## EXHIBIT 6



Local Telephone Services – Internet & Broadband – Long Distance Security & Alarms – Data Center Services

June 5, 2007

BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED, AND TELECOPIER (913) 523-9690

Ms. Victoria A. Danilov
Sprint Communications Company L.P.
Sprint Nextel
KSOPHA0316-3B670
6330 Sprint Parkway
Overland Park, KS 66251

Dear Ms. Danilov:

N.B.: This letter includes a conditional Bona Fide Request ("BFR") for Local Number Portability ("LNP").

This in reply to your letter of May 24, 2007, which was received by Whidbey Telephone Company ("Whidbey") on May 30, 2007.

At the outset I would note that it is a bit disappointing that you did not fax a copy of your letter to us, as well as responding by mail. It is my understanding that when you and our counsel, Mr. Snyder, spoke by telephone on May 21, you expressed concern about the time that written correspondence would take, and Mr. Snyder suggested to you that you could use fax transmission to speed your reply, as Whidbey had done with my letter to you of May 15, 2007. I would hope, and respectfully request, that you will use fax transmission, as well as mail, for any future correspondence with us.

My May 15 letter asked for three things: (1) that you identify with specificity the entity on whose behalf the purported bona fide request for local number portability, as enclosed with your letter of May 2, 2007, was submitted; (2) that you provide to Whidbey, as soon as reasonably possible, solid evidence that Sprint Communications Company L.P. ("SCCLP") is operating in, or plans to operate in, the South Whidbey Rate Center and that it is providing, or plans to provide, non-wireless local exchange service in that rate center; and (3) that you include in your response to my May 15 letter the CLLI code(s) of the SCCLP switching entity/entities to which SCCLP anticipates that Whidbey would be requested by SCCLP to port local telephone numbers that are assigned within the South Whidbey rate center.

Your May 24 letter responds to the first of those three requests and identifies SCCLLP as the entity that is submitting the bona find request. Your letter goes on to state that SCCLP "is a competitive local exchange carrier authorized to provide services throughout Washington and plans to operate in and provide non-wireless local exchange services in the South

Ms. Victoria Danilov Sprint Communications Company L.P. June 5, 2007 Page 2

Whidbey rate center." Unfortunately, notwithstanding my request for evidence supporting that contention, your letter did not include any such evidence nor refer us to any. Nor did your letter identify the CLLl code(s) of the SCCLP switching entity/entities to which SCCLP anticipates that Whidbey would be requested by SCCLP to port local telephone numbers that are assigned within the South Whidbey rate center.

It is my understanding that when you spoke with Mr. Snyder on May 21, you indicated that the purpose of SCCLP's request for LNP was that SCCLP was expecting to become a provider of wholesale local exchange services to Millenium Digital Media, and was not seeking LNP for the purpose of enabling the porting of numbers for SCCLP's own end-user customers. If SCCLP is undertaking to submit a BFR for LNP solely as a provider of wholesale local exchange services to another entity intending to provide services in the South Whidbey rate center, it would appear questionable whether SCCLP would be eligible to submit such a BFR where, as appears to be the case here, that other entity lacks state authorization to provide local exchange telecommunications services in the relevant rate center.

We have looked for independent evidence that SCCLP provides, or intends to provide, local exchange services in the South Whidbey rate center, but have not been able to find any. In this regard, it is my understanding that during your telephone conversation with him on May 21, you referred Mr. Snyder to the website of localcallingguide.com using OCN 8712, but that that site, rather than confirming that SCCLP provides local exchange services under that OCN within the State of Washington – and in particular within the South Whidbey rate center – indicated that no exchanges were found for SCCLP under that OCN.

Under these circumstances – namely, the absence of any evidence (apart from the unsubstantiated assertion in your letter) that SCCLP provides or plans to provide local exchange service in the South Whidbey rate center and the fact that SCCLP is evidently requesting LNP solely in its capacity as a wholesale provider to an entity that appears not to be authorized by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("WUTC") to provide local exchange telecommunications services within the State of Washington – Whidbey respectfully declines to accept SCCLP's request for LNP on the grounds that it is not "bona fide" and, under the rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), appears to be one that SCCLP is not to be eligible to submit for the South Whidbey rate center. If SCCLP is aware of any ruling by the FCC, the WUTC or any court recognizing SCCLP's eligibility to submit a BFR for LNP under the present circumstances, please let us know promptly so that Whidbey may reconsider its position in this regard. Also, Whidbey remains willing to consider any evidence that SCCLP may choose to provide that would establish, or tend to establish, that Sprint is providing, or plans to

Your May 2, 2007, letter stated, in part, "Sprint CLEC will utilize the Service Provider ID (SPID) of 8712," Similarly, the "Bonafide Request Form (BFR)" that accompanied your May 2 letter identified the "REQUESTOR" as "Sprint CLEC (8712)." It is my understanding that in your May 21 telephone conversation with Mr. Snyder, when you referred him to the localcallingguide.com website, you indicated that he should imput 8712 on that site as though 8712 were an assigned OCN.

Ms. Victoria Danilov Sprint Communications Company L.P. June 5, 2007 Page 3

provide, retail local exchange services to end user customers within the South Whidbey rate center or that SCCLP is providing, or plans to provide, wholesale services to an entity that is authorized by the WUTC to provide retail local exchange services within the South Whidbey rate center.

非林林林林

Without waiving its position with respect to any of the matters set forth above, because SCCLP asserts that it is (or plans to be) a local exchange carrier within the South Whidbey rate center, Whidbey hereby requests that SCCLP promptly furnish Whidbey with a list of SCCLP's switches serving, or expected to serve, the South Whidbey rate center (i) for which number portability has been deployed by SCCLP, (ii) for which number portability has not yet been deployed by SCCLP but for which SCCLP has received from another carrier a request for number portability, and (iii) for which number portability has not yet been deployed and for which SCCLP has not yet received from any other carrier any request for number portability. In addition, to the extent, if any, that SCCLP may be eligible to submit a BFR for LNP to Whidbey for LNP in the South Whidbey rate center, Whidbey, as a local exchange carrier authorized by the WUTC to provide, and providing, local exchange services in the South Whidbey rate center, hereby requests that SCCLP make available to Whidbey LNP in all SCCLP switches providing, or expected to provide, local exchange services in the South Whidbey rate center. Please also furnish to Whidbey the date(s) by which such LNP in each of those switches will be available to Whidbey from SCCLP with respect to the South Whidbey rate center. Whidbey is making this request in order to be assured that, if and when SCCLP commences providing local exchange services in the South Whidbey rate center, then by such date, if any, as Whidbey may be required to provide LNP to SCCLP, Sprint will have LNP available to Whidbey so that end-user customers who may have caused their local numbers to be ported from Whidbey to SCCLP, or who otherwise are served by SCCLP as a local exchange carrier in the South Whidbey rate center (including those who are served by a carrier to which SCCLP may then be providing wholesale local exchange services), will be able to have their local numbers ported to Whidbey.

In conclusion, please (i) let us know of any legal authority of which SCCLP is aware that would render SCCLP eligible to submit a BFR for LNP in a rate center where the only local exchange services that it provides, or plans to provide, are wholesale services to an entity that lacks state authorization to provide local exchange services in that rate center, (ii) provide the information regarding the identity and LNP status of SCCLP switches requested above, and (iii) confirm SCCLP's acceptance of the BFR set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph. Also, if SCCLP intends to pursue its request of Whidbey for LNP in the South Whidbey rate center, please provide, as I requested in my letter of May 15, 2007, the CLLI code(s) of the switching

[continued on page 4]

Ms. Victoria Danilov Sprint Communications Company L.P. June 5, 2007 Page 4

entity/entities to which SCCLP would expect Whidbey to port South Whidbey rate center numbers if LNP were to become available in the South Whidbey rate center.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

4 Dellastin Julia H. DeMartini Vice President

Robert S. Snyder, Esq. cc;