WUTC DOCKET: UE-190529, et al EXHIBIT: WTE-9T ADMIT ☑ W/D ☐ REJECT ☐

EXH. WTE-9T DOCKETS UE-190529/UG-190530 UE-190274/UG-190275 2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: WILLIAM T. EINSTEIN

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

In the Matter of the Petition of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

For an Order Authorizing Deferral Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for Short-life IT/Technology Investment Docket UE-190529 Docket UG-190530 (*Consolidated*)

Docket UE-190274 Docket UG-190275 (Consolidated)

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF WILLIAM T. EINSTEIN ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

JANUARY 15, 2020

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF WILLIAM T. EINSTEIN

CONTENTS

I.	INTF	RODUCTION	1
II.	WATER HEATER SERVICE SALE UPDATE AND RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COUNSEL		2
	A.	Status of Water Heater Service Sale	2
	В.	Public Counsel's Concerns Relating to Depreciated Assets Disregards Customer Choice and the Benefits Customers Receive Through the Water Heater Service	3
III.	SCHEDULE UPDATE AND RESPONSE TO CONCERNS REGARDING THE GREEN DIRECT PROGRAM		7
	A.	Non-Participating Customers Will Not Cross Subsidize Program Costs	8
	В.	The Green Direct PPAs Are Properly Included in PSE's Power Cost Update	8
	C.	Liquidated Damages Associated with Skookumchuck Will Be Deferred and Used to Offset Program Costs	9
	D.	Overall Tracking of Green Direct Costs and Benefits	10
IV.	CONCLUSION		10

18

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF WILLIAM T. EINSTEIN

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Are you the same William T. Einstein who provided prefiled direct testimony and prefiled supplemental direct testimony in these dockets on behalf of Puget Sound Energy ("PSE" or "Company")?
- A. Yes, I filed prefiled direct testimony, Exh. WTE-1CT, and six supporting exhibits (Exh. WTE-2 through Exh. WTE-7HC), and prefiled supplemental direct testimony, Exh. WTE-8T.
- Q. What topics are you covering in your prefiled rebuttal testimony?
- A. In my prefiled rebuttal testimony, I provide a status update regarding PSE's proposed sale of its water heater rental service ("Water Heater Service") and I address concerns and recommendations raised by Public Counsel regarding the Water Heater Service and PSE's proposed sale of the service. Then, I respond to concerns raised by Commission Staff and Public Counsel relating to PSE's Green Direct program, tied primarily to the tracking of costs and benefits associated with the program.

II. WATER HEATER SERVICE SALE UPDATE AND RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COUNSEL

A. Status of Water Heater Service Sale

- Q. In your prefiled supplemental testimony, you testified that PSE anticipated making an appropriate filing with the Commission before the end of 2019 to obtain Commission approval to sell the Water Heater Service. Has that filing occurred?
- A. No. Negotiations for the sale of the Water Heater Service took longer than expected and the parties estimate the Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA") for the sale of the Water Heater Service will be finalized in 30-60 days. PSE anticipates making a filing for Commission approval of the sale shortly after execution of the APA by both parties.
- Q. Does PSE still anticipate incorporating the results of the Water Heater Service sale into the current case?
- A. No. Even with Commission approval prior to the end of this case, it is now unlikely that close of the proposed transaction for the sale of the Water Heater Service will occur prior to the end of this case. Accordingly, as will be explained in greater detail in PSE's application to sell the Water Heater Service, customer communication and transition will begin upon Commission approval and final financial results are expected to be complete six months after approval, which is estimated to be on or after November 1, 2020. PSE now proposes that the

depreciated";

21

4. Any gains PSE receives from selling the Water Heater Service should be returned to customers.¹

- Q. How do you respond to Public Counsel's recommendations relating to notifying participating customers when their equipment becomes fully depreciated?
- A. If the Commission approves of PSE's sale of the Water Heater Service, customers will be notified of the sale and will be provided the current depreciated value of the equipment, including if their equipment is fully depreciated. The details of this notification process will be submitted as part of PSE's application to sell the service, which will be filed with the Commission in the near future.

However, as a general matter, PSE is not opposed to affirmatively notifying customers when their equipment becomes fully depreciated. If PSE's sale of the Water Heater Service is not approved by the Commission, PSE has no objections with implementing this notification practice. As far as providing customers with the option to take ownership of their equipment or have the equipment removed, those options are already available to customers in addition to continuing the rental service.

¹ Colamonici, Exh. CAC-1CT at 11:3-12:2.

- Q. How do you respond to Public Counsel's position that customers with depreciated equipment should not be permitted to transition their service to the new buyer?
- A. PSE disagrees with Public Counsel's position, which would limit the options available to a customer with fully depreciated equipment. PSE does not believe it is appropriate for the Company or any other party to make the decision for a customer as to whether they can or should participate in a rental service.

 Customers are in the best position to decide whether to continue renting or to exercise the other options summarized above. PSE's sale of the service simply provides customers that option.

Whether a customer currently has depreciated equipment is a snapshot in time and can vary depending on when the customer had their water heater last replaced. A customer with depreciated equipment today might have a new, undepreciated water heater tomorrow if their equipment fails. But neither scenario should be a determinative factor as to whether a customer can continue their rental service.

As shown by the number of customers continuing to participate in the program year after year, many customers participate in the Water Heater Service not because they see it as a water heater purchase program but because they prefer to rent the equipment rather than own due to the value the rental service provides. The Water Heater Service is a comprehensive program whereby PSE (and eventually the proposed buyer) provides the rental equipment, parts, repair, and

replacement for a monthly rental rate. Customers value this rental service due to

the peace of mind, convenience, and predictability of costs that comes with a worry-free guarantee of repair or replacement of their water heater. Selling the service provides participating customers—including customers with depreciated equipment—the ultimate choice on whether to continue their water heater rental service.

For customers with depreciated equipment who do not wish to continue their rental service, they can terminate their service and either (1) take ownership of their water heater or (2) request that PSE remove the water heater. Customers with water heaters that are not fully depreciated would need to pay the remaining undepreciated balance before taking ownership of their water heaters.

- Q. What is PSE's response to Public Counsel's recommendation that any gains from the sale of the Water Heater Service should be returned to customers?
- A. PSE agrees with Public Counsel that any gains from the sale of the Water Heater Service should be returned to customers. The same is true for losses. Any difference between the sales price based on the market value of the Water Heater Service and PSE book value at close of the transaction, plus selling costs, should be returned to customers. Please see the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Susan E. Free, Exh. SEF-17T, for the accounting treatment of the gain or loss of the anticipated sale which will be filed in the application for approval. This accounting treatment will be consistent with prior treatment of gains or losses on property dispositions.

10

14

15

16

III. SCHEDULE UPDATE AND RESPONSE TO CONCERNS REGARDING THE GREEN DIRECT PROGRAM

Q. What is the current schedule for implementing the Green Direct program?

Due to unanticipated further delays, the Skookumchuck Wind project is now A. expected to go into full operation in April 2020, instead of late 2019 as I projected in my prefiled direct testimony. Per the Schedule 139 Agreement, Green Direct customers enrolled in the first Open Season will not be billed until such time as the project has been commissioned and delivering energy. The Lund Hill solar project remains on schedule to begin energy generation in 2021.

Q. What concerns have parties raised regarding PSE's Green Direct program?

A. Parties have raised concerns over (1) cross subsidization between participating and non-participating customers;² (2) whether the Green Direct Power Purchase Agreements ("PPAs") should be included in PSE's power cost update;³ (3) the treatment of liquidated damages associated with delays from the Skookumchuck project;⁴ and (4) the overall tracking of Green Direct costs and benefits.⁵ I address each of these concerns below.

² Scanlan, Exh. KBS-1CT at 7:11-8:15.

³ Colamonici, Exh. CAC-1CT at 13:12-14:11.

⁴ Scanlan, Exh. KBS-1CT at 8:17-12:13; Colamonici, Exh. CAC-1CT at 14:12-16:6.

⁵ Scanlan, Exh. KBS-1CT at 12:15-15:2.

A. Non-Participating Customers Will Not Cross Subsidize Program <u>Costs</u>

Q. What concerns are you addressing regarding cross-subsidization?

A. In the Response Testimony of Kathi B. Scanlan, Exh. KBS-1CT, Ms. Scanlan states that in Commission Staff's review of the Green Direct program, it found evidence of cross-subsidization based on software related to the Green Direct program billed to a common future use capital order.⁶

Q. What is PSE's response to this concern?

A. As noted by Ms. Scanlan in her testimony, PSE already stated in discovery that these costs will be removed from rate base. This is explained further in the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Susan E. Free, Exh. SEF-17T.

B. The Green Direct PPAs Are Properly Included in PSE's Power Cost Update

- Q. Has PSE included the Green Direct PPAs in PSE's power cost update even though neither are yet in service?
- A. Yes, Skookumchuck and Lund Hill PPAs are included in PSE's power cost update. PSE anticipates Skookumchuck coming into service by May 2020. In addition, PSE has recently amended the PPA for Lund Hill in order to align construction activities with labor provisions set by Washington's Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA). As part of that amendment, the developer has

⁶ Scanlan, Exh. KBS-1CT at 7:11-8:10.

⁷ *Id.* at 8:12-15.

guaranteed that PSE will begin receiving energy under that contract on March 1, 2021. In both cases, the contracts will be coming into service during the rate year. Please see the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Paul K. Wetherbee, Exh. PKW-34CT, at page 22, for further discussion on this issue.

Q. Is Green Direct a prudent resource for all customers?

A. Yes. While the Green Direct program is fully subscribed, to the extent there is any excess output beyond the needs of Schedule 139 customers, that output is a prudent resource for all PSE customers and as noted in my prefiled direct testimony, PSE seeks a Commission determination that Green Direct is a prudent resource, including any output that would be used to serve all customers. No party in this case has challenged the prudency of Green Direct.

C. Liquidated Damages Associated with Skookumchuck Will Be Deferred and Used to Offset Program Costs

- Q. How will PSE address the accounting of liquidated damages for the Green Direct program?
- A. At the end of November 2019, PSE filed an accounting petition to clarify how it plans to account for the liquidated damages being accrued as a result of the Skookumchuck Wind project delays. PSE is also seeking authority to defer liquidated damages and use them to offset other Green Direct program costs as was intended by RCW 19.29A.090(5). The details of this proposal are discussed in Ms. Free's rebuttal testimony, Exh. SEF-17T.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Overall Tracking of Green Direct Costs and Benefits D.

- Q. How will PSE track all costs and benefits associated with the Green Direct program?
- A. As discussed in the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Susan E. Free, Exh. SEF-17T, PSE will detail on an annual basis if customer usage is in excess or short of the generation of the PPAs supporting the Green Direct program. PSE will track the monthly variance between Schedule 139 usage and the generation of the PPAs. On an annual basis, the energy and associated Renewable Energy Credits of the PPAs will be allocated first to Schedule 139 customers. In addition, total Schedule 139 revenue and fixed costs (i.e. administrative costs, deprecation on SAP billing changes or any incremental costs) will be tracked in separate orders, outside of the Power Cost Adjustment mechanism, and excluded from PSE's rate filings. The reporting of these costs can be made available on request.

IV. **CONCLUSION**

- Q. Does this conclude your prefiled rebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes.