1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON 2 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 3) In Re: Petition of the Washington) UT-041239 Exchange Carrier Association for 4) Volume II Order for WebTec Wireless, Inc. to) Pages 21-35 Register or Cease and Desist. 5)) б) 7 8 A pre-hearing conference in the 9 above-entitled matter was held at 1:30 p.m. on 10 Wednesday, September 8, 2004, at 1300 South Evergreen 11 Park Drive, Southwest, Olympia, Washington, before 12 Administrative Law Judge DENNIS MOSS. 13 14 15 16 The parties present were as follows: 17 WASHINGTON EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION, by Brita Long, Attorney at Law, 2405 Evergreen Park Drive, S.W., Suite B-1, Olympia, 18 Washington 98502. 19 WEBTEL WIRELESS, INC., by Henry K. Hamilton, Attorney at Law, Grieff & Hamilton, PLLC, 20 520 Pike Street, Suite 1440, Seattle, Washington 98101 (Appearing Via Teleconference Bridge.) 21 22 23 24 Barbara L. Nelson, CCR 25 Court Reporter

1	JUDGE MOSS: Let's be on the record. We are
2	convened this afternoon in the matter styled
3	Washington Exchange Carrier Association against
4	WebTel Wireless, Inc., Docket Number UT-041239.
5	Actually, I took that caption off of a piece of
6	correspondence here. I think our caption actually is
7	Petition for Order Requiring WebTel Wireless, Inc. to
8	Register as a Telecommunications Company, et cetera,
9	as previously recorded.
10	Let's take appearances, and we'll start
11	here. This WECA is, for all practical purposes, the
12	initiating party here on this matter today. So Ms.
13	Long.
14	MS. LONG: Thank you, Your Honor. Brita
15	Long, on behalf of WECA. Would you like my full
16	address?
17	JUDGE MOSS: This is your first appearance,
18	so let's go ahead with the full appearance.
19	MS. LONG: The address is 2405 Evergreen
20	MR. HAMILTON: I can't hear anything.
21	JUDGE MOSS: Is your no, up. All right.
22	We were just getting Ms. Long's appearance.
23	MR. HAMILTON: Okay. I can hear you, but I
24	can't hear anyone else.
25	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Long.

1 Try again.

MS. LONG: Brita Long, 2405 Evergreen Park 2 3 Drive, S.W., Suite B-1, Olympia, Washington, 98502. 4 Phone number is 360-956-7211; fax is 360-753-6862; and the e-mail is bl@ywave.com. 5 JUDGE MOSS: And that was bl --6 7 MS. LONG: -- @ywave.com. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Mr. Hamilton. 8 9 MR. HAMILTON: Good afternoon. This is 10 Henry Hamilton. I represent WebTel, Inc. My address 11 is Grieff and Hamilton, Grieff is G-r-i-e-f-f, 12 Hamilton, H-a-m-i-l-t-o-n, P.L.L.C. Our address is 13 520 Pike Street, Suite 1440, Seattle, Washington, 14 98101. My telephone number is area code 15 206-467-6969; our fax number is 206-467-6738; and my 16 e-mail address is hamilton@jmg-law.com. 17 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. I had a note 18 earlier today from Mr. Thompson, for the Commission Staff, indicating that he would not be here today, 19 that he didn't feel it important to be involved in 20 21 this particular dispute, and that, in any event, he 22 had contacted Mr. Finnigan with a list of available 23 dates for a deposition, and he would plan to 24 participate in that, assuming that was set. 25 And that is our purpose for being here

today, is to get this discovery matter resolved. 1 2 Previously, I had issued a notice on August 26th 3 concerning the matter of deposition that had been 4 raised by WECA, indicating that, in the Commission's view, that a deposition of one or more persons 5 б designated by WebTel as having knowledge of the 7 facilities and operations by which WebTel provides 8 service to customers in Washington is appropriate. And apparently the parties had some 9 10 exchanges, I'm not sure, telephone calls, mail, what 11 have you, and my understanding is that, Mr. Hamilton, 12 your client has so far not been willing to indicate a 13 date and time that would be suitable. 14 MR. HAMILTON: Well, I think that's 15 oversimplifying things, Your Honor. Let's start with 16 this. The parties, at the last hearing, or following the hearing, engaged in settlement discussions, which 17 18 culminated largely in WebTel Friday sending in its 19 registration materials. I can't speak for the WUTC, but those materials should have been received by the 20

21 WUTC yesterday and should be processing.

It's our position that, based on the settlement discussions between WECA and WebTel and the resulting registration, when looking at the petition, no further attorneys' fees should be

incurred in this matter as this matter's settled. 1 2 It's -- the petition requests that WebTel 3 register -- let me just read it to you. Petition for 4 _ _ 5 JUDGE MOSS: That's all right, Mr. Hamilton. You can stop. Ms. Long, has WECA agreed б 7 to settle this case on the terms proposed by WebTel? 8 MS. LONG: No, we have not. 9 JUDGE MOSS: All right. That's my 10 understanding, too, Mr. Hamilton. And we don't have 11 unilateral settlements. There's no such thing --12 MR. HAMILTON: Oh, I'm not suggesting there 13 was, but I'm just saying that, along the lines of the 14 terms of settlement, you know --15 JUDGE MOSS: Well, Mr. Hamilton, what you 16 said is, as far as you're concerned, the case is settled. Well, as far as I'm concerned, it is not 17 18 settled. As far as I'm concerned, it is an active 19 litigation matter on my docket, and I have a 20 responsibility to process it, and you have a 21 responsibility to follow the directions of the 22 Commission, which are that a deposition should be set 23 with someone knowledgeable about the operations of 24 your client, and that's why we're here.

I don't have your registration materials,

0025

1 and even if I did, we would still go forward until 2 such time as we receive appropriate pleadings seeking 3 dismissal of this and determine that it is 4 appropriate that it should be dismissed. We're not 5 there.

6 And in the meantime, what I expect, as I 7 indicated to you previously by informal 8 correspondence, is I expect the parties to cooperate 9 in setting the schedule for these routine matters and 10 moving forward. So that's why we're here.

11 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. I mean, in no 12 uncertain terms, I mean, I'm not trying, and neither 13 is WebTel, nor is anyone else that I'm aware of, 14 trying to cut against any orders or your rulings. 15 The fact of the matter is is that we thought we were 16 complying with the clear terms of the petition, which was to register. If that's -- if there's something 17 18 more that is on the docket beyond requiring us to 19 register, I'm all ears, but the simple fact of the 20 matter is is that -- I guess I'm trying to figure out 21 what further discovery is necessary given WebTel's 22 compliance with the petition's request.

JUDGE MOSS: Well, that's not the way it works, Mr. Hamilton. When a matter is cued up before the Commission and becomes a formal adjudication

before the Commission, it is revolved in one way and
 one way only, and that is by the final order by the
 Commission.

4 MR. HAMILTON: I'm not disputing that. I'm
5 just wondering, you know, if there's any further need
6 for anything else.

7 JUDGE MOSS: Well, WECA seems to think there 8 is. They apparently do not agree with the terms by 9 which you propose to resolve this litigation. 10 Otherwise, they would have said, Yes, we agree to the 11 settlement terms. And they said, in no uncertain 12 terms, that they don't. So you know, you can go 13 forward with the settlement negotiations if you wish, 14 and you can present a settlement as a proposed 15 resolution of the issues pending in this matter and 16 the Commission can issue an order that says fine.

17 But that -- all that has to happen. That's 18 the way it works. We have formal rules, we have 19 formal processes, we have statutes with which we must 20 comply.

21 MR. HAMILTON: And I'm not disputing that. 22 JUDGE MOSS: So we've got to do all that. 23 And in the meantime, I have a responsibility to see 24 to it that our dockets move forward, and so, you 25 know, we set dates for these things, and if you can

manage to resolve it with the other side, then fine. 1 2 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. Toward that end, we 3 will file a motion to dismiss, but, you know, I 4 understand your position completely. 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. 6 MR. HAMILTON: I'm not here to quibble over 7 that. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Then let's move on. 8 9 Ms. Long, WECA had indicated a desire to conduct this 10 deposition on the 13th. Is that still your wish? 11 MS. LONG: Yes, Mr. Finnigan thinks that it 12 will take a full day. The 13th not only works for 13 us, but it works for Staff. It's a full day. That 14 is the absolute best day that we can come up with. 15 And I understand that that's next week. I'm just 16 hedging against an argument that I know is coming up. 17 WebTel has known about this --JUDGE MOSS: Well, don't hedge against 18 arguments that haven't been made. 19 20 MR. HAMILTON: Our concern is WebTel does 21 not have anyone present in the state of Washington. 22 And our question is simply one of we'd like to do it 23 telephonically. The person or persons who would be 24 able to answer these questions are all located in 25 either California or Colorado.

JUDGE MOSS: Well, you know, that's something -- this is the sort of thing that causes me to say, again, this is why I encourage the parties to work cooperatively together.

5 MR. HAMILTON: And that's fine. And that's 6 fine.

7 JUDGE MOSS: But that has not occurred to 8 date. And as a result, I'm concerned about this. Is 9 a telephonic deposition going to be satisfactory to 10 you, Ms. Long?

11 MS. LONG: No, Your Honor. We were granted 12 permission, as you know, on the 26th of August to 13 conduct this deposition. WebTel has certainly known 14 about our desire to conduct this deposition, they 15 certainly could have been making arrangements to come 16 up here, and the bottom line is, when you do business 17 in the state of Washington, especially conducting 18 yourself in this way, whether you do business legitimately or not, that's just the risk that you 19 20 take.

21 And it's WebTel's responsibility to have a 22 representative here for a deposition. They certainly 23 have had plenty of notice. And from my information, 24 it doesn't appear that they have made any effort 25 whatsoever to make arrangements to have someone here.

1 And it appears that this is just a stall. But we 2 need someone here, it needs to be on the 13th, and 3 whether someone has to fly in for the 13th or not, I 4 think they took that risk when they just completely 5 failed to come up with any dates. There was no 6 discussion of dates whatsoever.

7 MR. HAMILTON: I mean, that's a little bit 8 unfair, given that up until late yesterday afternoon, 9 when I spoke with counsel, that no dates were ever 10 offered, that I'm aware of, for setting a deposition. 11 And Your Honor, I don't want to have this discussion 12 in front of you. I think counsel and I can work this 13 matter out on our own, to be perfectly honest.

14 JUDGE MOSS: Well, I think that's probably 15 true. I do recall, however, that there was some 16 correspondence filed here, and I assume served.

MR. HAMILTON: No, it was not served. In response to your e-mail of last week, we never received a copy of whatever it was that you received. That's why I responded to you that I was operating in a vacuum at that juncture.

JUDGE MOSS: Well, I'm looking at a letter dated August 23rd, 2004, that was filed with the Commission on that date from Mr. Finnigan, directed to my attention, concerning WECA's intent to depose,

and it indicates that it was provided to the service 1 list. That would be you. Are you saying you didn't 2 3 get that letter? 4 MR. HAMILTON: I'm referring to the letter of last Friday, I guess, that you were talking about 5 in your e-mail. Maybe that's one and the same, but I б 7 gathered from your e-mail --JUDGE MOSS: Well, and then there's a letter 8 of August 31st, that was filed with the Commission on 9 10 that date, also directed to my attention. 11 MR. HAMILTON: That I've not seen. 12 JUDGE MOSS: You haven't seen that? 13 MR. HAMILTON: We have not seen that letter. 14 JUDGE MOSS: Well, it's indicated that it 15 was copied to you. 16 MR. HAMILTON: Well, I'm just saying I've not seen that letter. 17 18 JUDGE MOSS: Ms. Long, to your knowledge, was that letter sent to him? 19 20 MS. LONG: It was. And Your Honor, just for 21 your information, I also personally spoke to Jeff 22 Grieff about scheduling a deposition. I e-mailed him 23 to schedule a deposition. We made it very clear that 24 if we weren't able to schedule the deposition, that we would have to come back to the Commission. We

0031

have done -- I think we've gone beyond --1 MR. HAMILTON: Well --2 3 MS. LONG: -- to try and schedule a 4 deposition, and the only information that I had was there's no need for a deposition because we've 5 settled. Well, we haven't settled. We never б 7 indicated that we were going to settle. 8 MR. HAMILTON: Well, I think it's also 9 unfair, given the fact that, up until yesterday, no 10 dates were ever offered or suggested. 11 JUDGE MOSS: Well, you know, all I have is 12 what's before me, Mr. Hamilton. And I have this 13 letter from the 31st that suggests two dates as 14 possibilities and does relate what Ms. Long is 15 telling me about her conversations with Mr. Grieff. 16 Have you been in communication with Mr. Grieff about 17 this? 18 MR. HAMILTON: I have, and I also have a correspondence file, and it's not there. We have 19 20 never gotten that letter. This is not a tactic; this

21 is simply a fact that we've never seen that letter.
22 You referenced a letter last week. We've never seen
23 it. I can't respond to something I've never seen
24 before.

JUDGE MOSS: Well, then, that would be the

0032

letter of September 3rd, I think, that you're talking 1 2 about, last week. 3 MR. HAMILTON: It could be. 4 JUDGE MOSS: These earlier ones were the 5 ones --6 MR. HAMILTON: But, once again, I can only 7 respond to what I know, and that is I have seen the letter of the 23rd, but more recent correspondence 8 9 I've not received, and I can't explain it, other than 10 I've not seen any dates offered or suggested. 11 And once again, this is something where 12 we're clearly going to move forward with the 13 deposition unless an order is entered dismissing the 14 matter, but I mean, it's just a matter of 15 logistically getting somebody from WebTel to appear. 16 And I mean, beyond that, it's also just a matter of, 17 you know, addressing the issue, telephone or no 18 telephone. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, I'm just 19 20 going to go ahead and set the 13th as the date for 21 the deposition, and Mr. Finnigan has previously 22 indicated that his offices are satisfactorily 23 equipped for the conduct of the deposition. That 24 would be convenient. So we'll set that as the time 25 and -- or, well, let's set, what, 9:00 a.m.?

MS. LONG: Nine a.m.'s fine. 1 2 JUDGE MOSS: Nine a.m. is the time to 3 commence on 13th of September at Mr. Finnigan's 4 office, with one or more persons knowledgeable as to the operations by which WebTel provides service to 5 б customers in Washington. And if the parties want to 7 work cooperatively together to establish some 8 alternative, that's fine. I will need to be informed 9 of that. 10 I will plan to make myself available, if 11 possible, to assist the parties to resolve any 12 disputes that may arise during the course of the 13 deposition. That is my standard practice to do that. 14 And the only caveat being that if I am in hearing in 15 another matter, I will obviously be unavailable to do 16 that, but we can probably find our equivalent of 17 chief judge or something to sit in for me if that 18 becomes necessary. I doubt it will. It seldom has 19 come to that pass in my years of experience here, but 20 we do like to try to make these things go as smoothly 21 as possible for all concerned. 22 So that will be our plan. Is there any 23 other business that we need to take up today? 24 MS. LONG: I don't believe so, Your Honor. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Hamilton. 25

1	MR. HAMILTON: No.
2	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you both very
3	much. I appreciate your attendance today, and we'll
4	be off the record.
5	MR. HAMILTON: Thank you.
6	MS. LONG: Thank you.
7	(Proceedings adjourned at 1:48 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	