0001	
1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of the Petition of) Docket UT-132282
5	QWEST CORPORATION d/b/a) Pages 1-11
6	CENTURYLINK QC)
7	For Commission Approval of 2013) Additions to its Non-Impaired Wire)
8	Center List)
9	PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I
10	Pages 1-11
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STEPHANY A. WATSON
12	
13	
14	1:30 P.M.
15	JANUARY 22, 2014
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Room 206
17	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, CCR #2028
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1411 Fourth Avenue
22	Suite 820
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066 Seattle
24	360.534.9066 Olympia 800.846.6989 National
25	www.buellrealtime.com

1	A P	P E A R A N C E S
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES	
3		STEPHANY A. WATSON Washington Utilities and
4		Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
5		P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504
6		360.664.1136
7		
8	FOR CENTURYLINK COMPANIES	LISA A. ANDERL, via telephone
9		Senior Associate General Counsel 1600 Seventh Avenue
10		Room 1506 Seattle, Washington 98191
11		206.398.2500 lisa.anderl@centurylink.com
12		
13	FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES	
14	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI
15		Assistant Attorney General 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
16		Southwest P.O. Box 40128
17		Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186
18		jcameron@utc.wa.gov
19		
20	FOR INTEGRA TELECOM:	DOUGLAS DENNEY, via telephone
21		Vice President Costs & Policy 1201 Northeast Lloyd Boulevard
22		Suite 500 Portland, Oregon 97232
23		503.453.8285 dkdenney@integratelecom.com
24		
25		* * * * *

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, JANUARY 22, 2014
2	1:30 P.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	JUDGE WATSON: Let's go on the record, then.
8	My name is Stephany Watson. I'm the administrative
9	law judge in this proceeding before the Washington Utilities and
10	Transportation Commission. We are here today in Docket
11	UT-132282. This is a prehearing conference: In the Matter of
12	the Petition of Qwest Corporation d/b/a Centurylink QC For
13	Commission Approval of the 2013 Additions to its Non-Impaired
14	Wire Center List.
15	And I do want to be clear. I don't know how I'm
16	going to write this in the order that we mean the year 2013, not
17	the number of additions to the list. But when I read my draft
18	today, I thought it was somewhat odd, and I'll have to figure
19	out a way to phrase that.
20	Anyway, this is a prehearing conference which our
21	primary purpose is to discuss interventions, identify issues,
22	discuss discovery, if necessary, and set a schedule.
23	So we have two of the parties appearing by
24	teleconference. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski is in the room. I would
25	like to take appearances starting with CenturyLink then Staff

- 1 and then the Intervenor.
- Once, again, we have correct, complete
- 3 identification, addresses, telephone numbers, and so on for all
- 4 of you, we have confirmed that in the record, so it's fine with
- 5 me to just let me know your name and who you represent.
- 6 MS. ANDERL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Lisa
- 7 Anderl, in-house attorney, representing CenturyLink and
- 8 confirming that all of my contact information in our petition is
- 9 unchanged from the date of filing.
- 10 JUDGE WATSON: Thank you.
- 11 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski,
- 12 Assistant Attorney General, representing Commission Staff, and a
- 13 notice of appearance is on file.
- JUDGE WATSON: Thank you.
- 15 MR. DENNEY: This is Douglas Denney, Integra's vice
- 16 president of costs & policy, and the company representative on
- 17 behalf of Integra.
- JUDGE WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Denney.
- 19 Integra has petitioned to intervene in this
- 20 proceeding.
- 21 What are the parties' positions regarding this
- 22 petition, please?
- Ms. Anderl?
- 24 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, well, we believe that our
- 25 filing, including the confidential information, establishes that

- 1 the criteria are met for adding the Yakima Chestnut wire center
- 2 to the...
- 3 (Phone beeps.)
- 4 MS. ANDERL: ...list of unimpaired wire centers.
- 5 Do you want me to pause for a minute? Because it
- 6 sounds like somebody dialed in.
- 7 JUDGE WATSON: This is Judge Watson.
- 8 Has someone joined us?
- 9 Mr. Denney?
- 10 MR. DENNEY: I'm still here.
- 11 JUDGE WATSON: Okay. Ghosts in the wires.
- 12 MS. ANDERL: Okay. But, you know, as per our
- 13 discussions yesterday, we believe that it's also reasonable for
- 14 Integra to have some time to verify the information contained in
- 15 our confidential filing; that we have received the signed
- 16 protective orders from two of Integra's Staff, including
- 17 Mr. Denney. And we have already separately provided them the
- 18 confidential -- the highly confidential information, and we're
- 19 willing to agree, as we discussed yesterday, to some reasonable
- 20 amount of time for review of that data by Integra and any other
- 21 Intervenor we might find in today's proceeding.
- JUDGE WATSON: And that implies, once again, showing
- 23 my acute intellect, that you do not object to the intervention
- 24 of Integra?
- 25 MS. ANDERL: That's correct. No objection to

- 1 Integra's intervention.
- Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski?
- 3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Staff also has no objection
- 4 to Integra's intervention.
- 5 JUDGE WATSON: Okay. And my next question -- you got
- 6 ahead of me, Ms. Anderl, which was good. I was going to ask if
- 7 Integra had signed the confidentiality agreement and agreed to
- 8 be bound by it. And I understand that Integra has done so,
- 9 Staff has done so as well, so let's put the schedule that we
- 10 discussed yesterday on the record.
- 11 The parties have agreed to a limited period of time
- 12 for -- I guess I'll call it "document review," and I believe
- 13 that we discussed yesterday having that period of time close at
- 14 close of business February 14, 2014?
- 15 MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor. That's agreeable.
- JUDGE WATSON: Is that agreeable to Staff?
- 17 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes. And Staff will be
- 18 verifying any information that they may need to verify during
- 19 this sort of this mini discovery period, and then would raise
- 20 any issues in writing by the 14th if any were discovered.
- JUDGE WATSON: And Integra, Mr. Denney?
- 22 MR. DENNEY: Yes, that's acceptable. Thank you.
- JUDGE WATSON: Okay. I'm just taking a note here.
- 24 Will the parties need further discovery at this time
- 25 that should be memorialized in an order, or shall we just

- 1 proceed informally until close of business Valentine's Day?
- 2 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, from Staff's
- 3 perspective, I don't believe that we anticipate needing formal
- 4 discovery at this point. And if we did find that we did need
- 5 it, then we would ask at a later time.
- 6 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, CenturyLink doesn't
- 7 contemplate doing any discovery of Integra or Staff at this
- 8 point.
- 9 JUDGE WATSON: Okay. And, Mr. Denney?
- 10 MR. DENNEY: Based on our preliminary review of the
- 11 data, we do not have any discovery of CenturyLink at this time.
- 12 JUDGE WATSON: Good. Then what we're going to do is
- 13 defer ordering or requiring any formal discovery beyond this
- 14 pleasant exchange of information before the 14th. And what we
- 15 agreed to yesterday was to set a specific date for a status
- 16 conference at which all of you would advise me whether or not
- 17 you need further evidentiary process, and if so -- if so, would
- 18 come prepared with a preliminary schedule to, you know, do the
- 19 usual things that we would need to do if we were to have a
- 20 hearing, such as exchange documents, cross-exhibits, that sort
- 21 of thing, as well as the hearing itself.
- 22 So assuming if that's the case, if you need further
- 23 process, then you would come with a schedule. And if you don't,
- 24 you would advise me and everyone else presumably, that you had
- 25 come to some sort of agreement that you would memorialize and

- 1 file with the Commission, and we'd agree on a date for that.
- 2 Is that a fair characterization of what we talked
- 3 about yesterday?
- 4 MS. ANDERL: Yeah, Your Honor. I think it
- 5 encompasses the range of possibilities, including there not
- 6 being an agreement per se, but rather that Integra being
- 7 satisfied that the information contained in our petition is
- 8 correct and would just file something saying, you know, perhaps
- 9 no objection or no opposition, and then maybe you would want at
- 10 that point to ask the parties if they would waive hearing and
- 11 waive an initial order and then the Commission could just decide
- 12 the matter on the paper record.
- JUDGE WATSON: Great.
- MS. ANDERL: And the reason I say that -- sorry to
- 15 cut you off, but --
- JUDGE WATSON: No, no.
- MS. ANDERL: -- is so that we wouldn't necessarily
- 18 have to go through the process of supporting a settlement
- 19 agreement because it wouldn't be a settlement per se.
- JUDGE WATSON: I appreciate you clearing that up for
- 21 me, and I mean that sincerely.
- 22 Anyone else have anything to add to the way that I
- 23 have characterized what the status conference will do?
- 24 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: What I was anticipating is
- 25 what Ms. Anderl just described.

- JUDGE WATSON: And for Integra, Mr. Denney?
- 2 MR. DENNEY: Yes. We agree with the way that
- 3 Ms. Anderl described that, and our expectation is that we will
- 4 file on February 14th, you know, with our findings of the review
- 5 of the data so it will be clear on that day, I think, as to
- 6 whether further steps are going to be required or whether we
- 7 have no objection.
- 8 JUDGE WATSON: Do you want me to memorialize that in
- 9 the order that that would be the date for filing objections?
- MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 JUDGE WATSON: Okay.
- 12 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And could I suggest that it
- 13 state not only filing objections, but perhaps raising issues,
- 14 which would probably be more in line with what Staff might be
- 15 doing?
- JUDGE WATSON: That's fine with me.
- And the date that we agreed for the status
- 18 conference?
- 19 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, I thought we said February
- 20 26th, but then I wasn't sure if that was a conflict on
- 21 somebody's calendar.
- JUDGE WATSON: That's what I wrote down.
- 23 Anybody want to comment on that?
- 24 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's what I thought, too,
- 25 and that worked for me.

1	MS. ANDERL: That's fine, yeah.
2	JUDGE WATSON: Okay. And, Integra? Does that work
3	for you, Mr. Denney?
4	MR. DENNEY: Yes, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE WATSON: We'll do it in the afternoon, 1:30
6	here, assuming the room's open. If not, we'll do it Room 108,
7	and I'll put that in the order.
8	And does anyone have anything else today?
9	MS. ANDERL: No, Your Honor. Just maybe if we would
10	check the bridge one more time to make sure we haven't missed
11	any Intervenors?
12	And I would state for the record that I have not
13	received any other written petitions to intervene other than
14	Integra's.
15	JUDGE WATSON: If anyone is on the bridge line other
16	than a representative of Integra or a representative of
17	CenturyLink, will he or she please identify themselves?
18	Okay. I think we're okay.
19	All right. We're adjourned. We can go off the
20	record. Thank you.
21	(Proceeding concluded at 1:42 p.m.)
22	-000-
23	

```
0011
 1
                         CERTIFICATE
 2
    STATE OF WASHINGTON )
 3
                          ) ss
    COUNTY OF KING
 4
 5
           I, SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
 6
 7
     and Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
 8
     certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
 9
     the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
10
           IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal
11
    this 29th day of January, 2014.
12
13
14
                              SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, CCR
15
16
    My commission expires:
     June 29, 2017
17
18
19
20
21
```