Exh. KW-01T

Witness: Captain Kaha'ikupuna Wodehouse

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,

Docket TP-220513

v.

PUGET SOUND PILOTS,

Respondent.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

CAPTAIN KAHA'IKUPUNA WODEHOUSE

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND PILOTS

MARCH 3, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	CONCLUSION	8

1	I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS</u>
2	
3	Q: Please state your name, position and business address.
4	A: My name is Captain Kaha'ikupuna Wodehouse and I have been licensed as a Columbia
5	River Bar Pilot since February 11, 2020. The business address of the Columbia River Bar Pilots
6	is 100 16 th Street, Astoria, OR 97103.
7 8	
9	Q: Please describe your educational background and work history.
10	A: I am a native Hawaiian who was raised predominantly in Hawaii. I graduated from the
11	California Maritime Academy in 2001. I then moved back to Hawaii and pursued a sailing career
12	through the Masters, Mates and Pilots Union. From 2001 through a portion of 2009, I served first
13	as Third Mate and then as Second Mate on a wide variety of US flag vessels. In 2009, after
14	earning my Chief Mate's license, I was permanently assigned through the union to serve on
15	container vessels owned and operated by American President Lines. These vessels operated
1617	within trade lanes between the West Coast and Asia and between the East Coast and Asia,
18	primarily providing military supplies to US military bases in the Middle East and Asia. I served
19	as Chief Mate beginning in 2009 through part of 2012. After earning my Unlimited Masters
20	
	license in 2012, I sailed for APL as Captain until February 2019, when I began training to
21	become a Grays Harbor pilot. In April 2019, I resigned from the Grays Harbor pilot trainee
2223	program to accept the opportunity to become a Columbia River Bar Pilot trainee. Because that
24	training did not begin until September 2019 in order to make certain that I trained during winter
25	conditions, I returned to work for APL as a Captain from June through August 2019. My training
26	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CAPTAIN KAHA'IKUPUNA Exh. KW-01T

	for the Columbia River Bar pilotage ground began in September 2019 and proceeded into		
1	February 2020. I was licensed on February 11, 2020.		
2		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	
3	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
5	A:	My testimony addresses the importance of competitive pay and benefits and cost-of-	
6	living considerations to the success of efforts to diversify a pilot group.		
7			
8	Q:	During your work for American President Lines as Chief Mate and then Captain,	
9	what was your typical work cycle?		
10 11	A:	My seagoing career generally involved equal amounts of time on and time off. My work	
12	cycles varied from 84 days on followed by 84 days off to 120 days on followed by 120 days off		
13			
14	Q:	When did you first develop an interest in pursuing a career as a marine pilot?	
15	A:	From very early on in my seagoing career as Third Mate, I was aware that the pinnacle of	
16	the m	aritime profession is becoming a pilot. I was also aware that the work life of a pilot was	
17	more compatible with a family life. Both of these factors influenced my focus on pursuing the		
18 19	skill set and licensing that would qualify me to become a pilot somewhere in the United States.		
20			
21	Q:	Are you a member of any underrepresented ethnic group?	
22	A:	Yes. As a native Hawaiian, I am considered to be part of an underrepresented ethnic	
23	group just like the members of Indian tribes in the US. More broadly, I am considered to be par		
24	of the Pacific Islander ethnic group.		
25			
26	ргр	IITTAI TESTIMONV OE CAPTAIN KAHA'IKIIDIINA Eyb KW 01T	

Q:	How would you describe the significance of compensation and benefits to the
avera	ge mariner?

A: From my own experience, compensation and benefits are extremely important and really drive most mariners' decisions regarding where to work and who to work for. For the seafarer, working for 84 days to 120 days aboard a ship is an extended period of time away from family and friends. Although the mariner works eight to 12 hours on each of those days, the time you're not working aboard a ship is far different from a lifestyle standpoint than being at home after a 9-to-5 workday and on weekends like most land-based workers. I expected and received high pay in the maritime industry before becoming a pilot in exchange for having to be aboard my ship on a 24/7 basis during each on watch work cycle. In effect, I was trading the unique occupational requirement of service as an officer aboard an oceangoing vessel that requires confinement on that ship for extended periods for a significantly higher rate of pay than would typically be earned in most other occupations with the typical 9-to-5 weekday work requirement with weekends and holidays off.

Q: When did you first start looking into becoming a pilot?

A: I started researching West Coast pilotage grounds after I became licensed as an Unlimited Master in 2012. Given the skill set that I was developing throughout my seagoing career, I was ultimately going to be qualified to become a pilot in Puget Sound, Grays Harbor, the Columbia River Bar, Coos Bay, LA Harbor and San Francisco Bar. This research included visiting multiple pilot groups and checking out the regulatory situation for each pilotage ground either online or by attending pilot commission meetings.

	Q:	Once you earned the Unlimited Masters license and began serving as a container	
1 2	ship captain, how would you describe the major factors that contributed to your ambition		
3	to become a marine pilot?		
4	A:	In ranked order, there were three factors driving my ambition to become a pilot. The first	
5	is the	level of compensation and benefits earned by state-licensed pilots, which is the highest in	
6	the m	aritime industry. The second is the incredibly wide variety of ship handling opportunities in	
7	all co	nditions, the part of my job as a captain that I most thoroughly enjoyed, because a pilot is	
8	often aboard a new ship on almost every assignment during a given work cycle. And third, even		
9	with a pilot's unpredictable combination of day and night assignments throughout each on watch		
11	work cycle, the lifestyle of a pilot is far superior to that of the seafarer confined to his or her ship		
12	during much longer work cycles. In my own case, it was not only important to me but very		
13	important to my wife and our growing family (currently three small children) that I secure a		
14	pilotage position with the opportunity to enjoy a more normal family life after going to sea for 18		
15	years.		
16			
17	Q:	Based on your research, what pilotage grounds did you ultimately concentrate on in	
18 19	term	s of becoming a pilot trainee?	
20	A:	I ultimately focused on Puget Sound, Grays Harbor and the Columbia River Bar. I took	
21	the 20	O18 Puget Sound/Grays Harbor test and was ranked No. 2 on the list of passing trainee	
22	candidates. I also took the test for the Columbia River Bar and was ranked No. 4 on that list. The		
23	first opportunity to become a trainee was in Grays Harbor. Because of the difference in the cost-		
24	of-liv	ing between Puget Sound and Grays Harbor, which I believed more than offset the modest	
25		rence in net pay between those two groups, I accepted the trainee opportunity in Grays	
26			

	Harbor. One of my considerations in accepting the Grays Harbor opportunity was the fact that I		
1 2	likely would not have an opportunity to train for the Columbia River Bar pilotage ground for a		
3	year or more due to the lack of openings in that group for a projected one to two years.		
4			
5	Q: As you have testified, you resigned as a pilot trainee in Grays Harbor in April 2019		
6	to accept a trainee position on the Columbia River Bar. Please explain why and how that		
7	happened.		
8	A: Prior to taking both of the examinations for Puget Sound/Grays Harbor and the Columbia		
9	River Bar, I had a preference for the Columbia River Bar because of the stability of its funding		
10 11	situation and the fact that the cost-of-living in Oregon more than made up for the difference in		
12	income earned by the Puget Sound Pilots who also had a substantially higher level of travel time		
13	to and from assignments than other West Coast pilot groups. When the Grays Harbor opportunity		
14	came up, I believed that the cost-of-living difference between that pilotage ground and the Puget		
15	Sound pilotage ground made up for the difference in pay between the two grounds, and the travel		
16	time component of the pilot's job in Grays Harbor was insignificant compared to Puget Sound.		
17	However, while training in Grays Harbor, I did have concerns about the relatively modest and		
18 19	sometimes fluctuating level of traffic into and out of Grays Harbor, which could impact annual		
20	compensation, and the long-term viability of the port. As a result, when the opportunity to train		
21	on the Columbia River Bar came up earlier than expected, I elected to resign as a Grays Harbor		
22	trainee and pursue licensure as a Columbia River Bar Pilot.		
23			
24			
25			

26

	Q:	When you refer to the Columbia River Bar as having a stable funding	ng situation,
1 2	what do you mean?		
3	A:	I am referring to the fact that the tariff funding the pilotage system for the	e Columbia
4	River	Bar is adjusted automatically on a quarterly basis to the assumed level of	traffic necessary
5	to me	et the revenue requirement. If traffic is above the assumed level, the tariff	is adjusted
6	down	ward for the next quarter. If traffic is below that assumed level, the tariff is	s adjusted upward
7	for the	e next quarter. As a result, the Columbia River Bar Pilots were not affected	d by the decline
8	in traf	ffic during the Covid pandemic. This is especially important for a pilot gro	up that has the
9	highe	st percentage of its revenue requirement in the United States going to fund	expenses that
1011	includ	de the only dedicated helicopter/fast pilot boat transportation system in the	US. All total,
12	expen	nses on our pilotage ground account for just over 50% of the revenues gene	rated by our
13	tariff.	With the benefit of the quarterly automatic traffic adjuster, the Columbia	River Bar Pilots
14	consis	stently earn the target net income approved by the Oregon Board of Mariti	me Pilots. In
15	2020,	the most Covid-impacted year in terms of vessel traffic, we were the high	est earning pilots
16	on the	e West Coast. In 2021 and 2022, it is my understanding that the Columbia	River Bar Pilots
17 18	were either first or tied for first with the Columbia River Pilots in terms of net income for West		
19	Coast pilot groups.		
20			
21	Q:	Based on your background and experience, what is your opinion reg	arding the
22	impo	rtance of competitive compensation and benefits to the recruitment ef	forts of a pilot
23	group	p seeking to diversify its pilot corps?	
24	A:	I know from personal experience that highly qualified women and minor	rity pilot trainee
2526	candi	dates are highly sought after by pilot groups and pilot commissions interes	ted in
∠ U	RFR	LITTAL TESTIMONY OF CAPTAIN KAHA'IKUPUNA	Fxh KW-01T

diversifying what has largely been a white male pilotage profession in the United States. Given
the importance of competitive compensation and benefits generally in the maritime industry,
there is no question in my mind that a pilot group or pilotage system regulator interested in
diversification of a pilot corps will not succeed without a nationally competitive package of net
compensation and benefits that also addresses regional differences in the cost-of-living. For
years, the Puget Sound Pilots ranked second only to the San Francisco Bar Pilots on the West
Coast in terms of pilot compensation and benefits, which in my opinion only makes sense from
the standpoint of the significant difference in the cost-of-living between those regions and the
cost-of-living in Oregon or southwest Washington. The fact that this is no longer the case and
that PSP now ranks dead last in compensation and benefits on the West Coast is certainly not loss
on would be applicants.

Q: Do you have any other thoughts on how the training and recruitment process for pilot trainees for the Puget Sound pilotage ground could be improved?

A: Yes. One of the factors that I believe likely has a negative effect on the number of highly qualified mariners applying in Puget Sound is the high cost of preparing for that exam and the relatively low stipend paid during training process that can take 18 months to two years. Between a pilot preparation course and the need to purchase simulator time at MITAGS WEST (formerly Pacific Maritime Institute) in Seattle in order to be competitive on that component of the exam, a prospective trainee must invest \$8,000 to \$10,000 at a minimum just preparing for the test. And after becoming a trainee, the \$8,000 per month stipend paid to a Puget Sound trainee, which is \$96,000 per year, represents a major pay cut that many trainee candidates from underrepresented backgrounds are not in a position to consider. I believe the Washington Board of Pilotage

	Com	Commissioners, as part of its effort to diversify the Puget Sound Pilots, should increase the		
1	trainee stipend in order to attract more diverse trainee applicants. In 2022, the Coast Guard			
2	incre	increased the training stipend paid to Great Lakes Pilot trainees to two-thirds of the approve		
4	level	level of pilot net income, which works out to a \$280,000 annual stipend.		
5		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u> .		
6	0.	Does this conclude your testimony?		
7	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony:		
8	A:	Yes.		
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
2223				
23				
25				
26				