EXH. JJJ-9 DOCKETS UE-19\_\_/UG-19\_ 2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: JOSHUA J. JACOBS

# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,
v.

Docket UE-19\_\_\_\_
Docket UG-19\_\_\_\_

Respondent.

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY,** 

.

# EIGHTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

**JOSHUA J. JACOBS** 

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

## DC-11 Micro-Services Corporate Spending Authorization (CSA)

**Application Request** 

## **Getting started:**

**Date Submitted:** 07/06/2018

**Officer Sponsor:** Margaret Hopkins / Andy Wappler / Carol Wallace

**Completed By:** Tina Valdez

**Phase Gate:** Execute – Refer to section II phase gate changes

## I. Project Overview

Problem Statement: PSE Currently provides Application Programming Interface (API), which allows

integration and access to back-end systems (SAP) using a myriad of

technologies. This creates inconsistent methodologies for consuming back-end

data as well as confusion around which services to use.

Future Vision: Our goal is to provide a consolidated set of integration services that can be

consumed across PSE Applications. This approach will provide consistency in integration delivery as well as an efficient and supportable set of integration definitions. This will enable customer self-serve transactions presentment on the

digital channels (Web and Mobile)

Proposed Solution: The proposed solution includes the development and implementation of a set of

Amazon Web Services (AWS) Micro services. A set of DataStream services will also be included to provide real-time integration with the SAP back-end. These technologies will use the AWS Micro service technologies to provide a robust, scalable and highly available set of services that can be consumed by any PSE

System. The customer self-service transactions include:

Outage

Payment Arrangement

**Budget Billing** 

**Payments** 

Maintain Account

Preference Center

Billing

Start Service

**Stop Service** 

Transfer Service

**Construction Services** 

**EE Rebates** 

Late Payments

Campaign Management

Credit Returns

Log Complaint

Comm Gateway

Account History

Alternatives Evaluated: The team (PSE IT and Accenture) completed analysis to determine the

integration approach during the CI Sub-program planning phase recommending

	Micro services on the AWS Cloud solution. The Micro services recommendation was presented and accepted by the IT Architecture Review Board. The IT Architecture Guiding Principles were followed in making this recommendation.						
Primary ISP Alignment:	Customer						
Type of Project:	Cost Benefit						
OCM Considerations:	Impacted Users (Internal):						
	$\boxtimes < 100$ $\square < 500$ $\square > 500$						
	Impacted Customers (External):						
	$\square$ None $\square$ < 100K Electric or < 1K Gas $\boxtimes$ > 100K Electric or >1K Gas						
	Internal Organizational Impact:						
	$\Box$ 1 Dept or less $\Box$ 2-5 Dept $\boxtimes$ > 5 Dept / Business Platform / Enterprise						
Project Complexity &	$\Box$ Straightforward, well understood $\Box$ < 6 months						
Duration:	$\boxtimes$ Complex and well understood $\boxtimes$ < 12 months						
	$\Box$ Complex and not well articulated $\Box$ > 12 months						

## **II. Phase Gate Change Summary**

## July 2018:

Phase Gate (Design to Execute) Change Summary: All phase gate deliverables are complete and the project passed the audit.

Scope:

The scope has not changed significantly although there are <u>decisions</u> documented that describe minor deferred functionality. This project includes the additional costs for the CI Cloud Enablement Phase II project (WBS K.10012.01.02.14) and is managed by the same PM.

**Budget:** 

This CSA update highlights the budget change which aligns with the approved  $2^{nd}$  quarter 2018 additional budget. The changes include the following 2018 forecast update:

- The contingency for the CI Sub-program is 15% (\$3,162,625) and will be managed at the CI Sub-Program portfolio by Tina Valdez and Carol Wallace with communication to leadership and the SC if contingency is accessed.
- The approved 2018 capital budget is \$6,827,685.
- The approved 2018 capital budget for the Cloud Enablement Project is \$238,392.
- The classification of O&M and Capital expenses were reviewed by Property accounting and a significant re-classification of O&M to Capital allocation was made by the GTZ Program PMO.

Capital = \$7,488,400 and 2018 O&M = \$0.00

**Schedule:** 

The schedule has not changed since the January 2018 update. The release date is August 20, 2018.

Risk:

The Risk profile has not changed since the January 2018 update.

## January 2018:

Scope:						
<b>Budget:</b>	The projected capital budget for completing the defined work is \$7,103,829M.					
Schedule: No change in schedule. The project is still on track to deliver on August 2018.						
Risk Profile:	The CI Sub-Program has a moderate risk profile score, which includes a major risk due to technology resource availability with competing IT priorities, specifically the Data Center Move.					

## III. Key Schedule and Financial Information

You may copy/paste this section from the Initiation Proposal form. Be sure to update each section as applicable.

Proposed Budget Year(s): 2017-2018

Expected In-Service Date: 08/30/2018

Initial Estimate: Capital: \$7,024,354

## **Cost Estimate Maturity Score:**

Score: Class 3 - Baseline Budget Ready

**Cost Estimation Classification Document:** 

## **Updated Estimate for Total Project Cost:**

Phase Name:		Design		ontingency %		0%		
Cost Type	Capital			OMRC		Opex**		Total
Cost (without contingency)	\$	7,488,400	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,488,400
Contingency (auto-calculated)*	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total (auto-calculated)	\$	7,488,400	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,488,400
TOTAL ANNUAL CASH BENEFIT	\$	1	IF APPLICABLE					
PAYBACK IN YEARS (auto-calculat		7488400.00	IF APPLICABLE					

<sup>\*</sup> Contingency is carried at the sub-program level

**Note 1**: This project does not have any quantifiable cash benefits as it is part of the technical infrastructure that supports the Web, Communication Gateway, and Mobile App digital channels.

Note 2: The capital dollars listed for 2018 includes the \$233,800 for AWS Cloud support.

#### **Estimated Five Year Allocation:**

Category:	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Capital (incl. contingency)	\$660,715	\$6,827,685	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00
OMRC	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00
Opex	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00
Cash O&M Benefits	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00

## **Cash Benefits by Department:**

Note: Benefits for Micro services are defined at the CI Sub-Program Level

<b>Department Name</b>	2017	2018 2019		2017 2018		2020	2021
N/A	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00		

<sup>\*\*</sup> O&M is carried at the GTZ Program level by the PMT

## Ongoing Annual O&M by Department: (e.g., maintenance, FTEs, cloud storage, etc.)

Note: Ongoing Annual O&M are represented by replacing existing service. Analysis to be completed by IT.

Category	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
IT HW/SW/SaaS	\$0,000.00	\$0,000.00	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$200,000
IT Resources (2 FTE)		\$460,000	\$460,000	\$460,000	\$460,000

## **Non-Cash Benefits / Future Cost Avoidance:**

- **Increased Self-Service Adoption**
- Customer Engagement Positive Perception of PSE
- Operational Efficiency
- IT Decommission of current services infrastructure

Cash on Cash Single Payback: N/A

### IV. Project Description and Objectives

### **Project Description:**

- Define, Design and Implement the MSDSIP as a solution to support the integration needs of Micro services, streaming data-based web, mobile and data applications. The solution will make available the following core services/capabilities:
  - 1. Asynchronous and Synchronous Messaging Queuing.
  - 2. Data streaming proof of concept; for publishing at least these data streams. Priority of when to develop and deliver which stream will be determined as part of this project
    - SAP HANA ECC/CRM data (e.g., Customer transaction records, master data)
    - PSE's Cassandra data cache
  - 3. Select and implement an API Gateway solution for PSE
  - Recommend, implement and hand-off CI/CD Tools and Processes, relative to Lambda, Container Management and the API Gateway

## Scope will also include these supporting deliverables

- GTZ Program and Data sub-program use case review to drive out detailed requirements
- Business processes recommendations
- Security recommendations
- Governance recommendations

#### Micro services Foundation/Platform Release – Accenture 100%:

- AWS API Gateway setup, configuration, integration
- AWS API Gateway AuthN, AuthZ
- AWS Cognito setup, configuration, integration
- AWS ECS cluster, ECR setup, config, integration
- PSE base Docker image definition, build
- PSE base Lambda project Splunk setup, configuration, integration
- AWS Elastic Cache config
- AWS IAM role definition inventory
- Kinesis setup, config, integration
- kinesis base producer project, base consumer project
- CICD tools setup, config, integrate
- CICD service onboarding
- AWS SQS setup, config, installation

<u>Micro services Regular Releases – PSE 70% Ownership, Accenture 30%</u> <u>Ownership:</u>

XFD01 - Account History

- XFD02 Billing
- XFD03 Meter Reading Corrections
- XFD04 Payments
- XFD05 Pay Channel / Autopay
- XFD06 Credit Returns
- XFD07 Budget Billing
- XFD08 Payment Arrangements / Deferrals
- XFD09 Late Payment, Disconnect/Reconnect
- XFD10 Maintain Account
- XFD11 Start Service
- XFD12 Stop Service
- XFD13 Move Service
- XFD14 Outages
- XFD15 Service Orders Status
- XFD16 Construction Services (As Is)
- XFD17 Preference Center
- XFD18 EE Rebates
- XFD19 Financial Assistance
- XFD20 Log Customer Complaint
- XFD21 Design Campaigns
- XFD22 Execute Campaigns
- XFD23 Monitor and Report on Campaign Results
- Landlord
- Cassandra Replication
- User Management
- Renewables
- Data Quality Management
- Mobile Specific
- Communication Gateway
- SAP BW

## **ISP Alignment:**

ISP Objectives,	Strategy	Benefit Description
Mandatory and/or	Abbreviated ISP strategy descriptions	Benefit, measurement and/or scorecard affected
Corporate Risk		
Financial	Five-Year Strategic Plan	
	☐ Maximize long-term value	
	Grow core business	
	Grow new business	
Customer	Execute the Customer Experience	Introduce customer self-service transactions to be
	Intent Statement	consumed by digital platforms ultimately driving ideal
	Recognition of PSE role in community	customer behavior and meet customer expectations for
	Customer preparedness & safety	self-service.
	☐ Ideal customer behaviors	SCII-SCI VICC.
	Listen & dialogue with customers	

ISP Objectives,	Strategy	Benefit Description
Mandatory and/or	Abbreviated ISP strategy descriptions	Benefit, measurement and/or scorecard affected
Corporate Risk		
Process and Tools	Streamline processes to drive	Improve call deflection to the Customer Care Center and
	effectiveness and efficiency	leverage the investment in the SAP core CIS system.
	System reliability and integrity	
	☐ Safety and security of systems,	
	information and assets	
	Extract and leverage value from	
	existing technology and assets	
	Optimize product/service portfolio	
	consistent with long-term strategy	
People	Develop/Retain best employees	
	Ownership, innovation and continuous	
	improvement	
Safety	Educate and train employees on	
	effective safety and wellness strategies	

# **Project Objectives and Deliverables:**

Objective	Outcomes / Deliverables	KPIs – Describe; Indicated Leading/Lagging	KPI Data Sources
Implement re-designed Website with the identified scope.	Go-Live by August 31, 2018	Leading/Lagging	
Implement OCM including training	Business Units are knowlegable about the change, they have the ability to implment required skills and behaviors and positive re-enforcement to sustain the change surveys are trending in the correct direction.		
Implement Successful Testing	No Defect Severity 1 or 2 upon UAT Completion		
Successful Go-Live Readiness for:      PSE Business Units     Customers	Impacted business units are adequately trained, prepared and communicated with regarding the go-live. Customers are communicated with and the new website is available by the go-live date Customer adoption of the new self-service capabilities increases		

**Project Alternatives Assessment:** 

Alternative	Pros	Cons	Cost	Duration
CI Digital RFP and Alternative Information TCO and Accenture ARB Recommendation				

# V. Risk Management

Risk	k Likelihood Impact of How Monitored M					
		Occurrence				
The availability of	ailability of Medium Medium Weekly Sub-Program		There are 3 <sup>rd</sup> parties that			
productive environments			Risk Meeting and the IT	can provide pre-		
for development, test,			organization is working to	production environments		
QA and pre-prod while			integrate the CI	and/or PSE can create		
coordinating around the			environment requirements	separate pre-prod		
Data Center Move and				environments to support		
the Enhancement Pack 8				the project activities		
SAP Upgrade				which may be explored.		
The availability of the	Medium	Medium	Weekly Sub-Program	The short term solution is		
SAP Multi-Channel			Risk Meeting and there is	to share the development		
Foundation for SAP.			a CI project to complete	environment with the		
			the pre-prod upgrade of	FTIP 2 project.		
			SAP MCF.			
Contractor Access to	High	High	Team is monitoring the	There is no mitigation; the		
VDI provided in a timely			process on a regular basis.	process is not open to		
manner is impacting				improvements.		
team productivity						
The project is utilizing a	Medium	Medium	The project team has a	The team has requested an		
iterative waterfall agile			tightly managed schedule.	exemption to the standard		
methodology and the				phase gate process.		
phase gates are not able						
to accommodate the						
iterative nature of design,						
build, deploy						

Risk Register: Risk Register

# VI. High Level Schedule

Line	Lifecylce Phase	Life and an Ohanna		2016			2017				2018				2019	
# Lijetyite Phase	Start	Finish -	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	
1	Initiation	1/1/2016	12/30/2016													
2	Planning	2/6/2017	11/1/2017													
3	Design	12/27/2017	3/30/2018								ı					
4	Execution	4/2/2018	8/30/2018													
5	Close-out	9/3/2018	10/15/2018													

# VII. Supporting Documentation

Cost Estimating and Budget: <u>01262018 Forecast</u>

**Business Needs and Alternatives:** 

**Benefits Realization Plan:** CI Benefits Analysis

**Project Audit Checklist:** 

OCM Sizing Worksheet: <u>Tiering Worksheet</u>

# VIII. Original CSA Approvals:

I. Prepared By	Title	Role	Date	Signature
Tina Valdez	Business Delivery	Program Manager		
	Manager			

Approved By	Title	Role	Date	Signature
CI Steering	Salman Aladin	Director Sponsors		
Committee:	Brian Fellon			
	Jennifer Tada			
	Greg Zeller			
	Harry Shapiro			
Carol Wallace		Day to Day		
Josh Jacobs		Program Directors		

Acknowledgements	Title	Role	Date	Signature
		Benefit Owner*		
		IT		

<sup>\*</sup>Benefit Owners must be added to the Approved By section during Execution Phase/Gate. – Note, as previously mentioned, there are no hard benefits associated with this project.