1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of Petition of) DOCKET UE-121697) DOCKET UG-121705
5	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.) (Consolidated) and NW ENERGY COALITION)
6	For an Order Authorizing PSE to)
7	Implement Electric and Natural Gas) Decoupling Mechanisms and to Record)
8	Accounting Entries Associated with) the Mechanisms)
9	,
10	
11	PUBLIC HEARING, VOLUME III
12	Pages 321 - 392
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DENNIS J. MOSS
14	
15	
16	6:03 P.M.
	MAY 16, 2013
17	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
18	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: LISA BUELL, RPR, CRR, CCR 2204
22	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
23	1411 Fourth Avenue, Suite 820 Seattle, Washington 98101
24	206.287.9066 Seattle 360.534.9066 Olympia
25	800.846.6989 National www.buellrealtime.com

1	A P	P E A R A N C E S
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	: DENNIS J. MOSS
3	V	Washington Utilities and
4	1	Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
5	C	Dlympia, Washington 98504 860.664.1136
6		000.001.1130
7	COMMISSIONERS:	DAVID DANNER, CHAIRMAN
8	F	PHILIP B. JONES, COMMISSIONER
9	ō	JEFF GOLTZ, COMMISSIONER
10	FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:	
11	S	SIMON J. FFITCH Senior Assistant Attorney General
12	C	Public Counsel Section Office of Attorney General
13	S	300 Fifth Avenue Suite 2000
14	(Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 389-2055 Simonf@atg.wa.gov
15	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	
16		GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN
17	C	Assistant Attorney General Office of Attorney General P.O. Box 40128
18	C	2.0. BOX 40128 Dlympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1193
19		gtrautma@utc.wa.gov
20	TOD DUGDE GOUND ENEDGY.	
21		DONNA L. BARNETT
22	1	Perkins Coie LLP 10885 NE Fourth Street
23	E	Suite 700 Bellevue, Washington 98004
24		(425) 635-1400 Dbarnett@perkinscoie.com
25		

1		
2	TESTIMONY OFFERED BY:	PAGE
3	Michael Faber	338
	Robert Friend Weber Whitlock	341
4	Mary Abramson	347
5	Tom Nogler	350
6	Christopher Stearns	355
7	Kim Dobson	361
8	Donald Coughlin	365
9		
10	John Newman	367
11	Lisa Riener	370
12	Pat Holm	374
13	Monica Hoover	378
14	Lon Freeman	379
	Janet Jordan	384
15	Nancy Zena Hartung	386
16	Richard Fellows	389
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
∠ ⊃		

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; MAY 16, 2013
2	6:03 P.M.
3	
4	JUDGE MOSS: We will be on the record.
5	Good evening, everyone. My name is Dennis
6	Moss. I'm an administrative law judge with the
7	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
8	To my immediate right is the chairman of the
9	commission, Dave Danner; to his right, Phil Jones,
10	Commissioner; and to his right, Jeff Goltz, the third
11	commissioner.
12	We are here tonight in two, what we call
13	dockets, two proceedings. As a matter of formality, I'm
14	going to name the dockets for the record. One is called
15	"In the matter of the petition of Puget Sound Energy,
16	Inc. and Northwest Energy Coalition," for an order
17	authorizing PSE to implement electric and natural gas
18	decoupling mechanisms and to record accounting entries
19	associated with the mechanisms. That is Dockets
20	UE-121697 and UG-121705, and we call those the
21	decoupling dockets, and they are consolidated.
22	The other matter is styled "Washington
23	Utilities and Transportation Commission against Puget
24	Sound Energy, Inc., "Dockets No. UE-130137 and 130
25	UG-130138, and this is referred to as an expedited rate

- 1 filing, or as it's become fondly known as ERF.
- I'm going to turn to Chairman Danner for some
- 3 opening remarks in just a second, and then I'm going to
- 4 have some explanatory remarks that I want to share with
- 5 you about the purpose of the hearing, the nature of the
- 6 process, the participants, the issues, and then I'm
- 7 going to explain how we'll take your comments for the
- 8 record this evening.
- 9 And following that, I'm going to swear all of
- 10 you at once. Everyone here who wishes to testify, I'll
- 11 swear you all at once, and then I'll call you up one at
- 12 a time, and I'll talk a little bit more about that
- 13 process in a minute. But without further adieu, I'll
- 14 ask Chairman Danner if he has a few opening remarks for
- 15 the members of the public.
- 16 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, yes, I do.
- 17 Thank you very much for taking time out of your
- 18 schedules this evening and coming to the Utilities and
- 19 Transportation Commission. This Commission regulates
- 20 the industries in Washington that basically comprise
- 21 about 10 percent of the state's economy, and that
- 22 includes the electric utilities that provide natural gas
- 23 and electric service.
- 24 We make decisions here that are going to impact
- 25 the lives of Washingtonians. They're going to have

- 1 impacts on the rates that people pay. They're going to
- 2 have impacts on how we, as a state, move forward on
- 3 areas like conservation, energy efficiency, how we deal
- 4 with issues like low-income assist. All of these things
- 5 are issues before us in the rate -- in the proceedings
- 6 that Judge Moss mentioned, and he'll explain them
- 7 further, but they are important decisions.
- 8 But it's important for us not only to have
- 9 hearings where we hear from the company or from
- 10 Commission Staff or other experts or stakeholders. It's
- 11 important for us to hear from members of the public who
- 12 are most directly affected by these decisions, so it's
- 13 really important that we hear from you. We're really
- 14 glad that you're here. We are going to listen, and we
- 15 want to make sure that we consider your concerns as we
- 16 move forward. So thank you again, and as we go through
- 17 this -- I've only been the chair of the Commission for
- 18 two months, so this is the first time I've been at a
- 19 public comment hearing as chair, and so I'm really
- 20 looking forward to hearing your concerns and
- 21 understanding them, so thank you very much.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay.
- The Commission makes decisions in various ways.
- 24 Chief among them is what we are doing here, which we
- 25 call a quasi-judicial process. This decision-making

- 1 process is much like what happens in a court of law. We
- 2 have parties who file testimony in our case. Those
- 3 parties are subject to cross-examination and to
- 4 questions from the commissioners or from me at the
- 5 hearing, and the comments that we receive tonight become
- 6 part of the official record in the proceeding.
- 7 The Administrative Procedure Act requires when
- 8 we're conducting this type of decision-making, that we
- 9 make our decisions -- that the Commission make its
- 10 decisions exclusively on the basis of the record that is
- 11 developed through the course of the proceedings.
- 12 Today earlier, we had an evidentiary hearing.
- 13 We heard from more than a dozen witnesses. We had a lot
- 14 of interesting back and forth, and we received probably
- 15 100 or more exhibits into the record, so we have quite a
- 16 bit to deal with there.
- 17 The purpose of the comment -- of the public
- 18 hearing tonight, of course, is so that we can open up
- 19 the process to the people who are most directly affected
- 20 by it, which is to say you. I suspect most of you are
- 21 ratepayers of Puget Sound Energy in one capacity or
- 22 another or you probably wouldn't be here this evening.
- Tonight we have, as I mentioned at the outset,
- 24 there are two matters before us and four dockets. Two
- 25 of the dockets concern PSE's request for what we call a

- 1 full decoupling mechanism for electric and natural gas
- 2 rates. I'll talk a little bit more about what that
- 3 means in a moment, and the other proceeding is to
- 4 consider this expedited rate filing or ERF. It's a
- 5 filing that is limited in nature relative to the
- 6 expenses that are adjusted or proposed to be adjusted
- 7 and the issues that we consider.
- 8 Rates are typically made from year to year or
- 9 every two years or so through what we call a general
- 10 rate case proceeding, which is much broader in terms of
- 11 the costs that are looked at and the issues that are
- 12 examined, so this is a much narrower type of rate
- 13 proceeding, and I'll talk a little bit more about it as
- 14 well in a few minutes.
- 15 There are many participants in this proceeding.
- 16 In addition to the company, PSE, the Commission's
- 17 Regulatory Staff participates in these proceedings as a
- 18 party. We the Commission -- I, myself, and the
- 19 commissioners, we are separated from the Staff by what
- 20 we call an ex parte wall, which means Mr. Trautman here,
- 21 who represents the Staff for the Attorney General's
- 22 Office, he can only talk to us in the hearing room about
- 23 this case. He can't come to our offices and talk to us
- 24 or pick up the phone and call us, except on very limited
- 25 procedural matters, so Staff is participating as a

- 1 party.
- 2 The Office of the Public Counsel, another
- 3 division of the Attorney General's Office, is
- 4 represented by Mr. ffitch, sitting here on the end. He
- 5 represents the -- basically the ratepayers, the
- 6 residential and business and small business interests
- 7 who pay rates to the company.
- 8 The other participants we have in this
- 9 particular set of proceedings are an industry group
- 10 called the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities,
- 11 which is a group comprised of -- well, quite a few
- 12 companies. But to give you some familiar examples:
- 13 Boeing Corporation, Microsoft Corporation, Weyerhaeuser.
- 14 A lot of the major industries in Washington are members
- 15 of that organization.
- There's another organization similar, the
- 17 Northwest Industrial Gas Users. While the ICNU
- 18 represents more the electric side of the business, the
- 19 Northwest Industrial Gas Users represent the gas
- 20 community, the gas-consuming industrial community and
- 21 commercial as well.
- The federal executive agencies intervened in
- 23 this proceeding. They represent largely in this state
- 24 the interests of the military. As you know, we have
- 25 major Air Force, Army and Naval bases in Washington

- 1 State. They, as you can imagine, consume quite a bit of
- 2 power and natural gas, so they have a strong interest.
- 3 There's an organization called the Northwest
- 4 Energy Coalition that's an environmentally oriented
- 5 group. They also have some other broader public
- 6 interest concerns. They're participating.
- 7 The Kroger Company, which is representing its
- 8 Fred Meyer and Quality Foods stores. They have about
- 9 140 facilities throughout the State of Washington. They
- 10 also, of course, are a very large consumer principally
- 11 of electricity.
- 12 There is an organization called The Energy
- 13 Project that represents the interest of low-income
- 14 customers throughout the State of Washington, and they
- 15 are also participating.
- And finally in our list of parties is Nucor
- 17 Steel Seattle, which is, of course, a large industrial
- 18 outfit operating here in Washington State, as well as
- 19 elsewhere.
- Now, let me see if I can describe what these
- 21 proceedings are about in a comprehensive way. It's not
- 22 really all that easy, to tell you the truth, but I've
- 23 worked on it after our evidentiary hearing this
- 24 afternoon, so perhaps I did some good.
- 25 What PSE is asking for in its decoupling

- 1 proposal is to move -- to change the way it recovers its
- 2 costs. Currently, it recovers its costs based on how
- 3 much electricity it sells, what we call a
- 4 throughput-based rate. So the fixed costs that the
- 5 company has, the variable costs that the company has are
- 6 included in the rates that are charged per kilowatt hour
- 7 of power, so a throughput-type rate.
- 8 What they want to do is go to what's called a
- 9 revenue-per-customer rate, so basically you look at
- 10 historic data, you look at current data and you
- 11 calculate, well, our costs are so many dollars. We have
- 12 so many customers, so we ought to be recovering so many
- 13 dollars per customer. And when we move to that type of
- 14 a rate, then the company becomes indifferent to how much
- 15 electricity it sells, and that's important in terms of
- 16 conservation goals and other goals because one of the
- 17 goals here is to remove any financial disincentive the
- 18 company might have to capture all cost-effective
- 19 conservation. We want the company to focus on
- 20 conservation as its first resource alternative, and this
- 21 is one way to encourage that result.
- 22 The revenue-per-customer approach includes what
- 23 we call a deferral mechanism so that at the end of the
- 24 rate year, there's a comparison between the allowed
- 25 revenue per customer and the realized revenue per

- 1 customer, and if there's a surplus, that goes into a
- 2 deferral account for the credit of customers. If there
- 3 is a shortfall, that goes into a deferral account to the
- 4 credit of the company.
- 5 At the end of the year, that will play into the
- 6 adjustment of the rates for the coming year or the
- 7 revenue-per-customer actually for the coming year, so
- 8 the idea is that over time, from year to year, the
- 9 company will collect its authorized revenues. Nothing
- 10 more. Nothing less. That's the idea.
- 11 As far as the -- oh, I need to mention. In
- 12 connection with that, there's what's called a rate plan
- 13 proposal. As part of the proposal that's before us in
- 14 connection with decoupling and also as part of the
- 15 settlement that some of the parties have proposed, what
- 16 they're suggesting is that they would have -- be
- 17 authorized to increase the rates or the revenues for a
- 18 portion of their costs each year by a fixed percentage
- 19 to keep up with the growth in those costs that have
- 20 occurred historically, and this is about one-third of
- 21 the total cost. We call it the nonproduction cost that
- 22 the company has, which is basically the cost of
- 23 delivering electricity to you or to deliver natural gas
- 24 to you, and so it's about a third of the company's
- 25 costs. This proposal would allow them to increase the

- 1 electric cost about 3 percent a year, and the natural
- 2 gas about 2.2 percent a year.
- 3 So we have that decoupling mechanism, the rate
- 4 plan. I'm going to talk a little bit more about the
- 5 ERF, but those three mechanisms are meant to work
- 6 together to set rates for this part of the company's
- 7 costs, this one-third part of the company's costs from
- 8 now, 2013, until at least February of 2016 or
- 9 thereabouts and possibly into February, March of 2017.
- 10 So this would relieve some of the necessity of having a
- 11 full-blown general rate case for the next few years,
- 12 which is the sort of thing you've been accustomed to.
- 13 That's where you get your customer notices and the rate
- 14 increases, that's where those have been coming from. So
- 15 this will -- part of the idea here is if this is
- 16 approved, that it will result in more predictable rates
- 17 from year to year.
- 18 In the so-called ERF filing, PSE proposes a
- 19 one-time \$32 million increase to cover its investments
- 20 and costs in electric deliveries and a \$1.2 million
- 21 decrease in natural gas revenues, which covers a period
- 22 up to -- that would bring our rates up current to
- 23 basically June 2013. The company's last rates out of a
- 24 general rate case became effective in May of 2012. The
- 25 rates that are proposed in this ERF are based on the

- 1 data available as of June 30, 2012, so the idea is to
- 2 bring those rates up to date, and then the decoupling
- 3 mechanism and the rate plan would be -- that would be a
- 4 starting point for those to operate.
- 5 So -- and that's unfortunately about as simple
- 6 as I can make it. It's -- this is something that's
- 7 fairly new. We've been talking about decoupling at the
- 8 Commission for a long time. The ERF filing is something
- 9 that was mentioned in the rate case in 2011, 2012, and
- 10 we've been developing that idea. So this is something
- 11 that we're focusing on and focusing a lot of attention
- 12 on in trying to decide whether and in what form to
- 13 approve it or not.
- 14 The broad goal in this type of proceeding, as
- 15 in any type of proceeding, is to at the end of the day
- 16 establish rates that meet the standard that's in our
- 17 statute, and the standard says rates must be fair, just,
- 18 reasonable and sufficient. That means they have to
- 19 strike a balance. They have to be fair between the
- 20 customers and the company. They have to be just in the
- 21 sense that they are based on the record, as I talked
- 22 about earlier. They have to be based on solid evidence
- of what they should be. They have to be reasonable in
- 24 what we call the zone of reasonableness. There's always
- 25 going to be a range of possibilities at the end of one

- 1 of these proceedings as to where the rates might fall.
- 2 What we set needs to be in that range, somewhere in that
- 3 range, and then there's the sufficiency point. The
- 4 rates must be sufficient for the company to be able to
- 5 attract capital at reasonable cost and hopefully better
- 6 cost as time goes on, which will eventually inure to the
- 7 benefit of the customers through lower rates. So that's
- 8 basically what we're about here tonight in the various
- 9 proceedings.
- 10 With that, I'll move on to the mechanics, if
- 11 you will, of the public comment presentations. As I
- 12 mentioned, I'll swear you all in here in just a few
- 13 minutes. I have done these many, many times over the
- 14 years, and we have learned from long experience that
- 15 about four or five minutes is sufficient for people to
- 16 make their comments. You would be surprised how much
- 17 you can say in five minutes, but there's also quite a
- 18 few of you here this evening, so we want to limit the
- 19 comments to about five minutes so that everybody gets a
- 20 chance to speak, and I have a little timer up here that
- 21 I will use. If I see you approaching the five-minute
- 22 mark and still going strong, I might alert you to the
- 23 fact that we're getting close to that, and you can wrap
- 24 up your comments in a good fashion.
- There's no need to repeat what somebody else

- 1 has said. You can simply stand up and say, well, I
- 2 agree with everything that person said. You don't need
- 3 to repeat the same comments. You may, of course, have
- 4 some overlapping comments. I fully expect that, but
- 5 that's another option you have.
- 6 We ask you that you listen politely while
- 7 others comment. When someone is at the podium, it's
- 8 their turn to speak. We ask that everyone else remain
- 9 silent and listen politely. This is not an opportunity
- 10 for questions to the commissioners or to me. The
- 11 questions are actually best asked of the company
- 12 representatives sitting at the back of the room there.
- 13 We have Mr. Ken Johnson, I see here, from the company.
- 14 Mr. Johnson, maybe you could raise your hand, and we
- 15 have Mr. Schooley, from the Commission's Regulatory
- 16 Staff, who is a witness in the case and is well-versed
- 17 in all of the -- all of its aspects. We have
- 18 Mr. ffitch, as I mentioned to you. Mr. Trautman is
- 19 here. Mr. ffitch's assistant is here, as well as
- 20 analyst. There's lots of people in the room who can
- 21 answer your questions. If we take a break, that would
- 22 be a good time, or at the end, they will stay around for
- 23 a bit to answer questions.
- 24 All right. Now, with that, anyone who wishes
- 25 to speak tonight, if you would please at this time rise,

- 1 and I will swear you all in at the same time.
- Even if you might want to speak, this would be
- 3 a good time to be sworn.
- 4 Do each of you solemnly swear or affirm under
- 5 penalty of perjury that the testimony you give in this
- 6 proceeding will be the truth, the whole truth and
- 7 nothing but the truth? Please say I do.
- 8 (Witnesses affirm.)
- 9 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you so much. Please be
- 10 seated. That's the most formal part of the whole
- 11 evening.
- 12 My apologies. I'll just be quiet at the risk
- 13 of saying something inappropriate.
- 14 Yes. We do have some folks on the bridge line,
- 15 and let me ask if there's anyone on the teleconference
- 16 bridge line who wishes to make a statement this evening?
- 17 Apparently not. Just people listening in for interest.
- 18 Now, we have a pretty long list here of people
- 19 who have indicated their presence, a somewhat smaller
- 20 set who might want to comment, so I'll go down the list
- 21 and identify you and indicate your preference for
- 22 speaking or not, and you can still change your mind, all
- 23 right? So I have a lot of no's on here.
- 24 Let's begin then with our first witness is
- 25 Michael Faber, who indicates he's not representing an

- 1 organization and does wish to speak. So, Mr. Faber, if
- 2 you would approach this podium up here. There's a
- 3 microphone up there. I don't believe it even has a
- 4 switch, so it should be on.
- 5 And let me ask you a few preliminary questions
- 6 for the record, and then we'll let you make your
- 7 statement.
- 8 Please state your name for the record and spell
- 9 your last name for the benefit of the reporter.
- 10 MR. FABER: My name is Michael Edward Faber.
- 11 That's F-A-B-E-R.
- 12 JUDGE MOSS: And where do you live, Mr. Faber?
- 13 What community?
- 14 MR. FABER: I live off of Kinwood on 4th Lane
- 15 Southeast.
- 16 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And are you a Puget Sound
- 17 Energy customer?
- 18 MR. FABER: Yes. I'm a customer for both gas
- 19 and electric.
- 20 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you've already
- 21 answered the other question, you're here testifying on
- 22 your own behalf tonight --
- MR. FABER: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE MOSS: -- not on behalf of an
- 25 organization. So go ahead, please.

- 1 MR. FABER: Well, I'm not going to get into the
- 2 topic of whether this rate increase is justified or
- 3 whether the way to do this rate increase is right
- 4 because I'm sure a lot of other people are going to
- 5 speak about that.
- 6 What I did want to come and speak about was the
- 7 way the increase is being done. I got a letter in the
- 8 mail, as I'm sure all the customers did. I'm a
- 9 residential customer, so I looked here and saw that my
- 10 rate was going to go up 1.6 percent for residential
- 11 electric, and it was going to go up 1.8 for gas, but
- 12 then I see commercial and industrial customers, they're
- 13 going to get a decrease. Large-volume customers are
- 14 going to get a decrease, interruptible. Honestly, I
- 15 don't know what these things are, you know, but other
- 16 people are getting a decrease and mine is going up, and,
- 17 you know, I'm a very simple man. I understand that
- 18 sometimes rates need to go up. We're all consumers in
- 19 this room. Every single one of us. At one point during
- 20 the day or the week, we went and got gasoline. Maybe we
- 21 paid \$3.50 a gallon for that gasoline. If gasoline
- 22 prices need to go up 3 cents, we all go to the pump. We
- 23 all pay 3 cents more a gallon. I understand that. I'm
- 24 a simple man.
- 25 The same thing with these rate increases. If

- 1 the rates need to go up, why can't I rely on the
- 2 gentleman to my left here, Mr. ffitch, to say, hey, you
- 3 know, things need to go up. There's costs there. We
- 4 agree with it. It's justifiable. He tells me that,
- 5 okay, fine. He's looking out for me. I appreciate
- 6 that. Rates need to go up. That's what I expect to
- 7 see, a per-kilowatt increase.
- 8 You know, for example -- some people may say
- 9 it's drastic -- if I was to look at this and say, okay,
- 10 we want all of our black customers to pay an additional
- 11 1.8 percent and our white customers, well, we're going
- 12 to give them a decrease to .4 percent, everybody would
- 13 be up in arms, right? You can't do that. It's not
- 14 fair. It's not just. You know, why are you going to
- 15 increase the rates for black people and lower them for
- 16 white people? There would be riots in the street, and
- 17 basically that's the point I wanted to come and make,
- 18 not so much about whether the rate increase is needed,
- 19 but is it fair.
- I don't think it's fair to raise my rate as a
- 21 residential customer for both gas and electric while
- 22 lowering the rates of other entities that use it. If
- 23 you're going to do a rate increase, do it per kilowatt.
- 24 That seems fair.
- That's all I have. Thank you for your time.

- 1 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. Any questions
- 2 for Mr. Faber? No. All right.
- 3 Thank you, Mr. Faber. We appreciate you being
- 4 here, and we appreciate your comments.
- 5 All right. Next, let's see, I have Scott Yoos
- 6 who has indicated not interested in making a comment.
- 7 No interpreter present, and that's the case, we don't
- 8 have an interpreter present. Sorry.
- 9 We do try to make provision for that, but
- 10 perhaps something fell through the cracks. I'm not
- 11 sure.
- 12 Robert Whitlock has indicated an interest in
- 13 speaking, so, Mr. Whitlock, could you come forward,
- 14 please.
- 15 I will ask the same set of questions of each
- 16 witness as you come up. We do that in order that we
- 17 have a good record, so if you say something really
- 18 quotable, you might end up in the commission's order,
- 19 you never know.
- 20 So, Mr. Whitlock, I'll ask you to please state
- 21 your name and spell your last name for the benefit of
- 22 the court reporter.
- 23 MR. WHITLOCK: Yes, sir. My name is Robert
- 24 Friend Weber Whitlock, and my last name is spelled
- 25 W-H-I-T-L-O-C-K.

- 1 JUDGE MOSS: And what community do you live in,
- 2 Mr. Whitlock?
- 3 MR. WHITLOCK: I'm in the Bigelow neighborhood
- 4 of Olympia, Bigelow Highlands.
- JUDGE MOSS: We're neighbors.
- 6 And are you a Puget Sound Energy customer?
- 7 MR. WHITLOCK: Yes, I am.
- 8 JUDGE MOSS: Gas? Electric?
- 9 MR. WHITLOCK: Just electric.
- 10 JUDGE MOSS: Just electric, all right.
- 11 Are you testifying -- you're testifying on your
- 12 own behalf tonight?
- MR. WHITLOCK: Yes. I'm part of several
- 14 organizations, but just --
- JUDGE MOSS: Tonight?
- MR. WHITLOCK: -- not representing them.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Great. Well, thank you very
- 18 much.
- 19 Go ahead with your statement, please.
- MR. WHITLOCK: Yes. Thank you.
- 21 Commissioners, it's good to be here with you.
- 22 And I was part of the gang -- the public power
- 23 initiative here in Thurston County last fall, and I was
- 24 disappointed when the election came out and, you know,
- 25 for whatever reason, I was really hoping that we were

- 1 going to win -- well, for a lot of reasons, I was really
- 2 hoping that we were going to win. Nothing against the
- 3 UTC. I love the UTC and what you guys do, of course,
- 4 so -- but, you know, we didn't have the money to do any
- 5 independent polling, so we really didn't know how the
- 6 election was going to turn out. Of course it was, you
- 7 know -- there was some highlights and lowlights, and I'm
- 8 not going to go over all of those. But one of the
- 9 highlights I think from the election was the tremendous
- 10 expenditure that PSE put into the election. It was
- 11 unprecedented for a countywide election. In Thurston
- 12 County, they spent, on the books, over \$600,000, I
- 13 believe. Don't quote me on that, but look it up. I
- 14 think that's right, and the previous spending record was
- 15 just shy of \$120,000 about seven years ago with Kevin
- 16 O'Sullivan's race, and so they really blew that out of
- 17 the water, and for whatever reason, the public power
- 18 campaign wasn't able to raise enough money to counteract
- 19 that \$600,000, so that's kind of the long story short.
- 20 And my concern would be, one, whether the rate
- 21 increases are going to be used to do what they did last
- 22 fall when they slammed the citizens of Thurston County
- 23 with multiple glossy brochures. They also upped their
- 24 ante on the free refrigerators and several other items
- 25 that were -- you know, they were out in the streets

- 1 pretty much every day with the light bulbs, with
- 2 everything else, and I think that kind of stuff does --
- 3 not that I'm against energy efficiency or conservation.
- 4 I think that's great. I'm questioning whether there's
- 5 another approach to that because, you know, we're seeing
- 6 here -- well, I'm sorry that I don't have a beautifully
- 7 glossed presentation here, so I'm going to transition
- 8 into the next thing, which is the climate change issue,
- 9 the burning of coal, Colstrip.
- 10 33 percent of the electricity that we're
- 11 consuming comes from Colstrip. 33 percent. That's a
- 12 lot closer to 50 percent than 0 percent, so while it may
- only seem like it's a little bit, it's actually a very
- 14 significant portion of the electricity. Then we look at
- 15 things like Alder Dam and the effect that that's having.
- 16 Hydroelectric is great. It's a lot better than
- 17 electricity, but it traps all the silt. It's harmful
- 18 for the salmon and for the birds that live downstream,
- 19 and so, you know, while we may think it's great, the
- 20 question is how much is a fair profit when environmental
- 21 damage is taking place, and I don't have an answer to
- 22 that probably. I mean, I have my own opinion on that,
- 23 but that would be my question. And I don't expect you
- 24 to shut PSE down or take all their profits and force
- 25 them to use any revenue to bury lines underground as a

- 1 result of the -- as a precaution due to the likelihood
- 2 of increased storm events and blow-downs. Maybe it
- 3 would make sense to start putting lines underground, and
- 4 I don't -- you know, I don't know what kind of conflict
- 5 that would have with PSE investor interests in taking a
- 6 profit from the business. But these are just some of
- 7 the thoughts that I would like for people to think.
- 8 And the Colstrip, the coal, the climate change,
- 9 the increased severity of storms, 400 parts per million
- 10 carbon in the atmosphere right now, and it's possible
- 11 that the heat is just beginning to develop in the
- 12 atmosphere. We've seen one degree Celsius of change
- 13 already, and it's possible that there is a sort of a
- 14 time that it takes for that atmosphere to start
- 15 accelerating in terms of how much heat it's absorbing
- 16 and that that might be increasing now. So we might see,
- 17 you know, like Katrina stuff happening and Sandy stuff
- 18 happening more often, and who pays for that? Does PSE
- 19 pay for it or do taxpayers? We're not even talking
- 20 about ratepayers. We're talking about just everybody.
- JUDGE MOSS: I sense you're wrapping up,
- 22 Mr. Whitlock?
- MR. WHITLOCK: That's it.
- JUDGE MOSS: You're just about out of time.
- MR. WHITLOCK: Yes. Thank you.

- 1 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much for your
- 2 comments.
- 3 The next person on my list is Laurie Schmitt,
- 4 who indicates no interest in speaking. Is that the case
- 5 still?
- 6 MS. SCHMITT: Correct.
- 7 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. You can always change your
- 8 mind, as I said.
- 9 Kathryn Cooper, again, no interest in speaking
- 10 tonight, but we do thank you all for being here whether
- 11 you speak or not.
- 12 Dennis Cooper, also with us this evening, does
- 13 not wish to speak. All right.
- Joel Carlson, a question mark, so we'll need to
- 15 get an answer. Carlson?
- MR. CARLSON: I'll pass right now.
- JUDGE MOSS: You'll pass. Okay. Very good.
- 18 Kathleen Downey has also indicated a question
- 19 mark, so I'll ask. Do you wish to speak, Ms. Downey?
- MS. DOWNEY: Not at this time.
- JUDGE MOSS: All right. Very well.
- 22 And Chris Stearns indicates that he does -- he
- 23 or she, I don't know -- wishes to speak.
- 24 MR. STEARNS: I would ask to be deferred until
- 25 after Tom Nogler because he appeared in the room before

- 1 I did.
- JUDGE MOSS: Oh, all right.
- 3 MR. STEARNS: I signed the most available --
- 4 JUDGE MOSS: All right. I can defer you. Where
- 5 should I put you?
- 6 MR. STEARNS: After Tom Nogler. He was the one
- 7 that was last before I got here.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay.
- 9 MR. STEARNS: On the next sheet.
- 10 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Very good.
- 11 Moving to that next sheet, I have Robert
- 12 Kirchmeier, who indicates no interest in speaking this
- 13 evening. Apparently still the case.
- Rita Robison, also no interest in speaking this
- 15 evening. Is that right?
- 16 All right. We have Mary Abramson, who does
- 17 indicate an interest in speaking. Ms. Abramson, if you
- 18 would please come up to the podium. I'll ask you the
- 19 standard questions, and we'll hear your statement.
- 20 MS. ABRAMSON: Yes. I'm Mary Abramson,
- 21 A-B-R-A-M-S-O-N. I live in Rainier, and, yes, I am a
- 22 consumer.
- I'm also retired on a fixed income, and any
- 24 kind of rate increase, since we are in a depression
- 25 where as many as maybe a quarter of the people in this

- 1 country are not working, it's very difficult to raise
- 2 rates on things that we all need like our utilities.
- 3 I would like to point out to the commissioners
- 4 that this past couple years, we've had internationally
- 5 two things come about: A revolution, as you might say.
- 6 I'm sure the idea of the 99 versus the one rings a bell,
- 7 and there is a movement to bring the commons back to the
- 8 people. We're not talking about communism or socialism,
- 9 but things that we all use like utilities.
- 10 I'm five years here in this city, but I'm from
- 11 Los Angeles, where we had L.A. Power owned by everybody.
- 12 We didn't have a CEO making a lot of money. We did not
- 13 have shareholders making a lot of money. Now, if I put
- 14 money in the bank, which I don't have money to put in
- 15 the bank, I might get at most a percent or two. While
- 16 you talk about rate, you might put a rate on what the
- 17 shareholders are going to make or how much the CEO is
- 18 going to make.
- 19 One thing about right now, we're creating a new
- 20 future, so you guys can start being creative too. Think
- 21 about some other ways to do this. The past has not
- 22 worked.
- 23 That's the other thing that I am going to speak
- 24 about briefly is global warming, which is the number one
- 25 scary as hell thing that's happening right now to the

- 1 whole world. I have kids and grandkids, and I want them
- 2 to not burn up. I want the coal to stay in the ground.
- 3 I am a former R.N., worked with kids that had asthma,
- 4 and any time I see these plumes from Centralia, it just
- 5 angers me that we haven't taken care of that, because
- 6 that was supposed to be taken care of, and it's still
- 7 going on.
- 8 This company has another big coal plant. You
- 9 know, at some point everybody has got to be righteous
- 10 and moral and take care of these things. It's killing
- 11 us all. We have to keep this coal in the ground. There
- 12 are technologies out there. I know an inventor who has
- 13 a machine that will fire up electricity to 5,000 homes,
- 14 and it's a machine that's as big as this table, but
- 15 these companies will not allow this new technology out.
- 16 So think about all these things and be creative, guys.
- 17 Good luck.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you for your comments.
- 19 Mr. Tom Nogler is our next speaker.
- 20 Mr. Nogler, please come forward, and if you also have
- 21 memorized the list of questions, I won't pose them, but
- 22 I am happy to do so.
- 23 MR. NOGLER: Thank you, Judge Moss. The
- 24 questions are?
- 25 JUDGE MOSS: Your name and spell your last name.

- 1 MR. NOGLER: My name is Tom Nogler. It is
- N-O-G-L-E-R.
- 3 JUDGE MOSS: And your community, where you live?
- 4 MR. NOGLER: My community is Olympia.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And are you a Puget
- 6 Sound Energy customer?
- 7 MR. NOGLER: And I am a Puget Sound Energy
- 8 customer.
- 9 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And finally, are you
- 10 testifying on your own behalf tonight or on behalf of an
- 11 organization?
- 12 MR. NOGLER: I was on the executive team of the
- 13 Thurston County Public Power Initiative, but I am
- 14 testifying as a citizen and individual this evening.
- JUDGE MOSS: Please make your statement.
- 16 MR. NOGLER: Thank you, Judge Moss, Commissioner
- 17 Danner, Commissioner Jones, Commissioner Goltz.
- 18 I've been sitting here trying to figure out how
- 19 to bring humor into a humorless kind of situation. In
- 20 1978, when I was introduced to the Utilities and
- 21 Transportation Commission, I was overwhelmed by the
- 22 amount of eye-glazing material that you all have to
- 23 review in order to make decisions on rate cases, so I am
- 24 really compassionate about the complexities that you all
- 25 have to face in making the decisions, as well as the

- 1 enormous challenges that we have to -- before us.
- 2 In 1978, I did help an organization, Fair
- 3 Electric Rates Now, to form and do some testimony to the
- 4 Utilities and Transportation Commission, and I became
- 5 struck at that time how having a public utility district
- 6 to administer the electricity publicly and locally was
- 7 such a better and more wonderful idea and set myself to
- 8 the task, which included a failed electoral campaign and
- 9 eventually jumping on board for the Public Power
- 10 Initiative to make that -- take that stand in Thurston
- 11 County. We did get 40 percent of the vote. I'm not
- 12 sure if an earlier testifier said that.
- 13 And, you know, having come in in 1978 and not
- 14 being much of an historian, I didn't really explore the
- 15 whole history of public power, the figures, who they
- 16 were, but I got an impression from the little history
- 17 that I did read, there was a word that I wanted to base
- 18 my testimony around called acrimonious, that there's
- 19 been a lot of acrimony in the history of the fight
- 20 between the people advocating public power and the
- 21 people advocating private power.
- The \$600,000 price tag that Mr. Whitlock
- 23 referred to in his earlier testimony I think was an
- 24 manifestation of that acrimony. Perhaps it was sort of
- 25 a post-modern acrimony when they would use images like

- 1 Puget Sound Energy's large -- large lights over the
- 2 football field and the public utility district's little
- 3 candle, that it was that sort of fight, that sort of
- 4 acrimonious conflict between the private interests and
- 5 the public interests.
- 6 One of the things that history has borne out is
- 7 that as a result of that acrimony is that the arguments
- 8 for public power really win out. The arguments for
- 9 public power make a lot more sense. The arguments for
- 10 public power will take all this eye-glazing material out
- 11 of the hands of a regulatory commission and put it in
- 12 the hands of the public.
- 13 As Mary just testified, I mean, I'm sure you
- 14 must have this experience of laying in bed at night and
- 15 being honest with yourself and looking at where we are
- 16 now in the history of global destruction. You know, we
- 17 can all read. We all see TV. We see the glaciers
- 18 melting, and if you haven't seen "Chasing Ice," it's a
- 19 documentary about the melting of the glaciers. We're in
- 20 a critical period now. I'm not sure we have the
- 21 opportunity to be acrimonious at this point as opposed
- 22 to trying to come together and figure out solutions that
- 23 transition us from fossil fuels.
- We are known as a culture for our -- what's it
- 25 called? -- ingenuity. Now, notice, I didn't say Yankee

- 1 ingenuity. We are known for our ingenuity. We are
- 2 known for figuring out ways. Now, it may not be that
- 3 candle. It certainly won't be those huge lights on
- 4 PSE's flyer against us. You know, I got my new
- 5 refrigerator. I got my front-loader washer, so I
- 6 appreciate that, although I refer to the refrigerator as
- 7 "cold comfort."
- 8 I appreciate your time this evening, and I wish
- 9 you the best of luck in this proceeding. I wish there
- 10 was a way that the Commission could simply say that the
- 11 state will be a public power state, as has happened in
- 12 other parts of the nation and we could continue.
- 13 Oh, finally, I wanted to get my quote as a last
- 14 bit of humor from the comedian Will Rogers. Will Rogers
- 15 once said, "A holding company is a thing where you hand
- 16 an accomplice the goods while the policeman searches
- 17 you."
- 18 So I would encourage you to take a look at
- 19 PSE's corporate structure, take a look at their holding
- 20 company structure. Where is this money going that's
- 21 going out of county and out of country, and how can you
- 22 use that to mitigate the increase in rates that are
- 23 probably going to happen as a result of this hearing?
- Thank you.
- 25 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you for your comments,

- 1 Mr. Nogler.
- 2 Mr. Stearns, you are then next.
- 3 MR. STEARNS: I have some handouts for the
- 4 attorneys and the Board. They have nothing of relevance
- 5 to the rate case per se. They're just a history lesson.
- 6 JUDGE MOSS: All right. We'll take them.
- 7 MR. STEARNS: And I'll try and...
- 8 JUDGE MOSS: While he's handing those out, I'll
- 9 mention that the Commission does also receive written
- 10 comments from members of the community. We, as I
- 11 understand it currently, have something, maybe 100, 200
- 12 comments that we've received in connection with these
- 13 matters, and we'd be happy to continue to receive those
- 14 tomorrow -- today and tomorrow, for example.
- 15 I think, Mr. ffitch, we were talking about
- 16 getting the exhibit Monday or Tuesday next week, so if
- 17 you have written comments, if you could go ahead and get
- 18 those in today or tomorrow by e-mail or other means,
- 19 then that's something else we would be happy to have in
- 20 our record. We do make all of those comments an exhibit
- 21 in the hearing record.
- 22 MR. STEARNS: And just one more. This is
- 23 interesting because this has a rate comparison of 100
- 24 years ago in our fair state, and notably the highest
- 25 rate at that time was in Olympia. Don't ask me why --

- 1 by this company. They just don't like us I guess. I
- 2 don't know.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay. If you could approach the
- 4 podium, please, and we'll go through the standard
- 5 questions.
- 6 MR. STEARNS: Oh, just for point of reference,
- 7 those are all out of this book called "Empowering the
- 8 West" by Jay Brigham, electrical politics before FDR, so
- 9 they're referring to --
- 10 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: We need you to use the
- 11 microphone.
- 12 MR. STEARNS: -- they're referring to the '20s,
- 13 which was what all of this research was based on, and it
- 14 was during the --
- 15 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Stearns, let me ask you to
- 16 follow our format --
- 17 MR. STEARNS: Sure.
- JUDGE MOSS: -- if you would.
- 19 Would you please state your name and spell your
- 20 last name.
- 21 MR. STEARNS: My name is Christopher Stearns,
- 22 S-T-E-A-R-N-S.
- JUDGE MOSS: And your community is?
- 24 MR. STEARNS: I'm an elected official in this
- 25 county, a PUD commissioner. I'm probably the only PUD

- 1 commissioner that comes before your body at all. They
- 2 all hate you, but that's not because of political
- 3 reasons. It's just because they believe they're
- 4 separate from you.
- JUDGE MOSS: Are you a Puget Sound Energy
- 6 customer?
- 7 MR. STEARNS: Yes, I am for both gas and
- 8 electric, although I don't get the bill in my name.
- 9 JUDGE MOSS: And are you testifying tonight on
- 10 your own behalf or are you representing an organization?
- 11 MR. STEARNS: I do -- I am a board member of the
- 12 Cooper Point Association, which represents everybody
- 13 north of here.
- 14 JUDGE MOSS: And are you speaking on their
- 15 behalf?
- MR. STEARNS: On the peninsula that you live
- 17 on -- or that is right here.
- 18 Yeah. Well, they're concerned about rates too.
- 19 So...
- JUDGE MOSS: Please proceed.
- 21 MR. STEARNS: Is there any other question you
- 22 want me to answer?
- JUDGE MOSS: No. I want you to please proceed
- 24 with your statement.
- MR. STEARNS: Okay.

- 1 I just wanted to start this by stating some of
- 2 the things that have gone on in the past year, couple
- 3 years, that I'm concerned about as they get incorporated
- 4 into rates, and I've heard some testimony earlier today
- 5 that were just deceptive by the company itself, by
- 6 Mr. Cavanaugh as well.
- 7 First of all, the whole idea of doing this
- 8 process of decoupling, has any public entity done this
- 9 in this state? Has Seattle City Light done this?
- 10 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Stearns, as I indicated at the
- 11 outset, this is not an opportunity to question us.
- 12 MR. STEARNS: Okay. Okay. I'm just saying you
- 13 referred to a lot of private utilities, but you didn't
- 14 refer to any of the public ones in this state, and I'm
- 15 concerned about that because if it's such a great idea,
- 16 why haven't they done it?
- 17 And they are -- you know, they have 55 percent
- 18 of the customers of this state. Let's realize that this
- 19 is a majority public power state, as only Nebraska is.
- 20 Okay.
- 21 So I found some of the testimony about
- 22 Jefferson PUD not truthful regarding what maintenance
- 23 has been going on by agreement with those PUD
- 24 commissioners for the last three years. That's partly
- 25 going to be compensated in the 90-day period they've

- 1 alluded to. That wasn't even brought up. It was just
- 2 brought up, the 107 that was the original negotiated
- 3 price, but there was an addition for that time period of
- 4 the three years transition, that they would rebuild that
- 5 system. Did that monies come out of maintenance for the
- 6 whole system, which is now stranded? Because they
- 7 rebuilt somebody else's system, and we're getting
- 8 charged for it? I would like to know. You know,
- 9 especially when you use gag orders. That's wonderful to
- 10 hide information behind.
- 11 Maintenance test. You know, I think there's a
- 12 lot of maintenance money left out of that, and I've
- 13 heard clients here in Thurston County say when they
- 14 didn't get their wires fixed, they were up in Jefferson
- 15 County. That's not a big county. What the hell is
- 16 going on? I just wonder.
- 17 They claim towns for maintenance costs when
- 18 they were approached, the PUD, that weren't even in
- 19 Jefferson County. They were lying. Green Bank,
- 20 Covington. Green Bank is in Island County. Covington
- 21 is in King County. They may have customers there, but
- 22 they are not in Jefferson County. If they're deceiving
- 23 them, they've got to be deceiving you too. You know,
- 24 it's just amusing to me that they try to do these
- 25 things.

- 1 They ripped out the phone system before they
- 2 left because they wanted \$30,000 for it. That's a
- 3 wonderful feeling of cooperation after negotiating
- 4 agreement with them in good faith.
- 5 But the other things that I wanted to cover
- 6 that haven't been covered in this hearing is storm
- 7 damage from January 2012. I attended the legislative
- 8 hearing. One of you folks were up there, and I just
- 9 think these CAIDI and SAIDI reports for this utility are
- 10 abominable for the last two years, and nothing about
- 11 reliability is even being addressed after such a drastic
- 12 outage, especially impacting my county.
- 13 Lots of people who had frozen goods wasted, 6-
- 14 to 700 dollars worth. We're just glad there weren't any
- 15 deaths. That there weren't people who were seniors who
- 16 died in that instance. There was outages in the county
- 17 for 15 days, some of them in urban areas. It's
- 18 ridiculous.
- 19 Also, you know, I've seen outages even on good
- 20 days. Transformers going out that just don't happen in
- 21 adjacent counties. If you look at the CAIDI and SAIDI
- 22 reports in adjacent counties, they're not as bad.
- 23 They're just getting better service. You know, I'm just
- 24 wondering if you're pressing those issues through this
- 25 hearing. I doubt it. I didn't hear anything about it

- 1 today, and I was here for -- except for the last hour.
- 2 Nothing about reliability at all. It's just out the
- 3 window, and that's the biggest damage you've done in
- 4 this county. You guys need to address that issue.
- 5 Don't ignore it.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: About 30 seconds, Mr. Stearns.
- 7 MR. STEARNS: I don't mean to be hostile here,
- 8 but I'm astounded at this.
- 9 Now, I know Mr. Jones was here and didn't
- 10 settle for the merger, and the other two are new since
- 11 that decision was made, but I was astounded at that
- 12 hearing when a guy comes in from New York, testifies
- 13 first and disappears like it's okay, and that was all he
- 14 said. I mean, it was just astounding to me some of the
- 15 things that have gone on during the past several years.
- 16 You know, you guys have got to clean up your act.
- JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Stearns, I need you to wrap up,
- 18 please.
- 19 MR. STEARNS: All right. All I want to say is,
- 20 you know, rate differentials haven't changed for 100
- 21 years almost, at least in the sense -- maybe not in the
- 22 percentages, and this company is perpetuating the
- 23 difference. It is not becoming more competitive through
- 24 the yardstick basis, as was the argument all throughout
- 25 the FDR years to have public power make private

- 1 utilities defer to their expedited costs that are
- 2 reasonable --
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you, Mr. Stearns.
- 4 MR. STEARNS: -- and I just hope you guys will
- 5 do something to rectify that.
- 6 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. We appreciate your
- 7 comments.
- 8 Next on our list is Kim Dobson, who indicates
- 9 an interest in speaking this evening. Mr. Dobson?
- MR. DOBSON: Hello.
- JUDGE MOSS: How are you?
- MR. DOBSON: I am good.
- 13 JUDGE MOSS: Good. Well, could you please state
- 14 your full name and spell your last name for the benefit
- 15 of the court reporter.
- MR. DOBSON: My name is Kim Dobson, and
- 17 D-O-B-S-O-N.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: And you live in what community?
- 19 MR. DOBSON: I live in the Olympia area. I have
- 20 a farm on Steamboat Island Road, and I am served by PSE
- 21 as far as electricity goes.
- JUDGE MOSS: And you are testifying on your own
- 23 behalf tonight?
- MR. DOBSON: Yes, I am.
- 25 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you. Please

- 1 proceed.
- 2 MR. DOBSON: Yes. Well, I object to the
- 3 attrition adjustment part of this because I don't think
- 4 it's fair. One of the things coming down the pike, so
- 5 I've heard, is that PSE is refinancing their debt at a
- 6 lower rate. I believe it's going to be coming down a
- 7 half -- a percentage and a half, down to 3.9 percent, is
- 8 what I've heard.
- 9 Now, this -- if things like this go on and PSE
- 10 is allowed a 3 percent automatic rate increase -- and
- 11 I'm kind of confused because I've heard two stories. I
- 12 heard a 6 percent increase this year and then a 3
- 13 percent increase every year thereafter, and then when I
- 14 arrived at this hearing, it was a 3 percent and then 1
- 15 percent every year after, so I don't know what to
- 16 believe.
- 17 So I'm a little bit confused about the rate
- 18 increase, but I think it's unfair that the company
- 19 should get an automatic rate increase without having to
- 20 come to this body to check and see if it's actually
- 21 necessary, and if they've actually made any losses.
- 22 They stand to make a considerable profit by refinancing,
- 23 so I think that part of it is already unfair, if that is
- 24 not considered in this rate increase. So that's one of
- 25 my main complaints here.

- 1 I'm pretty unhappy that PSE was sold to
- 2 Macquarie in the first place. I testified at both
- 3 opportunities during the hearings in 2007. One thing
- 4 is, I don't -- I didn't agree with the sale of the
- 5 company and then the loss of all the local shareholders,
- 6 so there was no proxy votes. There was no local input
- 7 into the company's operations, and as far as I can see
- 8 through the layers of holding companies that Macquarie
- 9 is buried under, there seems to be no way for local
- 10 customers to get involved with stock option buys and to
- 11 actually have a vote in a proxy in any of these
- 12 stockholder meetings with these investors. It doesn't
- 13 seem very transparent.
- 14 Let's see. In my notes here -- I guess one of
- 15 the other complaints is about the coal at TransAlta.
- 16 Why should TransAlta be able to shut down their coal
- 17 operation and still be paid the same for power they're
- 18 purchasing on the market, when there's a lot of cheap
- 19 hydropower or wind power available and still be paid the
- 20 same as they're being paid to operate their coal plant.
- 21 It seems like an unfair market.
- 22 So in conclusion, I think this deal is a bad
- 23 deal, and I can see that Weyerhaeuser, Boeing and a lot
- 24 of the large industrial users of gas and electricity are
- 25 opposed to all three of these proposals, and I would

- 1 have to say that I'm really -- I appreciate Simon
- 2 ffitch's input into the last hearings in that he stated
- 3 that the sale was a bad deal for the people, the sale to
- 4 Macquarie, and he said it many times, and the UTC board
- 5 decided to vote for the sale instead, so I was kind of a
- 6 disappointed by that.
- 7 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Dobson, if you could wrap up.
- 8 MR. DOBSON: Yeah. Well, thank you for holding
- 9 these public hearings, and I appreciate being able to
- 10 speak here in this forum, and hopefully the vote will go
- in the favor of we, the people.
- 12 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. Appreciate
- 13 your comments.
- MR. DOBSON: Thank you.
- 15 JUDGE MOSS: The next person on my list -- I
- 16 can't quite make out the name. It's a Brian
- 17 Mc-something. I can't read the second part of it, but
- 18 there's an indication of no interest in speaking. Do I
- 19 stand to be corrected?
- 20 All right. And then Donald Coughlin also
- 21 has -- yes, sir.
- MR. COUGHLIN: Yes. I would like to say a word,
- 23 if I can.
- JUDGE MOSS: All right. Please come up.
- 25 And if you would please state your name for the

- 1 record and spell your last name.
- 2 MR. DOBSON: Yes, sir. My name is Donald
- 3 Coughlin, C-O-U-G-H-L-I-N. I'm an Evergreen student,
- 4 studying energy and economics. I'm a member of the For
- 5 Olympia Group. I'm not a representative either, but you
- 6 can see my direction is public power.
- 7 My concern over a couple of directions that I
- 8 haven't heard discussed directly is, one, Proposition 1
- 9 and the PUD opportunity for the local citizens to
- 10 experiment or to carefully have the opportunity to
- 11 legally develop public-owned utility electricity that
- 12 eventually, in 20 years when bonds are paid off, to own
- 13 that utility and no more outsourcing of dollars. I
- 14 thought that Proposition 1 made that explicitly clear,
- 15 but Mike was mentioning the overabundant resource profit
- 16 dollars from me as a resident funded a mega campaign.
- 17 The second approach I have is, since I am an
- 18 economics major at Evergreen, my interests are
- 19 photovoltaic, and I'm hoping very deeply to see that
- 20 1301 becomes something to pick up where we're going to
- 21 leave off soon. I feel deeply about finding alternative
- 22 energy, as I'm sure just about everyone in this room
- 23 hopes. I do feel that the corporate responsibility is
- 24 not being rewarded as the resident is, and I -- give me
- 25 a moment, and let me state the BLM six southwestern

- 1 state, 33 gigawatt five types of solar installment that
- 2 is basically already proposed, and leg after leg is
- 3 being installed as we speak here.
- 4 This energy is a necessary direction for our
- 5 country and hopefully will bring down the cost of
- 6 American installation of my interest, photovoltaic, so
- 7 that the American competition can incorporate the
- 8 installation at approximately -- well, Germany does it
- 9 at one-third our cost, so that would mean we would
- 10 install it at three times the cost roughly that Germany
- 11 does. That's influenced through corporate and six
- 12 southwestern states on nondisturbed land. The grid tie
- 13 has to also be incorporated into that installation.
- 14 1301 seemed to be something to support a
- 15 longer-term extension for residents to take advantage.
- 16 Unfortunately that system of advantage isn't going to be
- 17 corporate in design to where the resident receives the
- 18 same feedback that the corporate installation would get
- 19 on solar. The corporate would probably tend to be more
- 20 difficult to site large solar installations.
- 21 The homeowner would be able to use an existing
- 22 grid, so when you get down to the end of all of this, to
- 23 not support some of this corporate profit, which is I
- 24 think being slightly stonewalled for developing natural
- 25 solar installation on residences in favor of the larger

- 1 corporate opportunities is stifling solar, and it's
- 2 stifling the ability for labor to learn how to put it up
- 3 cheaper, and it's stifling alternate energy.
- 4 That's -- gentlemen, thank you for your time.
- 5 That's pretty much all I have to say.
- 6 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you for your comments.
- 7 The next on my list is John Bozich, who
- 8 indicates no interest in speaking.
- 9 And then John Newman, who does wish to speak,
- 10 according to my list. Mr. Newman?
- MR. NEWMAN: Good evening.
- 12 JUDGE MOSS: Good evening.
- MR. NEWMAN: My name is John Newman,
- 14 N-E-W-M-A-N, and I'm a resident of Olympia and a
- 15 customer of Puget Sound Energy.
- 16 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
- MR. NEWMAN: And I'm here to attend the rate
- 18 increase hearing. You probably don't get too many rate
- 19 decrease hearings, but these are going to be a little
- 20 dry.
- 21 But good evening, Commissioners. I was going
- 22 to comment on -- Chairman Danner mentioned that 10
- 23 percent of the Washington State economy is the utility
- 24 industry, and I think it's important to recognize
- 25 because that 10 percent of the state is basically

- 1 captured by monopoly power and grid systems. The power
- 2 and grid systems were built, you know, hit and miss from
- 3 1900 through the year 2000. The rural systems were
- 4 built with a lot of federal dollars with the
- 5 understanding that all customers receive service, which
- 6 was great because it might cost \$10,000 to give the last
- 7 farmer on the ground power, but everybody got power, so
- 8 it served customers and citizens quite well.
- 9 So back to the monopoly issue. Any rate
- 10 increase that is requested by any corporation has to be
- 11 carefully scrutinized, especially the utilities because
- 12 of the nature of the power systems. There was a lot of
- 13 talk about you can buy power from different clients and
- 14 such a few years back, but basically there's really only
- 15 one set of wires out there.
- 16 I'm also confused about the 3 percent this year
- 17 and maybe in addition to whether it's 3 or 6 or
- 18 whatever, but I'm -- the question Puget Sound Energy's
- 19 request for a constant 3 percent increase per year, I
- 20 don't know if anyone else in the room has noticed how
- 21 Puget Sound Energy has put forth a lot of effort to
- 22 replace power poles in Olympia, and justifiably so. I
- 23 have one that's maybe 80 years old, and the brush crew
- 24 said they didn't want to get near it because they were
- 25 afraid it was going to fall over, so they're working on

- 1 that. So my point is, is that if they increase costs
- one year, is their accounting going to be, you know,
- 3 applied if their costs decrease the following year.
- 4 There's been some discussion of costs again,
- 5 and your utility bill 30 years ago was pretty basic.
- 6 There was just one price for everything. Now you're
- 7 billed for your power meter. You're billed for your gas
- 8 meter. You're billed for -- and for the usage, and the
- 9 charges for gas should go up or down based on the
- 10 market.
- 11 I do agree with the earlier speaker about the
- 12 disproportionate rate increase between business and
- 13 residential. That should be looked at.
- I also agree with Mr. Nogler, who questioned
- 15 that the utility commissioners should consider the
- 16 foreign owners and the profits that the corporations are
- 17 generating for overseas.
- 18 I am kind of curious if they can borrow money
- 19 overseas at a lower rate, if that's reflected in the
- 20 profit reports and within the State of Washington that
- 21 you see as the Commission.
- 22 And that's about all I have. Thank you for
- 23 your efforts, and I hope you monitor Puget Sound Energy
- 24 very carefully. Thank you.
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you, Mr. Newman.

- 1 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: We do have rate decrease
- 2 hearings -- or rate decreases. We just pass those
- 3 through without a big hearing to make it quicker.
- 4 MR. NEWMAN: Oh, okay.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. I have Lisa Riener,
- 6 who's indicated an interest in speaking.
- 7 MS. RIENER: Good evening. My name is Lisa
- 8 Riener, R-I-E-N-E-R. I have owned a home in the west
- 9 side of Olympia for the last 30 years, gas and
- 10 electricity.
- 11 I appreciate being able to speak before the
- 12 Utility Commission tonight. I was here also several
- 13 years ago when we asked that PSE not be allowed to take
- 14 over our power grid at that time. I was one of those
- 15 who spoke and said we do -- we wanted local control, but
- 16 of course in the wisdom of our community, it was sold to
- 17 a corporation that, of course, is overseas in Australia.
- 18 So currently in Thurston County, profits from PSE, 17
- 19 million a year go overseas, and many people in this room
- 20 have worked for a public utility district, but to no
- 21 avail.
- So now PSE went and spent around \$600,000 of
- 23 our money because we are paying our rates. They used
- 24 our money to defeat the public utility district
- 25 initiative. They took our money, and now they're asking

- 1 for a rate increase. Oh, yeah, they need some money.
- 2 They need a rate increase because they spent \$600,000 to
- 3 defeat the public's wishes to have their own utility
- 4 district, so I find that disingenuous, and I find it
- 5 very troubling. We call ourselves a democracy. I don't
- 6 think we really have a democracy. I think that we have
- 7 sold our resources to overseas interests, and that's
- 8 what's happening here in Thurston County.
- 9 So instead of the profits, 17 million a year
- 10 coming to our own people to help them weatherize their
- 11 houses, to help them define their own futures, now that
- 12 money goes overseas, like most corporations have been
- 13 allowed to move overseas because of course it's cheaper
- 14 over there. Yeah. Move them all overseas.
- 15 So my second issue here tonight is that the
- 16 sale of PSE -- of course then we had the storm damage of
- 17 2012. Oh, it was in all the papers. Everybody was so
- 18 upset with PSE. Why can't PSE do a better job? And
- 19 they had all these hearings. Oh, slap hands of PSE.
- 20 Oh, they're in Australia. Maybe they don't really know.
- 21 Well, we think we can't talk to anybody because we're
- 22 local. So now, of course -- now they want a rate
- 23 increase. They did a terrible job with maintenance of
- 24 the grid, the power system, and now you're giving them a
- 25 rate increase. Okay.

- 1 So then we come to the thing about Centralia
- 2 and TransAlta. Now we have a coal-fired power plant, a
- 3 dirty coal-fired power plant that has been giving --
- 4 people bend over backwards to allow this dirty
- 5 coal-fired power plant to be in operation, and PSE has a
- 6 deal with it, and a lot of us say, again, is this really
- 7 a democracy where it's by the people, for the people?
- 8 I wanted to thank the chairman of the committee
- 9 when he said the reason we're having these proceedings
- 10 tonight is to meet the standards, gentlemen, the
- 11 standards regarding our power grid. These standards are
- 12 for fair, just, reasonable and sufficient means for our
- 13 power grid. And my concern is that I don't believe this
- 14 is fair, just, reasonable or sufficient. I believe that
- 15 it's sufficient for the Australians so they can get \$17
- 16 million a year in profit, but for us, I do not think
- 17 that it's just, fair, reasonable and sufficient. So as
- 18 was said by another gentlemen, you know, when you are in
- 19 bed at night and you think to yourself, oh, you're in
- 20 the nice part of town, but what about the rest of the
- 21 people who are struggling to pay their rates. You're
- 22 the ones who allow this to go through, and I think again
- 23 it's unconscionable. You have to think -- this is like
- 24 was said before. The transmission lines were put in
- 25 historically for the people, and we're now allowing a

- 1 for-profit corporation, PSE, who is in Australia, to
- 2 take advantage of our grid that we put up here in the
- 3 United States for a foreign corporation to make profit
- 4 on? Our grandfathers worked for this grid. Our
- 5 grandfathers put this grid into place very, very hard,
- 6 and now the profits from this grid that our grandfathers
- 7 worked on go to a corporation in Australia, and so I do
- 8 not believe this rate increase is justified.
- 9 I believe also because of climate change
- 10 issues, is it, you know -- to me, this whole thing about
- 11 climate change, it is affecting us no matter how much we
- 12 put our heads in the sand, it is affecting us. A
- 13 coal-fired power plant is not the way to go. PSE has
- 14 not looked toward the renewables and has not looked into
- 15 solar or wind like they should. They want to put their
- 16 emphasis on coal. Many of us feel this is
- 17 unconscionable again.
- JUDGE MOSS: We need to wrap it up.
- 19 MS. RIENER: So when you go to sleep at night,
- 20 think about these issues, gentlemen. You are part of
- 21 our community. You are with us here in Washington
- 22 State. We love Washington State with all of our hearts.
- 23 We love the United States of America with all our hearts
- 24 because it's democracy, and that's what we're asking
- 25 for, a fair shake.

- 1 Thank you, sir.
- 2 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.
- JUDGE MOSS: Pat Holm.
- 4 MS. HOLM: My name is Pat Holm, and I am an
- 5 Olympia resident as well and have public -- I mean, PSE
- 6 power. I would like to have public power. I worked for
- 7 public power, as did these other people, but we don't
- 8 have public power.
- 9 JUDGE MOSS: And your last name is H-O-L-M?
- MS. HOLM: H-O-L-M, yes.
- 11 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
- 12 MS. HOLM: And most of what's been already said,
- 13 I agreed with, so I won't say it again.
- 14 But the one thing it seems like was really
- 15 lacking, is that these letters went out May 10th or
- 16 something to let people know about this. It doesn't
- 17 seem like very much time to digest such a huge amount of
- 18 material, and it seems like we should have a public
- 19 conversation about all this decoupling, and I was at the
- 20 legislative hearings when they were talking about 1301
- 21 and various things, and PSE was saying, well, you know,
- 22 it's really hard to pay those, you know, the 54 cents to
- 23 the people with solar panel on their roofs that are
- 24 Washington made and all these things that they are
- 25 dealing with, and that's a difficult situation. How do

- 1 we not make the people that don't have solar panels on
- 2 their roof not pay as much? I don't think the answer is
- 3 to just give PSE an automatic rate, you know -- rate
- 4 increases, because we do want to have residents be aware
- 5 of their electricity they're using and being paid for by
- 6 the kilowatt hour so they reduce.
- 7 I mean, it's the huge numbers of people, the
- 8 over 4 million people we have here in Washington State
- 9 that we all need to reduce our energy use, and if we're
- 10 all paying sort of a rate that's just automatically
- 11 going up, regardless of how much we use, it doesn't seem
- 12 to make a lot of sense.
- 13 And a lot of people -- and it's complicated. I
- 14 understand it's really complicated, and I don't think we
- 15 should rush to a conclusion when things are this
- 16 complicated; that more people need to be involved. This
- is a really small number of people when you add 200
- 18 more. We have a lot of people, and they're just not
- 19 aware of where our energy comes from.
- When we were running the campaign, I mean,
- 21 people didn't even know who PSE was really or what's a
- 22 PUD. They don't understand it. You know, PSE is a
- 23 monopoly that, you know, there's only one -- you don't
- 24 get to choose. The gentleman was saying, well, he goes
- 25 to the gas station, and he doesn't mind paying an

- 1 increase in gas, but you do have a few choices.
- 2 For electricity, we don't have a lot of
- 3 choices. You know, we have PSE. Then 55 percent of the
- 4 others have something else. So why couldn't we have a
- 5 conversation with those other public utilities about how
- 6 they've resolved the issue of solar -- you know,
- 7 bringing on solar, which we need to do, and bringing on
- 8 wind, which is -- it's an alternate, you know -- we have
- 9 to have a different kind of grid to do that and to
- 10 allow -- and then the idea of the coal, you know, is a
- 11 24-hour thing that they have to -- this coal plant that
- 12 they're paying for on it 24 hours, even when they're not
- 13 using it.
- 14 So I mean, there's a lot of issues in here that
- 15 I just think we need more time as a public to come to
- 16 terms with, and it would really be good to have a wider
- 17 conversation and to say, well, we don't have to make a
- 18 decision right now about this issue. You've all been
- 19 discussing it other places because I haven't heard about
- 20 it or I would have been there, because I'm very
- 21 interested too. You know, how can we solve the problem
- 22 of giving these people all of these incentives for
- 23 putting solar panels on their roof and then the rest of
- 24 the customers. I mean, that's an issue that we need to
- 25 have a wide conversation -- you know, and then the

- 1 energy efficiency needs to come first because, you know,
- 2 that's the low hanging fruit. Make each home energy
- 3 efficient. You know, seal the leaks and so forth, and
- 4 then go from there.
- 5 Or, you know, there's all kinds of ways that we
- 6 could save on electricity, but we also need that
- 7 conversation with Snohomish County, Seattle, you know,
- 8 those are public, you know -- how are they dealing with
- 9 these issues?
- 10 Anyway, I wanted to see a wider discussion and
- 11 letting more people know about these issues because when
- 12 we had the campaign, nobody understood. Believe me.
- 13 They were really -- we need to talk -- a real
- 14 conversation and sort of let people understand.
- 15 And thank you very much for having the hearing.
- 16 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you for your comments.
- Next on my list is Sue Langhans, who's
- 18 indicated no interest in speaking this evening.
- 19 And then we have Sonia Vasconi, who has also
- 20 indicated no interest in speaking this evening.
- 21 Now, this brings me to the sheet of my sign-ins
- 22 here -- there are two, actually -- and several people on
- 23 here have indicated an interest in speaking, but I don't
- 24 think you were present when we did our swearing in.
- 25 The testimony we're hearing from members of the

- 1 public this evening is sworn. At the beginning tonight,
- 2 or earlier tonight, I asked those who wished to speak to
- 3 rise and be sworn in. I'm going to do that again for
- 4 those of you who came in late. Monica Hoover, Grant
- 5 Ringel, Robin Glaspey, Hank Schasse, Lon Freeman and
- 6 Janet Jordan, any of those folks who wish to testify
- 7 tonight, if you would please rise and raise your hand.
- 8 I ask, do you solemnly swear or affirm under
- 9 penalty of perjury that the testimony you give here this
- 10 evening will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing
- 11 but the truth? Please say I do.
- 12 (Witnesses affirm.)
- 13 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much.
- 14 And we'll start with Monica Hoover.
- 15 MS. HOOVER: My name is Monica Hoover. It's
- 16 H-O-O-V-E-R. I live in Olympia, Washington. I am a PSE
- 17 electric customer, and I'm not representing any group.
- 18 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
- 19 MS. HOOVER: I grew up in Seattle with Seattle
- 20 City Light, and I've lived here in Olympia over 20
- 21 years. I have many relatives in Grant County, and I am
- 22 seriously envious of their public power. I testified
- 23 against the sale of PSE to Macquarie when that deal went
- 24 down, as did I think all the citizens who testified.
- I understand there are three separate cases

- 1 being consolidated into one proceeding. I understand
- 2 that PSE wants assurances of defined revenue, regardless
- 3 of sales and wants expedited rate filing for increases.
- 4 Obviously they would want these things, but I think it's
- 5 also very obvious that those are not in the service of
- 6 the people, and even understanding them would -- I have
- 7 not had the time to dig deeply into it, but everything
- 8 that I have read to date indicates that it's not in our
- 9 interest, and I would hope that you as our commissioners
- 10 will be representing the people's interest on this.
- 11 So at this time, I oppose all three proposals,
- 12 but also as Pat Holmes spoke and said, a much wider
- 13 conversation really is needed.
- 14 Thank you very much.
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you for your comments.
- 16 Up next I have a Grant Ringel, who's indicated
- 17 no desire to speak.
- 18 Robin Glaspey also does not wish to speak,
- 19 according to what I have here.
- 20 Hank Schasse also does not wish to speak,
- 21 according to what I have.
- 22 And then we come to Lon Freeman, who does wish
- 23 to speak. Mr. Freeman?
- 24 MR. FREEMAN: Yes. Hello. My name is Lon
- 25 Freeman, with an F-R-E-E-M-A-N.

- 1 I'm a resident of Olympia. I've been here for
- 2 quite a number of years.
- 3 I am a residential electric customer of PSE,
- 4 not gas. My house is all electric, and I'm a human
- 5 being living on the earth in the early part of the 21st
- 6 century, and I have a written document which I want to
- 7 comment on, which I'll submit for the written record as
- 8 well. I'll try to summarize. It's a one-page document
- 9 with two other single pages of documenting articles from
- 10 reputable sources.
- 11 So regarding the TransAlta Centralia coal power
- 12 plant, the long-term purchasing agreement, a contract
- 13 between PSE and TransAlta should not be entered into, at
- 14 380 megawatts continuously through 2025 or at lower
- 15 power ratings as well. Certainly not at higher power
- 16 ratings approaching the 500 megawatts of long-term
- 17 contracts needed to trigger the closure agreement in
- 18 2025. Why?
- 19 A, because the mean daily atmospheric CO-2
- 20 concentrations at Mauna Loa Peak in Hawaii just
- 21 surpassed 400 parts per million within the past week,
- 22 May 8th through May 9th of this year, for the first time
- 23 since data recording was initiated by geochemist Charles
- 24 Keeling in 1958, who worked with the Scripps Institution
- of Oceanography at the time and is now being continued

- 1 by his son Ralph Keeling, also a geochemist.
- 2 At the current rate of increase of atmospheric
- 3 CO-2 concentrations of 2 parts per million per year as
- 4 the rate of increase, the scientifically consensual
- 5 dangerous figure of 450 parts per million will have been
- 6 attained by the year 2038, 25 years from now. It is at
- 7 this consistent level of atmospheric CO-2 concentration
- 8 that scientific consensus predicts a 2 degree Celsius
- 9 rise in global mean temperature, 2 degree Celsius rise
- 10 in global mean temperature. That approximates global
- 11 mean temperatures for the mid to late Pliocene Epoch,
- 12 which existed 2.5 to 3 million years ago when the
- 13 northern Canadian arctic was forested. And the rate of
- 14 increase of atmospheric CO-2 concentration is itself
- 15 currently accelerating, not remaining level or not
- 16 decreasing, and it will not dissipate, meaning the
- 17 concentrations of CO-2 in the atmosphere, it will not
- 18 dissipate through decomposition or reabsorption on a
- 19 time scale of thousands of years now that it is
- 20 deposited in the atmosphere.
- 21 The mid to late Pliocene was 2.5 to 3 million
- 22 years ago, well before the first introduction of our
- 23 species, Homo sapiens, through its own evolutionary
- 24 branch. There were other Homo genus homo, but not Homo
- 25 sapiens living on the earth at that time. They were the

- 1 Australopithecines, Homo Erectus, typically on the
- 2 savannahs of Africa. And that goes back approximately
- 3 500 million years.
- 4 Our species originated approximately 200,000
- 5 years ago, and we are experiencing CO-2 atmospheric
- 6 concentrations now that were existing from 2 1/2 to 3
- 7 million years ago. That's probably the main reason why
- 8 I'm opposed to the Alta -- TransAlta proposal. Why
- 9 continue to burn coal to contribute greater
- 10 concentrations of CO-2 when this is going on before our
- 11 very eyes. It's not our eyes because we can't see it,
- 12 but it's in our lives.
- 13 All right. I'll end that part there.
- 14 Well, no. I will say one more thing. The
- 15 oceans, by the way, at that time were approximately 25
- 16 meters higher than currently. Is that where we want to
- 17 be heading? Do we want to see palm trees growing on
- 18 Baffin Island?
- 19 Part B, why not have this coal plant proposal
- 20 go ahead?
- JUDGE MOSS: About 30 seconds.
- MR. FREEMAN: Because it may be feasible to
- 23 obtain electric power through lower rates through a
- 24 diversity of sources through the year 2025, some of
- 25 which may be unforeseeable at the current time. That's

- 1 the end of part B.
- 2 The second part regarding the increase in
- 3 electric rates proposal. I looked back upon my own
- 4 electric billing records to 2009 and earlier, through
- 5 the current cycle -- through the current cycle and to
- 6 the requested proposal. In 2009, the basic charge was
- 7 \$7. The basic charge has gone through \$7.25 and now
- 8 \$7.49 and is now proposed at \$7.88. From \$7 dollars to
- 9 \$7.88 is a 12.6 percent increase.
- 10 I also looked through the charges in electric
- 11 usage charges for the same period. In 2009 the electric
- 12 usage rate was .084772 dollars, in other words, that's
- 13 in cents per kilowatt hour, and it is now being proposed
- 14 at .09874 per kilowatt hour for a typical household
- 15 usage of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month, equalling
- 16 \$98.74, which was on the flip side of PSE's own --
- JUDGE MOSS: I need you to wrap up.
- 18 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. So that increase represents
- 19 a 16.5 percent increase. Together, the basic charge and
- 20 the electric usage rate increase amount to a 29.1
- 21 percent increase over a period of four years and a
- 22 little less than six months. 29.1 percent increase in a
- 23 little less than four and a half years.
- 24 Now, honestly -- and I acknowledge that those
- 25 were offset by credits that were given as well,

- 1 including the federal wind energy credit and now more
- 2 recently, the renewable energy credit, and to some
- 3 lesser extent, the merger credit, which we have not seen
- 4 much of in recent months.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Freeman, in fairness to others,
- 6 I'm going to have to ask you to stop.
- 7 MR. FREEMAN: I hear you. I hear you.
- 8 This is the last sentence of my paper. Part B
- 9 about the increase in electric rates. If some part of
- 10 the requested increases were going toward research and
- 11 development and investment in less maligned energy
- 12 sources and infrastructure throughout the entire system,
- 13 electric system, then perhaps there would be greater
- 14 receptiveness.
- 15 I thank you for giving me the opportunity to
- 16 comment and to the Staff and Public Counsel too.
- 17 JUDGE MOSS: Janet Jordan?
- 18 MS. JORDAN: I'm Janet Jordan. I live in
- 19 northern Thurston County, and I wanted to address the
- 20 contract between PSE and TransAlta. As it stands, the
- 21 contract doesn't work for public interests. Public
- 22 interest is to see the TransAlta coal burning plant shut
- down and PSE transition to renewables. Instead, there's
- 24 a contract until 2025. Why would the coal burning plant
- 25 be shut down if it's earning profits for PSE, and why

- 1 would PSE ever develop its wind and solar energy if it's
- 2 locked into coal? Much preferable would be a contract
- 3 for a declining amount of power each year from TransAlta
- 4 so that by 2025, we're not obliged to purchase anything
- 5 from them. Renewables get cheaper every year. We may
- 6 be able to transition away from coal before 2025.
- 7 I would like to see the contract renegotiated
- 8 on better terms now that the public has been involved,
- 9 and I don't think they deserve much of a bonus for that
- 10 contract.
- 11 Decoupling seems like the way to keep the
- 12 fossil fuel industry alive while transitioning to the
- 13 new industry that will replace it. This seems like a
- 14 poor idea. If we want to transition away from fossil
- 15 fuels, we shouldn't continue to invest in it, regardless
- 16 if the company offering it earns less. When a company
- 17 becomes obsolete, it does earn less. If it wants to
- 18 stay current, it needs to transition to newer
- 19 technologies, in this case to renewables.
- 20 And finally, I wanted to talk about attrition.
- 21 This rate filing mechanism is a bad idea. There's a
- 22 reason for the year-long review of the rate case, and
- 23 that is that more is involved in just the price
- 24 increases that PSE wants us to recognize. For example,
- 25 interest rates fell in 2012, allowing PSE to keep more

- 1 of what it earned, giving them a rate increase based
- 2 only on a few of the inputs, the ones that are going up
- 3 would be unfair to customers. WUTC's mission is to
- 4 protect the public interests in the face of a monopoly
- 5 industry, and I'm sure it will recognize that this is
- 6 the kind of thing we need to be protected from.
- 7 Thank you.
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you, Ms. Jordan.
- 9 And I have one more speaker signed up, Zena
- 10 Hartung. Ms. Hartung, were you here earlier to be sworn
- 11 in?
- MS. HARTUNG: Yes, I was.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 14 If you would go ahead with your name, and spell
- 15 your last name, please.
- MS. HARTUNG: Yes. My name is actually Nancy
- 17 Zena Hartung. I go by "Zena," and my last name is
- 18 spelled H-A-R-T-U-N-G, and I've lived in around Olympia
- 19 since 1980, give or take five years when I lived in
- 20 Italy, and I'm here tonight, gentlemen, to add my voice
- 21 to those who have indicated to you many reservations
- 22 about this series of requests that have come from our
- 23 monopoly power system known as Puget Sound Energy.
- 24 First off, this 3 percent rate increase per
- 25 year seems unjustified in an economic environment that

- 1 has already had years now of low interest rates for
- 2 mortgages. Generally banks are very unwilling to give
- 3 you any kind of interest at all for any sort of savings,
- 4 and companies such as this have been able to refinance a
- 5 lot of their debt now freeing up money that they would
- 6 have been paying for debt, and on top of it asking for a
- 7 rate increase somehow doesn't jibe with my understanding
- 8 of economics.
- 9 So where are the incentives here? Are the
- 10 incentives really in this decoupling for somehow
- 11 reducing the demand for profits, that somehow PSE
- 12 becomes more of a neutral provider no longer needing to
- 13 make large sums for their -- for their -- those who hold
- 14 their stocks? I really can't believe that that's going
- 15 to be the result of decoupling. It sounds to me more
- 16 like a corporation that's looking for an advantage, a
- 17 moment of -- sort of slight of hand even. You know,
- 18 don't notice the man behind the curtain.
- 20 concerned that PSE has had access to your Staff,
- 21 unlimited access, so that the hours that they are paid
- 22 for to work for the good of our community are
- 23 actually -- they're being, once again, another
- 24 slight-of-hand situation so that their hours are going
- 25 to work for the corporate interests.

- 1 So I would like to lend my voice to
- 2 Mr. Freeman's description of how we have found ourselves
- 3 now with so much carbon in the atmosphere that we've
- 4 managed to change the entire planet's climates and
- 5 probably unremittingly so for generations to come.
- 6 Though I have children, I have no grandchildren. Always
- 7 before, that had been a reason for me for sadness, and
- 8 now I have some reservations and think perhaps my
- 9 children are very wise in not choosing to create more
- 10 beings that are going to see such radical change in the
- 11 future.
- 12 Thank you, sirs.
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
- 14 That brings us to the conclusion of those who
- 15 have signed up to speak. Is there anyone else present
- 16 who wishes to speak this evening?
- 17 Is there anyone on our telephone conference
- 18 bridge line who wishes to be heard this evening?
- MR. FELLOWS: I'd like to speak.
- 20 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Go ahead.
- 21 MR. FELLOWS: I swear to tell the truth and
- 22 nothing but the truth.
- JUDGE MOSS: We'll go through the formalities,
- 24 if you don't mind.
- 25 Do you solemnly swear or affirm under penalty

- 1 of perjury that the testimony you give in this
- 2 proceeding will be the truth, the whole truth and
- 3 nothing but the truth?
- 4 MR. FELLOWS: Yes, I do.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: Please state your name for the
- 6 record and spell your last name.
- 7 MR. FELLOWS: I'm Richard Fellows,
- 8 F-E-L-L-O-W-S. I'm a PSE customer, and I don't
- 9 represent any organizations.
- 10 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you.
- 11 MR. FELLOWS: I just wanted to comment on the
- 12 amount of money that they apparently have to buy our
- 13 opinion regarding elections that happened recently. I
- 14 just feel that there needs to be some penalty of sorts
- 15 that's structurally in place to limit the amount that a
- 16 corporation can spend, a monopoly a corporation can
- 17 spend on an election regarding transferring the
- 18 infrastructure to public ownership.
- 19 There's a lot of privatization going on in the
- 20 world, and all over the world, there's graffiti on the
- 21 walls, "No to privatization." You know, privatization
- 22 supports a very small percentage of the population and
- 23 allows disparity in income to get more and more severe.
- 24 In the United States, the relative wealth of
- 25 the top 1 percent has increased something like 30-fold

- 1 in 20 years over the other 99 percent of the population,
- 2 and a lot of this has to do with neoliberal economics
- 3 and pushing privatization.
- 4 We don't really have a word for the opposite of
- 5 privatization very much. It's not part of our
- 6 discussion, but we did make an attempt here, but the
- 7 media was way against us. There was an awful lot of
- 8 money spent, and I just -- I don't think -- I don't
- 9 believe that PSE should get rewarded if, in their profit
- 10 and loss statements, part of that loss is buying our
- 11 public opinion and buying an election, and I guess
- 12 that's all I really have to say. Just my opinion.
- JUDGE MOSS: Thank you, Mr. Fellows. Appreciate
- 14 it.
- 15 Is there anyone else who wishes to speak?
- 16 Apparently not.
- 17 Well, that will bring our public comments to a
- 18 conclusion this evening. I want to remind you again,
- 19 and of course you can share the information with others
- 20 as you see fit, that we will continue to receive written
- 21 comments through close of business tomorrow, and then
- 22 Mr. ffitch will have an opportunity to assemble the
- 23 public comment exhibit and get that to us next week.
- Let me ask if Chairman Danner or other
- 25 commissioners have any closing remarks?

```
0391
 1
              COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you.
              JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, in that case, I
 2
 3
    would just like to, on behalf of the Commission, thank
    you all again very much for coming tonight and giving
 4
 5
    your testimony. It's very much appreciated, and we'll
     take it into the record. Thank you. Good night.
 6
 7
               (Proceeding concluded at 7:38 p.m.)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

0392	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Lisa Buell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript of the public hearing on
9	MAY 16, 2013, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge,
10	skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
12	seal this 29th day of May, 2013.
13	
14	
15	
16	LISA BUELL, RPR, CRR, CCR
17	
18	My commission expires:
19	DECEMBER 2014
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	