BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
In the Matter of the Petition of		Oocket No. UT-
VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.		
For '		PETITION FOR WAIVER OF PERIZON NORTHWEST INC.
1.	This petition is brought by Verizon Northwes ashington, 98201. Verizon is represented on this m	
,, a s	isimigron, 702011 + onzon is represented on unis in	anter oy.
	Gregory M. Romano	
	General Counsel - Northwest Region	
	Verizon	
	1800 41st Street, WA0105GC	
	Everett, WA 98201	
	Phone: (425)261-5460	
	Fax: (425)252-4913	
2.	Verizon petitions the Commission, pursuan	t to WAC 480-120-015, for a permanent
waiv	iver of the requirements in WAC 480-120-560(2)	and (3) regarding implementation intervals
for r	requests for collocation.	
	I. RELIEF SO	U GHT
3.	Verizon requests that the Commission grant a	waiver from WAC 480-120-560(2) and (3)
to al	allow Verizon to continue to apply the implemen	tation intervals for requests for collocation
approved by the Commission on January 14, 2004, in Order No. 01, Docket No. UT-031995		
("Waiver Order"), and extended through March 1, 2007 in Order No. 01, Docket No. UT-		
061330 ("Interim Order"). Verizon would implement a continuing waiver by simply deleting		
the note that appears throughout the "Collocation Service" section of Verizon's Local Network		
Access Services tariff that states: "Changes to terms and conditions approved in Docket No. UT-		

031731 remain in effect until March 1, 2007." In support of its Petition, Verizon states as follows:

II. BACKGROUND

4. In its petition in Docket No. UT- 061330 dated August 16, 2006 ("August Petition"), the contents of which are incorporated by reference but not repeated in this petition, Verizon requested that the intervals approved in the Waiver Order be extended. The Commission granted that request in the Interim Order on a temporary basis until March 1, 2007 to allow notice of Verizon's filing to its collocation customers in Washington that were not parties to the settlement agreement that forms the basis for the intervals (each settlement party was aware of, and supported, Verizon's August Petition). On November 17, 2006, Verizon posted the letter attached to this petition as Exhibit A on its industry website and e-mailed a copy to each carrier collocated in a Verizon office in Washington. The letter informs the carriers of the Interim Order, and of Verizon's plans to file this petition on or shortly after January 20, 2007. As of the date of the filing of this petition, Verizon has not received any comments from any carrier with regard to its plans to seek permanent application of the Interim Order. Verizon understands that Commission Staff (the contact information for whom was also provided on the industry letter) has not received any comments.

III. ARGUMENT

5. Continuing the waiver of WAC 480-120-560(2) and (3) is in the public interest for all the reasons set forth in the *August Petition*. Moreover, the Commission's only stated concern -- that all affected parties have notice of the proposed continuation of the existing intervals -- has been addressed through the notification presented in Exhibit A. No such party has registered an

1	objection, and thus the intervals approved in the Waiver Order and extended in the Interim Order	
2	should be made permanent.	
3		
4	Wherefore, Verizon respectfully requests that the Commission approve this Petition for waiver	
5	of the provisions of WAC 480-120-560(2) and (3).	
6	Respectfully submitted this 5 th day of February, 2007.	
7		
8	VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.	
9		
10	By Grang M Non	
10	By <u></u>	
11	Gregory M. Romano	
12	Vice President - General Counsel	
13	1800 41 st Street	
14	Everett, WA 98201	
15	(425) 261-5460	
16		