טט	Sket Nos. OE-13107   and OG-131072 - Vol. II		WOTO V. Puget Sound Energy
-	Page 48	1	Page 50
1 2	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 2	APPEARANCES (Continued)
3	CHEMICS AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	3	FOR WASHINGTON STATE HVAC CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION:
4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )	4	JAMES L. KING, JR. Public Affairs Consulting
5	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	5	120 State Avenue Northeast Suite 199
6	Complainánt. ) ))DOCKETS UE-151871 and vs. )UG-151872	6	Olympia, Washington 98501 360.480.0038
7	)′	7	jimkingjr@yahoo.com
8	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, )	8	
9	Respondent. )	9	
10	HEARING, VOLUME II	10	
11	Pages 48 - 70	11	
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA	12	
13		13	
14	2:00 P.M. JUNE 1, 2016	14	
15	JUNE 1, 2016	15	
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	16	-000-
17	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250	17	
18 19		18	
20	REPORTED BY: SHERRILYN SMITH, CCR# 2097	19	
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	20	
22	199E Fourth Avancia	21	
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle	22	
24	Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle 360.534.9066   Olympia 800.846.6989   National	23	
25	www.buellrealtime.com	24	
	D 40	25	Dav. 54
-	Page 49		Page 51
1	A P P E A R A N C E S  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 1, 2016 2:00 P.M.
3	CDECODY I KODTA	3	-00o-
4	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1136	4	-000-
5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250	5	JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in
6	Olympia, Washington 98504 360 664 1136	6	Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872 captioned Washington
7		7	Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Puget
8	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	8	Sound Energy. I am Gregory J. Kopta, the
9		9	administrative law judge who is acting as the
10	Attorney General's Office of Washington PO Box 40128	10	presiding officer in this proceeding. We are here
11	BRETT P. SHEARER Attorney General's Office of Washington PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1187	11	today on the motion of Puget Sound Energy to compel
12	bshearer@utc.wa.gov	12	data request responses from the Washington State
13	FOR PUGET SOUND ENERGY:	13	Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning Contractors
14	DAVID S. STEELE	14	Association.
15	Perkins Coie LLP 10885 Northeast Fourth Street Suite 700	15	So we begin by taking appearances. Let's just
16	Suite 700 Bellevue, Washington 98004	16	go around the table, starting with Mr. Goltz.
17	425.635.1422 dsteele@perkinscoie.com	17	MR. GOLTZ: My name is Jeffrey Goltz. I
18		18	am with Cascadia Law Group, and I am here representing
19	FOR SHEET METAL AND AIR CONDITIONING CONTRACTORS' NATIONAL ASSOCIATION:	19	the Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors'
20	JEFFREY D. GOLTZ	20	National Association, Western Washington.
21	Cascadia Law Group 606 Columbia Street Northwest	21	MR. KING: I am James King. I am the
22	Suite 212 Olympia. Washington 98501	22	Government Affairs Director for the Washington State
23	360.786.5057 igoltz@cascadialaw.com	23	HVAC Contractors Association.
24		24	MR. STEELE: David Steele with Perkins
25		25	Coie, here on behalf of Puget Sound Energy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

Page 54

Page 52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHEARER: My name is Brett Shearer, Assistant Attorney General. I am filling in for my colleague, Mr. Christopher Casey, in today's proceeding. I am representing Commission Staff. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.

I have reviewed the pleadings in this matter. I propose to go through each of the data requests and to provide you with at least my preliminary take on whether or not to grant PSE's motion with respect to that particular request.

I don't really see the need for a great deal of additional argument. I think I understand the positions. I don't really want to go into a he said/she said of what was discussed, rather, I think at this point it makes the most sense to simply talk about what kind of information WSHVACCA, for lack of a better way of saying their name, needs to provide to PSE in response to their data requests.

We will start with Request No. 1. I will tell you that I don't plan to enter a written order. I will just tell you orally what I intend so take good notes. There will also be a transcript available if you need that. At this point I think it is sufficient for me to just tell you.

As a general matter I will say that I think

that the data requests should be limited, or the

The third data request. This is relating to the market for water heating and HVAC equipment and services in Washington. The same ruling. That is within the scope of this docket, and to the extent that the Association has responsive information, that needs to be provided to PSE.

Request No. 4. This has to do with documents or analyses relating to the market for water heating or HVAC equipment and services. The same ruling. That's information within the scope, and to the extent that the Association has responsive information, they need to provide it.

Request No. 5, documents and analyses relating to efforts to provide financing options to customers for water heating and HVAC equipment since January 1, 2013. With respect to each subpart, the total number and percentage of the Association's individual members' customers who finance their water heating and HVAC equipment, and any demographic information about such customers. This is within the scope.

I am concerned that it is a bit broad or could be interpreted that way. I would not -- I will not require that there be a breakdown by individual members, but instead collectively, to the extent that the Association has that information, of the number

Page 53

responses should be limited to the Association itself. I don't think that simply by intervening as an Association that the individual members are subject to discovery. I may not mention it with respect to each of these data requests, most of which include the Association or its individual members. I will not require any responses from the individual members, but instead information that's only within the possession and control of the Association.

So with respect to the first data request asking for documents relating to PSE's proposed equipment lease program, I believe that's within the scope of this docket and within the scope the Association's intervention and require that the Association provide whatever documents or analysis that it has in its possession to PSE.

With respect to the second, documents or analyses relating to efforts to provide energy efficient water heating and HVAC equipment options to customers in Western Washington. Again, the same ruling. That information is within the scope of this proceeding. To the extent that the Association has responsive information, they need to provide that to PSE.

Page 55

and I guess the percentage of the membership that provides financing for this type of equipment.

I am concerned about getting into demographic information about customers, but I think something that is more general, such as only industrial customers or only large industrial customers, something like that. But anything more specific I think is getting a little bit too far afield.

Does that make sense, Mr. King? Do you understand?

MR. KING: If it makes your job easier, the Association has no such information. We would have to gather that from the members.

JUDGE KOPTA: And I am not saying that you have to do that.

MR. KING: We are comfortable with it. Our answer is going to have to be we have no such information in our position.

JUDGE KOPTA: And if that's the answer, that's the answer.

MR. KING: Yeah.

JUDGE KOPTA: A breakdown of the types of financing options available and selected by customers. I think certainly to the extent that the Association has information on the types of financing 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

24

25

Page 58

options that are available, then that's something that they would need to provide.

Contracts between members of the Association and third-party financing source. I think that's too far afield and I won't require that that be provided.

Documents referring to financing option financed, funded, promoted by the Association, including any marketing or promotional materials. Again that seems to be sort of the same sort of thing. To the extent that you have information about financing options that your members provide for this type of equipment, then that's something that you would need to provide.

A listing of all members who offer financing options and the type of financing. I think that level of granularity is more than is called for, so instead it would be collective type of information as opposed to each member's financing.

No. 6. This includes terms of financing options, including copies of agreements. I think certainly terms of any financing options is legitimate. I don't want to get into customer contracts. I don't think that that's something that needs to be disclosed. So again, if there are financing terms that the Association has information

Page 56

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No. 10 seems like it is almost identical to No. 8, but it says documents "relating to the leasing or rental of water heating and HVAC equipment in western Washington." Yes. To the extent that that information -- that the Association has that information, they need to provide that to PSE.

No. 11, total number of water heating and HVAC equipment sales and installations performed and a breakdown of such sales by county. To the extent that the Association has that information collectively, then I think that is something that is germane. I think sales volumes need to be provided. I am not exactly sure a county-by-county option is necessary.

Do you even have this information? Do we need to go into it?

MR. KING: No.

JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then I won't worry about it.

And No. 12, provide the total number of water heating and HVAC equipment maintained, serviced or repaired, and a breakdown by county since January 1, 2013. The same ruling. To the extent that you have a collective number of those types of arrangements, then that's information that needs to be provided.

No. 13, all documents or analyses supporting

Page 57

about, then that needs to be provided, but I won't require that you provide actual agreements with customers.

Then No. 7 seems to be a bit duplicative. Documents relating to financing options available for water heating and HVAC equipment in Western Washington. Yes. I think I have already said that that needs to be provided, to the extent the Association has them.

No. 8, all documents relating to the Association's efforts to provide leasing or rental options for water heating and HVAC equipment since January 1, 2013. That's within the scope. To the extent the Association has such information, they need to provide it.

No. 9, documents and analysis, the terms of leasing or rental programs, how many customers lease or rent, the percentage of customers, and demographic information. I think this is, as I have said before, with the exception of the demographic information, which again I would limit to something very high level, the other information just seems to be a more granular version of No. 8. To the extent that the Association has that information, they need to provide it to PSE.

Page 59

various propositions in the Association's petition to intervene. That's something that you put on the table, so I would say that to the extent that you have that information, that needs to be provided to PSE.

No. 14, documents or analyses sufficient to show the average rates or the prices for services, including the sale or lease, installation, maintenance, and servicing. To the extent that you have that information collectively, then again I think that that is something that is within the scope of this proceeding. That is information that you need to be providing to PSE.

No. 15, a list of Association members and various other information about them. I am not sure that that level of granularity is necessary. A list of members I think is a legitimate request. To the extent that you have a list of members that you can provide to PSE, then you need to do that.

No. 16, all documents and analyses relating to the relative age of water heating and HVAC equipment currently in use in Western Washington, including the number and percentage of such equipment that is 15 years old or more. That is within the scope and is something that, to the extent that the Association has responsive information, that they need to provide to

Page 62

PSE.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

No. 17, documents or analyses relating to the Association's efforts to replace water heating and HVAC equipment in Washington that is 15 years old or more since January 1, 2013. The same ruling. To the extent that the Association has that information, they need to provide that to PSE.

For all sales of water heating and HVAC equipment in Western Washington -- this is No. 18 -list the total number, percentage, and breakdown by equipment type, of equipment sales that are equipped with Demand Response technology. Again, from a collective basis, if the Association has that kind of information, they need to provide it to PSE.

No. 19, documents or analyses relating to the Association's efforts and capability to provide Demand Response services to customers since January 1, 2013. The same ruling. To the extent that the Association has that information, they need to provide it to PSE.

No. 20, provide all documents or analyses relating to the regional maturity of Demand Response services and related issues. Once again, to the extent that the Association has that information on a collective basis, they need to provide it to PSE.

No. 21, all documents or analyses relating to

Page 61

any existing comprehensive lease options in Western Washington for water heating and HVAC equipment. That's very similar to a prior request and the ruling is the same. To the extent that the Association has that kind of information on a collective basis, they need to provide that to PSE.

And No. 22, copies of all postings made on the Association website or electronic communications network, all communications between the Association and its individual members relating to PSE's equipment lease program. That I find is vastly overbroad. I think PSE is just as capable as the Association of looking on the Association's website, and I don't see that communications between the Association and its members in any way will really lead to anything that's going to be germane, so I will deny the motion as to that request.

And that takes us to the end. Anyone have any questions or concerns about the rulings that I have made?

MR. KING: Just clarification. Can we rely on the plain meaning of the words within the actual data request? Because in their preambles they very broadly define documents, analysis, things that we have in our possession, versus, well, we have an

1 analysis because it's in somebody's head? Their 2 definitions cover what's in our heads, not actual 3 documents in printed or electronic format.

JUDGE KOPTA: I understand that. If you have it written down somewhere or in a voicemail somewhere, somewhere that is in a tangible format, then you need to provide it.

MR. KING: Right.

JUDGE KOPTA: If it just happens to be lodging in your head because you were in the shower and happened to be thinking about it...

MR. KING: Well, because we have had discussions, but we don't have minutes or anything that --

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, if you don't have minutes, you don't have some documentation, then no. I would cut it off at having something actually

I will say if you later provide testimony that says we had this discussion in our board meeting and this is what we decided, and you didn't provide that to PSE, then they will have a basis for asking to strike that.

MR. KING: I understand. You know, most of the knowledge we carry around is from decades of

Page 60

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 63

experience and expertise of our individual members.

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, again --

MR. KING: We don't have -- I appreciate your ruling. We don't have stacks of studies on sales gathering.

JUDGE KOPTA: It's perfectly reasonable for PSE to ask for whatever you have on these things. If you don't have them, fine. Just keep in mind that if you come in and give testimony based on --

MR. KING: Something that --

JUDGE KOPTA: -- individual members' --

MR. KING: Yeah.

JUDGE KOPTA: Let's not talk over each other.

MR. KING: Oh, sorry.

JUDGE KOPTA: -- individual members' experience or terms or conditions or what they offer, then all bets are off. Certainly, PSE would be able to then come in and say, hey, wait a minute, we asked you for that information, you didn't provide it, therefore, we have a basis for striking your testimony.

They are trying to get at what you know about the market and the types of services that they are -well, offer through their tariff. They are entitled

Page 64 Page 66 to that information. If you don't provide it now and 1 you need to provide the responses, either responsive you want to provide it later, that's too late. I'm 2 information or "we don't have it," by June 13th. just giving you fair warning now. 3 All right. Anything further? 4 4 MR. KING: Understood. We always have MR. KING: There is one other issue that 5 5 understood. PSE raised. I would just like to clarify. They were 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. 6 concerned about confidential -- documents that were 7 7 MR. KING: I also understand they are confidential and they were not entitled to at the looking for impeachment evidence. 8 time, and for antitrust reasons we did not keep 8 9 9 JUDGE KOPTA: Everybody is entitled to records of. I assume they can get that from one of get whatever information they can about the subject 10 the other parties. I mean it's legit. I do not have 10 11 11 matter of this proceeding. That's what discovery is that record, we did not keep it. We do not want to be 12 12 all about. accused of violating antitrust law. It is the kind of MR. STEELE: Your Honor, for Request 6 13 information we do not normally compile. 13 you mentioned customer contracts. Would that include 14 JUDGE KOPTA: Is this your information 14 unexecuted ones, just a copy of the terms of the 15 that was designated as --15 agreement, but not signed with an actual customer? I 16 MR. KING: This was members' 16 just mean kind of a blank, you know, before signed, 17 information. They were asked to provide information 17 18 to help educate. Staff was wanting to know about 18 that kind of thing. 19 JUDGE KOPTA: If they had a form 19 finance options out there. And we reluctantly -contract, then yes, that is something that I would 20 because -- saying, you know, we need this responded to 20 21 expect them to provide to you. I am just concerned 21 as a data request response. We said, We will ask our 22 about getting into customer data. members, who is willing to provide information about 22 23 what kind of financing they offer. We will take their MR. STEELE: And what kind of timing 23 24 emails, cut it and paste it into a response, send it 24 would you like the Association to respond? 25 to you, but we are not going to keep the record. 25 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's the next Page 65 Page 67 question. Mr. King, do you have a sense of when you 1 Quite frankly, we feel like we are in a bit of a grey 1 2 will be able to provide that to PSE, the information 2 area on antitrust even doing that. 3 3 that I have required? At the time we made the response, PSE had not 4 MR. KING: As quickly as possible. To 4 filed confidentiality agreements, so they received be more specific, we are under a deadline for response 5 redacted information. They have since filed 6 testimony next Tuesday. 6 confidentiality agreements. 7 7 JUDGE KOPTA: Right. I don't have it. I honestly do not have it. 8 MR. KING: To be frank, next Wednesday I 8 I do not have a record of that. I assume the other am with my retinal specialist all day. Basically, to 9 9 parties do. They don't have antitrust concerns like I 10 10 be honest, it will be a week from Thursday I can get do about my members. started. If I could have until the following Monday, 11 MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, in speaking 11 so I can work through the weekend, if necessary --12 with Staff, they have provided that information to PSE 12 13 13 although I think I can get done by that Friday. through Staff's discovery. That might make it a 14 14 JUDGE KOPTA: So this would be either little easier for everybody here. the 10th or the 13th of June? 15 MR. STEELE: We have received it, but I 15 16 MR. KING: Yeah. 16 didn't know it was that. JUDGE KOPTA: That acceptable, 17 MR. KING: There was information you 17 Mr. Steele? 18 were given last fall provided through discovery. This 18 19 MR. STEELE: Yes. 19 was a more recent compilation we provided to staff 20 Which day? under the discovery. We gave you stuff informally 20 21 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, let's say the 13th. 21 last fall before the public meeting. 22 22 MR. KING: If I need the weekend. JUDGE KOPTA: Let me cut this short by JUDGE KOPTA: Just to be safe. 23 saying what I have before me are these 20-some-odd 23 MR. STEELE: That's fine. 24 24 data requests. Not one of them has provided me with

JUDGE KOPTA: All right. That's when

25

25

the information that you provided to somebody else.

Docket Nos. UE-151871 and UG-151872 - Vol. II Page 68 Page 70 1 If they asked that question, I don't know whether they 1 CERTIFICATE have, but if they do, then I would expect you to 2 3 provide them with the same thing that you provided to 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING Staff. 4 5 5 MR. KING: I don't have it, but other 6 parties do. 6 I, Sherrilyn Smith, a Certified 7 7 JUDGE KOPTA: Well --Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, 8 8 do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is MR. KING: And we have all cross-filed 9 9 true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill that we will provide anything we receive. I am just 10 and ability. 10 asking on a practical level can that -- can they get 11 11 it from another party? Does that resolve the issue? 12 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, we will see, if it's 12 not satisfactory to PSE, if they want to come back and 13 13 arque that. 14 14 15 15 MR. KING: Okay. JUDGE KOPTA: If you gave this response 16 16 to Staff and Staff provided it to PSE then PSE has it. 17 SHERRILYN SMITH 17 18 If they are satisfied with that, I am satisfied with 18 19 that. If there is some other issue, then we can deal 19 with that when it arises. I don't want to anticipate 20 20 21 disputes. I think it's enough to deal with the ones 21 that come before me. 22 22 23 MR. STEELE: I just know that all we 23 have received is the redacted version of it. I don't 24 24 know if we approach -- I am not sure if we approach 25 25 Page 69 Staff. It feels awkward, so that's what I'm 1 wondering. 2 3 JUDGE KOPTA: Ordinarily you should be able to ask other parties for whatever responses that 4 they give in response to data requests that they 6 receive from anybody else. That's a standard request. It's a little unusual that the Association would no 7 8 longer have the response that they have. 9 I hope, I will not order, Staff would, under 10

those circumstances, provide you with what the Association provided them, because that seems like a practical solution to an unusual problem.

MR. STEELE: Great.

JUDGE KOPTA: Let's hope that you all are cooperative and are able to work that out among yourselves. If not, then I am here and will take it up at another time.

MR. STEELE: Thank you.

JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Anything

further? 20

We are adjourned. Thank you.

(Hearing adjourned 2:27 p.m.)

23 24 25

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22