EXHIBIT NO. ___(SMS-1T) DOCKETS UE-17__/UG-17___ 2017 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: SUZANNE M. SASVILLE

Docket UE-17____

Docket UG-17

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF

SUZANNE M. SASVILLE

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

JANUARY 13, 2017

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUZANNE M. SASVILLE

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PSE HELP OVERVIEW	2
III.	PROPOSED INCREASE IN FUNDS FOR PSE HOME ENERGY LIFELINE PROGRAM	4
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE IN FUNDING ALLOTMENT TO ALIGN WITH CUSTOMER NEEDS	4
V.	BIENNIAL ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION FOR CERTAIN CUSTOMERS	6
VI.	CONCLUSION	8

	PUGET SOUND ENERGY
	PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF SUZANNE M. SASVILLE
	I. INTRODUCTION
Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position with Puget Sound
	Energy.
A.	My name is Suzanne M. Sasville. My business address is 10885 N.E. Fourth
	Street Bellevue, WA 98004. I am the Supervisor for Energy Assistance Programs
	for Puget Sound Energy ("PSE").
Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant
	employment experience, and other professional qualifications?
A.	Yes, I have. It is Exhibit No(SMS-2).
Q.	What are your duties as Supervisor for Energy Assistance Programs?
A.	I supervise the program administration and contracts with local agencies that help
	to administer PSE's Home Energy Lifeline Program ("HELP" or the "Program")
	which provides bill-payment assistance to eligible PSE customers whose
	household is considered a "very low-income household".
Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
A.	My testimony provides an overview of PSE's HELP, describes the unmet need of
	very low-income households in PSE's service territory, outlines changes to the
(Non	led Direct Testimony Exhibit No(SMS-1 confidential) of Page 1 of nne M. Sasville

1		PSE HELP allotment between electric and natural gas funding that will better
2		align with these very low-income customer needs, and proposes a pilot that will
3		allow for seniors and disabled individuals the option to certify their eligibility for
4		the Program every two years, instead of annually, which will increase efficiency
5		in administering the Program and reduce the burden on local agencies. It is my
6		understanding that The Energy Project supports these proposals and will address
7		them in their testimony in this proceeding.
0		
8		II. PSE HELP OVERVIEW
9	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of the PSE Home Energy Lifeline Program.
10	А.	PSE HELP provides bill-payment assistance beyond that offered by the Federal
11		Low Income Heating Assistance Program ("LIHEAP"). The Program is currently
12		offered to eligible PSE customers whose household is considered as a "very low-
13		income household" per RCW 43.63A.510 ¹ . For the last several program years,
14		eligible households may receive up to \$1,000 per year per customer in HELP
15		credit to offset their PSE electricity or natural gas bills. PSE works with 11 pre-
16		qualifying local low-income Community Action Partnership agencies to
17		administer and distribute PSE's HELP bill-payment assistance. The majority of
18		the HELP funding is collected from all PSE customers via rates that are set by
19		PSE's electric and natural gas tariff Schedules 129: Low Income Program. Any
20		HELP funds not distributed to customers in any single program year are carried

¹ RCW 43.63A.510, Affordable housing — Inventory of state-owned land:

^{(1) (}b) "Very low-income household" means a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together whose income is at or below fifty percent of the median income, adjusted for household size, for the county where the affordable housing is located.

1		over to the next program year as additional HELP funding. Total base funding for
2		HELP is \$21.2 million. ² Total HELP funding each year includes \$21.2 million of
3		base funding, a supplemental HELP funding adjustment for subsequent energy
4		rate changes, and carry-over funding from previous program years. Funding is
5		distributed to electric and natural gas customers at the fixed ratio of 75% and
6		25%, respectively. ³
7	Q.	Does PSE continue to see an unmet need in its service territory?
8	А.	Yes. Exhibit No. (SMS-3) is an internal analysis that estimates approximately
9		20% of households (with three or more persons) in the counties served by PSE are
10		living at or below 150% of the federal poverty level. While the study is not an
11		exact measurement of very low-income households in PSE's service territory, the
12		estimates are reasonable and based on publicly available data. This analysis used
13		the latest household data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 2010-2014 American
14		Community Survey 5-Year estimates and poverty guidelines from the U.S.
15		Department of Health and Human Services. The number of PSE customers was
16		sourced from PSE's Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-K filing for
17		2015.

 ² Order 7 of consolidated Dockets UE-121697/UG-121705 (Decoupling) and consolidated Dockets UE-130137/UG-130138 (Expedited Rate Filing).
³ Order 12 of consolidated Dockets UE-072300/UG-072301 (Appendix D).

1 2

Suzanne M. Sasville

III. PROPOSED INCREASE IN FUNDS FOR PSE HOME ENERGY LIFELINE PROGRAM

3	Q.	Is PSE seeking an overall increase to the Program funding?
4	A.	Yes. PSE proposes to increase the annual level of very low-income electric and
5		natural gas bill assistance HELP funding by double the corresponding overall
6		percent rate increase to the residential class that is approved by the Commission
7		in this case. The amount of this percentage increase would be added to the electric
8		and natural gas Schedule 129 low income tariff filings following the conclusion of
9		this proceeding. In the event of an overall rate decrease to the corresponding
10		residential class, the program level funding will remain at the same level from the
11		previous program year.
12 13		IV. PROPOSED CHANGE IN FUNDING ALLOTMENT TO ALIGN WITH CUSTOMER NEEDS
14	Q.	Does PSE plan to maintain the same fixed ratio between electric and gas
15		HELP funds?
16	A.	No. As mentioned above, PSE HELP funding is currently distributed to electric
17		and natural gas customers at the fixed ratio of 75% and 25%, respectively, as
18		adopted ten years ago. PSE is proposing to change the Schedule 129 tariffs so that
19		the HELP funding will be distributed to electric and natural gas customers at 80%
20		electric and 20% gas, respectively, going forward. PSE agrees to this new
21		allocation, but reserves the right to revisit this allocation in future rate cases as
22		potential environmental regulations could impact fuel costs and/or rates,
23		particularly for natural gas. Furthermore, PSE plans to work with the agencies to
	Prefil	ed Direct Testimony Exhibit No(SMS-1T)
	(Nonc	confidential) of Page 4 of 8

explore ways to better target and utilize funds throughout the program year so that low-income customer needs are met. For example, if it is apparent that gas or electric funds will not be fully distributed within a given contract year, is there a way for PSE to adjust dollars in the gas and electric budgets so that all funds are utilized in a manner that meets very low-income customer needs while minimizing cross-subsidization between funds collected from gas and electric ratepayers?

8 Q. Why is PSE seeking to change the fixed ratio now?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9 A. This updated ratio better aligns with current very low-income customer needs and 10 allocates the appropriate amounts of funds to minimize carry-over balances from 11 year-to-year. As noted above, the total HELP funding each year includes base 12 funding, a supplemental HELP funding adjustment for subsequent energy rate 13 increases, and carry-over funding from previous program years. Generally 14 speaking, in the recent program years, the actual funds distributed to very low 15 income customers using each fuel are closer to the percentages proposed by PSE 16 above. For example, in the October 2014 through September 2015 program year, 17 the actual percentages of HELP bill-payment assistance distributed were 81% 18 electric to electric customers and 19% to natural gas customers. In PSE's service 19 territory, there are more electric customers, and there are more electric customers 20 who seek HELP assistance. Figure 1 below shows the actual percentages of the 21 HELP funding distribution from October 2012 to September 2016.



1		slots for additional participants in the HELP enrollment process, reduce the
2		burden of annual certification for Community Action Agencies, and is a benefit to
3		very-low income customers who are older or disabled and have limited mobility
4		options.
5	Q.	Has the Commission previously certified eligibility on a two-year basis?
6	А.	Yes. The Commission approved two-year certification for PacifiCorp in Order 07
7		under Docket UE-111190.
8	Q.	Are there any other changes to eligibility that PSE is proposing to make to
9		HELP?
10	A.	Yes. PSE's HELP tariffs currently restrict eligibility to those customers below
11		area median income, which is based on the definition of "very low income" in the
12		affordable housing statute, RCW 43.63A.510. PSE is proposing to use federal
13		poverty level rather than area median income as the income criteria for eligibility
14		in PSE HELP. PSE proposes that eligibility for PSE HELP be set at 150% of the
15		federal poverty level.
16	Q.	Why do you propose to change the PSE HELP eligibility criteria from area
17		median income to 150% of federal poverty level?
18	A:	Tying eligibility to federal poverty level is more consistent with metrics used with
19		other low-income programs such as LIHEAP and other investor-owned utility
20		programs in Washington State. Federal poverty level is also the metric used in
21		many studies that are conducted about poverty. Utilizing 150% of federal poverty
	(Nonc	ed Direct Testimony Exhibit No(SMS-1T) confidential) of Page 7 of 8 ne M. Sasville

 level will also simplify the eligibility process for Community Action Partnership agencies, which will reduce administrative overhead expenses.
VI. CONCLUSION
Q. Does that conclude your prefiled direct testimony?
A. Yes, it does.