

Attached is MCI's response to Records Request No. 9 in the above named matter.

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns =  
"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office"  
>

STACY -  
Qwest cross

10/1/0  
3

Witness to provide  
his separate analy  
of the numbers on  
Exhibit 604C, p. 10

9

Recall that Mr. Stacy couldn't calculate the HHI using the proper method because he did not have the "owned line" data on a CLEC specific basis -- therefore, he could not calculate the sum of the squares but instead, he calculated the square of the sum.

Mr. Stacy ran this test to attempt to determine whether calculating the HHI using the proper method would change his conclusions regarding market concentration. It did not change his conclusions.

Also note that the HHI values Mr. Stacy calculated in this document reflect market shares that include UNE-P AND TSR. He only calculated these numbers to test his initial conclusions. To the extent that these numbers include UNE-P AND TSR, the HHIs are OVERSTATED, and these numbers should not be used for anything other than testing the conclusions he reached in his rebuttal exhibit.

Michel Singer Nelson