

2026 COLSTRIP TRACKER REPORT

ON DECOMMISSIONING AND REMEDIATION

PUGET SOUND ENERGY
DOCKETS UE-220066 AND UG-220067
(CONSOLIDATED)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2025

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to paragraph, 275 of Order 24 in Dockets UE-220066 and UG-220067 (consolidated) (Puget Sound Energy's 2022 GRC) and paragraph 429 of Order 08 in Dockets UE-190529 and UG-190530 (consolidated), Puget Sound Energy ("PSE") submits this report as a part of this Colstrip Tracker Filing. The intent of this report is to provide an update to the Commission on Colstrip's status, provide the most recent estimates for retirement dates, and the amount of decommissioning and remediation ("D&R") expenditures for the Colstrip units along with an update to the estimated future D&R costs. The report also provides a detail of the sufficiency of the retirement account established pursuant to RCW 80.84.020 to cover the estimated D&R costs of Units 1&2. Additionally, the report provides information related to the rate base and operating costs included in the Colstrip Tracker. In those years where an IRP is filed, the report will also include information regarding replacement power costs.

An Overview of How the Remediation Process Generally Works

Remediation projects, whether they are managed within the Federal Superfund program or a State led program, follow a set process that was originally developed as part of the Federal Superfund program. The core of the program and process are the Remedial Investigation ("Investigation"), Feasibility Study ("Study") and Engineering & Remedial Design ("Design") phases. During the Investigation phase, the nature and extent of contamination is determined through the performance of soil and groundwater investigations. The information obtained through those investigations is used to identify cleanup criteria based on the chemicals of concern, the areas and medium affected by those chemicals, the concentrations of the chemicals and any existing or potential receptors that could be impacted by the chemicals. The Study phase builds upon the information developed as part of the Investigation phase and identifies technologies that are capable of addressing the contamination as well as the potential costs with the ultimate goal of identifying a Preferred Alternative that is agreed upon by the regulatory agency. At this point, the level of design is typically 5 to 10% which results in the cost estimates being high level and subject to change. Upon the completion of the Investigation and Study phases, the agency will typically direct the performing party to proceed with the next step which is the Engineering & Remedial Design. During this stage, the primary focus is the engineering and design of the Preferred Alternative as well as identification and completion of potential additional investigation needed in support of the design stage. Final design, permitting and contracting eventually provide greater clarity as to what the final cost will be.

The Process of Remediation as it Relates to Colstrip

Three regulations drive remediation work at Colstrip, the Federal Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") Coal Combustion Residuals Rule ("CCR"), the Montana

Administrative Order on Consent (“AOC”), and the Montana Coal-Fired Generating Unit Remediation Act.

CCR (Federal)

The CCR rule was published by the EPA on April 17, 2015 and became effective October 19, 2015. In 2016 the U.S. Senate passed legislation amending the Rule. The Rule’s intent is to regulate coal combustion residuals under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Subtitle D. The CCR rule addresses the risks from coal ash disposal and sets out recordkeeping and reporting requirements. In January 2022, EPA released revised interpretations of the CCR Part A Final Rule addressing requests for timeline extensions by a number of facilities. The Rule grants facilities the option to submit a demonstration to EPA to obtain an extension of the deadline for unlined CCR surface impoundments. These interpretations raised concerns for many facilities throughout the country in regards to the aquifer location standard and are being challenged in the courts. For this reason, Talen Montana (“Talen”)¹ performed a review of the potential impact to the existing Colstrip Closure Plans. Following the review, on May 18, 2023 the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (“DEQ”) requested a modified remedy be developed for the Plant site. The new remedy for the Plant site (“Closure by Removal”) was submitted to DEQ on July 17, 2023. On April 19, 2024, DEQ provided approval of Plant site Alternative 4B.

AOC (State)

The AOC addresses impacts to groundwater from Colstrip. Talen as operator of Colstrip and the DEQ entered into the AOC in 2012. It provides an extensive process for determining potential groundwater impacts and assessing previous work to address impacts, as well as, laying out standards for addressing contamination and evaluating options for the ultimate clean-up and closure of specified Colstrip facilities. The Order provides a process for investigation and for the development of reports and plans necessary for the remediation of contamination associated with the operation of the Colstrip plant. The Order requires that investigations are overseen by the DEQ and it is the DEQ that will ultimately review and approve all reports and plans. The AOC splits Colstrip environmental impact into the following three areas for working purposes:

1. the Plant Site (includes the area near the physical plant structures, some of which are common structures for Units 1-4),

¹ The original operator of the Colstrip plant was Montana Power Company. In 1999, PPL purchased the Montana Power Company ownership portion of Colstrip and took over the operator role. In 2015, PPL restructured their assets and created Talen Energy which then assumed the operator role at Colstrip. Talen Energy has since had an ownership change but still remains operator at Colstrip in the form of Talen Montana (“Talen MT”). On May 9, 2022, Talen Energy Corporation, now Talen MT’s parent corporation, filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas. Talen MT, as a subsidiary of the larger Talen Corporation, was included in the filing. The bankruptcy reorganization concluded in May 2023.

2. Units 1&2, and
3. Units 3&4.

The vast majority of the costs for CCR are encompassed in the AOC. Therefore, the AOC reports are used as the basis for amounts disclosed in this annual report.

A synopsis of the process from the DEQ website ([Colstrip Coal Ash Pond Cleanup | Montana DEQ \(mt.gov\)](https://colstripcoalashpondcleanup.mt.gov/)) is provided below.

- *Step 1: Talen must prepare “Site Characterization Reports” for each of the three areas that describe the existing conditions, including the extent of the contamination. The reports must also describe what has been done so far to address the contamination, and how effective those measures have been in remediating the contamination.*
- *Step 2: Talen will prepare Cleanup Criteria and Risk Assessment Reports. These reports will identify the standards that Talen will have to achieve in its remediation of the contamination.*
- *Step 3: Talen must prepare Remedy Evaluation Reports, which will evaluate different options for remediation of the contamination.*
- *Step 4: Talen must prepare Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans.*
- *Step 5: Once DEQ approves of the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans. Talen must implement the selected remedies.*

While the Remedy Evaluation Report for the Plant Site area had previously received approval from DEQ, a review and revision was requested. A new Remedy Evaluation Report for the Plant Site was provided to DEQ on July 17, 2023 and DEQ provided approval on April 19, 2024. DEQ identified Alternative 10 as the Remedy Evaluation Report for Units 1&2. The Units 3&4 Remedy Evaluation Report received approval from DEQ in June 2022.

The plan submission is as follows –

Report	Based On	DEQ Review Status
Plant Site Remedy Evaluation	Alternative 4B	Approval April 2024
Units 1&2 Remedy Evaluation Integrated Report	Alternative 10	Approval October 2021
Units 3&4 Remedy Evaluation	Alternative 4	Approval June 2022

As previously discussed, the above reports are used as the basis for the amounts discussed in the detailed reporting sections below. Final design, permitting and contracting will eventually provide greater clarity as to what the final cost will be.

Montana Coal-Fired Generating Unit Remediation Act

The Montana Coal-Fired Generating Unit Remediation Act is codified in Montana Code Title 75, Chapter 8. The code requires an owner that is retiring a coal fired plant to file a remediation plan. The plan must demonstrate that it will attain a degree of cleanup of the coal-fired generating plant affected property consistent with, but not more stringent than applicable legal obligations, giving consideration to reasonably anticipated future uses of affected property. There are also labor related requirements that the plant owners must follow when undertaking remediation activities. In practice the law ensures that a retiring coal plant owner communicates their intentions of how to meet legal obligations such as the AOC and CCR to the State so DEQ can review and ensure compliance. No additional remediation costs have been realized with this law since it mainly ensures environmental remediation is done within current laws and regulations.

Colstrip Reporting Requirements

PSE provides the following responses to the specific questions outlined in PSE's 2022 GRC:

Exhibit SEF-18 page 43:

Updated Unrecovered Cost Estimates for Decommissioning and Remediation:

As stated on lines 6 through 8 on page 43 of Exhibit SEF-18, unrecovered cost estimates for D&R are included in Tables 1 and 2 in Item 3 below which are further supported in Attachment A to this report. Also, please see the tab titled "Estimated D&R Recovery" in the revenue requirement work papers provided in support of the Schedule 141COL rate change request. As noted directly below, this amount will be trued-up for actual spend in next year's Schedule 141COL filing.

Differences in actual D&R paid and forecasted D&R:

As stated on lines 8 through 10 on page 43 of Exhibit SEF-18, any differences in actual D&R paid and forecasted D&R balances compared to what were utilized to set the 2025 rate have been trued-up as well in setting the 2026 rate.

Prudence of Plant Costs Included in the Tracker:

As stated on lines 11 through 15 on page 43 of Exhibit SEF-18, when resetting rates annually, all costs incurred in the prior period would be subject to a prudence review. In this third annual filing to reset 2026 tracker rates, all plant costs have been removed, any unrecovered plant costs, which will be informed by the Commission's decision in

Docket UE-240729 (“2025 Tracker Filing”)², will be offset against PTC’s in PSE’s 2027 Colstrip Tracker filing.

Operating Expenses:

PSE has set operating expenses to zero in the 2026 revenue requirement, (see cells C46, C47, and C48 in the tab titled “2026 Rev Req” in the revenue requirement work papers submitted with this year’s filing).

Actual operating expenses through December 31, 2025 will be the basis for the true-up of the 2025 forecasted operating expenses that are included in existing rates. PSE will propose to offset the related true-up against PTC’s in PSE’s 2027 Tracker Filing. The causes for the change in the revenue requirement are presented on the “Rev Req Summary” tab of the revenue requirement file and in the table below:

**Table 1 - Reasons For Reduction In Revenue Requirement
(in Millions)**

1	Revenue Requirement Pending Approval in UE-240729	<u>\$ 57.5</u>
2		
3	Causes For Change in Revenue Requirement:	
4	Removal of O&M Expense	(34.7)
5	Removal of Depreciation Expense	(47.8)
6	Increase in D&R Recovery	0.5
7	(Increase) Decrease in Flow-Through Tax Benefit	2.8
8	(Increase) Decrease in True-Up	6.8
9	Increase (Decrease) in Rate Base Return from Plant Removal	<u>(1.8)</u>
10	Total Reduction in Revenue Requirement	<u>(74.2)</u>
11		
12	Proposed Revenue Requirement in this Filing	<u>\$(16.7)</u>

Exhibit SEF-18 pages 44-45:

1. [T]he most recent estimate of the actual retirement date for Colstrip Units 1&2 and Colstrip Units 3 and/or 4.

PSE and Talen are 50 percent partners in Units 1&2, which ceased operating in January 2020. The units were retired on PSE’s books in December 2019.

² PSE made its 2025 Tracker Filing with 2025 costs on September 30, 2024, under Docket UE-240729. PSE’s proposed rate filing was allowed to go into effect as filed on January 1, 2025, subject to refund. The Commission’s final determination of this matter is still pending at the time of this required filing.

PSE has entered into an Abandonment and Acquisition (A&A) Agreement with Northwestern Corporation which provides that at the end of 2025, PSE will exit ownership of Colstrip Units 3&4 and no longer receive generation from the Units. Under that Agreement, PSE would maintain a role in remediation-related decision-making and would be responsible for its share of remediation liabilities and limited other costs resulting from activities prior to January 1, 2026. The Agreement is still pending, as several stipulations must be met prior to December 31, 2025.

2. [I]n the event of an estimated retirement date earlier than July 1, 2022, for Colstrip Units 1&2, and upon the determination by PSE of an estimated retirement date for Colstrip Units 3 and/or 4, a discussion and evaluation of consequences to customers arising from those estimated retirement dates.

As noted above Colstrip Units 1&2 ceased operating in January 2020 and have been retired.

As a result of the 2017 GRC Settlement, PSE is able to utilize production tax credits (“PTCs”) and hydro-related Treasury Grants to offset the unrecovered plant balances as well as D&R costs for Units 1&2. Both the plant balance and the treasury grant balances are included in current rates. Pursuant to the 2017 GRC Settlement, the unrecovered plant balance at retirement became a regulatory asset that is allowed rate base treatment – mirroring the treatment of the existing plant balance – which results in similar treatment whether the unrecovered plant balance is held in plant accounts or in regulatory asset accounts. Additionally, all monetized PTCs are included in rate base.³ Therefore, since all forms of plant balance, regulatory asset and PTCs are provided rate base treatment or its equivalent, PSE does not consider there to be an impact to customers related to the plant balances for the earlier retirement date.

Regarding Colstrip Units 3&4, PSE expects similar impacts as discussed for Units 1&2 given that the anticipated regulatory treatment will be the same. An example based on specific assumptions of PSE operational exit on December 31, 2025 and then-current estimates was provided in Exhs. SEF-18 and SEF-19 in PSE’s 2022 GRC.

3. [D]ecommissioning and remediation expenditures associated with Colstrip Units since the time of the last report and updated estimates of future costs.

Item (iii) in PSE’s 2022 GRC, Testimony of Susan E. Free, Exhibit SEF-18 pages 44-45: specifies reporting of costs *since the last report*. However, paragraph 429 in Order No. 08 in Docket UE-190529 required PSE to include *all* D&R expenditures in the Annual Colstrip Reports. Therefore, amounts provided in this section represent all D&R costs from inception through the cutoff date of the report, which in this case is June 30, 2024. Supporting detail of the D&R since the last report is included in PSE’s work papers submitted in support of the Schedule 141COL requested tariff change.

³ PSE incorporated monetized PTCs as of June 30, 2020 into rate base as part of the Power Cost Only Rate Case which went into effect on July 1, 2021.

As of June 30, 2025, there have been no significant additional decommissioning expenditures within the last year and PSE has incurred \$20.2 million of decommissioning costs for Colstrip Units 1&2, which were offset by the treasury grant funding allowed pursuant to Chapter 80.84 RCW. The initial work done was to ensure the structures can be left safely until demolition, which will occur at a later date. The activities included costs associated with finalizing coal contract remediation as well as demolition of selected accessory structures that were no longer needed due to the cessation of generation. Demolition costs were related to the cooling towers, clarifier tanks and some coal conveyors. Also the main transformers and other parts and tools unique to the facilities were removed from the site. Additionally, processes such as fluid drainage, electrical disconnection, universal and hazardous waste identification and removal were completed.

The main portions of Colstrip Units 1&2 remain intact until the retirement and disposition of Units 3&4. PSE and Talen may choose to do selected demolition on some out-buildings and equipment in the future but little, if any, demolition will occur until Units 3&4 cease operation. There is no estimate of this cost at this time.

Life to date remediation expenditures recorded for Units 1&2 are \$60.9 million, which were offset by previously collected legal cost of removal, treasury grant funding allowed pursuant to Chapter 80.84 RCW and PTCs. Included in this amounts is additional remediation spending since the last report of \$9.1 million, the supporting detail of which is included in PSE's work papers submitted in support of the Schedule 141COL requested tariff change.

Pursuant to paragraphs 768 and 769 in the Commission's Final Order 8 of Docket UE-190529 et al, all remediation expenditures for Colstrip 3&4 have been moved to a regulatory asset account. This account contains all life to date remediation costs for Units 3&4 and totals \$33.8 million. It is anticipated that these costs will be recovered through application against the PTCs and through the Colstrip Tracker. Included in this amounts is additional remediation spending since the last report of \$4.4 million, the supporting detail of which is included in PSE's work papers submitted in support of the Schedule 141COL requested tariff change.

A detail of the work included in the additional \$13.5 million of ARO work performed for all units since the last filing is included as a work paper to this filing. A summary of the work is shown below.

Table 2 – Remediation Spending Units 1 through 4

Project	Units 1&2	Units 3&4	Grand Total
ARO Construction Totals	\$ 6,527,136	\$ 3,304,368	\$ 9,831,504
EHP Wastewater AOC	-	374,503	374,503
Forced Evaporation	-	196,902	196,902
Ground Water Capture Treatment System	1,261,943	422,656	1,684,600
Plantsite Wastewater AOC	171,629	183,533	355,161
SOEP-STEP Wastewater AOC	454,482	-	454,482
Total Invoiced from Talen	\$ 8,415,190	\$ 4,481,963	\$ 12,897,152
Decommissioning, Salvage and Other	124,456	(352,493)	(228,038)
Overhead	530,883	271,509	802,392
Total Costs Applied Against PTCs	\$ 9,070,528	\$ 4,400,979	\$ 13,471,507

As further support for these amounts that have been applied against the PTCs, ARO work is a legally enforceable liability associated with the retirement of a tangible capital asset. At a minimum, all work performed at Colstrip under the AOC and CCR rule are ARO expenses. The AOC is a long standing and ongoing legal agreement between the Colstrip Electric Generating Station and the State of Montana through the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) to address groundwater impact from the Colstrip ash impoundment ponds. As described above starting on page 2, there has been an extensive process to identify environmental impacts, assess options to address that impact, evaluate those options and reach approval on the final plan choice. Talen MT has been working with MDEQ for over a decade in an iterative process to develop final plans to address the impacted areas, MDEQ has a dedicated staff to monitor and work with Talen MT on implementation of the AOC at Colstrip.

Remedy Evaluation Reports were developed to evaluate the approach to address contamination associated with the leaking ponds at Colstrip. Impacts are followed up by Remedial Design and Remedial Action works plans which are also reviewed by MDEQ staff and their external experts for approval. The Plans provide more specific work scope as well as a timeline for implementation. The Colstrip Owners are required by the AOC to provide financial assurance in the event that any Owner fails to meet their financial obligations. As part of that process MDEQ independently evaluates the adequacy of the financial estimates and, therefore, sufficiency of the financial assurance mechanism.

Additionally, the AOC has a built-in public participation component, Section V. As such, MDEQ posts each report on its website for public review. Then prior to approving or disapproving each new report, MDEQ provides a public review period to provide comment on the reports. In addition, there is an annual meeting held by MDEQ to educate and invite public comment on the AOC activities.

Talen MT is working with MDEQ on the implementation of the remedies selected by MDEQ as part of the Remedy Evaluation process. The MDEQ makes multiple in-person

Colstrip site visits a year and is in weekly meetings with Colstrip staff on ongoing work activities.

Colstrip leads work on the AOC activities utilizing local and national contractors. Those contractors include Geosyntec Consultants (Master Planning, Cap Design/CQA, Remedy Selection/Design and Peer Review); Hydrometrics (Site Investigation/Interim Remedy Implementation); GSI (Groundwater Modeling); Marietta Canty LLC (Risk Assessment). The Colstrip Owners evaluate the progression and work scopes of the ARO work on a regular basis. Annually the Owners consider, review and approve or deny the next year's ARO activities through the budget process. At monthly meetings the Colstrip Owners discuss real time progress of projects and any necessary financial or work scope adjustments.

The vast majority of CCR regulations are covered within the AOC activities. However, as a provision of the CCR rule Talen MT maintains a public website with hundreds of documents available for public review.

To date there have been no decommissioning expenditures for Units 3&4.

The following addresses PSE's current estimates of future D&R costs for the Colstrip Units.

Decommissioning –

There will be continuing decommissioning costs to keep Units 1&2 in a safe, dark, cold and dry condition including monitoring of the structures for security and safety purposes. This includes, but is not limited to, property and liability insurance, maintenance and lighting of the stacks, periodic walk-throughs of the generator building to monitor for issues like pest control and safety hazards, and maintenance of storm water and runoff systems. Additionally, PSE must pay a management fee to Talen, satisfy pension and insurance obligations (as well for Units 3&4), pay for utilities, safety inspections, pumps, security of the plant, Marsh bonding and environmental consulting. Although the plant is not operable, personnel must continue to be onsite because of the remediation work. For example, people are onsite to monitor the remediation, and therefore need computers (IT) and accounting for this work.

Given the fact there is no identified retirement date for Colstrip Units 3&4 there has been no estimate for decommissioning of the physical structures of that portion of the facility.

Remediation –

Plant Site

The original Plant Site Remedy Evaluation Report Alternative 4 was approved by the DEQ in 2018. An updated Remedy Evaluation Report (Alternative 4B) was provided to

DEQ on July 17, 2023 and approved on April 19, 2024. Based on Alternative 4B, the estimated cost of work to be completed in 2026 and forward for the Remedy Evaluation report for the Plant site is \$90.1 million in 2025 dollars. PSE's estimated share of the remaining obligation is \$33.8 million and of that \$22.5 million is allocated to Units 1&2 and \$11.2 million is related to Units 3&4. When adjusted for inflation, PSE's share of the estimated costs total \$44.9 million, with \$29.4 million and \$15.5 million allocated to Units 1&2 and Units 3&4, respectively. Attachment A to this report provides the breakdown by year of these cost estimates.

Units 1&2

Per a Settlement Agreement reached in October 2021 by DEQ and Talen, Alternative 10 was chosen as the Remedy Evaluation. The updated total estimated cost of work to be completed in 2026 and forward based on Alternative 10 is \$104.7 million in 2025 dollars. When adjusted for inflation, PSE's share of the estimated costs total \$117.2 million.

Units 3&4

The Units 3&4 Remedy Evaluation Report was approved by DEQ in June 2022. Alternative 4 was chosen by DEQ to meet the necessary clean up criteria. The updated total estimated cost of work to be completed in 2026 and forward is \$23.6 million in 2025 dollars. When adjusted for inflation, PSE's share of the estimated costs total \$30.8 million.

The following tables summarize PSE's estimated future remediation costs associated with Units 1&2 and Units 3&4 based on the approved DEQ alternatives. The inflation adjustment of 2.5% used in this report is based on the discount rate used in PSE's 2023 Electric Progress report.⁴

Table 3
Summary of Units 1&2 Decommissioning & Remediation Estimate PSE's Share

	Estimated Costs	
	Current Dollars *	Inflation Adj. @2.5%
Decommissioning ¹	\$ -	\$ -
Plant Site Remedy Eval ²	22,536,077	29,409,758
1&2 Integrated Remedy Eval ³	104,659,125	117,192,657
Total	\$127,195,202	\$146,602,415

* Plant Site costs are in 2025 Dollars, Unit 1&2 Remedy Eval. costs are in 2025 Dollars.

¹ Actual life to-date spend on Units 1&2 Decommissioning is reported above. There are no estimates of future decommissioning costs at this time

² Based on Plant Site Remedy Evaluation, Alternative 4B, approved 4/2024

³ Based on the Units 1&2 Integrated Remedy Evaluation, Alternative 10, approved 6/1/2023

Table 4

⁴<https://www.pse.com/en/IRP/Past-IRPs/2023-IRP>

Summary of Units 3&4 Decommissioning & Remediation Estimate PSE's Share

	Estimated Costs*	
	Current Dollars	Inflation Adj. @2.5%
Decommissioning ¹	\$14,800,000	\$20,078,542
Plant Site Remedy Eval ²	11,245,437	15,498,934
3&4 Remedy Eval ³	23,594,488	30,762,631
Total	\$49,639,925	\$66,340,107

* Decommissioning Costs are in 2025 Dollars. Remediation costs are in 2025 Dollars

¹ Based on an assumption of \$40/MW as built into decommissioning costs in the Depreciation Study approved in PSE's general rate case in Docket UE-190529 and inflated to 2026.

² Based on Plant Site Remedy Evaluation, Alternative 4B, approved 4/2024

³ Based on Units 3&4 Remedy Evaluation, Alternative 4, approved 6/22/2022

4. [A]n evaluation of the sufficiency of the retirement account established pursuant to Chapter 80.84 RCW to fund and recover decommissioning and remediation activities for Colstrip Units 1&2.

As described in exhibit SEF-18, in PSE's 2022 GRC, and approved by the Commission, PSE will recover prudently incurred Colstrip 1&2 decommissioning and remediation costs in excess of Treasury Grants and PTCs through rates established in the Colstrip Tracker.⁵ The tracker will continue to be updated annually until remediation efforts at the Colstrip facilities have been completed. Based on studies completed by Geosyntec and approved by the DEQ, this is estimated to be 2071. See Exh. RJR-29 from PSE's 2022 GRC. \$95.9 million of Treasury Grants funded the retirement account and are available to address Colstrip Units 1&2 D&R activities. At this time, estimated future D&R costs for Units 1&2 on a nominal basis are \$127.2 million, based on the DEQ Settlement Agreement, which identifies Alternative 10 as the currently chosen Alternative. Of the estimates that can be adjusted for inflation, estimated future D&R costs for Units 1&2 on an inflation-adjusted basis are \$146.6 million. These estimates are for future spend. PSE has already spent \$60.9 million on decommissioning and remediation on Units 1&2. When combined with estimated future expenditures, if realized, would lead to the retirement account recovering all but approximately \$92.2 million of decommissioning and remediation costs.

5. [A]n evaluation of the sufficiency of existing depreciation rates for Colstrip Units 3&4 to cover decommissioning and remediation costs for those units.

In addition, as described in exhibit SEF-18, in PSE's 2022 GRC, and approved by the Commission, PSE is no longer recovering Colstrip 3&4 decommissioning and remediation costs through depreciation rates. As discussed above, Colstrip 3&4 D&R costs, like Colstrip 1&2 D&R costs, will be recovered through the Colstrip Tracker.

⁵ Free, Exh. SEF-18 at 2:4-3:3.

6. [F]or years in which PSE issues an Integrated Resource Plan, updated replacement power costs.

PSE has filed a 2023 Electric Progress Report to its 2021 Electric IRP, which is located here: <https://www.pse.com/en/IRP/Past-IRPs/2023-IRP>

7. [A]ctual D&R expenses.

Item 3 above contains information on actual D&R expenses.