

PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

CR-102 (7/22/01)	
Do NOT use for expedited	t
rule making	

1889	,		rule ma	aking		
Agency: WUTC			Original Notice			
☐ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR; or			X Supplemental Notice			
Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR; or			to WSR <u>03-16-063</u>			
X Original Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.330(1)(b).			Continuance of W	SR		
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) WAC 480-120-146, Changing service providers from one local exchange company (LEC) company						
to another. On June 17, 2003, Qwest Corporation filed a petition to amend this section to clarify the process to be followed when a						
customer migrates from one LEC to another, and the customer retains his/her telephone number via Local Number Portability (LNP).						
This supplemental proposal differs from the original Qwest proposal by adopting detailed national standards in Federal Communications						
Commission (FCC) rules for LNP. Qwest participated in drafting the revised rule language, and Qwest supports the rule revisions.						
Purpose: This supplemental proposal would provide the companies with more options for compliance when customers change LEC						
service providers compared to the original Qwest proposal by adopting national LNP guidelines. In addition, the supplemental						
proposal would achieve compliance with Commission-approved interconnection agreements.						
Other identifying information: Doo	cket No. UT-030964					
b) Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW 80.04.160, and Statute being implemented:						
RCW 34.05.330	State is some impromortion.					
(c) Summary: See (j) below		·				
Reasons supporting proposal: (d) Name of Agency Personnel Responsible	for: Office Location			Telephone		
1. Drafting Tom Wilson, Regulatory 1. Drafting Tom Wilson, Regulatory 1. Drafting						
2. ImplementationCarole J. Washburn, Secretary 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Olympia, WA. 360-664-1174						
3. EnforcementCarole J. Washburn, Secretary 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Olympia, WA 360-664-1174						
(e) Name of proponent (person or organization			.,	X Private		
Qwest Corporation						
(f) Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement and fiscal matters:						
(i) Agency comments of recommendations,	ii arry, as to statutory larryua	age, implementation, el	morcement and iiscarn	iallers.		
(g) Is rule necessary because of:						
Federal Law?	s X No If yes	, ATTACH COPY OF T	ΓEXT			
Federal Court Decision? Yes X No Citation:						
State Court Decision?	s X No					
(h) HEARING LOCATION: Submit written comments to:						
Commission Hearing Room	Carole J. Washburn, Secretary, P.O. Box 47250					
Second Floor, Chandler Plaza Building	Olympia, WA 98504 or					
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW	E-mail to records@wutc.wa.gov Please include					
Olympia, WA 98504	Docket No. UT-030964 in your communication. FAX (360) 586-1150 By February 25, 2004					
	_	1 AX (300) 380-1130	by February 23, 2004			
Date: March 24, 2004 Time: 9:30 a.m.	DATE OF INTENDED	ADOPTION: March	24, 2004			
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Con	CODE REVISER USE ONLY					
Mary De Young by March 19, 2004						
Mary De Tourig by March 19, 2004						
TDD (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1133						
NAME (TVDE OD DDINT)	Filed with the Code Reviser's Office					
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) CAROLE J. WASHBURN	January 21, 2004					
O'MOLLJ. WADIIDOM						
SIGNATURE	11:20 a.m. WSR# 04-03-117					
			W ΣΙΚΉ U-1-UJ-11/			
TITLE	DATE					
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	JANUARY 21, 2004					

(j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticipated effects: This supplemental proposal continues to address issues raised by Qwest in its petition for rulemaking filed at WSR#03-16-063. Namely, the proposed language would permit Qwest to meet the intent as well as the letter of the rule plus comply with Commission-approved interconnection agreements. The supplemental proposal differs from the original Qwest proposal by providing greater flexibility to address all of the types of situations that arise when customers change LECs. The proposed language addresses the issue of flexibility by referencing detailed national standards in FCC rules for LNP. Compliance with national LNP guidelines also permits continued compliance with Commission-approved interconnection agreements. Moreover, adoption of the national guidelines will ease the task of compliance for those companies that operate in multiple jurisdictions.
The proposed revised language will allow companies to utilize resources more efficiently and continue to service customers effectively.
Does proposal change existing rules? X YES \(\subseteq \text{NO} \) If yes, describe changes: Existing WAC 480-120-146, Changing service providers from one LEC to another, does not address the situation where the migrating customer wishes to retain his/her telephone number through LNP.
In its petition for rulemaking, Qwest proposed that WAC 480-120-146 be amended to include a process for those customers who wish to retain the same telephone number via LNP. Qwest's original proposal recommended adoption of the LNP practices ordered in Docket No. UT-003022/UT-003040.
This supplemental proposal would offer companies more options for compliance with the rule by adoption of national guidelines, in contrast to the original Qwest proposal that would prescribe a single method of compliance.
 (k) Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW? ☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement. A copy of the statement may be obtained by writing to:
telephoning: () faxing: () X No. Explain why no statement was prepared
The proposed rule streamlines the process for confirming migrations from LEC to LEC using LNP, and utilizes resources more efficiently. Because there will not be any increase in cost resulting from the proposed rule, an SBEIS is not required.
(I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption? Yes X No Please explain: The commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rule is not a significant legislative rule of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).