

included in the single rate principle, to prevent the punitive rates we are continuing to experience. A previous meeting at the WUTC held 2003, was not concluded satisfactorily, although we were assured that the rates increases would balance out with the increase in new customers. Doesn't the WUTC have a legal responsibility to ensure a fair and balanced implementation for all customers?

To add insult to injury, AU proposes a 1.6M\$ pipe replacement project for DP, to be funded via a surcharge. At \$5/month per customer, AU claims an annual budget of \$50,000 for incremental implementation. Thats is a total project duration of 32 years!!! Why would the WUTC even consider such a cash stream? We will have to live with torn up streets for 32 years. Neither AU or DP customers will be alive by then. This is totally unacceptable, all of DP suffering and paying for AU's failure to execute 2 funded projects in a timely fashion. CCoGDP considers this proposal a reward for extremely poor management and it is highly unlikely that such a surcharge can be colleted without extreme protestation by the community.

Concerned Citizens of Greater Diamond Point Docket UW-080926 May 23, 2008

Petition Opposing Rate Increase

1. We object to the rate increase until the AU submitted rate related expenditures are reviewed by Concerned Citizens of Greater Diamond Point.

2. Withhold rate approvals until all conditions are met.

I do concur and wish to have my complaint filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

Richard Gibbs

Signed; *Lucy Langdon* No signature required if returned by e-mail

RICHARD GIBBS

Print Name; *LUCY LANGDON*

Date; *6/2/08*

Address; *350 S DIAMOND SH LAKE*
SEQUIM