EXH. SEF-1T DOCKET UE-23\_\_\_\_ 2022 PCA COMPLIANCE FILING WITNESS: SUSAN E. FREE

#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY** 

For Approval of its 2022 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Report DOCKET UE-23

### PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF

SUSAN E. FREE

**ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY** 

APRIL 28, 2023

#### PUGET SOUND ENERGY

## PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUSAN E. FREE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND REGARDING THE PCA MECHANISM	3
III.	2022 PCA PERIOD POWER COSTS	4
IV.	RECOVERY OF CUSTOMER RECEIVABLE	7
V.	2022 GREEN DIRECT REPORTING	11
VI.	CONCLUSION	13

#### LIST OF EXHIBITS

- 1. Exh. SEF-2 Professional Qualifications
- 2. Exh. SEF-3 PCA Annual Report
- 3. Exh. SEF-4C Green Direct Program Reporting
- 4. Exh. SEF-5 Green Direct Reporting Explanation

1		PUGET SOUND ENERGY
2 3		PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUSAN E. FREE
4		I. INTRODUCTION
5	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position with Puget Sound
6		Energy.
7	A.	My name is Susan E. Free. My business address is 355 110th Ave. NE, Bellevue,
8		WA 98004. I am the Director of Revenue Requirements and Regulatory
9		Compliance for Puget Sound Energy ("PSE" or "the Company").
10	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant
11	Č.	employment experience, and other professional qualifications?
12	٨	Yes, I have. It is Exh. SEF-2.
12	A.	res, r have. It is exil. Ser-2.
13	Q.	Please summarize the contents of your testimony.
14	A.	In this prefiled direct testimony I provide a background of PSE's PCA
15		Mechanism and a summary of the results contained within the PCA Mechanism
16		Report ("PCA Annual Report") for the Twelve Months Ended December 31,
17		2022, for which PSE is requesting approval. I also discuss PSE's proposal for
18		recovering the 2022 customer deferral balance. Finally, I provide reporting
19		associated with PSE's Green Direct Program which is administered under
20		Schedule 139 Voluntary Long Term Renewable Energy Purchase Rider ("Green
21		Direct Program").

1	Q.	Are there other PSE witnesses sponsoring testimony in this Annual PCA
2		Compliance Filing?
3	A.	Yes, there are three other witnesses who are filing testimony in this Annual PCA
4		Compliance Filing. They are:
5		Philip Haines: PSE's Director of Energy Supply Merchant, who provides an
6		overview of power cost management, governance structures, and prudence of the
7		Powerex PPA. Pursuant to a recently-approved settlement agreement reached in
8		its 2022 multi-year rate plan, PSE may request a prudence determination for new
9		contracts in this Annual PCA Compliance Filing. <sup>1</sup>
10		Brennan D. Mueller: Mr. Mueller, PSE's Manager of Power Costs & Energy
11		Analysis, discusses the reasons for the variance between the approved baseline
12		power costs and those power costs actually experienced during the PCA period
13		that have given rise to the 2022 PCA imbalance for sharing.
14		Zacarias C. Yanez: Mr. Yanez, a Consulting Energy Trader for PSE, requests a
15		prudence determination on two new power cost contracts that were entered into
16		after PSE's 2022 general rate case under Docket UE-220066. <sup>2</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See See Wash. Utils. & Transp. Comm'n v. Puget Sound Energy, Dockets UE-220066/UG-220067 (consolidated), Final Order 24, App. A at ¶ 30 (Dec. 22, 2022).
 <sup>2</sup> See id.

1		II. BACKGROUND REGARDING THE PCA MECHANISM
2	Q.	Please provide a brief summary of the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism.
3	A.	At inception, as authorized by the Commission, PSE's PCA Mechanism
4		accounted for differences in PSE's modified actual power costs relative to a power
5		cost baseline. The calculation was performed using the methodology shown in
6		PCA Exhibit B from the Settlement Stipulation approved in the Commission's
7		Twelfth Supplemental Order in Docket UE-011570 ("2002 PCA Settlement").
8		That mechanism accounted for a sharing of costs and benefits that were graduated
9		over four levels of power cost variances. The 2002 PCA Settlement defined the
10		specific sharing levels and conditions.
11		Subsequently, PSE and the parties to the 2002 PCA Settlement entered into a
12		PCA Collaborative that was initiated as part of the Settlement terms from the
13		2013 Power Cost Only rate case, Docket UE-130617 ("2013 PCORC"). PSE,
14		WUTC Staff, and Public Counsel ("Settling Parties") entered into a settlement
15		stipulation involving modifications to PSE's PCA Mechanism ("2015 PCA
16		Settlement"). <sup>3,4</sup> The Commission approved the 2015 PCA Settlement in Order 11
17		of PSE's 2013 PCORC ("Order 11"). As a result, beginning January 2017, PSE's
18		PCA Mechanism was changed to track only variable power costs, and fixed
19		production and delivery costs were included in the decoupling mechanism
20		approved in PSE's 2017 general rate case, Docket UE-170033. Accordingly, as of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Alliance of Western Energy Consumers (then known as the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities) was a party to the 2013 PCORC but opposed the 2015 PCA Settlement.

I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Attached as Exhibit A to PSE's Petition in this proceeding.

1		January 1, 2017, PSE reports only the variable power costs in its PCA Annual
2		Compliance filings.
3		III. 2022 PCA PERIOD POWER COSTS
4	Q.	Please explain how PSE tracked its 2022 PCA Period power costs and treated
5		any resulting imbalance.
6	А.	Each month PSE calculates the power costs subject to PCA sharing. These total
7		allowable costs are compared to the approved baseline power cost rate, multiplied
8		by the actual delivered load excluding Green Direct customers, and any difference
9		is allocated to PSE or customers based on the different levels of sharing defined in
10		the PCA Mechanism per the 2015 PCA Settlement. Any difference allocated to
11		customers is recorded in FERC Account 182.3, Other regulatory assets, unless it
12		is determined to be an over-collection at a PCA period end, at which time the
13		costs are transferred to FERC Account 254, Other regulatory liabilities.
14		Under the PCA Mechanism, the deferred amount at the time of the next PCA
15		Compliance filing, along with any projected imbalance through the next proposed
16		rate year, could be considered in the determination of any rate change for the
17		subsequent PCA period. <sup>5</sup> Amounts deferred, when authorized, would be
18		amortized to FERC Account 557, Other power supply expense as they are
19		recovered from or refunded to customers. PSE accrues interest monthly on any

<sup>5</sup> See Exhibit A to the Petition at p. 2, Section 3.b.

1		deferred balance (debit or credit) at the interest rate calculated in accordance with
2		WAC 480-90-233(4). <sup>6</sup>
3	Q.	Has the PCA imbalance calculation related to the Green Direct Program
4		remained consistent with the prior annual period treatment?
5	A.	Yes. As explained in PSE's 2021 PCA Compliance Filing in Docket UE-220308,
6		as of the inception of Phase 1 of PSE's Green Direct Program, which began in
7		November 2020, and the subsequent inclusion of Phase 2 of the program in March
8		2021, based on RCW 19.29A.090(5) and paragraph 296 in the Commission's
9		Final Order 08 in Docket UE-190529, PSE is required to ensure there is no cross-
10		subsidization of costs between participating and non-participating customers.
11		Therefore, PSE has excluded the costs associated with the Green Direct Program
12		from total allowable power costs <sup>7</sup> and baseline power costs <sup>8</sup> in order to ensure
13		Green Direct Program power purchase agreement ("PPA") costs are not included
14		in total allowable costs, as discussed in more detail in Section V below. As shown
15		in Exh. SEF-4C, total Green Direct PPA costs associated with output for both
16		phases that were excluded from allowable costs in the imbalance calculation were
17		\$27.8 million. <sup>9</sup>

18

PSE has also ensured that associated customer loads for both phases were removed from baseline power costs by removing their load from the total

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> As established in the original PCA Settlement, Exhibit A to the Settlement Stipulation in Docket UE-011570, and remaining unchanged in the PCA collaborative initiated as part of Docket UE-130617.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Column R, row 20, on page three of Exh. SEF-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Column R, rows 24 and 29, on page three of Exh. SEF-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The sum of amounts in column T, rows 25 and 26, on page one of Exh. SEF-4C.

1		delivered load used to calculate baseline power costs. An adjustment to remove
2		customer loads from baseline power costs was completed in rows 24 on page
3		three of Exh. SEF-3 and totaled 719 million Kilowatt-hours. Per paragraphs 237
4		and 294 of Order 08 in Docket UE-190529, the Commission ordered PSE to
5		remove the Green Direct Power Purchase Agreements from power costs, and both
6		PPAs were excluded accordingly.
7	Q.	Did the approved baseline power cost rate change during the 2022 PCA
8		Period?
9	A.	No, the rate from PSE's 2020 PCORC in Docket UE-200980 was in effect for the
10		entire 2022 PCA period. The baseline rate can be found in column H, row 43, on
11		page six of Exh. SEF-3 and is \$38.983 per MWh.
12	Q.	What is the actual average power cost rate experienced for the 2022 PCA
13		Period?
14	А.	As shown on page two of Exh. SEF-3, the calculated average variable power cost
15		rate experienced for the 2022 PCA Period is \$44.254 per MWh.
16	Q.	Why did the total allowable costs on page two, line 27, of Exh. SEF-3 differ
17		from the total allowable costs in effect during the 2022 PCA Period presented
18		on page six, line 27, of Exh. SEF-3?
19	A.	The total variable allowable costs differed from the baseline power costs in effect
20		during the 2022 PCA Period due to changes in the variable components of the
21		PCA mechanism. These changes are discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of
22		Brennan D. Mueller, Exh. BDM-1CT.
	Prefil	ed Direct Testimony Exh. SEF-1T

Prefiled Direct Testimony (Nonconfidential) of Susan E. Free

1	Q.	Were there any adjustments made under the Restatement Methodology
2		(Section 11 of Attachment A to the 2015 PCA Settlement) <sup>10</sup> for power costs in
3		2022?
4	А.	No. No instances occurred during 2022 that required adjustment under the
5		Restatement Methodology.
6	Q.	How much did the actual power costs differ compared to the average
7		baseline power costs in effect during the 2022 PCA Period?
8	А.	Actual power costs were higher than the average baseline power costs included in
9		rates during the 2022 PCA Period by \$110.1 million (after adjustment for Firm
10		Wholesale and the Green Direct Program). See column R row 37 on page three of
11		Exh. SEF-3. PSE's share of this under-recovery of power costs is \$35.5 million.
12		The customers' share of this under-recovery of power costs is \$74.6 million. See
13		columns I and J on row 34, page one, of Exh. SEF-3.
14		IV. RECOVERY OF CUSTOMER RECEIVABLE
15	Q.	Please provide a summary of prior PCA customer receivables which to date,
16		have been approved for collection by the Commission.
17	A.	The table below provides a summary by PCA period, of the customer share of the
18		PCA imbalances that have been approved for collection thus far. Amounts
19		authorized to be set as surcharges in Schedule 95 are grossed up for revenue
20		sensitive items. The table below references the collection periods which span
21		between 11 to 13 months. Since the inception of the PCA receivable collection in

10 See Exhibit A to the Petition at pp. 18-19.

Prefiled Direct Testimony (Nonconfidential) of Susan E. Free

December 2020, PSE was approved to leave the original surcharges in rates untouched and altered collection period spans instead, in order to avoid as much disruption in ratepayer bills as possible.

Imbalance Year	An	nount	<b>Collection Period</b>	Docket
	Imbalance $\rightarrow$	(41,730,425)		
2019 Imbalance	RSI→	0.951115	Dec-20 to Nov-21	UE-200893
(PCA 18)	Grossed Up $\rightarrow$	(\$43,875,267)	(12 months)	UE-200695
	In Millions $\rightarrow$	(\$43.9)		
	Imbalance $\rightarrow$	(46,009,289)		
2020 Imbalance	RSI→	0.951115	Dec-21 to Dec-22	UE-210300
(PCA 19)	Grossed Up $\rightarrow$	(\$48,374,054)	(13 months)	0E-210500
	In Millions $\rightarrow$	(\$48.4)		
	Imbalance $\rightarrow$	(38,358,394)		
2021 Imbalance	RSI→	0.949115	Jan-23 to Nov-23	UE-220308
(PCA 20)	Grossed Up $\rightarrow$	(\$40,414,906)	(11 months)	UE-220308
	In Millions $\rightarrow$	(\$40.4)		

4

1

2

3

5

6

# Q. What is the customer deferral balance as of December 31, 2022, when excluding those amounts being already collected?

A. As shown in column L, row 36, on page one of Exh. SEF-3, the customer deferral
including interest as of December 31, 2022 is \$72.6 million or \$76.4 grossed up
for revenue sensitive items. This amount includes the December 31, 2022 residual
balance of (\$3.5) million in the amortization account (a payable to customers)
which is a cumulative result of the load variances from the collection of the 2019
and 2020 PCA receivables, and is shown in column L, row 33, on page one of
Exh. SEF-3.

Q.

## When does PSE propose to collect the current customer deferral?

А.	PSE proposes to recover the 2022 balance net of prior over-collections beginning
	December 1, 2023, once the currently authorized surcharge collection period
	approved in UE-220308 that goes through November 30, 2023, has ended. The
	2022 balance, when grossed-up for inclusion in rates, totals \$76.4 million as
	shown in column C, row 17, on page seven of Exh. SEF-3. PSE proposes to
	update the Schedule 95 surcharge to recover this amount over thirteen months,
	through December 31, 2024. As the amount of the receivable is substantially
	larger than prior periods, PSE proposes to increase the surcharge for the first time
	since its inception. While the Company would prefer to keep the surcharge
	unchanged, doing so would increase the likelihood that new customers who were
	not customers when the imbalance was created would have to pay for the
	imbalance. Any residual balance at December 31, 2024, could then be returned to
	the overall customer deferral at that time for consideration of recovery or pass
	back in a future period.
Q.	Have any updates been made to the PCA classification and allocation
	methodology for Schedule 95?
A.	Yes, per the Electric Cost of Service Approved Classification and Allocation

methodologies in WAC 480-85-060, PSE has updated the PCA classification and
allocation methodology by assigning all PCA costs on energy.

1	Q.	Please summarize the impacts of the proposed Schedule 95 Supplemental
2		rates.
3	А.	Overall, this proposal presents a revenue increase of \$27.4 million or 0.96
4		percent. This translates into a \$1.07 or 1.07 percent increase for a typical
5		residential customer using 800 kWh on Schedule 7.11
6	Q.	What will the updates to the Schedule 95 tariff be?
7	А.	Included with this Annual Compliance Filing, PSE has submitted the Schedule 95
8		tariff revisions for approval by the Commission effective December 1, 2023.
9	Q.	Does PSE propose any changes to other tariff schedules as part of the
10		recovery of the 2022 imbalance?
11	А.	Yes. In addition to increasing the PCA surcharge, PSE must update the
12		supplemental credits for Green Direct customers. As noted previously, Phases 1
13		and 2 of the PSE Green Direct Program commenced in November 2020 and
14		March 2021, respectively, and accordingly, they were excluded from the PCA
15		Mechanism at those times. Thus, the 2022 imbalance resulting after their
16		initiation should not be charged to those Phase 1 and Phase 2 Green Direct
17		Program customers and should only be charged to all other customers. The tariff
18		changes to Schedule 139 tariff for Green Direct Program customers have also
19		been submitted with this filing for approval by the Commission with the proposed
20		effective date of December 1, 2023, through December 31, 2024.

<sup>11</sup> Refer to NEW-PSE-PCA-WP-SEF-7-SCH-95-Supp-Rate-Design-4-28-23.xlsx, in the tabs named "Revenue Impacts Sch 95 Sup" and "Typical Residential Notice."

1	Q.	Please summarize PSE's request related to recovery of the customer deferral.
2	A.	PSE requests that the Commission approve PSE's customer deferral in this filing
3		and also approve PSE's proposal to recover the 2022 deferral through changes to
4		Schedules 95 and 139 as discussed above and presented in the below table.
		Imbalance Year Amount Collection Period Docket
		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
5		In Millions→ (\$76.4)
6		V. 2022 GREEN DIRECT REPORTING
7	Q.	Why is PSE including Green Direct Reporting in this proceeding?
8	A.	As part of Docket UE-160977, in which the Commission approved PSE's tariff
9		filing for Schedule 139 for its Green Direct program, the Commission noted that
10		PSE "has committed to track all costs and benefits of Schedule 139 separately and
11		identifiably in its Power Cost Adjustment mechanism."12
12	Q.	What was the result of the proposed reporting in PSE's 2020 PCORC?
13	A.	Parties reached a settlement agreement in PSE's 2020 PCORC in which PSE's
14		proposed tracking of costs and benefits associated with generation surplus or
15		deficiency was accepted. This process is summarized in Exh. SEF-9 of the 2020
16		PCORC. <sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Docket UE-160977, Order 1 at ¶ 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See also Settlement Stipulation and Agreement in Docket UE-200980 at 4, item 1.g (April, 2, 2021).

1	Q.	What reporting has PSE included in this proceeding to satisfy the above?
2	А.	PSE has included Exh. SEF-4C, which provides the 2022 results of the costs and
3		benefits associated with the power purchase agreements supporting the Green
4		Direct Program on page one of the exhibit. Exh. SEF-4C also provides reporting
5		on the fixed costs and liquidated damages associated with the Green Direct
6		program on pages two and three, respectively.
7	Q.	Do you have an exhibit that explains the basis of this reporting and what
8		information it provides?
9	А.	Yes. Exh. SEF-5 is an explanation of the reporting included in Exh. SEF-4C,
10		which follows the methodology agreed to in the PCORC settlement.
11	Q.	Does Exh. SEF-4C show that PSE has complied with both
12		RCW 19.29A.090(5) and Paragraph 296 of Order 08 in Docket UE-190529?
13	А.	Yes. Exh. SEF-4C shows that all costs and benefits associated with PSE's Green
14		Direct program were allocated to the customers who voluntarily chose that option
15		and were not shifted to non-participating customers. Additionally, it shows costs
16		and benefits associated with the over- and under-generation of the PPAs
17		associated with the Green Direct Program were allocated exclusively to
18		participating customers. <sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> A \$0 on line 45, page one, of Exh. SEF-4C provides a check which ensures costs and benefits are appropriately handled within the PCA mechanism.

1		Is DSF proposing to defer the costs and benefits of the Crean Direct program
1 2	Q.	Is PSE proposing to defer the costs and benefits of the Green Direct program reflected in Exh. SEF-4C?
3	А.	No. Exh. SEF-4C is presented for reporting purposes only. The pricing structure
4		of the Green Direct program is set to recover costs and benefits over the life of the
5		program. Therefore, further adjustments or deferrals are unnecessary.
6	Q.	Does PSE believe any further approvals are required with regard to
7		reporting of PSE's Green Direct program?
8	А.	No. PSE believes that by approving this Annual PCA Compliance Filing, the
9		method and content of reporting for the Green Direct Program included in Exh.
10		SEF-4C will be deemed adequate by the Commission.
11		VI. CONCLUSION
12	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
13	А.	Yes, it does.
	Drofi	ed Direct Testimony Exh. SEF-1T
		confidential) of Susan E. Free Page 13 of 13