(360) 956-7001

(generators) submit estimates of the low level radioactive waste that will be submitted by volume, numbers of containers and shipments, and average dose rate per container. The Company is then to use those estimates to produce the projected rates for the coming year. The current Settlement Agreement only allows US Ecology to prepare its own estimate where a customer does not respond to the request for an estimate. Unfortunately, for the past three years, multiple customers have significantly over estimated their use of the facility. This has resulted in an under recovery and a resulting carryover of revenue requirement to the subsequent year. In 2016, this was \$445,000. In 2017, it was \$1,900,000. In 2018, it was \$1,700,000. The carryover produces rates for all customers that are higher than what would be the case if there was not an under recovery. In order to be fair to all customers and to try to minimize any under collection going forward, US Ecology requests that the Commission amend paragraph 1(b) of the Settlement Agreement to allow US Ecology to submit its own estimate of low level radioactive waste for use in calculating projected rates.

Paragraph 1(b) of the Settlement Agreement currently reads as follows:

(b) Annual Projections. Rates shall be determined each January on the basis of projections provided by the Company's customers, according to the following procedure. On or about October 15 of each year, the Company shall submit a written request to each of its customers for estimates of LLRW by volume (in cubic feet), numbers of containers and shipments, and average dose rate per container (in millirems per hour) to be disposed by such customers at the site during the following calendar year. For those customers not responding to the request for estimates, the Company shall prepare its own estimate of the LLRW that these customers can be expected to deliver to the site during such calendar year. On the basis of the estimates prepared by the Company and its customers, the Company shall calculate total projected LLRW for the calendar year. Estimates of volumes which meet the requirements of RCW 81.108.020(3) as "extraordinary" shall be included in the calculation weighted at 51.5% of such volume estimates. Estimates of decommissioning waste volumes shall be

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT - 2

Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

included in the calculation weighted at 80% of such volume estimates; provided, however, that the quantities set forth in such estimates must satisfy the requirements of paragraph 2(c) below.

U.S. Ecology proposes that paragraph 1(b) read as amended below on a forward going basis:

(b) Annual Projections. Rates shall be determined each January on the basis of projections developed by the Company, according to the following procedure. On or about October 15 of each year, the Company shall submit a written request to each of its customers for estimates of LLRW by volume (in cubic feet), numbers of containers and shipments, and average dose rate per container (in millirems per hour) to be disposed by such customers at the site during the following calendar year. The Company shall prepare its own estimate of the LLRW that the customers can be expected to deliver to the site during such calendar year based on the customer estimates as adjusted by the Company using historical data where necessary. On the basis of the estimates prepared by the Company and its customers, the Company shall calculate total projected LLRW for the calendar year. Estimates of volumes which meet the requirements of RCW 81.108.020(3) as "extraordinary" shall be included in the calculation weighted at 51.5% of such volume estimates. Estimates of decommissioning waste volumes shall be included in the calculation weighted at 80% of such volume estimates; provided, however, that the quantities set forth in such estimates must satisfy the requirements of paragraph 2(c) below.

US Ecology has been in contact with all major generators or their representatives and has received no objection to the extension of the six year plan. In fact, many of the major generators have either indicated that they agree with extending the existing agreement or that they have no opinion one way or another. See, the signature pages attached as Exhibit 2. A strong majority of the generators agree that the existing agreement (as amended) should be extended. Generators representing approximately thirty-five percent of total revenues had no opinion. This means that approximately ninety percent of the generators either agree with or do

¹ For this purpose, the percentage represents the percentage of revenue generated from the tariffed rates.

Olympia, WA 98512 (360) 956-7001