded at

6 4:40 p.m.)
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1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2

3 STATE OF WASHINGTON )

COUNTY OF KING )

4

5

6 I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter,

pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths

7 and affirmations in and for the State of Washington, do

hereby certify:

8

That the annexed and foregoing prehearing conference

9 containing Page 91 through 118 of each witness named

herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced

10 to typewriting under my direction.

11 I further certify that each said witness examined, read

and signed his/her deposition after the same was

12 transcribed unless indicated in the record that the

parties and each witness waived the signing.

13

I further certify that all objections made at the time

14 of said examination to my qualifications or the manner

of taking each deposition, or to the conduct of any

15 party have been noted by me upon each deposition.

I further certify that I am not a relative or an

16 employee or attorney or Counsel of any of the parties to

said action, or a relative or employee of any such

17 attorney or Counsel, and

that I am not financially interested in the said action

18 or the outcome thereof.

19 I further certify that each witness before examination

was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole

20 truth and nothing but the truth.

21 I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed is

a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony,

22 including questions and answers, and all objections,

motions and exceptions of Counsel made and taken at the

23 time of the foregoing examination and was prepared

pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135,

24 the transcript preparation format guideline.

25
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1 I further certify that I am sealing the deposition in an

envelope with the title of the above-referenced cause

2 thereon and marked "Prehearing Conference" with the name

of each witness and promptly delivering the same to the

3 appropriate party or parties.

4

5 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand

and affixed my Washington State CCR Seal this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day

6 of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2010.
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Residing at Federal Way, Washington

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Official Transcript