1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In re Application TS-160479 of ) DOCKET NO. TS-160479
5	MEI NORTHWEST LLC )
6	For a Certificate of Public )
Convenience and Necessity to ) 7 Operate Vessels in Furnishing )	Operate Vessels in Furnishing )
8	Passenger Ferry Service )
9	
10	PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOLUME I
11	Pages 1-14
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER
13	
14	1:32 p.m.
15	September 1, 2016
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
17	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18	
19	REPORTED BY: TAYLER RUSSELL, CCR #3358
20	
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
22	1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066 - Seattle
24	360.534.9066 - Olympia 800.846.6989 - National
25	www.buellrealtime.com

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER Washington Utilities and
4	Transportation Commission  1300 South Evergreen Park Drive
5	P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504
6	(360) 664-1136 Mfriedla@utc.wa.gov
7	THITTEGIAGUEC. Wa. gov
8	FOR MEI NORTHWEST LLC:
9	DANIEL R. BENTSON Bullivant House Bailey PC
10	Attorneys at Law 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1810
11	(206) 521-6420 dan.bentson@bullivant.com
12	
13	FOR ARROW LAUNCH SERVICE, INC:
14	DAVID W. WILEY Williams Kastner
15	Two Union Square 601 Union Street, Suite 4100
16	Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 628-6600
17	dwiley@williamskastner.com
18	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
19	JULIAN BEATTIE
20	Attorney General's Office P.O. Box 40128
21	Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1225
22	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
4	MICHAEL YOUNG Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive P.O. Box 47250
6	Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1155
7	myoung@utc.wa.gov
8	
9	FOR PACIFIC CRUISES NORTHWEST, INC:
10	DREW SCHMIDT
11	President Pacific Cruises Northwest, Inc.
12	355 Harris Avenue, Suite 104 Bellingham, Washington 98225
13	(360) 738-8099 drew@whales.com
14	arewewhares.com
15	* * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; SEPTEMBER 1, 2016
2	1:32 P.M.
3	000
4	
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. We will go
6	on the record. Good afternoon. My name is Marguerite
7	Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge assigned
8	to this matter. We're here before the Washington
9	Utilities and Transportation Commission on
10	September 1st, 2016, for a prehearing conference and
11	Docket TS-160479, an application filed by MEI Northwest,
12	LLC for a certificate of public convenience and
13	necessity to operate vessels in furnishing passenger
14	ferry services.
15	The purpose of the prehearing conference
16	today is to take appearances of the parties, address any
17	intervention requests, discuss the procedural schedule,
18	and any procedural matters that the parties wish to
19	raise.
20	Before we proceed any further, let's take
21	brief appearances from the parties. I already have your
22	contact information, so you will just need to state your
23	full name, spell your last name, and then indicate the
24	party which you represent.
25	So we will begin with Mr. Rentson

1 MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor. Dan Bentson, 2 B-e-n-t-s-o-n, for MEI. 3 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 4 Appearing today on behalf of Arrow Launch 5 Service? 6 MR. WILEY: Yes. Good afternoon, Your 7 Dave Wiley appearing today on behalf of Protest Honor. 8 and Arrow Launch Service, Inc. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 10 Appearing today on behalf of Staff? 11 MR. BEATTIE: Julian Beattie, B-e-a-t-t-i-e, 12 Washington State Attorney General's Office, appearing as 13 Staff Counsel. 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 15 And appearing today on behalf of Pacific 16 Cruises Northwest? 17 MR. SCHMIDT: This is Drew Schmidt, S-c-h-m-i-d-t. 18 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And you are going 20 to have to speak up, Mr. Schmidt. 2.1 MR. SCHMIDT: Drew Schmidt, S-c-h-m-i-d-t. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 23 There was an indication before we went on 24 record that there may be another intervention request. 25 The Commission has not received this intervention

request, but my understanding is that the parties have
received it from Puget Sound Express, Inc. Is there
anyone on the line who is appearing today on behalf of
this company?

Okay. And is there anyone here in person who is appearing today on behalf of this company?

No one is rushing forward.

Is there anyone else who wishes to put in an appearance?

Okay. Hearing nothing, let's go ahead and address the intervention request that we did receive from Pacific Cruises Northwest. I have read the intervention. Does anyone wish to object to the intervention?

I am not hearing anything, so I take it, then, that there are no objections to this petition, and I will grant the petition to intervene.

Is there anyone else who wishes to put in an intervention at this time? Considering the one potential company has not appeared today, and I do not hear any other indications, I will take that as a no.

The parties indicated off record that they have not arrived at a procedural schedule. I think what we will do is we will go off the record at this point and try to work one up. When we come back on the

1 record, we will discuss potential dates.

So we are off the record.

(Discussion held off the record from

1:35 p.m. to 2:06 p.m.)

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. While off record, we did discuss a procedural schedule, which I will read into the record in just a moment. But first, I do want to indicate that discovery will be conducted pursuant to the Commission's discovery rules under the WAC 480-07. Do we need a protective order in this matter?

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, if there are financial informations requested, yeah. The problem is that we have a -- Mr. Beattie's also familiar with this issue, that we have an opinion out of your division that they are unavailable in non-8177 cases because that's the only industry that got covered by so -- and this is a pending issue that we do need to talk about in terms of how -- and maybe we will bring it to you. But financial information going into the public domain, either for the applicant or the protestant aside from the financial showing that they have to make in the record, is problematic without a protective order. So, you know, I don't know what we are going to do.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Should the need

1 arise, then I quess we will just address it at that 2 time. 3 Mr. Schmidt, were you going to add anything? 4 MR. SCHMIDT: No, I was not. 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. 6 MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, if I could on that 7 front with respect to the protective order, MEI and I 8 would assume Arrow Launch would be willing to work 9 cooperatively to see if we can't stipulate to some sort 10 of terms of a protective order in the event that 11 discovery that's problematic arises. 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think the problem 13 then, though, would be if you file the information 14 because it becomes a matter of public record. 15 MR. BEATTIE: And even more than that, since 16 Staff is a party, any information that flows through the 17 Staff --18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right, it also becomes 19 considered a public record. 20 MR. BEATTIE: Somebody issued a public 21 records request for that and it was lying in Mr. Young's 22 file or my file --23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: It would be able --24 MR. BEATTIE: Yeah. And that's why, you know, a judge can issue a protective order, but I think 25

it would be trumped by the Public Records Act. And so it's really hard to keep this sort of stuff from the public.

MR. WILEY: In Title 81 cases right now, this is a very current, hot issue. We'd love it if you would issue a protective order, but I think if you went back and talked to Judge Kopta, he may disabuse you of that. That's another reason why discovery in Title 81 cases get kind of dicey, and the salt waste industry addressed it legislatively with the Staff's -- Commission Staff's very strong support.

I hope that we can continue to do that for other industries. I think maybe from what I am hearing from my colleague that on the financial issues, we could talk about how we could -- and then we would, of course, talk to Staff because if it's an issue that they might want to be involved in opining on, they got to know, but hopefully we can work around it.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: There is always the option, I believe, of inspection of documents without taking notes.

MR. WILEY: Yes.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Staff may be able to go look at documents, audit potentially without taking any -- without creating a record of their own. I don't

1 know, just a suggestion.

MR. WILEY: As you well know from rape cases, which you do a lot of, that creates problems on the record too. I mean, if we have documents that are proprietary that are coming into issue in the hearing, they can't -- you can't protect it from the record, from the evidentiary record of the hearing.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure.

MR. WILEY: So we have got some issues here.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure.

MR. BENTSON: And understood there's some unique features there, but my thought would just be that, you know, it's best to instead of trying to craft any sort of protective order now or make a blanket decision, it probably makes sense for us to see if there is a request that will involve the disclosure of confidential and sensitive information, at which point we can try to craft a creative and mutually agreeable solution since obviously both -- at least I think both of our clients, there potentially would be sensitive information that could come up that they wouldn't want part of public records.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. I'm amenable to that solution. I don't think we have to have one right now. Once the need arises, though,

1 please give me some lead time as far as trying to get 2 some solution for all of you to continue to conduct 3 discovery of potentially proprietary or sensitive 4 information. 5 So, Mr. Schmidt, there won't be a protective 6 order at this point, and then should you or any of the 7 others need it, you will need to come to me as soon as 8 possible. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. Thank you. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure. 11 Now, we've been starting to ask if the 12 parties are willing to consent to electronic service 13 amongst each other. Do the parties agree to that? 14 MR. WILEY: Yes for the Protest. 15 MR. BENTSON: Yes for MEI, Your Honor. 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry, Mr. Schmidt? 19 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes for us. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 21 And Staff? 22 MR. BEATTIE: For Staff, yes. 23 Okay. Thank you. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 24 I'm not going to require it of service of -consent of service from the Commission to be electronic. 25

- We usually designate one representative and one attorney on behalf of each party who receive hard copy service.
- If each of the parties can get me, and with the
- 4 exception of Staff, unless you have someone other than
- Mr. Young who wants to be lead on this and receive the
- 6 hard copy.
- 7 MR. YOUNG: I will do it.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's fine.
- So if each of the parties stave -- Staff
- will get me by email, one attorney physical address for
- service of process, and one Company or entity
- 12 representative service of process physical address, that
- would be excellent. I can put those in the prehearing
- 14 conference order, and they will be available for the
- parties to reference at the back of the order in the
- 16 appendixes.
- For purposes of document filing with the
- Commission, please submit and file an original and three
- 19 copies, and with a courtesy copy I should mention to the
- judge, to me, with each filing you make with the
- 21 Commission.
- 22 Are there any other issues that we need to
- address at this prehearing conference before we adjourn?
- MR. BEATTIE: Have you read the procedural
- 25 schedule into the record yet?

1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry. That's a 2 very good reminder. Thank you. I was saving that until 3 last, and it was going to be absolutely last. 4 So the procedural schedule as agreed to by 5 the parties would be direct testimony from the Company, 6 MEI, due October 4th, response testimony from all 7 parties who wish to file with the exception of MEI due 8 on November 1st, rebuttal testimony and any 9 cross-answering testimony from parties would be due December 5th, discovery cutoff, the end of discovery, 10 11 would be December 9th, 2016, the evidentiary hearing 12 would be scheduled for January 5th and 6th, if 13 necessary, December -- I am sorry, of 2017, and 14 simultaneous initial briefs would be due on 15 February 17th, 2017. 16 Is there anything else before we adjourn? 17 All right. Hearing nothing, we are adjourned. Thank 18 you. 19 (Adjourned at 2:14 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	
6	I, Tayler Russell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify
8	that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
9	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
10	
11	
12	Tayler Russell, CCR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	