Docket No. UG-220198 - Vol. I

In the Matter of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation

April 14, 2022



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 1	Page 3
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED
In the Matter of Cascade Natural) Docket UG-220198 Gas Corporation's Tariff WN U-3)	For The Energy Project:
Revisions)	YOCHI ZAKAI
	4 Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger, LLC 396 Hayes Street 5 San Francisco, California 94102
))	415.552.7272 6 Yzakai@smwlaw.com
)	7
)	8 9
	10
)	11
)	12 13
VIRTUAL STATUS CONFERENCE	14
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAMANTHA DOYLE	15
	16
(All participants appeared remotely via	17 18
videoconference.)	19
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	20
621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast Lacey, Washington 98503	21 22
	22 23
	24
DATE TAKEN: April 14, 2022 REPORTED BY: Sarah K. Webb, RSR, CCR #5567	25
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES	1 LACEY, WASHINGTON
2 Administrative Law Judges: 3 SAMANTHA DOYLE	2 2:00 p.m.
RAYNE PEARSON	3 -000-
For Cascade:	4 PROCEEDING
DONNA BARNETT 6 MEGAN LIN	5
Perkins Coie LLP 7 10885 Northeast 4th Street	6 JUDGE DOYLE: Good afternoon. We're here
Suite 700 8 Bellevue, Washington 98004	 today for a status conference in Docket UG-210755, which is captioned: Washington Utilities and Transportation
425.635.1419 9 Dbarnett@perkinscoie.com	9 Commission versus Cascade Natural Gas Corporation.
MLin@perkinscoie.com	Today is Thursday, April 14th, 2022 and the time is
For Staff:	approximately 2:00 p.m.
NASH CALLAGHAN 12 Washington State Attorney General's Office	12 My name is Samantha Doyle, and I use she/her or
P.O. Box 40128 13 Olympia, Washington 98504	they/them pronouns. And with me is Rayne Pearson, who
360.915.4521	14 uses she/her pronouns. We are administrative law judges
15 JOANNA HUANG	15 with the Washington Utilities and Transportation
16 Public Counsel: 17 ANN PAISNER Westigners State Attendary Counsel's Office	16 Commission and we are copresiding in this matter along
Washington State Attorney General's Office 8025 36th Avenue Northeast	17 with the commissioners.
Seattle, Washington 98115 19 941.356.4851	18 Let's start by taking appearances and then 19 address the status of the notice to consolidate dockets
Annhove@gmail.com	20 UG-210755 and UG-220198.
For AWEC: 21	21 Let's begin with Cascade; please state your
CHAD STOKES 22 Cable Huston, LLP	22 name and feel free to provide your pronouns as well.
1455 Southwest Broadway 23 Suite 1500	23 MS. BARNETT: Thank you, Judge Doyle.
Portland, Oregon 97201 24 503.224.3092	24 This is Donna Barnett; I use she/her pronouns.
Cstokes@cablehuston.com	25 I'm with Perkins Coie representing Cascade in this
25	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Page 5 Page 7 1 believe that halting the EDIT reversals and filing an 1 matter and with me is Megan Lin. 2 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. 2 accounting petition to track revenues consistent with 3 3 normalization rules in the interim will prevent And for Staff? 4 MR. CALLAGHAN: Good afternoon, Your Honors. 4 normalization violations from occurring? 5 My name is Nash Callaghan, I'm the assistant attorney 5 No. 2, Cascade extends the effective date of 6 general appearing on behalf of Commission Staff today. 6 its current GRC and the Commission consolidates the 7 My pronouns are he/him and I believe that Joanna Huang 7 dockets. 8 is joining me, although I didn't see her name on the 8 And the final -- Option 3, the Commission 9 list. 9 suspends the tariff revision filed in Docket UG-220198 10 Joanna, are you here? 10 and sets the matter of the hearing. MS. HUANG: Yes, I'm here. Let's begin with Cascade and their response to 11 11 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you. 12 12 these options. JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. MS. BARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor. And I 13 13 14 And for public counsel? 14 want to begin by thanking the presiding officers for MS. PAISNER: Afternoon, Judge Doyle. My 15 holding this status conference and considering other 15 16 name is Ann Paisner and I'm an assistant attorney 16 options besides consolidation. I feel very heard and I 17 general with the public counsel unit of the Washington 17 appreciate the opportunity to present Cascade's Attorney General's Office. 18 positions on these. 18 19 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you so much. 19 So I'll just summarize -- and we have several 20 And for Alliance of Western Energy Consumers or 20 people from the Company who can elaborate and go into AWEC? any detail you would like. But just in summary going 21 21 22 MR. MULLINS: Your Honor, this is Brad 22 through the options, the first option seems reasonable, Mullins; I'm a consultant with AWEC. And Chad Stokes, 23 23 but it will not fix the normalization violation soon our attorney, should be on the line and I'm emailing him 24 enough if it's before the next rate case. The IRS gave 24 25 25 clear direction that it has to be fixed as soon as right now to see if he's coming on shortly. Page 6 Page 8 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. 1 1 possible, so Cascade does not feel that Option 1 is 2 2 Let's see. For The Energy Project? appropriate. 3 MR. ZAKAI: Good afternoon, Judge Doyle. 3 Option 2, they are not inclined to extend the 4 This is Yochi Zakai for The Energy Project. And I use 4 statutory deadline. And so that leaves Option No. 3 as 5 he/him pronouns. 5 the preferred option for Cascade. And I know Lori 6 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. 6 Blattner is on the line -- and you've heard from her 7 Do we need to give AWEC a little bit more time 7 before, but she can go into some more detail and 8 8 or are we good to continue? present -- some other folks from Cascade who can answer 9 MR. MULLINS: Why don't we go ahead and 9 any questions you have if you want to do that now or at 10 10 hopefully he joins soon. a later time. JUDGE DOYLE: Okay, thank you, all right. 11 JUDGE DOYLE: I think what we'll do is hear 11 12 Well, we issued a notice of intent to 12 the responses from the other parties and then if we have 13 consolidate proceedings and a notice of bench request on 13 more follow-up --14 March 29, 2022. After receiving all of the parties' 14 MS. BARNETT: Very good, thank you. 15 responses and the Company's response to the bench 15 JUDGE DOYLE: -- unless -- Judge Pearson, do 16 16 request, you should have noticed for the status you have any questions before we move on? I'm sorry. 17 conference with the following options for the Company. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: No, not at this time. 18 Option 1, Cascade withdraws its filing in 18 JUDGE DOYLE: Okay, great. 19 Docket UG-220198 and makes a new tariff filing that will 19 And we'll go with Staff next. 2.0 pause Schedule 581. The mechanism put in place in 20 MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry. Judge Doyle, I'm 21 Docket UG-170929 to amortize a historical level of 21 having a lot of -- I don't know if you -- were you 22 22 protected EDIT each year and throughout each year to the calling on me? 23 JUDGE DOYLE: Yes, I was. Would it be 23 actual amortization amount, until the conclusion of the 24 2.4 better for you to -- if -- to take a moment and call Company's next general rate case. 25 25 If this is the preferred option, do the parties back in --

Page 9

MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, I don't know if you can hear me, but -- but I'm going to try to call in. I'll be as quick as possible.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE DOYLE: Okay, great. We'll just take a moment here.

MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, can you hear me? JUDGE DOYLE: Yes, thank you, that's better. Go right ahead.

MR. CALLAGHAN: I apologize.

So -- and you were about to call on me?

JUDGE DOYLE: (Moves head up and down.)

MR. CALLAGHAN: Okay, thank you.

So Your Honor -- so Staff's preferences in order is -- that Staff's first preference is for Option 3, our second preference is for Option 1 and our last preference is for Option 2.

So Staff's response to the question in Option 1, is no. I don't know if you wanted that answered given that it wasn't Staff's first preference, but Staff's response to the question in 1 is no.

JUDGE DOYLE: Did you want to elaborate as to why it would not prevent the normalization violations to occur?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ CALLAGHAN: I'd have to defer to Joanna Huang on that.

situation in which we would be able to support that option.

The third option -- we sort of view this issue as something that's typically addressed in a GRC. However, to the extent this issue is already sort of being trued up and tracked separately, we could support the third option on its own, even though it's typically something that it seems like it should be addressed in a GRC. And so we do have concerns regarding that EDIT in how it's being addressed in the current filing; we think it sort of raises issues.

So to sum up, we would -- public counsel would not support the first option. The second option, if the schedule is extended, revisited and testimony dates are pushed back. Also, if the second option were pursued, we would like to see the discovery response turnaround time reduced to a five-day turnaround instead of a ten-day turnaround. So those are the conditions under which public counsel would be able to support the second option. And the third option, it seems like there are complications with how EDIT is dealt with in the current proceeding, but it does seem like it's possible to pursue the third option keeping it separate from 210755, thank you.

JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you.

Page 10

JUDGE PEARSON: It's okay. We're not prepared to take testimony from Staff right now, so we'll just move on.

JUDGE DOYLE: Okay. And for public counsel?

MS. PAISNER: Thank you, Judge Doyle.

I guess I'll just go through these three options sequentially and provide input on behalf of public counsel. For the first option, public counsel would not support this option because halting the EDIT passback would have the effective increasing rates. I know that a number of factors would have to also exist in order to sort of deal with that issue. And so in general, we don't support this -- the increase on rates that would happen by pausing that tariff.

So moving on to the second option, "Extending the Effective Date," public counsel could support this only if the current schedule was revisited. And in particular for filing testimony, we would prefer to see a testimony filing date of May 16th -- Monday, May 16th, which is currently for the UG-210755 docket. We have a testimony filing date of April 25th, so that would be really the only condition. We just need more time in order to deal with this filing -- the 220198 filing, if they are going to be consolidated. So that's really the

Page 12

Page 11

And did AWEC have a response? Is there anybody available that would like to speak for them?

MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor. Chad Stokes for the Alliance With Energy Consumers. I apologize for being late to the conference; I was having trouble logging in for some reason.

So going in order: Option 1, we find to be problematic and actually think that if you pause Schedule 581, it's an automatic rate increase to customers and you actually created another normalization issue because you're not addressing the historical prospect of EDIT and base rates. And that's actually an adjustment that AWEC had proposed to make in the current rate case, is to put the historical, protected EDIT into base rates, which is how it should be dealt with. So Option 1 we find very problematic.

For Option 2, we prefer this. It's a little troubling to me that Cascade won't extend the effective date of its GRC seeing how this is their filing and they're asking for a May 1 effective date. And, you know, they did argue that it was undue hardship to respond to testimony, but it's their filing, so I'm not really sure why that's a big hardship.

The third option we also find to be problematic because these sort of issues should be teed up in the

Page 13 Page 15 1 1 general rate case. There's a lot of moving parts here, we will set the matter for prehearing conference. 2 and to have a single-issue rate filing outside of a GRC 2 MS. BARNETT: I guess the simple answer is 3 when you have a GRC ongoing is bad policy in our view. 3 no. I just -- I'm unclear about setting up for the 4 And if the Commission is inclined to go with 4 prehearing conference. You're talking about the -- so 5 Option 3, I just want to be clear that parties should 5 the other docket for the prehearing conference we can do 6 not be prohibited about arguing about the protected EDIT 6 that -- we're doing that right now, then? 7 in this current rate case because that is an adjustment 7 JUDGE PEARSON: We wouldn't do that right 8 that we will be discussing in our testimony. 8 now; we would issue a notice. But yes, we would suspend 9 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you very much. 9 it and set it for prehearing conference on the 11-month 10 MR. STOKES: Thank you. 10 timeline for tariff filings. JUDGE DOYLE: And finally with The Energy MS. BARNETT: So -- okay. I think -- yeah, 11 11 12 absolutely because Cascade is not willing to extend the 12 Project, would you like to discuss options? 13 deadline for that. So I think that's appropriate if 13 MR. ZAKAI: Yes, thank you. I'd like to just express support for the concern that AWEC raised 14 14 that's the only other option. 15 about single-issue rate filings while a general rate 15 JUDGE PEARSON: It is the only option the case proceeding is ongoing. You know, it really is a 16 16 Commission has. So unless the parties have other 17 vast regulatory practice to deal with all of the revenue 17 options that they want to present, that's how we'll 18 18 issues of a utility within the context of a general rate proceed. 19 case and it could present serious concerns and set --19 So anything else? All right, hearing nothing. you know, TEP believes a pretty bad precedent if 20 Then we will set this matter for prehearing conference 20 21 utilities are able to continually make filings at the 21 and put it on an adjudication schedule. 22 same time as a general rate case proceeding is ongoing. 22 Thank you all for coming today and we're 23 So TEP encourages the Commission to consider 23 adjourned. (Hearing adjourned at 2:20 p.m.) 24 the regulatory implications and the precedent that it 24 25 25 would set to allow, you know, the -- you know, this type -000-Page 14 Page 16 CERTIFICATE 1 of filing to go without consolidation or addressing it 1 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 in some way within the general rate case proceeding. 3 **COUNTY OF KING** 3 That said, TEP doesn't have a particular 4 4 opinion on the options and we don't have a -- you know, 5 I, Sarah K. Webb, a Certified Court Reporter in 5 a revenue requirement witness in this case, but, you 6 and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that 6 know, if, you know -- public counsel and the other 7 the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the 7 interveners that do have revenue required witnesses --8 best of my knowledge, skill, and ability. you know, need an expedited discovery timeline or 8 9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand additional time to, you know, complete their review, we 9 10 and seal this April 25th, 2022. 1.0 would encourage the Commission to consider that so that 11 11 there is a complete record for the Commission's 12 12 consideration, thank you. 13 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, thank you. 14 14 And just to be clear, you know, the Commission 15 15 has reviewed the filing and shared the concerns related 16 16 to single-issue rate making. The problem is the 17 17 Commission can't force Cascade to extend its effective Sarah K. Webb, RSR, CCR #556 18 date. Our choice would be to set up for a hearing, but 18 19 of course a possible outcome could be that we find -- we 19 2.0 cannot make this change outside the context of a general 2.0 21 rate case. So that's just something for the parties to 21 22 consider as well. 22 23 So I guess with that, I would ask Cascade one 23

2.4

25

2.4

25

last time if they're willing to make any accommodation

to the schedule or the effective date. And if not, then

A	April 1:24 4:10	Cascade 1:4 2:4 4:9	consideration	detail 7:21 8:7
ability 16:8	10:22 16:10	4:21,25 6:18 7:5	14:12	direction 7:25
able 11:1,19 13:21	argue 12:21	7:11 8:1,5,8 12:18	considering 7:15	discovery 11:16
absolutely 15:12	arguing 13:6	14:17,23 15:12	consistent 7:2	14:8
accommodation	asking 12:20	Cascade's 7:17	consolidate 4:19	discuss 13:12
14:24	assistant 5:5,16	case 6:24 7:24	6:13	discussing 13:8
accounting 7:2	attorney 2:12,17	12:14 13:1,7,16	consolidated 10:25	docket 1:4 4:7 6:19
accounting 7.2	5:5,16,18,24	13:19,22 14:2,5	consolidates 7:6	6:21 7:9 10:21
actual 6:23	automatic 12:9	14:21	consolidation 7:16	15:5
additional 14:9	available 12:2	CCR 1:25 16:17	14:1	dockets 4:19 7:7
address 4:19	Avenue 2:18	Certified 16:5	consultant 5:23	doing 15:6
	AWEC 2:20 5:21	certify 16:6	Consumers 5:20	Donna 2:5 4:24
addressed 11:4,8 11:10	5:23 6:7 12:1,13	Chad 2:21 5:23	12:4	Doyle 1:13 2:3 4:6
· -	13:14	12:3	context 13:18 14:20	4:12,23 5:2,13,15
addressing 12:11		change 14:20	continually 13:21	5:19 6:1,3,6,11
14:1	В	choice 14:18	continue 6:8	8:11,15,18,20,23
adjourned 15:23	back 8:25 11:15	clear 7:25 13:5	continue 0.8 copresiding 4:16	9:4,7,11,21 10:4,6
15:24	bad 13:3,20	14:14	Corporation 4:9	11:25 13:9,11
adjudication 15:21	Barnett 2:5 4:23,24	Coie 2:6 4:25	Corporation 4.9 Corporation's 1:4	11.23 13.7,11
adjustment 12:13	7:13 8:14 15:2,11	coming 5:25 15:22	counsel 2:16 5:14	E
13:7	base 12:12,15	Commission 1:2,19	5:17 10:5,9,9,17	E 2:1,1 3:1,1,1 4:4
administrative	behalf 5:6 10:8	4:9,16 5:6 7:6,8	11:12,19 14:6	4:4 16:1,1
1:13 2:2 4:14	believe 5:7 7:1	13:4,23 14:10,14	COUNTY 16:3	EDIT 6:22 7:1
afternoon 4:6 5:4	believes 13:20	14:17 15:16	course 14:19	10:10 11:9,21
5:15 6:3	Bellevue 2:8	Commission's	Court 16:5	12:12,14 13:6
ahead 6:9 9:8	bench 6:13,15	14:11	created 12:10	effective 7:5 10:11
Alliance 5:20 12:4	best 16:8	commissioners	Cstokes@cableh	10:17 12:18,20
allow 13:25	better 8:24 9:7	4:17	2:24	14:17,25
amortization 6:23	big 12:23		current 7:6 10:18	elaborate 7:20 9:21
amortize 6:21	bit 6:7	Company 6:17 7:20		emailing 5:24
amount 6:23	Blattner 8:6		11:10,21 12:13	encourage 14:10
Ann 2:17 5:16	Box 2:12	Company's 6:15,24	13:7	encourages 13:23
Annhove@gmail	Brad 5:22	complete 14:9,11	currently 10:21	Energy 3:2 5:20
2:19	Broadway 2:22	complications	customers 12:10	6:2,4 12:4 13:11
answer 8:8 15:2		11:21	D	exist 10:12
answered 9:18	C	concern 13:14	D 3:1 4:4	expedited 14:8
anybody 12:1	C 2:1 3:1,1 4:4 16:1	concerns 11:9	date 1:24 7:5 10:17	express 13:14
apologize 9:9 12:4	16:1	13:19 14:15	10:20,22 12:19,20	extend 8:3 12:18
appearances 4:18	Cable 2:22	conclusion 6:23	14:18,25	14:17 15:12
appeared 1:16	California 3:5	condition 10:23	dates 11:14	extended 11:14
appearing 5:6	call 8:24 9:2,10	conditions 11:18	Dbarnett@perki	Extending 10:16
appreciate 7:17	Callaghan 2:11 5:4	conference 1:13 4:7	2:9	extends 7:5
appropriate 8:2	5:5,12 8:20 9:1,6	6:17 7:15 12:5	deadline 8:4 15:13	extends 7.5 extent 11:5
15:13	9:9,12,24	15:1,4,5,9,20	deal 10:13,24 13:17	
approximately	calling 8:22	consider 13:23	dealt 11:21 12:15	$oldsymbol{F}$
4:11	captioned 4:8	14:10,22	defer 9:24	F 16:1
	F		ucici 7.24	

	1	1]
factors 10:12	guess 10:7 14:23	joining 5:8	Megan 2:6 5:1	10:9,10,16 11:2,3
feel 4:22 7:16 8:1	15:2	joins 6:10	Mihaly 3:4	11:7,13,13,15,20
filed 7:9		Judge 1:13 4:6,23	MLin@perkinsc	11:20,23 12:7,16
filing 6:18,19 7:1	<u>H</u>	5:2,13,15,19 6:1,3	2:9	12:17,24 13:5
10:19,20,22,24,24	halting 7:1 10:10	6:6,11 8:11,15,15	moment 8:24 9:5	15:14,15
11:10 12:19,22	hand 16:9	8:17,18,20,23 9:4	Monday 10:20	options 6:17 7:12
13:2 14:1,15	happen 10:15	9:7,11,21 10:1,4,6	move 8:16 10:3	7:16,22 10:8
filings 13:15,21	hardship 12:21,23	11:25 13:9,11	Moves 9:11	13:12 14:4 15:17
15:10	Hayes 3:4	14:13 15:7,15	moving 10:16 13:1	order 9:14 10:13
final 7:8	he/him 5:7 6:5	judges 2:2 4:14	Mullins 5:22,23 6:9	10:24 12:7
finally 13:11	head 9:11			Oregon 2:23
find 12:7,16,24	hear 8:11 9:2,6	K	N	outcome 14:19
14:19	heard 7:16 8:6	K 1:25 16:5,17	N 2:1 3:1,1,1 4:4	outside 13:2 14:20
first 7:22 9:14,19	hearing 7:10 14:18	keeping 11:23	name 4:12,22 5:5,8	
10:9 11:13	15:19,24	KING 16:3	5:16	P
five-day 11:17	hereunto 16:9	know 8:5,21 9:1,18	Nash 2:11 5:5	P 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4
fix 7:23	historical 6:21	10:12 12:21 13:16	Nash.callaghan	p.m 4:2,11 15:24
fixed 7:25	12:11,14	13:20,25,25 14:4	2:14	P.O 2:12
folks 8:8	holding 7:15	14:6,6,8,9,14	Natural 1:4 4:9	Paisner 2:17 5:15
follow-up 8:13	Honor 5:22 7:13	knowledge 16:8	need 6:7 10:23 14:8	5:16 10:6
following 6:17	9:1,6,13 12:3		new 6:19	participants 1:16
force 14:17	Honors 5:4	L	normalization 7:3	particular 10:19
foregoing 16:7	hopefully 6:10	Lacey 1:20 4:1	7:4,23 9:22 12:10	14:3
Francisco 3:5	Huang 2:15 5:7,11	late 12:5	Northeast 2:7,18	parties 6:25 8:12
free 4:22	9:25	law 1:13 2:2 4:14	notice 4:19 6:12,13	13:5 14:21 15:16
	Huston 2:22	leaves 8:4	15:8	parties' 6:14
G	T	Let's 4:18,21 6:2	noticed 6:16	parts 13:1
G 4:4		7:11	number 10:12	passback 10:11
Gas 1:4 4:9	implications 13:24	level 6:21		pause 6:20 12:8
general 5:6,17 6:24	inclined 8:3 13:4	Lin 2:6 5:1	0	pausing 10:15
10:14 13:1,15,18	increase 10:14 12:9	line 5:24 8:6	O 3:1 4:4	Pearson 2:3 4:13
13:22 14:2,20	increasing 10:11	list 5:9	o0o- 4:3 15:25	8:15,17 10:1
General's 2:12,17	input 10:8	little 6:7 12:17	occur 9:23	14:13 15:7,15
5:18	intent 6:12	LLC 3:4	occurring 7:4	people 7:20
give 6:7	interim 7:3	LLP 2:6,22	Office 2:12,17 5:18	Perkins 2:6 4:25
given 9:19	interveners 14:7	logging 12:6	officers 7:14	petition 7:2
go 6:9 7:20 8:7,19	IRS 7:24	Loop 1:19	okay 6:11 8:18 9:4	place 6:20
9:8 10:7 13:4	issue 10:13 11:3,5	Lori 8:5	9:12 10:1,4 14:13	please 4:21
14:1	12:11 15:8	lot 8:21 13:1	15:11	policy 13:3
going 7:21 9:2	issued 6:12	M	Olympia 2:13	Portland 2:23
10:25 12:7	issues 11:11 12:25	making 14:16	ongoing 13:3,16,22	positions 7:18
good 4:6 5:4 6:3,8	13:18	March 6:14	opinion 14:4	possible 8:1 9:3
8:14	$oxed{J}$	matter 1:4 4:16 5:1	opportunity 7:17	11:22 14:19
GRC 7:6 11:4,9	Joanna 2:15 5:7,10	7:10 15:1,20	option 6:18,25 7:8	practice 13:17
12:19 13:2,3	9:24	mechanism 6:20	7:22 8:1,3,4,5	precedent 13:20,24
great 8:18 9:4	J.4 1	meenamsiii 0.20	9:14,15,16,17	prefer 10:19 12:17
L	1	1	<u> </u>	1

0 1 4 1 7			1 , , , , , ,	T
preference 9:14,15	raises 11:11	S	start 4:18	Thursday 4:10
9:16,19	rate 6:24 7:24 12:9	S 2:1 3:1	state 2:12,17 4:21	time 4:10 6:7 8:10
preferences 9:13	12:14 13:1,2,7,15	Samantha 1:13 2:3	16:2,6	8:17 10:23 11:17
preferred 6:25 8:5	13:15,18,22 14:2	4:12	status 1:13 4:7,19	13:22 14:9,24
prehearing 15:1,4	14:16,21	San 3:5	6:16 7:15	timeline 14:8 15:10
15:5,9,20	rates 10:11,14	Sarah 1:25 16:5,17	statutory 8:4	today 4:7,10 5:6
prepared 10:2	12:12,15	schedule 6:20	Stokes 2:21 5:23	15:22
present 7:17 8:8	Rayne 2:3 4:13	10:18 11:14 12:9	12:3,3 13:10	track 7:2
13:19 15:17	really 10:23,25	14:25 15:21	Street 2:7 3:4	tracked 11:6
presiding 7:14	12:23 13:16	seal 16:10	Suite 2:7,23	transcript 16:7
pretty 13:20	reason 12:6	Seattle 2:18	sum 11:12	Transportation 1:2
prevent 7:3 9:22	reasonable 7:22	second 9:15 10:16	summarize 7:19	1:19 4:8,15
problem 14:16	receiving 6:14	11:13,15,19	summary 7:21	trouble 12:5
problematic 12:8	record 14:11	see 5:8,25 6:2 10:19	support 10:10,14	troubling 12:18
12:16,24	reduced 11:17	11:16	10:17 11:1,6,13	true 16:7
proceed 15:18	regarding 11:9	seeing 12:19	11:19 13:14	trued 11:6
proceeding 11:22	regulatory 13:17	separate 11:23	sure 12:23	try 9:2
13:16,22 14:2	13:24	separately 11:6	suspend 15:8	turnaround 11:16
proceedings 6:13	related 14:15	sequentially 10:8	suspends 7:9	11:17,18
prohibited 13:6	remotely 1:16	serious 13:19		type 13:25
Project 3:2 6:2,4	REPORTED 1:25	set 13:19,25 14:18	T	typically 11:4,7
13:12	Reporter 16:5	15:1,9,20 16:9	T 3:1 16:1,1	
pronouns 4:13,14	representing 4:25	sets 7:10	take 8:24 9:4 10:2	U U
4:22,24 5:7 6:5	request 6:13,16	setting 15:3	TAKEN 1:24	U 3:1
proposed 12:13	required 14:7	shared 14:15	talking 15:4	U-3 1:4
prospect 12:12	requirement 14:5	she/her 4:12,14,24	tariff 1:4 6:19 7:9	UG-170929 6:21
protected 6:22	respond 12:22	shortly 5:25	10:15 15:10	UG-210755 4:7,20
12:14 13:6	response 6:15 7:11	Shute 3:4	teed 12:25	10:21
provide 4:22 10:8	9:17,20 11:16	simple 15:2	ten-day 11:18	UG-220198 1:4
public 2:16 5:14,17	12:1	single-issue 13:2,15	TEP 13:20,23 14:3	4:20 6:19 7:9
10:5,9,9,17 11:12	responses 6:15 8:12	14:16	testimony 10:2,19	unclear 15:3
11:19 14:6	revenue 13:17 14:5	situation 11:1	10:20,22 11:14	undue 12:21
pursue 11:23	14:7	skill 16:8	12:22 13:8	unit 5:17
pursued 11:15	revenues 7:2	soon 6:10 7:23,25	thank 4:23 5:2,12	use 4:12,24 6:4
pushed 11:15	reversals 7:1	sorry 8:16,20	5:13,19 6:1,6,11	uses 4:14
put 6:20 12:14	review 14:9	sort 10:13 11:3,5	7:13 8:14 9:7,12	utilities 1:2,19 4:8
15:21	reviewed 14:15	11:11 12:25	10:6 11:24,25	4:15 13:21
	revision 7:9	Southeast 1:19	13:9,10,13 14:12	utility 13:18
Q	Revisions 1:5	Southwest 2:22	14:13 15:22	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
question 9:17,20	revisited 10:18	speak 12:2	thanking 7:14	
questions 8:9,16	11:14	Square 1:19	they/them 4:13	vast 13:17
quick 9:3	right 5:25 6:11 9:8	Staff 2:10 5:3,6	think 8:11 11:10	versus 4:9
R	10:2 15:6,7,19	8:19 10:2	12:8 15:11,13	videoconference
	RSR 1:25 16:17	Staff's 9:13,14,17	third 11:3,7,20,23	1:17
R 2:1 3:1 4:4 16:1	rules 7:3	9:19,20	12:24	view 11:3 13:3
raised 13:14		,	three 10:7	violation 7:23
	1	1	1	1

				Page 20
violations 7:4 9:22	10885 2:7	94102 3:5		
VIRTUAL 1:13		97201 2:23		
VIRTUAL 1:13	11-month 15:9			
$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	14 1:24 1455 2:22	98004 2:8 98115 2:18		
want 7:14 8:9 9:21	1435 2.22 14th 4:10	98503 1:20		
13:5 15:17	1500 2:23	98504 2:13		
wanted 9:18		90304 2:13		
Washington 1:1,19	16th 10:20,20			
1:20 2:8,12,13,17	2			
2:18 4:1,8,15 5:17	2 7:5 8:3 9:16 12:17			
16:2,6	2:00 4:2,11			
wasn't 9:19	2:20 15:24			
wash t 3.13 way 14:2	2022 1:24 4:10 6:14			
we'll 8:11,19 9:4	16:10			
10:3 15:17	210755 11:23			
we're 4:6 10:1 15:6	220198 10:24			
15:22	25th 10:22 16:10			
Webb 1:25 16:5,17	29 6:14			
Weinberger 3:4				
Western 5:20	3			
WHEREOF 16:9	3 7:8 8:4 9:15 13:5			
willing 14:24 15:12	360.915.4521 2:13			
withdraws 6:18	36th 2:18			
witness 14:5 16:9	396 3:4			
witnesses 14:7				
WN 1:4	4			
Woodland 1:19	40128 2:12			
wouldn't 15:7	415.552.7272 3:5			
	425.635.1419 2:8			
X	4th 2:7			
Y	5			
yeah 15:11	503.224.3092 2:24			
year 6:22,22	5567 1:25 16:17			
Yochi 3:3 6:4	581 6:20 12:9			
Yzakai@smwla				
3:6	6			
	621 1:19			
Z	7			
Zakai 3:3 6:3,4				
13:13	700 2:7			
Δ	8			
0	8025 2:18			
1				
	9			
1 6:18 8:1 9:15,18	941.356.4851 2:19			
9:20 12:7,16,20				
	·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	