

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP d/b/a PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT CO.,

Respondent.

DOCKET UE-230482

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WESLEY YEOMANS
ON BEHALF OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT**

EXHIBIT WY-__Xr

UTC Staff Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 9

~~May 28, 2024~~

Revised May 29, 2024

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
STAFF RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

DATE PREPARED: April 15, 2024	WITNESS: Wesley Yeomans
DOCKET: UE-230482	RESPONDER: Wesley Yeomans
REQUESTER: Public Counsel	TELEPHONE:

DATA REQUEST NO. 9:

RE: Direct Testimony of Wesley Yeomans, Exh. WY-1CT at 14:1-5

In support of the conclusion that PacifiCorp's hedging program was prudent in operation:

- a. Please answer yes or no. Does Staff find that PacifiCorp's gas and power exposure was within the limits of its hedging policy?
- b. Please provide documentation including calculations and data in Excel format for your answer to subpart a.

RESPONSE

- a. I believe hedging actions were taken on a daily business day basis to manage calculated, forecast gas and power market exposures, utilizing refreshed input data, to within defined, system-wide limits of PacifiCorp's hedging policy.
- b. The basis for my response in subpart a is my review of four quarterly Physical Power Position Reports spreadsheets and for Position Calculator spreadsheets.