

**EXH. BKG-1T**  
**DOCKETS UE-19 \_\_\_/UG-19 \_\_\_**  
**2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE**  
**WITNESS: BOOGA K. GILBERTSON**

**BEFORE THE**  
**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

**Complainant,**

**v.**

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY,**

**Respondent.**

**Docket UE-19 \_\_\_**  
**Docket UG-19 \_\_\_**

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF**

**BOOGA K. GILBERTSON**

**ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

**JUNE 20, 2019**

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF  
BOOGA K. GILBERTSON**

**CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. OVERVIEW OF PSE’S ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS OPERATIONS WORK .....2

III. PSE CONTINUES TO FOCUS ON PROVIDING SAFE, DEPENDABLE, AND EFFICIENT SERVICE TO CUSTOMERS.....5

    A. Overview of PSE’s Operations Philosophy and Key Objectives.....5

    B. PSE Is Focused on Improving Customer and Public Safety.....8

    C. PSE Is Focused on Enhancing System Integrity and Reliability .....10

    D. PSE Continues to Meet Growth Needs for Customers and Communities.....14

    E. PSE Is Focused on Operational Excellence .....16

    F. PSE’s Performance On Its Key Objectives Benefits Customers .....18

    G. PSE Balances Benefits and Costs in Planning and Prioritizing Projects.....23

IV. PSE’S GRID MODERNIZATION EFFORTS.....25

    A. Overview of Grid Modernization.....25

    B. PSE’s Grid Modernization Efforts.....29

V. CONCLUSION.....33

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF  
BOOGA K. GILBERTSON**

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

Exh. BKG-2      Professional Qualifications

1 **PUGET SOUND ENERGY**

2 **PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF**  
3 **BOOGA K. GILBERTSON**

4 **I. INTRODUCTION**

5 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and position with Puget Sound**  
6 **Energy.**

7 A. My name is Booga K. Gilbertson. My business address is 355 110th Ave. NE,  
8 Bellevue, WA 98004. I am the Senior Vice President, Operations with Puget  
9 Sound Energy (“PSE”).

10 **Q. Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant**  
11 **employment experience, and other professional qualifications?**

12 A. Yes, I have. Please see the First Exhibit to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of  
13 Booga K. Gilbertson, Exh. BKG-2, for an exhibit describing my education,  
14 relevant employment experience, and other professional qualifications.

15 **Q. What are your duties as Senior Vice President, Operations of PSE?**

16 A. I am responsible for electric and gas operations, including emergency response,  
17 maintenance and construction, electric and gas system operations and dispatch,  
18 new customer construction, system planning and grid modernization. I also lead  
19 project management and engineering across operations.

1 **Q. Please summarize the purpose of this prefiled direct testimony.**

2 A. My testimony provides an overview of PSE's operations. First, I provide a high-  
3 level overview of PSE's continuing investment in electric and natural gas  
4 operations up to and throughout the rate year.

5 Second, I describe PSE's approach to providing safe, dependable and efficient gas  
6 and electric services for our customers in compliance with state and federal  
7 regulations. PSE focuses on four key objectives addressing safety, system  
8 integrity, growth and operational excellence. I explain the methodical approach  
9 PSE uses to prioritize natural gas and electric transmission and distribution  
10 projects so that PSE optimizes benefits for its customers.

11 Finally, I discuss PSE's approach to grid modernization to meet evolving  
12 customer expectations and state policy goals.

13 **II. OVERVIEW OF PSE'S ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS**  
14 **OPERATIONS WORK**

15 **Q. Please provide a high-level summary of PSE's operations work on its electric**  
16 **and natural gas systems including the work that will continue throughout the**  
17 **rate year in this case.**

18 A. PSE's operations work focuses on three primary categories: (1) core services;  
19 (2) reliability, resiliency and grid modernization; and (3) gas system integrity.

20 1. Core services includes:

- 1 • Public and customer safety, including responding to emergencies such as  
2 natural gas leaks, electrical system failures and other emergencies that  
3 require immediate response.
- 4 • Public improvement projects that accommodate and are driven by local  
5 jurisdiction community and regional improvements projects and that  
6 require PSE to relocate existing infrastructure.
- 7 • Customer growth and associated system capacity upgrades, such as the  
8 Bainbridge Island Electric System project and the Bonney Lake Gas  
9 System project.

10 The annual volume of core services work is planned according to historical  
11 trends and incorporates anticipated changes. This work is generally non-  
12 discretionary; however, the specific timing of the work can vary. For example,  
13 while the volume of public improvement work can be trended, in any one year  
14 the specific timing of a project can vary from a historical trend. I discuss the  
15 core services in more detail later in this testimony.

- 16 2. The second category consists of reliability and grid modernization efforts,  
17 which are discussed later in this testimony. This work focuses on improving  
18 the reliability and resiliency of the electric system, and effectively employing  
19 smart equipment to enable customer and utility options. Strategies include  
20 infrastructure improvement programs that are focused on shorter and fewer  
21 power outages for customers. Examples of this work include replacing a  
22 specific type of failure-prone high molecular weight underground cable as

1 well as targeted reliability improvements on PSE's worst performing  
2 distribution circuits. Other strategies include vegetation management,  
3 installing foundational technology such as Advanced Metering  
4 Infrastructure ("AMI") and advanced distribution energy management  
5 systems ("ADMS"), preparing the grid for electric vehicle charging stations  
6 and integration of distribution energy resources, and meeting North American  
7 Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") reliability standards.

8 3. The third category focuses specifically on improving gas pipeline safety and  
9 integrity through robust risk management and mitigation work. This includes  
10 continuing with PSE's integrity management programs such as eliminating  
11 sewer cross bores and DuPont pipe replacement, and installing foundational  
12 technology, such as AMI, which will allow PSE to effectively deploy smart  
13 equipment that provides upgraded sensing and monitoring capabilities. All of  
14 this is discussed in more detail later in my testimony.

15 **Q. Is PSE's pace of spending for electric and natural gas operations necessary**  
16 **and reasonable?**

17 A. Yes, the level of spending that PSE has undertaken for electric and natural gas  
18 operations during the test year, and that will continue throughout the rate year, is  
19 necessary and reasonable. As discussed above, the core services work is generally  
20 non-discretionary in nature. It is required in response to public improvement  
21 projects, public and customer safety, and customer growth. The reliability work  
22 and gas pipeline safety work respond to federal and state requirements for the

1 safety and integrity of the bulk electric system and the gas delivery system. PSE is  
2 spending at a level that allows it to maintain and improve its reliability in areas  
3 where PSE’s performance has lagged, including addressing the number and  
4 duration of outages. Additionally, PSE is spending to replace obsolete systems, as  
5 in the case of installation of AMI meters to replace the Automated Meter Reading  
6 (“AMR”) system that is nearing the end of its useful life. Further details regarding  
7 the need for this work are provided later in my testimony and in the Prefiled  
8 Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-1T.

9 **III. PSE CONTINUES TO FOCUS ON PROVIDING SAFE,**  
10 **DEPENDABLE, AND EFFICIENT SERVICE TO CUSTOMERS**

11 **A. Overview of PSE’s Operations Philosophy and Key Objectives**

12 **Q. Please provide an overview of PSE’s operations philosophy.**

13 A. PSE is dedicated to providing quality customer service and delivering energy  
14 safely, dependably, and efficiently. To meet these goals, PSE has identified four  
15 primary objectives: (i) maintain and improve customer and public safety,  
16 (ii) enhance system integrity and reliability, (iii) meet the growth and service  
17 needs and expectations of our customers and communities, and (iv) maintain our  
18 commitment to efficient service through operational excellence and continuous  
19 improvement.



1 **Q. How do recent events in the gas industry reinforce the importance of PSE's**  
2 **objectives?**

3 A. Recent incidents such as the September 2018 natural gas system over-  
4 pressurization event in Massachusetts<sup>1</sup> underscore the priority of natural gas  
5 system safety and integrity. Advancements in natural gas safety processes, such as  
6 the American Petroleum Institute recommended practice 1173 on Pipeline Safety  
7 Management Systems and the anticipated reauthorization of the Pipeline Safety  
8 Act, provide guidance on best practices for pipeline safety management and  
9 reinforce the importance of effective safety and integrity practices.

10 With respect to meeting customer and community needs and expectations for  
11 service and growth, the industry continues to see growth in the demand for natural  
12 gas. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, the use of natural  
13 gas for homes, businesses, and transportation has grown an average of three  
14 percent per year since 2014.<sup>2</sup>

15 As additional regulations and process bring cost pressure to the natural gas  
16 industry, developments in technology help mitigate cost increases, gain  
17 efficiencies, and keep customer rates as low as possible.

18 Energy efficiency efforts such as high efficiency appliances and windows, home  
19 energy audits and weatherization kits, and new demand response efforts such as

---

1 <sup>1</sup> See <https://www.nts.gov/investigations/AccidentReports/Pages/PLD18MR003-preliminary-report.aspx>

2 <sup>2</sup> See <https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n3060us2a.htm>.

1 smart thermostats all reinforce the desire customers have for continuous  
2 improvement and efficiency.

3 **Q. How do recent events in the electric industry reinforce the importance of**  
4 **PSE's objectives?**

5 A. The need for electric system safety, reliability, and resiliency has been highlighted  
6 by recent hurricanes in the southeast United States, wildfires in the western  
7 United States, and physical and cyber threats that challenge the industry.

8 With respect to meeting customer and community needs and expectations for  
9 service and growth, the industry continues to see overall growth in the number of  
10 electric customers. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, the  
11 number of customers who use electricity for homes, businesses, and transportation  
12 in the U.S. has grown at an average annual rate of one percent since 2014.<sup>3</sup>

13 Additionally, advancements in technology, industry and policy trends, and  
14 customer expectations are driving the need for modernizing the capability of the  
15 electric grid as will be discussed later in this testimony.

16 Technology, automation, and advanced data analytics bring opportunities to  
17 mitigate cost increases, gain efficiencies, manage added complexity, and keep  
18 rates as low as possible through operational excellence and continuous  
19 improvement. According to the National Association of Regulatory Utility

20 Commissioners, these changes in policy, technological cost-effectiveness, local

---

<sup>3</sup> See <https://www.eia.gov/electricity/annual/>.

1 economic factors, and consumer interest require utility providers to plan, operate  
2 and innovate in a variety of new ways to accommodate changing demands.<sup>4</sup>

3 **B. PSE Is Focused on Improving Customer and Public Safety**

4 **Q. Please elaborate on PSE’s objective to maintain and improve customer and  
5 public safety.**

6 A. PSE’s top priority is safety. PSE maintains robust 24-hour response to gas and  
7 electric emergencies that are reported by customers and the public or observed  
8 through PSE monitoring. For example, PSE has maintained a response time of 30  
9 minutes or less for natural gas emergencies, which is within the Service Quality  
10 Index (“SQI”) threshold of 55 minutes.<sup>5</sup> PSE continues to be highly responsive to  
11 power outages and electric system emergencies; in 2018 the average time from  
12 customer call to arrival of field technician was 51 minutes, which is also within  
13 the SQI threshold of 55 minutes.<sup>6</sup>

14 PSE continues to engage our customers and the public in safety messaging. For  
15 example, through the use of multi-media platforms, PSE has increased its  
16 outreach efforts for public awareness and safety around natural gas pipelines and  
17 underground electrical equipment by promoting the state “Call 811 Before You

---

4 National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, “The Evolution of the Distribution System & the Potential for Distribution-level Markets: A Primer for State Utility Regulators,” available at <https://www.naruc.org/default/assets/file/201801%20evolution%20of%20the%20distribution%20system.pdf>.

5 SQI No. 7.

6 SQI No. 11.

1 Dig” service and implementing a damage prevention representative program.  
2 Damage prevention representatives work proactively with contractors and  
3 homeowners, visiting jobsites to provide education on safe digging techniques  
4 and enforce the state dig law. PSE’s efforts have paid off; the number of gas and  
5 electric damages combined per 1,000 locates has declined 43 percent, from 4.5  
6 per 1,000 at the end of 2015 to 2.6 per 1,000 at the end of 2018.

7 PSE also builds public awareness and enhances safety by training fire fighters in  
8 responding to gas leaks, distributing safety information regarding natural gas  
9 leaks and odors, distributing safety fliers to new gas customers, and informing the  
10 public about sewer cross bore risks. PSE has increased its public awareness  
11 regarding safety during other emergencies such as being prepared with an  
12 emergency plan and kit for earthquakes, floods, and storms as well as generator  
13 safety tips during power outages.

14 For major storms and other natural disasters, PSE ensures that safety and service  
15 remain top priorities. PSE maintains a comprehensive and robust modified  
16 Incident Command Structure that scales to the magnitude and specific nature of  
17 the emergency. Each year, PSE trains employees on their emergency response  
18 role and practices emergency response procedures through annual mock  
19 emergency exercises. Additionally, PSE participates in continuously improving  
20 and adopting best practices in emergency preparation, response and mutual  
21 assistance. For example, PSE is active in shaping, leading and adopting best  
22 practices in electric emergency response and mutual assistance through the Edison

1 Electric Institute's National Response Executive Committee that was chartered  
2 after Super Storm Sandy. These efforts benefit customers by assuring PSE is  
3 utilizing leading emergency practices. Additionally, through mutual response,  
4 PSE has access to electric and gas emergency personnel from other companies  
5 should the need arise. Mutual response allows PSE to temporarily and cost-  
6 effectively scale its resources during an emergency event.

7 **C. PSE Is Focused on Enhancing System Integrity and Reliability**

8 **Q. Please elaborate on PSE's objective to enhance natural gas system integrity  
9 and reliability.**

10 A. A primary objective for PSE is to enhance and maintain pipeline system integrity  
11 and reliability. This includes replacing or repairing all identified defects that have  
12 been identified as high risk to the public and the natural gas system as described  
13 in PSE's Distribution Integrity Management Program and Transmission Integrity  
14 Management Program<sup>7</sup> as well as other ongoing programs described in PSE's  
15 Continuing Surveillance Report, which is filed annually with the Commission. As  
16 an example, in compliance with PSE's settlement agreement in Dockets PG-  
17 030080 and PG-030128, dated January 31, 2005, PSE completed the replacement  
18 of all known bare steel pipelines in 2015.

---

<sup>7</sup> 49 CFR Part 192 enacted August 2, 2011 requires gas distribution companies to have developed a risk based approach to evaluating the safety conditions that affect pipelines.

1 The unfortunate 2010 pipeline explosion in San Bruno, California, resulting in  
2 three deaths and numerous injuries,<sup>8</sup> was a catalyst for process review throughout  
3 all aspects of the industry including actions of utilities and underlying regulations  
4 and regulatory processes.<sup>9</sup> Following the explosion in California, the Commission  
5 began an investigation as to whether utilities should do more to enhance natural  
6 gas safety, and if so, what steps are necessary to accomplish that goal including  
7 incentives for early retirement of pipeline with known but managed risks.<sup>10</sup> At the  
8 completion of the investigation, the Commission issued a policy statement and  
9 authorized a new cost recovery mechanism that provides utilities an incentive to  
10 accelerate replacement of pipe that presents an elevated risk of failure.<sup>11</sup> Through  
11 this process, PSE is replacing older DuPont pipes<sup>12</sup> in PSE's system before failure  
12 occurs. PSE refers to work aligned with this process and cost recovery mechanism  
13 as Pipeline Replacement Program Plan work.

14 PSE recognizes that additional opportunities exist to advance pipeline safety and  
15 has implemented programs such as the mitigation of cross bores, buried meter set  
16 remediation, and "C" leak reduction. PSE included the cross bore mitigation

---

<sup>8</sup> National Transportation Safety Board Accident Report PAR-11-01.

<sup>9</sup> AGA Chairman Tells Senate "Safety Is Our Core Value and Top Priority" -Terry McCallister, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of WGL Holdings and Washington Gas and Chairman of the American Gas Association for 2015, September 29, 2015\*

<sup>10</sup> See Commission Policy on Accelerated Replacement of Pipeline Facilities with Elevated Risk, Docket UG-120715 ¶ 12 (December 31, 2012).

<sup>11</sup> See Commission Policy on Accelerated Replacement of Pipeline Facilities with Elevated Risk, Docket UG-120715, ¶ 58 (December 31, 2012).

<sup>12</sup> Older plastic pipes manufactured by DuPont may be prone to leaks and possible failure due to their age, composition, and manner of installation.

1 program in its May 31, 2019 Pipeline Replacement Program Plan filing. PSE's  
2 pipeline safety programs and the PRP are discussed further in the Prefiled Direct  
3 Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-1T.

4 **Q. Please elaborate on PSE's objective to enhance electric system integrity,**  
5 **resiliency and reliability.**

6 A. Reliable power is increasingly important to customers, especially with the  
7 evolution of digital connectivity; it is essential for businesses, schools, hospitals,  
8 homes, manufacturing, and increasingly, transportation. PSE is committed to  
9 improve reliability and enhance customer satisfaction by assessing and investing  
10 in its transmission and distribution system and working to modernize the grid and  
11 upgrade equipment throughout its territory. PSE's efforts are working; for  
12 example, through PSE's underground power cable replacement program, power  
13 outages due to failing cable were reduced by 38 percent between 2015 and 2018.  
14 Other improvements, such as selectively automating the electric system and  
15 upgrading the worst performing distribution circuits, are discussed later in this  
16 testimony and in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-  
17 1T. PSE has made improvements in these areas, but there is more work to be  
18 done, specifically on the underground cable replacement program and improving  
19 the worst performing circuits, as discussed later in my testimony.

20 PSE's investment in resiliency helps minimize damage from extreme weather or  
21 other naturally caused events and aligns with customers' expectations and needs.  
22 Additionally, PSE's investments are consistent with the increased national, state,

1 and industry focus on electric grid reliability and resiliency. PSE strives to  
2 appropriately balance effectiveness and cost by leveraging existing assets to the  
3 extent possible—for example, by installing “tree wire” and strategically deploying  
4 upgrades and technology, such as system automation, to increase resiliency at a  
5 reasonable cost for our customers.

6 **Q. Does PSE collaborate with others on a state-wide basis to address resiliency**  
7 **and reliability projects?**

8 A. Yes. One example of PSE’s participation in a state-wide effort focused on  
9 resiliency is the Resilient Washington subcabinet,<sup>13</sup> which Governor Jay Inslee  
10 launched in November 2016. The Resilient Washington subcabinet is charged  
11 with addressing major disruptions, including utility services, in a catastrophic  
12 seismic event. The subcabinet will rely heavily on the expertise of the  
13 Washington State Seismic Safety Commission that set target states of recovery for  
14 critical services and utility sectors including for transmission and distribution  
15 systems.<sup>14</sup> PSE participates in the Critical Infrastructure Resiliency Subcommittee  
16 that was formed to support the Resilient Washington efforts.

17 On a national scale, on March 26, 2019, President Trump issued an executive  
18 order establishing a comprehensive policy to build resiliency to electromagnetic

---

13 <http://www.governor.wa.gov/news-media/inslee-launches-new-resilient-washington-subcabinet-preparation-big-one>

14 <http://mil.wa.gov/uploads/pdf/seismic-safety-committee/RWS%20final%20report.pdf>



1 pulses (EMP) that may disrupt critical infrastructure, including utility critical  
2 infrastructure; PSE is evaluating its operations against the new policy.<sup>15</sup>

3 **Q. What national standards drive PSE’s reliability efforts?**

4 A. PSE meets NERC Reliability Standards, which require plans and infrastructure  
5 that ensure the reliability of the Bulk Electric System (i.e., transmission systems  
6 operated at voltages of 100 kV or higher). Plans must be implemented that  
7 prevent widespread cascading outages in the Bulk Electric System under certain  
8 contingency conditions. These standards drive the impact analysis of both near-  
9 and long-term system performance and resource allocation for demand growth  
10 and timely implementation of needed infrastructure improvements. By complying  
11 with NERC standards, PSE also contributes to the reliability of the region’s  
12 interconnected transmission system.

13 **D. PSE Continues to Meet Growth Needs for Customers and**  
14 **Communities**

15 **Q. Please elaborate on PSE’s objective to meet the natural gas growth and**  
16 **service needs and expectations of its customers and communities.**

17 A. PSE has continued to serve a growing customer base in the region and provide  
18 excellent service to a population that expects a safe and reliable natural gas  
19 system. PSE has added over 27,000 new gas customers and averaged 1.5 percent  
20 customer growth per year from October 2016 through December 2018. There are

---

<sup>15</sup> “Executive Order on Coordinating National Resilience to Electromagnetic Pulses”, March 26, 2019

1 areas of stronger localized customer growth (i.e. 2 percent growth in Snohomish  
2 County) that require PSE to develop reliability and capacity solutions, such as  
3 major gas infrastructure projects, to accommodate growth and meet our  
4 customers' natural gas needs. Additionally, PSE executed 147 gas projects  
5 between October 2016 and December 2018 to deploy or relocate PSE  
6 infrastructure that was in conflict with public improvement projects.

7 **Q. Please elaborate on PSE's objective to meet the electric growth and service**  
8 **needs and expectations of its customers and communities.**

9 A. From October 2016 to December 2018, PSE added roughly 34,000 new electric  
10 customers, averaging 1.4 percent customer growth per year. There are areas of  
11 stronger localized growth (i.e. nearly half of the new electric customers added  
12 during this time period are located in King County) that require PSE to develop  
13 reliability and capacity solutions, such as major electrical infrastructure projects,  
14 to accommodate growth and meet our customers' electrical needs. Additionally,  
15 PSE executed 455 electric projects between October 2016 and December 2018 to  
16 deploy or relocate PSE infrastructure that was in conflict with public  
17 improvement projects.

18 PSE strives to meet the evolving expectations of both its gas and electric  
19 customers. To better understand these expectations, PSE participates in industry  
20 and customer surveys as further discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of  
21 Andrew Wappler, Exh. AW-1T, and PSE works to develop solutions to meet  
22 customer expectations.

1 **E. PSE Is Focused on Operational Excellence**

2 **Q. Please describe PSE's commitment to operational excellence, continuous**  
3 **improvement, and efficient service.**

4 A. PSE strives for operational excellence, continuous improvement, and efficient  
5 service; these are the cornerstones of PSE's service philosophy. At PSE, we  
6 regularly look for opportunities to improve performance and better serve our  
7 customers and a few examples are provided below.

- 8 • Through implementation of Integrated Work Management technology and  
9 processes, PSE is seeing improvements in both customer service scheduling  
10 and worker productivity, even though PSE is still in the process of fully  
11 implementing these tools. Customers are now able to request certain meter  
12 service appointments within a two-hour window, an option not available  
13 before Integrated Work Management. There is an increase in the number of  
14 field jobs completed per day, and there is enhanced work management  
15 visibility and reporting available to improve organizational performance.  
16 Additional detail on Integrated Work Management is discussed in the Prefiled  
17 Direct Testimony of Joshua J. Jacobs, Exh. JJJ-1T.
- 18 • Customer satisfaction for new customer construction is at high levels and  
19 continues to grow. A customer satisfaction survey is sent after every new  
20 customer construction project is complete. Customer satisfaction scores have  
21 increased over the last few years: PSE's score for year-end 2018 was 8.5 and  
22 for year-end 2017 was 8.3 (based on a range of one to ten, with ten being the

1 highest rating). These scores are up significantly from PSE's score of 6.3 for  
2 year-end 2015.

- 3 • PSE looks for lower cost ways to perform high quality work. For example, in  
4 certain situations PSE is able to add steel reinforcement to a wood pole to  
5 return it to design strength rather than replacing the pole. This reinforcement  
6 results in cost savings of approximately 90 percent per pole, as compared to  
7 the cost of pole replacement.
- 8 • To further PSE's commitment to pipeline safety and safety culture, PSE is  
9 implementing American Petroleum Institute recommended practice 1173 on  
10 Pipeline Safety Management Systems.
- 11 • PSE already has a process to confirm that reliability projects deliver  
12 anticipated outage reduction benefit through a process called backcasting,  
13 which is discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch,  
14 Exh. CAK-1T. In addition, PSE has implemented a new root cause analysis  
15 process to further evaluate the cause of large impact outages that have the  
16 greatest influence on our reliability metrics. The analyses look at multiple  
17 potential issues that may contribute to reliability problems such as  
18 environmental conditions and device failure.
- 19 • PSE is replacing its AMR system which was installed between 1998 and  
20 2001, is approaching end of life, is experiencing deteriorating performance,  
21 and cannot meet the advanced capabilities of a modern grid that customers are

1 seeking. PSE is replacing its AMR system with AMI, which provides  
2 foundational technology that will benefit customers:

- 3 • by the avoided cost of installing and maintaining an obsolete AMR  
4 system;
- 5 • through decreased energy consumption and bills, as PSE is able to  
6 implement more conservation voltage reduction; and
- 7 • by increased reliability, as PSE is able to utilize the communication  
8 system for implementing distribution automation.

9 Additionally, although not a primary driver of the project, AMI capabilities will  
10 enable customer choices and will help modernize the grid through continuous  
11 improvements in the future.

12 **F. PSE's Performance On Its Key Objectives Benefits Customers**

13 **Q. How do PSE's key objectives serve the interests of customers?**

14 A. PSE focuses on objectives that support safe, dependable, and efficient service,  
15 which in turn serves the interests of our customers. The table below provides a  
16 few examples.

<b>Objective</b>	<b>Customer Interests</b>
Customer and public safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Infrastructure is safe for the public and those who work around it.</li><li>• Customers and the public have the information they need to stay safe around natural gas and electricity.</li><li>• Robust preparedness and response when an emergency occurs.</li></ul>

Objective	Customer Interests
System integrity and reliability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infrastructure is actively monitored, maintained and managed to reliably perform as designed and in compliance with codes and standards.</li> <li>• Implement modern grid capabilities to improve system reliability, resiliency, flexibility and efficiency.</li> </ul>
Growth and service needs and expectations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide gas and electric energy services to new and existing customers under normal and peak conditions according to tariffs and service quality expectations.</li> </ul>
Efficient service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continuously improve and deploy best practices to operate efficiently and reduce costs.</li> <li>• Provide service in a timely manner.</li> <li>• Utilize cost effective technology and methods to save energy.</li> </ul>

1 **Q. How does PSE measure its performance to these objectives?**

2 A. PSE measures performance through key performance indicators, surveys, and  
3 other quantitative and qualitative means. Examples of key performance indicators  
4 that PSE uses to measure performance include SQIs and the Institute of Electrical  
5 and Electronics Engineers Reliability Benchmarking Survey. These are included  
6 in PSE’s annual Service Quality and Electric Service Reliability Filing.<sup>16</sup>  
7 Additional examples are provided in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of  
8 Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-1T.

---

<sup>16</sup> See, e.g., 2018 Service Quality Program and Electric Service Reliability Filing, Dockets UE-170033 et al. (March 29, 2019).

1 **Q. Please provide some examples of PSE's current performance.**

2 A. I previously discussed PSE's strong performance on electric and gas response  
3 times (SQI No. 7 and SQI No. 11). In addition:

- 4 • PSE achieved a 95 percent customer satisfaction score on gas field  
5 service (SQI No. 8) in 2018, which exceeded the benchmark of 90  
6 percent.
- 7 • PSE achieved a 99 percent score on customer appointments met (SQI  
8 No. 10) in 2018, which exceeded the benchmark of 92 percent.
- 9 • PSE achieved 97 percent on its metric measuring the percent of  
10 electric construction jobs completed in three weeks or less in 2018,  
11 which exceeded the benchmark of 90 percent.
- 12 • PSE improved the 2018 System Average Interruption Duration Index  
13 ("SAIDI" SQI No. 3) to 145 minutes; which compares to 175 minutes  
14 in 2017 and 154 minutes in 2016, and 163 minutes in 2015. While  
15 weather events can have a significant impact on SAIDI performance in  
16 any single year, PSE attributed the 2018 SAIDI reduction to its  
17 reliability projects and other operational improvements.

18 **Q. Please describe how PSE sets performance targets.**

19 A. PSE uses benchmarking and its continuous improvement philosophy to establish  
20 performance targets. Certain targets are prescribed in codes and standards that  
21 govern PSE's work or are found in the SQIs. Where performance is not

1 prescribed, PSE benchmarks its performance and stays attuned to industry  
2 practices, risks, and policy changes. PSE also considers impacts and benefits to  
3 customers when setting performance targets, and those that directly tie to safety  
4 are generally set to achieve top quartile performance. Additionally, PSE  
5 participates in various industry organizations, forums, and activities to stay  
6 abreast of best practices and learn from others. For example, PSE is active with  
7 the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, which allows PSE to stay  
8 current on best practices and standards regarding transmission and distribution  
9 system operations. PSE also participates in events sponsored by the American Gas  
10 Association, Commission Pipeline Safety Staff, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials  
11 Safety Administration, and the U.S. Department of Transportation to stay  
12 informed of trends, performance, and emerging issues in the natural gas industry.  
13 PSE strives for operational excellence by regularly reviewing performance and  
14 practices, utilizing performance trends to drive improvements, and making work  
15 plans and performance transparent in order to measure and confirm progress.

16 **Q. Are there other ways PSE measures its progress in achieving operational**  
17 **excellence?**

18 A. Yes. PSE uses JD Power and Market Strategies International to measure customer  
19 satisfaction on a wide variety of issues. The Prefiled Direct Testimony of Andrew  
20 Wappler, Exh. AW-1T, provides additional detail on these tools and PSE's  
21 performance. Mr. Wappler testifies that PSE's performance in meeting customer  
22 expectations has improved over the past few years. Overall, in 2018, PSE was a



1 leader among combination electric and gas utilities on a wide variety of customer-  
2 related metrics measured by JD Power, including areas related to operations.

3 Significantly, from an operations perspective:

- 4 • PSE scored in the first quartile nationally in providing outage points of  
5 information for electric residential customers.
- 6 • PSE scored in the second quartile nationally in quality of field work  
7 performed, courtesy of field representative, and the timeliness of completing  
8 field work for natural gas residential customers.
- 9 • PSE scored in the first quartile nationally in courtesy of field service  
10 representatives, efforts to maintain a safe gas system, and clarity of gas safety  
11 information; and in the second quartile nationally in quality of field service,  
12 knowledge of field service representative, timeliness of completing work, and  
13 reliability of gas service for natural gas business customers.
- 14 • PSE's performance in meeting customer expectations for reliability was a  
15 mixed bag, which indicates that PSE has more work to do. For example, PSE  
16 scored in the second quartile nationally in providing quality electric power,  
17 avoiding brief interruptions, and providing accurate outage information for  
18 both electric residential and business customers. However, PSE performed in  
19 the third quartile for business customers and in the fourth quartile for  
20 residential customers in avoiding lengthy outages. PSE is addressing this  
21 lagging performance through its reliability, resiliency, and grid modernization  
22 efforts including programs such as high molecular weight underground cable

1 replacement and worst performing distribution circuits, which are further  
2 discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-  
3 1T. Through these and other reliability efforts, PSE is focused on reducing the  
4 number and duration of power outages.

5 **G. PSE Balances Benefits and Costs in Planning and Prioritizing Projects**

6 **Q. Please describe how work is planned to meet PSE’s objectives and how**  
7 **investment decisions are made.**

8 A. PSE’s System Planning department is responsible for evaluating system demands  
9 and performance, as well as identifying and scoping system projects that deliver  
10 safe and dependable service, meet regulatory requirements, and meet customer  
11 needs. The process begins with an analysis of current performance, existing  
12 operational challenges, known commitments, and anticipated future need.  
13 Planning considerations (inputs) include both internal and external factors, such  
14 as customer needs and load growth forecasts (peak, localized, known projects, and  
15 overall system growth), reliability performance, aging infrastructure, integration  
16 of resources, and timing of municipally sponsored projects. Projects are scored  
17 against each other to assess the prioritization of system and customer needs. An  
18 analysis is conducted to identify alternatives that will address the operational  
19 challenge. Benefits and costs are then forecasted for each alternative. Planners  
20 select and plan for the alternative that best balances customer needs, system  
21 performance, regulatory compliance, PSE economic parameters, and local and  
22 regional plans. Using the Investment Decision Optimization Tool (“iDOT”), PSE

1 compares the relative costs and benefits of various solutions across multiple  
2 factors including reliability, safety, current and deferred future costs, capacity  
3 addition, and external stakeholder inputs. Total value is optimized across the  
4 entire portfolio of system infrastructure projects (electric and gas), which results  
5 in a set of capital projects that provide maximum value to PSE customers and  
6 stakeholders.

7 **Q. Can the identified set of capital projects change?**

8 A. Yes. While the portfolio of capital projects is considered final, many factors may  
9 arise that change PSE's ability to complete the final portfolio of projects. These  
10 factors include public improvement projects that arise or are otherwise changed,  
11 adjusted forecasts in load growth, and other external factors such as project delays  
12 due to permitting. Although such factors may cause individual projects to change,  
13 the total portfolio financial forecast remains within established budget parameters,  
14 with the continued objective of providing maximum value to customers and  
15 stakeholders.

16 **Q. Please provide additional information about iDOT.**

17 A. iDOT, as PSE has labeled it, is essentially PricewaterhouseCoopers Folio  
18 software, a project portfolio optimization and value-based decision analysis tool.  
19 iDOT allows PSE to compare the relative costs and benefits of various solutions  
20 (i.e., projects). iDOT makes it easier to conduct side-by-side comparisons of  
21 projects and programs of different types, thus helping PSE evaluate infrastructure

1 solutions that will be in service for 30 to 50 years. iDOT optimizes benefits and  
2 costs for a given financial portfolio.

3 **Q. Are all projects evaluated through iDOT?**

4 A. No. Work that is performed at the request of customers or third parties is not  
5 evaluated using iDOT. Instead, this work must meet PSE tariff requirements that  
6 evaluate customer contribution based on criteria set forth in the tariffs.  
7 Additionally, work that is non-discretionary in nature is not included in the iDOT  
8 evaluation. This includes (i) work that results from unplanned events such as  
9 emergent and storm outage restoration work, (ii) external commitments and  
10 public improvement work due to franchise obligations, and (iii) work related to  
11 compliance, meter reading operations, tools, security, and generation.

12 **IV. PSE'S GRID MODERNIZATION EFFORTS**

13 **A. Overview of Grid Modernization**


14 **Q. How will the grid of the future differ from today's grid?**

15 A. The grid of the future must anticipate, facilitate, and integrate new uses, structures  
16 and capabilities for how energy is produced, distributed, and utilized. The  
17 traditional one-way grid—where power is produced at a central facility,  
18 transmitted over long distances, and eventually distributed and delivered to  
19 customers—will be inadequate to support distributed, customer centric  
20 participation in the production, saving, delivery, and utilization of energy.

1 **Q. Is there a standardized path by which grid modernization will occur?**

2 A. Not really. The electric industry is evolving, and there is no standardized path to  
3 grid modernization. Each utility and state differ in their focus and approach.  
4 Customer behaviors and expectations continue to drive change.

5 The University of North Carolina Clean Technology Institute February 2019  
6 Report “The 50 States of Grid Modernization”<sup>17</sup> (“UNC Report”) stated that in  
7 2018, 44 states and the District of Columbia took a combined 460 policy and  
8 deployment actions related to grid modernization. Figure 2 of the UNC Report  
9 shown below identifies the most active states in the nation, with Washington  
10 being one of them.



11

---

<sup>17</sup> <https://nccleantech.ncsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Q42018-GridMod-Exec-Final2.pdf>.

1 While the approaches to grid modernization by the various state regulatory bodies  
2 vary, some common themes are evident:

- 3 • A modern grid is a key requirement and foundational technology for high  
4 levels of residential solar, battery storage and electric vehicle penetration.
- 5 • Without a modernized grid designed for frequent distributed and large  
6 amounts of two-way power flows, high adoption of residential solar, battery  
7 storage, electric vehicles and other key requirements of 100 percent clean  
8 energy legislation may not be feasible and may increase safety risks.
- 9 • These trends also require greater transparency of the grid planning process.
- 10 • There is a need for improved reliability.
- 11 • There is a need to replace aging infrastructure.
- 12 • There is a desire to consider non-wire alternatives to major grid projects.
- 13 • There is a need for foundational technologies to support grid modernization  
14 such as AMI, ADMS, and data processing and analytics.

15 **Q. What trends in PSE’s service territory drive the need for grid**  
16 **modernization?**

17 A. For PSE and Washington State, the primary drivers for grid modernization  
18 include (i) the need to maintain a safe, reliable, and resilient grid; (ii) meeting the  
19 objectives of the clean energy legislation; and (iii) the ability for customers and  
20 PSE to manage energy in additional ways (such as more options on energy  
21 consumption, time of use, and energy savings). Emerging and evolving grid  
22 modernization technology and capabilities can be utilized to help meet these

1 objectives and provide a platform for cleaner energy. There are several emerging  
2 and maturing technology trends that support the need for action:

- 3 • Although still maturing and expensive, battery storage technology continues  
4 to decrease in cost and increase in capability. While battery storage options  
5 are currently not a viable solution in most grid-scale applications, partnership  
6 with solution providers on demonstrations and pilots of battery storage  
7 technology will enable PSE to better identify viable applications of battery  
8 technology, as further discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of  
9 William T. Einstein, Exh. WTE-1T.
- 10 • Renewable generation technology continues to decrease in cost and expand in  
11 the number of technology options available. For example, although adoption  
12 of residential solar is low in PSE's service territory as compared to sunnier  
13 parts of the U.S., PSE has roughly 7,700 customer-initiated solar  
14 interconnections on its system with an installed capacity of about 52  
15 megawatts ("MW"). Increased penetration of residential solar creates two-way  
16 power flow in a grid designed for one-way power flow. At greater levels, it  
17 impacts everything from (1) power quality, (2) grid design, (3) transmission  
18 and distribution system operations, (4) field worker safety, and (5) field work  
19 practices.
- 20 • Development of high capacity electric vehicle chargers for residential use  
21 (such as the next generation Class 3 electric vehicle chargers) could result in  
22 increases in connected load, which would impact transformer and feeder cable

1 sizes and would require infrastructure reinforcement to handle the increased  
2 load.

- 3 • Appliances and home equipment are being manufactured with more remote  
4 capability, offering opportunity to manage energy differently by providing  
5 customers additional information on their energy use and collaborating with  
6 customers to reduce energy consumption.

7 **B. PSE's Grid Modernization Efforts**

8 **Q. Please describe PSE's grid modernization vision.**

9 A. PSE's vision begins with its goal to continue to provide safe, dependable, efficient  
10 and affordable service that meets customer expectations. To meet this goal in the  
11 future, PSE needs a grid that is safe, reliable, resilient, smart, and flexible. This  
12 means the grid will be:

- 13 • safe for the public and for those who work around it as safety continues to be  
14 the top priority;
- 15 • reliable, with fewer and shorter power outages;
- 16 • resilient, so that our region recovers quickly from weather extremes and other  
17 emergencies;
- 18 • smart, utilizing automation and technology to save energy and improve  
19 customer satisfaction; and



- 1 • flexible, empowering customers with choices and enabling them to control  
2 their energy on the basis of cost, carbon, or other preferences, as well as  
3 enabling reliable two-way power flow.

4 **Q. Please provide an overview of how PSE plans to implement a modern grid.**

5 A. Our near-term focus emphasizes reliability and resiliency improvements along  
6 with targeted and thoughtful smart/modern grid capabilities. Over time, as  
7 foundational systems, such as AMI and ADMS, are established and the highest  
8 priority reliability and resiliency objectives are addressed, more focus will be  
9 placed on smart and flexible grid capabilities. More specifically, our approach  
10 includes:

- 11 • replacing and updating underperforming or deteriorating infrastructure;
- 12 • designing the grid to withstand more severe conditions to improve resiliency;
- 13 • installing smart equipment that senses real-time conditions and operates  
14 automatically, all through a secure communications and data and digital  
15 processing overlay;
- 16 • deploying foundational technology systems such as AMI and ADMS;
- 17 • partnering with our customers to enable, pilot, and deploy new capabilities  
18 and technologies; and
- 19 • continuing to learn from existing smart/modern grid deployments to improve  
20 performance, capability and save costs.

1 **Q. How will PSE prioritize this work?**

2 A. Improvements will be prioritized by need, capability, benefit and cost. First, PSE  
3 will address known grid performance issues. Aging infrastructure will be replaced  
4 by up-to-date equivalents that are more resilient and enabled with smart  
5 capability, when practical. The next priorities will address anticipated issues, such  
6 as equipment classes with a history of performance problems, and emerging  
7 opportunities, such as partnering with jurisdictions to enable modern grid  
8 capabilities that are most valued by customers. This sequencing is necessary as  
9 smart technologies need a reliable grid as well as baseline technology to function  
10 well and deliver their full value. Examples of modern grid pilots and initial  
11 successes with smart grid automation are described below and in the Prefiled  
12 Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-1T. Additionally, PSE must  
13 ensure that secure foundational information technology platforms are in place to  
14 support grid modernization efforts. PSE's information technology strategy is  
15 further discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Margaret F. Hopkins,  
16 Exh. MFH-1T.

17 **Q. Will PSE's planning and investment strategy evolve to incorporate a modern**  
18 **grid?**

19 A. Yes. The planning strategy described earlier in my testimony will incorporate  
20 evolving technologies, non-wire solutions, energy storage options and other  
21 benefits. The planning strategy is further addressed in the Prefiled Direct  
22 Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh. CAK-1T.

1 **Q. Do you have any examples of modern grid currently deployed?**

2 A. Yes. PSE is in the early pilot phase of some of these strategies, while others have  
3 been deployed for several years. The following are examples of PSE's smart grid  
4 projects:

- 5 • Glacier Battery Storage project: This consists of a 2 MW, 4.4 megawatt-hour  
6 lithium-ion battery storage facility located in Glacier, Washington. It is  
7 interconnected to the Glacier-12 distribution circuit and is designed to provide  
8 a back-up power source for the town core of Glacier in the event of a power  
9 loss.
- 10 • Transmission Line Automation System program: This improves system  
11 reliability by automatically locating a transmission line fault, isolating the  
12 fault and then reconfiguring the system to restore power to affected customers.
- 13 • Distribution Automation program: This is a relatively new and ongoing group  
14 of projects. Within Distribution Automation, Fault Location, Isolation, and  
15 Service Restoration (FLISR) implements automation schemes that use remote  
16 monitoring and sensing equipment, data and control signal communication  
17 pathways and remotely controllable switches to automatically reconfigure  
18 circuits when outages occur, thereby automatically restoring power. PSE is in  
19 the early stages of piloting Distribution Automation and is already realizing  
20 reliability and other benefits. For example, in 2018 with 44 distribution  
21 circuits enabled with Distribution Automation capability, PSE avoided over  
22 9,600 customer interruptions. In some Distribution Automation applications,

1 customers will still experience a brief power outage; however, Distribution  
2 Automation significantly reduces the length of the power outage. For  
3 example, at one Distribution Automation location on Whidbey Island, what  
4 would have historically been a three-hour power outage was reduced to just  
5 over two minutes, and the power was restored automatically without the need  
6 to dispatch field personnel.

7 **V. CONCLUSION**

8 **Q. Does this conclude your prefiled direct testimony?**

9 **A.** Yes, it does.