Western	Region	Unit li	nform	atior
******		O	🗢	

			Western Reg	ion Unit Ir	nformatio	<u>n</u>		
Inspector or State Office: Washington		SMART Activity # 128482						
Unit ID:	925		Unit Name:	WA-UTC/OPL-NORTH				
Operator ID:	30781		Operator Name:	OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY				
Jnit Boundar	ies							
Description:				Device:		Latitude:	Longitude:	
Cherry Point St	tation to Fernd	ale Station, 1	.6", 5 miles				<u> </u>	
Ferndale Statio	on to Bayview T	Terminal, 16"	& 20", 37.5 miles					
Bayview Termi	inal to Allen Sta	stion, 16" & 2	20", 1.2 miles					
Anacortes Stat	tion to Bayview	Terminal, 16	5", 9 miles					
Allen Station to	o Woodinville S	Station, 16", 4	49.2 miles					
Woodinville St	ation to Rento	n Station, 16'	', 26.3 miles					
Allen Station t	o Renton Static	on, 20", 75.4	miles					
Pre-Inspectio	n							
-		locumented l	here is in addition to	other pre-inspec	tion efforts [pul	ling unit summ	aries, SRCR's, Annual	
eports, Acciden	nt/Incident Repo	orts, previous	PIM, Post-Inspection	n OQ & IMP repo	orts, previous an	d outstanding	enforcement actions, etc.	
Special permit Accidents/Inci 2009-0144, 2007-0361, 2005-0352, 2005-0220,	s: None. dents: 05/03/2009, Ir 12/20/2007, E 11/07/2005, E 07/15/2005, E	ncorrect Opei quipment Fai quipment Fai	mber (from 04/2008 ration (Small Spill Fo ilure (Small Spill Forr ilure (Small Spill Forr ilure (Small Spill Forr	rm, 63 gallons) m, 7 gallons) m, 30 gallons)			·	
Baseline Info								
1) If accidents	s or incidents h	ave occurred	in this unit, what ha	s the operator d	one to prevent	recurrence? (se	elect all that apply)	
X	Added Equipr	nent	. Proced	dural Change		Engineering I	Barriers Added	
X	Removed Equ	ipment	X Addition	onal Training		Other	·	
De	CCLIDE: 1	•	nent of maul-functiouuld prevent	• •		perator trainir	ng along with procedure	
2) Will these	۱ actions adequa			•	dents.			
Ple	ease Explain:	The operator	r has done whatever	they can to miti	gate threats.			
	j bnormal event							
		Г			oton Station and	the operator s	shut down the pipeline	
	scribe Operato sponse:	' '					ampling line as a result of	
4) Commodit	y Transported:							
Liq	uid 1:	Refined and,	or Petroleum Pro	Gas 1:				
Liq	uid 2:			Gas 2:				
5) Year of Ori	ginal Installatio	n (yyyy): 19	965 Pi	pe specification	(e.g. API 5L, AST	M D2513) A	PI 5L grade X-52	
6) Normal Op	erating Pressu	re (psig), min	: 200	max: 1440	% SMY	S, max: 69		
7) MOP/MAC	P (psig), min:	926 max	c: 1440 Chang	es in MOP/MAO	P in previous ye	ar: (Increas	se C Decrease 🌀 Nor	

8) Seam Type: ERW							
9) Coating Type: Coal Tar							
10) Overall Coating Quality: CPG	oor (F	air © Good	Coating Improvement Efforts: (Yes No				
Describe:		•					
11) Potential for AC Interference?	(● Yes	C No	Has operator tested for stray current? Yes No				
12) Parallel Construction/Crossing?	(● Yes	€ No Ex	xplain: The 16" & 20" between Allen and Renton for the most				
13a) [Gas Only] Is there a monitoring	g program fo	or liquids? (Yes					
Method:	Method:						
Frequency:							
13b) [Liquid Only] Are there Dead Le	egs? 🌘 Yes	€ No					
Explain: There a	re dead legs	at the stations. The	e operator has been removing dead legs whenever possible.				
14) [Liquid Only] Number of cycles:	Five (5)	per CD	Day				
Pressure range (psig):	ıp to 1,000 ps	sig.					
15) Has equipment been deleted/ac	dded that cha	inged the hydrauli	c profile of this line? (Yes (No				
Explain:	·						
16) Level of automation: C Manu	ual Control	C Local/SCADA					
17) Total unit mileage: 408	-11						
18) HCA-Affecting Mileage (% of total							
Other Population Area (%)	High Population Area (%): The HCA miles are 316 out						
Drinking Water USA (%):	·),.	of a total of 408					
Ecological Resource USA (%):		both north and south units.					
Commercially Navigable \	•	(77% is in HCA)					
19) Indicate the year of the most red	ent tool run	and summarize re	sults, including digs:				
Тоо! Туре	Tool Type		Results Summary				
Magnetic Flux Leakage	Magnetic Flux Leakage		MFL & Geometry runs for the 14" Renton to Portland did not sh				
Magnetic Flux Leakage		2009	MFL & Geometry runs for the North Unit resulted in 3 digs.				
st-Inspection Information							
20) Using your engineering judgeme	nt, describe h	now well this unit's	s threats are being addressed:				
Corrosion Specific:	C Poor	← Fair	(● Good				
Equipment Specific:	C Poor	C Fair	(● Good				
Excavation Specific:	(Poor	← Fair	(● Good				
Human Error Specific:	○ Poor	← Fair	(● Good				
Material/Weld Specific:	C Poor	← Fair	● Good				
Natural Force Specific:	C Poor	← Fair	Good				
Overall:	C Poor	C Fair	(● Good				
Additional Assessments:							