Exh. AJ-03T Witness: Chief Mate Alysia Johnson

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,

**Docket TP-220513** 

v.

**PUGET SOUND PILOTS,** 

Respondent.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
CHIEF MATE ALYSIA JOHNSON
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND PILOTS

**MARCH 3, 2023** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
III.	CONCLUSION

EXHIBIT LIST		
Exhibit No.	Description	Page
		Referenced
AJ-04	SubCom/American Merchant Officers Union Contract	22

## I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS</u>

1		
2	Q:	Please state your name and position for the record.
3	A:	My name is Alysia Johnson and I am currently serving as Chief Mate aboard M/V
4	End	eavor, a cable laying ship owned and operated by SubCom, LLC.
5		
6	Q:	Does your prior testimony accurately describe your educational background,
7		fessional experience and qualifications?
8	-	
9	A:	Yes, it does.
10		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
11 12	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
13	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to provide supplemental information regarding my
14	employment agreement with SubCom, the pay and benefits to ships' officers under that contract	
15	my work schedule and that of the captains with whom I serve and to rebut the testimony of	
16	Kathleen Nalty that increasing the compensation of Puget Sound Pilots is not necessary to	
17	"increase or advance the DEI."	
18		
19	Q:	Are you a member of the American Maritime Officers Union?
20		
21	A:	Yes.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

	Q:	Are you submitting a copy of the union contract between SubCom and the American	
1	of Maritime Officers Union including the appendices into the record of this rate case?		
2	A:	Yes. A copy of my union contract, which covers the period of July 1, 2021 through June	
4	30, 2024, is Exh. AJ-04.		
5			
6	Q:	Does your work schedule typically conform to the maritime industry practice of	
7	equal	l amounts of time on and off?	
8	A:	That is the stated objective in our union contract, but it is common for construction delays	
9 10	in the	cable ship sector of the maritime industry to impact this schedule. For example, my last on-	
11	duty 1	period in 2022 was 105 days, which was preceded by 77 off-duty days.	
12			
13	Q:	What were your total earnings as Chief Mate in 2022?	
14	A:	My total earnings in 2022 were \$200,684,	
15			
16 17	Q:	In 2021 and 2022, what was the work schedule for the captains under whom you	
17 18	serve	d?	
19	A:	In 2021 and 2022, both captains under whom I served work schedules very close to equal	
20	amounts of time on/time off.		
21			
22	Q:	Assuming both of the SubCom captains under whom you served in 2022 worked	
23	equal	l amounts of time on/time off, what amount of gross pay would each Captain have	
24 25	earne	ed?	
25 26	A:	These captains would have earned approximately \$247,495 in gross pay.	

1	Q:	Did you have an opportunity to review the testimony of PMSA witness Kathleen
2	Nalty	?
3	A:	Yes.
4 5		
6	Ο.	December 41 and 2 of DCD!
	Q:	Regarding the subject of PSP's proposed increase in net compensation, Ms. Nalty
7	make	es the following statement: "I don't believe it is necessary to increase compensation to
8	effect	tuate changes or that an increase in compensation will increase or advance DEI." Do
9	you a	agree with this statement?
11	A:	Absolutely not. It's obvious that the Puget Sound Pilots are serious about diversifying their
12	pilot	corps as shown by the extensive outreach that PSP has been involved in for years in
13	collal	poration with the Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners and the new maternity leave
14	polic	y that is a first of its kind for a pilot group in the United States. However, given the
15	signit	ficance of compensation and benefits to a mariner's decision-making process in considering
16	whetl	ner to make the major move from work as a captain to the pilotage profession with all of its
17 18	extra	responsibility and risk, it is my opinion that PSP will not be successful in its work force
19	diver	sification efforts unless its pay and benefits are competitive nationally.
20		III. CONCLUSION
21	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
22	A:	Yes.
23		
24		
25		
26		