## Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol. III

## In the Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.

July 19, 2024



1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 Bellingham | Everett | Tacoma | Olympia | Yakima | Spokane Seattle 206.287.9066 Tacoma 253.235.0111 Eastern Washington 509.624.3261 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com

## Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol. III

Page 59	Page 61
Page 59         BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION         CENTURYLINK COMPANIES - QWEST ) CORPORATION; CENTURYTEL OF ) WASHINGTON; CENTURYTEL OF ) WASHINGTON; CENTURYTEL OF ) COWICHE; AND UNITED TELEPHONE ) Docket No. UT-240029 COMPANY OF THE NORTHWEST ) ) to be Competitively ) Classified Pursuant to RCW ) 80.36.320 ) )         DUDENTIARY HEARING VOLUME III         Pages 59 - 316	Page 61          1       ALSO PRESENT (Via Zoom):         2       Ryan Smith         Stacey Brewster         3       Andrew Roberts         Jean Marie Dreyer         4       Scott Lundquist         Skylar Sumner         5       Tressa Carter         Connor Thompson         6       Neiri Carrasco         Mel Castaneda-Kerson         7       Brook Villa         Lisa Faker         8       Greg Hammond         Jared Wiener         9       Jimmy Nyanwapolu-Fellow         Josh Trauner         10       Miriam Goldfarb         Jack Graham         11       James E. Brown, II         12
TAKEN REMOTELY VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE DATE TAKEN: July 19, 2024 REPORTED BY: Evelyn M. Adrean, RPR, CCR 22009424	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
Dama (0	David (2)
Page 60	Page 62
1 APPEARANCES:	1 EXAMINATION INDEX 2 PAGE
<ul> <li>FOR CENTURYLINK COMPANIES:</li> <li>ADAM L. SHERR, ESQUIRE Lumen Technology, Inc.</li> <li>120 Lenora Street, 5th Floor Seattle, Washington 98121</li> <li>206-398-2507 adam.sherr@lumen.com</li> <li>FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:</li> <li>THOMAS "TAD" ROBINSON O'NEILL, ESQUIRE Office of the Attorney General</li> <li>800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104-3188</li> <li>206-464-7352 tad.o'neill@atg.wa.gov</li> <li>FOR COMMISSION STAFF:</li> <li>JEFF ROBERSON, ESQUIRE Office of the Attorney General</li> <li>Sox 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504</li> <li>360-810-0509 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov</li> </ul>	2       WITNESS: DENNIS L. WEISMAN         3       Cross-Examination by Mr. O'Neill       74         4       WITNESS: PETER GOSE       Cross-Examination by Mr. O'Neill       82         5       Redirect Examination by Mr. Sherr       133         6       Examination by Commissioner Danner       138         6       Examination by Commissioner Doumit       158         7       Reexamination by Commissioner Danner       163         8       WITNESS: JAMES D. WEBBER       163         8       WITNESS: JAMES D. WEBBER       170         9       Redirect Examination by Mr. O'Neill       170         9       Redirect Examination by Mr. O'Neill       182         10       WITNESS: SEAN BENNETT       186         11       Cross-Examination by Mr. Roberson       221         12       Examination by Commissioner Danner       127         13       Examination by Commissioner Doumit       240         14       WITNESS: DAVID BREVITZ       Cross-Examination by Mr. O'Neill       297         16       Examination by Commissioner Doumit       304       240         14       WITNESS: DAVID BREVITZ       Cross-Examination by Mr. O'Neill       297         16       Examination by Commissioner Rendahl

1 (Pages 59 to 62)

In the Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.

	Page 63		Page 65
1	JULY 19, 2024	1	on-line, and I'm happy to see that they seem to have
2	9:02 a.m.	2	effective connections and we are seeing their video, but
3	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be on the record.	3	they can also feel free to turn off their video until it
4	Today is Friday, July 19th, 2024. The time is 9:02 a.m.	4	is their time to be tendered for cross.
5	My name is Michael Howard. I'm an administrative law	5	If you are having any technical issue or you
6	judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation	6	observe that a party or a representative has dropped off
7	Commission, and I am presiding in this matter along with	7	the on-line meeting, please mention that in the Chat.
8	Administrative Law Judge M. Haley Callahan and the	8	The Chat should be reserved for technical issues and
9	commissioners themselves.	9	requests for breaks only.
10	We're here today for a hearing in Docket	10	Are there any questions before we turn to
11	UT-240029 which is captioned in the Matter of	11	the admission of exhibits? All right. Hearing none,
12	CenturyLink Companies Petition for AFOR Classification.	12	let's turn to the admission of the exhibits.
13	And when I say AFOR, A-F-O-R, which stands for	13	circulated a updated a compiled exhibit list to the
14	alternative form of regulation. The commission convened	14	parties showing all the parties' exhibits filed so far
15	this hearing to consider CenturyLink's Petition for	15	up to and including cross-examination exhibits. And I
16	Competitive Classification and specifically, CenturyLink	16	understand the company does not intend to move for
17	and staff are requesting the commission approve a	17	Dr. Weisman's testimony to be admitted. Is that still
18	settlement providing for an updated alternative form of	18	the case?
19	regulation or AFOR.	19	MR. SHERR: Your Honor, Judge Howard, the
20	Let's begin by taking short appearances	20	since Dr. Weisman was asked to be present today, the
21	starting with the company.	21	company will move for the admission of his testimony.
22	MR. SHERR: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam	22	Thank you.
23	Sherr on behalf the CenturyLink.	23	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. To
24	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an	24	the parties with that understanding, do the parties
25	appearance for staff.	25	stipulate to the admission of all the pre-filed exhibits
	Pade 64		Page 66
1 2 3	Page 64 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With	1 2 3	Page 66 and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink
2 3	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones.	2 3	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates.
2 3 4	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an	2 3 4	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff.
2 3 4 5	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel.	2 3 4 5	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors.	2 3 4 5 6	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well. I just want to remind the parties to keep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask that counsel be mindful when you're conducting your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well. I just want to remind the parties to keep your microphones muted unless you are speaking. And if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask that counsel be mindful when you're conducting your cross that of you are moving into discussing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well. I just want to remind the parties to keep your microphones muted unless you are speaking. And if you are observing, I would certainly encourage you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask that counsel be mindful when you're conducting your cross that of you are moving into discussing confidential information, that you let us know at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well. I just want to remind the parties to keep your microphones muted unless you are speaking. And if you are observing, I would certainly encourage you to keep your line muted as well. And I would also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask that counsel be mindful when you're conducting your cross that of you are moving into discussing confidential information, that you let us know at the start of that, and we can take appropriate steps. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Callahan. Jeff Roberson on behalf of staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Could we have an appearance for Public Counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of Public Counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So let's begin by talking about our plans for the hearing today. First we will touch on the admission of pre-filed exhibits and testimony which should be fairly brief, I expect. We will then allow for brief opening statements from each party limited to ten minutes each before we turn to the cross-examination of witnesses following the parties' agreed order of presentation. We will be taking all the witnesses individually. We won't be impaneling any witnesses today. I expect we will take a midmorning break and a lunch break, and if necessary, if depending on the length of this hearing, a midafternoon break as well. I just want to remind the parties to keep your microphones muted unless you are speaking. And if you are observing, I would certainly encourage you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and testimony? Turning first to the company. MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. CenturyLink stipulates. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Staff will stipulate. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Public counsel has no objection to the entry of all the exhibits listed in the exhibit list. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Then I deem all the pre-filed exhibits and testimony admitted including the settlement agreement and the cross-examination exhibits recently filed. And I do note just as an aside that some of the testimony and exhibits as well as some of the cross exhibits are marked confidential. Normally, our confidential cross is that the commission deal with pricing information. It does seem that the confidentiality designations in this docket include some descriptions of customers' experiences. So I just ask that counsel be mindful when you're conducting your cross that of you are moving into discussing confidential information, that you let us know at the

	Page 67		Page 69
1	your cross, that we would refrain from referring to that	1	turn down an opportunity to speak, so thank you.
2	information directly.	2	CenturyLink appreciates the opportunity to
3	MR. O'NEILL: This is public counsel. Some	3	appear today before the commission. The settlement
4	of my cross-examining questions will touch on subject	4	agreement we submitted on July 1st is the product of a
5	matter that's confidential, but I do not intend to	5	long process of negotiation and litigation. It
6	elicit any of the numbers, and I will try to caution the	6	represents a good faith it is a product of good faith
7	witnesses to stay away from mentioning the numbers that	7	
8		8	engagement by the company, by staff, and by public
	have been actually designated confidential. It's the	1	counsel, and it represents a fair and delicate balance
9	principle that I am interested in questioning about. If	9	of a variety of interests raised by all the parties.
10	I transgress, I hope Mr. Sheer will make sure to help	10	The agreement is in the public interest and
11	watch that line both for myself and for the witnesses.	11	it recognizes the highly competitive nature of the
12	MR. SHERR: Of course.	12	telecommunications market in Washington, something the
13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And thank you,	13	commission recognized over a decade ago in multiple
14	Mr. O'Neill, for mentioning that. And if you do feel it	14	proceedings. We ask that the settlement be approved as
15	is necessary, we can take the steps to have a separate	15	it was presented. And once again, thank for the
16	transcript prepared and to confirm that we only have	16	opportunity to appear today.
17	appropriate individuals present for that portion of the	17	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Allow staff the
18	cross. And in those cases, I do rely in part on the	18	same opportunity.
19	company to look at who's attending in person in the room	19	MR. ROBERSON: In the interest of time,
20	and on-line to make sure that we don't have anyone	20	Staff will save its opening and just submit a closing
21	attending who should should have signed a	21	brief.
22	confidentiality agreement and has not. So that is an	22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Certainly. And I
23	option, Mr. O'Neill, if you need it.	23	did overlook asking counsel to give short appearances
24	All right. With that, our next item would	24	now that we have the commissioners joining us, so I will
25	be turning to opening statements. As I noted, we would	25	backtrack slightly. Could we hear from the company.
-	Page 68		Page 70
1	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per	1	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am
2	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join	2	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the
2 3	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a	2 3	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company.
2 3 4	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us.	2 3 4	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff.
2 3 4 5	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.)	2 3 4 5	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson,
2 3 4 5 6	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is
2 3 4 5 6 7	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the mic?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The question facing this commission and this proceeding is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the mic? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. You may want to lean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The question facing this commission and this proceeding is whether the settlement proposal is sufficiently robust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the mic? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. You may want to lean forward into the mic a little bit. There you go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The question facing this commission and this proceeding is whether the settlement proposal is sufficiently robust that the commission can lay down its duty to rural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the mic? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. You may want to lean forward into the mic a little bit. There you go. MR. SHERR: I will be very brief. I had not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The question facing this commission and this proceeding is whether the settlement proposal is sufficiently robust that the commission can lay down its duty to rural Washingtonians and whether or not continued oversight is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allow for brief opening statements up to ten minutes per party. And I'm going to ask that the commissioners join us at this point. So let's go off the record for a moment while we wait for the commissioners to join us. (A break was taken from 9:09 to 9:11 a.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's be back on the record. The commissioners are joining us in the hearing room. We have Chair Dave Danner, Commissioner Ann Rendahl, and Commissioner Milt Doumit joining us. And we were about to move to opening statements from the parties. And I will also let the commissioners know that all of the pre-filed exhibits and testimony including the cross exhibits have been admitted without exception. Yes. Yes, the range is fairly short. I will be aware of that. So with that, let's turn to opening statements beginning with the company. MR. SHERR: Good morning, Commissioners and Your Honors. Are you able to hear me okay through the mic? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. You may want to lean forward into the mic a little bit. There you go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SHERR: Good morning. Adam Sherr. I am the attorney for CenturyLink appearing on behalf of the company. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And Staff. MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Jeff Roberson, AAG on behalf of Staff. With me at the counsel table is my colleague, Cassie Jones. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public counsel. MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honors and Commissioners. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And staff has waived their opening statement. Public counsel, would you like to provide an opening statement. MR. O'NEILL: I do have a short opening statement prepared. Public counsel believes that the facts will demonstrate that in rural Washington, the market is insufficient to provide reliable telecom service. The question facing this commission and this proceeding is whether the settlement proposal is sufficiently robust that the commission can lay down its duty to rural

	Page 71		Page 73
1	Klickitat County keeps her landline connection to her	1	I have a short period of time for him, and it may be
2	family and friends.	2	less than 15 minutes.
3	Staff company and public counsel have	3	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Certainly. And
4	engaged in a good faith effort to come up with a	4	because the witness' testimony has been admitted into
5	workable big data definition and voluntary challenge	5	evidence, that is entirely appropriate.
6	process that will draw a safe line between the areas of	6	Dr. Weisman, are you on the line, and can
7	Washington where there is competition and where the	7	you see and hear me all right?
8	market does not work. And you will hear, and the	8	DR. WEISMAN: Yes and yes.
9	question, really, is: Where that line is drawn, can the	9	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. If
10	company allow CenturyLink to discontinue service without	10	you please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in.
11	a staff investigation, without formal and informal	11	Do you swear or affirm that testimony you
12	discovery, without a public comment hearing, without a	12	will give today is the truth, the whole truth, and
13	full hearing with public advocates such as this one.	13	nothing but the truth?
14 15	This hearing will focus on the flaws in the	14 15	THE WITNESS: I do.
16	data, uncertainty about cell phone reliability particularly in the context of emergencies, radio	16	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Could the company please introduce the
17	propagation blind spots, the issue of service	17	witness and tender them for cross.
18	reliability, different difference in opinion over	18	MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning,
19	price points, and over the company's ability to self	19	Dr. Weisman.
20	police.	20	DR. WEISMAN: Good morning.
21	The evidence both in the direct testimony	21	MR. SHERR: Could you state your name for
22	already admitted and in cross-examination will show that	22	the record, sir?
23	market forces have proven themselves deaf to the needs	23	DR. WEISMAN: Dennis L. Weisman,
24	of rural Washington. Just as in this proceeding,	24	W-e-i-s-m-a-n.
25	CenturyLink complains that the cost of upkeep on old	25	MR. SHERR: And do you have before you
	Page 72		Page 74
			1496 / 1
1	copper wire infrastructure that was officially created	1	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2?
1 2	with federal investment is insufficient to justify	1 2	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do.
	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations.		exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct
2 3 4	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not	2 3 4	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true?
2 3 4 5	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to	2 3 4 5	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets	2 3 4 5 6	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by
2 3 4 5 6 7	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the	2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to public counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes originally designated for Dr. Weisman and is intending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes originally designated for Dr. Weisman and is intending to question, I believe, Peter Gose on those same issues?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. Weisman. We met before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes originally designated for Dr. Weisman and is intending to question, I believe, Peter Gose on those same issues? MR. O'NEILL: I can if Dr. Weisman's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct</li> <li>Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by</li> <li>you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to</li> <li>these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and</li> <li>correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've</li> <li>already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to</li> <li>public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And</li> <li>public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Good morning, Dr. Weisman. We met before the</li> <li>proceeding began. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes originally designated for Dr. Weisman and is intending to question, I believe, Peter Gose on those same issues? MR. O'NEILL: I can if Dr. Weisman's here, I think it would be better to ask him questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: <b>Q. Good morning, Dr. Weisman. We met before the</b> proceeding began. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill, the assistant attorney general for public counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with federal investment is insufficient to justify continuing service to small rural populations. Broadband and cell phone companies will not engage in the kind of investment that's necessary to build the infrastructure to help those rural markets without government regulation. In this context, the commission should find that it needs to fulfill its duty by offering full due process for any requests for discontinuance so that the company, the staff, public counsel, and the public can be certain that nobody is left behind. Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Mr. O'Neill. So with that, we will turn to the examination of the witnesses unless there are any questions from the bench for any of the counsel. All right. Hearing none, our first witness on the order of presentation is Dr. Weisman. As I understand, public counsel has is intending to use their 15 minutes originally designated for Dr. Weisman and is intending to question, I believe, Peter Gose on those same issues? MR. O'NEILL: I can if Dr. Weisman's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>exhibits that have been marked Exhibit DLW 1T AND DLW 2? DR. WEISMAN: I do. MR. SHERR: And DLW 1T is entitled Direct</li> <li>Testimony of Dr. Dennis L. Weisman; is that true? DR. WEISMAN: Correct. MR. SHERR: Were these exhibits prepared by</li> <li>you or at your direction? DR. WEISMAN: They were. MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to</li> <li>these exhibits? DR. WEISMAN: I do not. MR. SHERR: Are these exhibits true and</li> <li>correct to the best of your knowledge? DR. SHERR: Yes, they are. MR. SHERR: So Your Honor, since they've</li> <li>already been admitted, I will tender Dr. Weisman to</li> <li>public counsel. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. And</li> <li>public counsel, you may proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Good morning, Dr. Weisman. We met before the</li> <li>proceeding began. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill, the</li> </ul>

	Page 75		Page 77
1	your testimony with you. Can you turn to page 3 of that	1	expected to bring that competition into rural areas even
2	testimony?	2	in the absence of the numerous alternatives to
3	JUDGE HOWARD: And Mr. O'Neill, could I	3	CenturyLink service.
4	confirm: Are we using the page numbers on the bottom	4	Q. You're aware that the federal government has
5	right-hand corner, or are we using the page numbers of	5	invested or it plans to invest over a just under two
6	the PDF document.	6	billion dollars in rural in Washington in order to
7	MR. O'NEILL: I am referring to the page	7	expand broadband service to rural Washington?
8	numbers on the bottom right-hand corner of your	8	A. I've read that in Mr. Gose's testimony.
9	testimony, Dr. Weisman.	9	Q. And that kind of government intervention is a
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	10	symptom of the market that is insufficient to create
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. O'Neill.	11	those services, is it not?
12	BY MR. O'NEILL:	12	A. I wouldn't necessary conclude that since we're
13	Q. At the bottom of the page, line 20, it states:	13	talking about voice services here alone which would not
14	"Economic regulation is a substitute for competition	14	require broadband per se.
15	when market forces are deemed insufficient to provide	15	Q. But you're not a specialist in
16	the requisite market discipline." Did I read the	16	telecommunication, you're an economist; correct?
17	correctly?	17	A. I'm a telecommunication economist. So whether
18	A. That's what my evidence indicates, yes, sir.	18	that's a specialist or not I guess is a matter of
19	Q. And do you stand by that testimony?	19	interpretation.
20	A. I do.	20	Q. Your testimony also did not address the
21	Q. If you could turn to the next page, please. In	21	technological barriers of radio propagation for cell
22	the middle of the page starting at line 9, you write:	22	service, did it?
23	"In the absence of significant barriers to entry, prices	23	A. No, I did not look at that issue.
24	higher than competitive levels can be expected to	24	MR. O'NEILL: That's all the questions I've
25	attract new entrants." Did I read that correctly?	25	got for you, Dr. Weisman. Thank you.
1 2 3	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your testimony, it is accurate that you did not address whether or not there are significant</li> </ul>	1 2 3	THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any redirect from the company.
4	barriers to entry in Washington for rural markets; is	4	MR. SHERR: No, Your Honor.
5	that correct?	5	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Do we have any
6	A. Not directly, but I was aware that the	6	questions from the bench for Dr. Weisman? All right.
7	provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act which	7	We do not have any questions from the bench for this
8	allows for intramodal (phonetic) competition both	8	witness.
9	resale, sale of CenturyLink's services and individual	9	Dr. Weisman, thank you for your testimony
10	network elements can be used to replicate essentially	10	today. You are excused from the remainder of the
11	CenturyLink's service in those areas. So the purpose of	11	hearing.
12	the act was in part, in large part to eliminate such	12	DR. WEISMAN: Thank you.
13	barriers to entry.	13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's turn to our
14	Q. And that's for the provision of ILEC services	14	next witness, Peter Gose, for the company. If you
15	or copper wire services that you're referring to;	15	and Mr. Gose is with us here in the hearing room. Yes,
16	correct?	16	certainly, if you'd like to take a seat. Anywhere on
17	A. Yes.	17	that table is great. And you may also need your laptop.
18	Q. But you haven't done any kind of analysis of	18	(Pause in the proceedings.)
19	whether or not the market is sufficiently robust to	19	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Gose, if you would please
20	for other technologies such as cell phone service or	20	turn on your bench microphone, and if you would raise
21	broadband to reach rural Washington, have you?	21	your right hand I will swear you in.
22	A. No, I've not. But one of the constraints that	22	Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll
23	CenturyLink has put in place is no further deaveraging.	23	give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
24	And as my evidence indicates, the competitive pressures	24	but the truth?
25	in other parts of the company's territory would be	25	THE WITNESS: I do.
1		1	

5 (Pages 75 to 78)

	, <b></b>		
	Page 79		Page 81
1	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	1	THE WITNESS: That I do.
2	Please introduce the witness and tender them	2	MR. SHERR: Okay. On what page?
3	for cross.	3	THE WITNESS: If you'll turn to pages 4
4	MR. SHERR: Of course.	4	and 5.
5	Good morning, Mr. Gose. Could you state and	5	MR. SHERR: Okay. Go ahead.
6	spell your name for the record, please?	6	THE WITNESS: The table there, and the
7	THE WITNESS: My name is Peter Gose, last	7	numbering in the table is off. And in order to correct
8	name spelled G-o-s-e.	8	that, I would need to refer back to the
9	MR. SHERR: Do you have before you what has	9	MR. SHERR: When you say "the numbering,"
10	been exhibits that have been marked Exhibit PJG 1T	10	are you talking about the AFOR section column?
11	through PJG 29?	11	THE WITNESS: Correct. AFOR section column.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12	MR. SHERR: Okay.
13	MR. SHERR: And is that your direct	13	THE WITNESS: And I would correct that and
14	testimony and accompanying exhibits?	14	refer back to attachment A of the full Multiparty
15	MR. GOSE: Yes.	15	Settlement Agreement. And in the table on page 4 and 5,
16	MR. SHERR: Okay. Were these prepared by	16	the provisions for the AFOR No. 1 begins with a number
17	you or at your direction?	17	listed item, alternative form of regulation. That did
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	not appear in the table on page 4 and 5. So the
19	MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to	19	numbering is off by one. So what is labeled No. 1 in
20	those exhibits?	20	the AFOR section column should be labeled No. 2 and so
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	forth all the way down through what is labeled on page 5
22	MR. SHERR: What is what corrections do	22	in the AFOR column as Section 8 should be Section 9.
23	you have?	23	MR. SHERR: Okay. So just to be clear, what
23	•	24	has been on page 4 and 5 of Exhibit PJG 30T in Table 1,
24	THE WITNESS: If you'll turn to page 4. MR. SHERR: Of which document?	24	the AFOR sections that are listed 1 through 8 should
2.5	MR. SHERK. OF which document?	25	
	Page 80		Page 82
1	THE WITNESS: PJG 30 T.	1	actually be 2 through 9?
2	MR. SHERR: Okay. We haven't gotten there	2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	quite yet. We're on your direct testimony.	3	MR. SHERR: Okay. Do you have any other
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.	4	corrections?
5	MR. SHERR: So with regard to your direct	5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	testimony and exhibits, do you have any corrections?	6	MR. SHERR: Okay. With those corrections,
7	THE WITNESS: No corrections on direct	7	is the testimony true and correct to the best of your
8	testimony.	8	knowledge?
9	MR. SHERR: And were those exhibits and	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	are those exhibits true and correct to the best of your	10	MR. SHERR: The testimony having been
11	knowledge?	11	admitted, Mr. Gose is available for cross-examination.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And public
13	MR. SHERR: Having predicted our next	13	counsel indicated 60 minutes estimated for cross for
14	testimony, can you please take a look at Exhibits PJG	14	this witness. I would just Mr. Gose, I would
15	30T which is entitled Settlement Testimony, and	15	encourage you because our bench microphones have such a
16	accompany exhibits PJG 31 and 32?	16	short range, to bring it more in front of you. That
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have it.	17	should work well.
18	MR. SHERR: And that is your settlement	18	Mr. O'Neill, you may proceed.
19	testimony; correct?	19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.	20	BY MR. O'NEILL:
20	MR. SHERR: Was that prepared by you or at	21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Gose.
	your direction?	22	A. Good morning, Mr. Gose. A. Good morning, Mr. O'Neill.
		1 <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>	-
22		22	() You have in front of you the testimony in
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.	23	Q. You have in front of you the testimony in support of the settlement P IG 30T. It's also been
23 24	MR. SHERR: Do you have any corrections to	24	support of the settlement PJG 30T. It's also been
23		1	

6 (Pages 79 to 82)

1		
	Page 83	Page 85
1	A. Yes.	1 There are certainly all the internal personnel that are
2	Q. Can you turn to page 2 of that document as	2 involved and then external assistance as well such as
3	denoted in the lower right?	3 Dr. Weisman who was with us today. Another.
4	A. I'm there.	4 Q. Now, that burden will be satisfied by the
5	Q. Actually, I'm sorry. Page 1. It starts on	5 adoption of an AFOR that has the five year well, it's
6	page 1.	6 not expired; correct? I mean, the issue of litigating
7	You testified that the settlement represents	7 whether or not to have an AFOR will be resolved with
8	"a delicate balance of compromise that recognize the	8 that provision; correct?
9	vibrancy of the competitive landscape in Washington,	9 A. I'm not certain I understood that question.
10	removes some regulatory burdens, CenturyLink, and	10 Could I ask you to repeat it? I apologize. 11 <b>Q. Sure. You've identified the burden of having</b>
11 12	preserves or adds protection for Washington consumers with the fewest service alternatives." Have I read that	
13	correctly?	12 to come in and prove that you're competitive or that 13 you're either a competitive need to be competitively
14	A. Correct.	14 classified or that you need a specific AFOR. And that
15	Q. You would agree that the commission here is	15 burden will be satisfied by the provision in this
16	faced with the choice between lessening the regulatory	16 settlement of an indefinite period with a five-year
17	burden on the company and protection of Washington	17 lockout; correct?
18	consumers who have less access to alternatives; is that	18 A. Five year or possibly, you know, longer if
19	correct?	19 no neither party came in. So yes, the burden to
20	A. That's a fair characterization, yes.	20 undertake all those expenses and all that effort could
21	Q. And you understand that the primary component	21 be shifted a little further into the future, thus
22	of the settlement that will be the focus of the	economizing for not only the company but also the
23	testimony is Subsection 9 which is the discontinuation	23 commission as well.
24	provisions; correct?	24 Q. So that benefit could be obtained without
25	A. Yes.	25 Section 9 of this provision of this settlement;
1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Have you quantified the burden the administrative burden that will be saved if this settlement is adopted?</li> <li>A. Can you be a little bit more specific with what</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Potentially.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could turn now to page 25 of your</li> <li>testimony. Again, lower right corner is the</li> </ol>
5	you refer to as quantification?	5 A. 25?
6	Q. How much money is CenturyLink going to save if	6 <b>Q. 2-5, correct.</b>
7	this settlement is approved?	7 A. I'm there.
8	A. That I have not undertaken that effort.	8 Q. In the middle of the page starting at around
9	Q. In the next sentence you go on to identify two	9 line 10 you write: "To the extent that CenturyLink is
10	statutes, RCW 36.135 and 300. You're not a lawyer; is	10 compelled to expend hundreds of thousands or millions of
11	that correct?	11 dollars on the uneconomic replacement of legacy
10	A Corroct	1.2 toobhologios for example moving facilities at great
12 13	A. Correct.	12 technologies, for example, moving facilities at great
13	Q. But you would agree that those are the	13 cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers
13 14	Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?	13cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers14are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less
13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ol> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> </ol>
13 14	Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?	<ol> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> </ol>
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>14 are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>15 capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>16 infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power (imposes significant burdens and costs on both the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>14 are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>15 capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>16 infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> <li>19 wants in this settlement to address, which is your</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power (imposes significant burdens and costs on both the company and the and the commission.)" Have I read</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>14 are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>15 capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>16 infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> <li>19 wants in this settlement to address, which is your</li> <li>20 ability to discontinue service where the burdens of</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power (imposes significant burdens and costs on both the company and the and the commission.)" Have I read that correctly?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>14 are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>15 capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>16 infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> <li>19 wants in this settlement to address, which is your</li> <li>20 ability to discontinue service where the burdens of</li> <li>21 maintaining an infrastructure outweigh the benefits of</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power (imposes significant burdens and costs on both the company and the and the commission.)" Have I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. You have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify how much money CenturyLink incurs when it comes in for an AFOR?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> <li>wants in this settlement to address, which is your</li> <li>ability to discontinue service where the burdens of</li> <li>maintaining an infrastructure outweigh the benefits of</li> <li>an amount of money that you can extract from the</li> <li>customers; correct?</li> <li>A. The rationale there is what some degree of</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree that those are the governing statutes that determine this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's if you could turn to page at the bottom of page 2, line 17 to 19. You write: "The needs to relitigate whether Century has a monopoly power (imposes significant burdens and costs on both the company and the and the commission.)" Have I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. You have, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify how much money CenturyLink</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cost to accommodate a road move when very few customers</li> <li>are even served by facilities, CenturyLink has less</li> <li>capital to focus on expanding its high speed fiber</li> <li>infrastructure." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now that is the real issue that CenturyLink</li> <li>wants in this settlement to address, which is your</li> <li>ability to discontinue service where the burdens of</li> <li>maintaining an infrastructure outweigh the benefits of</li> <li>an amount of money that you can extract from the</li> <li>customers; correct?</li> </ul>

7 (Pages 83 to 86)

1       testimony occur and		Page 87		Page 89
2         copper wire or to move a wire center would be to replace their service. There may be three, four, five customers           4         their service. There may be three, four, five customers           5         that are - so say there's five and its a \$50,000 per location to keep that customer on. And if that's the absolute only also that customer on. And if that's the absolute only also that customer on. And if that's the consisten should permit it, to allow the company to consisten should permit it, to allow the company ito consisten should permit ito allow the company ito discussion of the process that you and staff have negotiated for ensuring that there's reasonable alternative when the company in which it serves hut you and staff have negotiated for ensuring that there's reasonable alternative what figure with me today, but it could containly provide it.           2         A. I no that or the last two years, let's say could contain way read moves have you anged in that you describe onudaries of an area in which it seats to discontinue service; is	1	testimony occur and those requirements occur and the	1	Q. One option in the case of a need to replace
a dollars to ensure that people on legacy coppor retain their service. There may be three, four, the customers       a       it with fiber cable rather that the legacy technology.         b repricet, the company will have just spent \$50,000 per location to keep that customer on. And it harts the absolutely only atternative in that location, that will happen.       a       A, again – and i spoche that approach in Washington?         commission should permit it, to allow the company to comsider the use of atternative sources, intramodal or intermodul, to bring service to that dustomer and then that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might to for a permitsion to discontinue without UTC oversight in correct incrumstances, correct?       a. Right, S for example when you get 3 \$250,000 read move, one option would be to replace the coppare?         a. And to be very specific, you're asking for ther and high-speed brackband facilities to locations       a         b. And to be very specific, you're asking for ther and high-speed brackband facilities to locations       a         c. In the last or the last two years, let's asy 2223 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that would justify that last two years, let's asy 2223 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that you dust strip that last two years, let's asy 2223 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that are you undicate here that you are atternatives and high-speed fiber entrops; shat correct?       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I could certainly provide it. 4. A. No. 5. 4. A. A this juncture, this is just - would be speculation, conjecture on my part, so - 4. Correct.       Bage 88 4. 4. Correct. 6. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink kapply to discustion whatsoewn with respe				
4         their service. There may be threa, 'our, 'fwe customers'         Have you explored that apprach in Washington?'           5         that are - so say three's five and it as 350.000         A. Again - and 1 apologize - my heating's not           7         bication to keep that customer on. And if that's the incompany with ave just spent \$50,000 per lite or particles (pago copper?'         A. Again - and 1 apologize - my heating's not           9         absolute only assess the ability, if this commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company to commission should permit it, to allow the company it with and to commission should permit it, to allow the company it with and to commission should permit it, to allow the company it with our the company it with and to commission should permit with and to commission should permit with and to commissin should permit it, to allow the company it with and to the proce		• •		
5       that are -so say there's five and it's a \$250,000       5       A. Again - and 1 apologies - my hearing's not good this moring. You said to replace floacy opper?         9       absolutely only attemative in that location, that will happen.       6       A. Again - and 1 apologies - my hearing's not good this moring. You said to replace floacy opper?         10       commission should perturb it, to allow the ability. If this interned, to bring service to that customer and the company is each at the company is which 1       7       Trad move, one option would be to replace the copper with you got a \$250,000         11       commission should perturb it, to allow the company is which 1       aserve, but 1 would presume that our planing and engineering functions would be to replace the copper with you dot att.       A. That's not the area of the company in which 1         12       commission boad perturbative in the state.       C. Nay. If like to now shift focus to a discussion of the process that you and staff have regorded the company discontinues service in and they spead broadband facilities to locations.         13       astematives when the company discontinues service.       10         14       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would be for CenturyLink to determinate proces could be for CenturyLink to going to page 13.       20         20       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but 1       C. Creat.       Q. Do you have an estimate?         21       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but 1       Correct.       Q. Do you h	4		1	
6       project, the company will have just spent \$50,000 per         7       location to keep hat customer on. And if that's the         8       absolutely only alternative in that location, that will         9       napon.         10       But the company seeks the ability, if this         11       consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or         12       consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or         13       intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then         14       that \$250,000 or whaternative sources, intramodal or         15       particular project could be expended to utilinately bring         16       there and high-speed broadband facilities to locations         17       A. That soare, but not all; correct.         18       O. And to be very specific, you're asking for         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in         12       corristin circumstances; correct?         14       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I         15       Q. Bay and 2024, how many road moves allow permission to discontinue without Permission because it was uneconomic?         12       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I         12       could certainly movide it.         20. Do you have an estimate?       A. The first step would be for Centu	5		5	
r       location to keep that customer on. And if that's the absoluty only alternative in that location, that will happen.       r       Tim sorry, to replice legacy copper?         absoluty only alternative in that location, that will happen.       Right. So for example when you got a \$250,000         read move, one option would be to replace the compary to consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or in intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a particular project could be expended to utimately bring that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a particular project could be expended to utimately bring the there's reasonable alternatives when the compary in which I         1       and to be very specific, you're asking for permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in circumstances; correct?       0       Okay. If like to now shift focus to a discussion of the process that you and staff have megotiated for ensuming that there's reasonable alternatives when the compary is discontinues service without UTC oversight.         1       A. In to be very specific, you're asking for permission because it was uneconomic?       1       A. In there.         2       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say 2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that twould justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       2       Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that ConturyLink is going to page 14, you describe four steps that correct?         2       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say       3       A. Correct.       C. The first step would be for	6	•	6	
a bookuley only attendative in that location, that will       8       C. Right. So for sample when you got a \$250,000         9       But the company seeks the ability, if this       10         11       conside the use of alternative sources, intramodal or       11         12       conside the use of alternative sources, intramodal or       11         13       intermodel, to bring service to that customer and then       11         14       that \$250,000 or whatever the price any might be for a       11         15       particular project could be expended to ultimately bring       15         16       R. And to be vary specific, you're asking for       16         17       whith the state.       17         18       A. In do to be vary specific, you're asking for       18         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       19         10       certain circumstances; correct?       20         14       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       21         25       could certainly provide it.       21         26       A. In there.       22         27       Without UTC oversight:       21         28       Page 88       Page 90         1       A. Correct.       0. The first step would be for CenturyLi	7		7	
9         happen.         9         road move, one option would be to replace the copper           10         Exit the company seeks the ability, if this         10           11         commission should permit it, to allow the company to         11           12         consider the use of alternative sources, intranodal or         12           13         intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then         13           14         that 5250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a         11           15         particular project could be expended to ultimately bring         16           16         fiber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations         0. Okay, 1d like to now shift focus to a           17         within the state.         17           18         Q. And to be very specific, you're asking for         18           19         permission to discorntinue without UTC oversight in         17           11         asternatives when the company discorntinue service         18           2023 and 2024, how many road moves havo you engaged in         14         14           12         0. Likely so.         0. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,           21         0. Do you have an estimate?         14         14           22         0. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page	8		8	
11       commission should permit it, to allow the company to       21       explored the feasibility of that approach?         12       consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or       11       explored the feasibility of that approach?         13       intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then       12       A. That's not the area of the company in which I         14       that's 250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a       engineeing functions would certainly do that.         16       fiber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations       0. Okay. I'd like to now shift focus to a         17       within the state.       0. Okay. I'd like to now shift focus to a         18       Q. And to be very specific, you're asking for       alternatives when the company discortitues service         19       without UTC oversight in       certrain circumstances; correct?       20         21       A. In some, but ot all correct.       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         220 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       21         22       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       22       Correct.       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         23       O. Do you have an estimate?       A. Correct.       20       Defiters step would be for CenturyLink to	9	happen.	9	road move, one option would be to replace the copper
12       consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then particular project could be expanded to ultimately bring       12       A. That's not the area of the company in which I         13       intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then particular project could be expanded to ultimately bring       13       serve, but I would presume that our planning and engineering functions would certainly do that.         14       that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a particular project could be expanded to ultimately bring       13       serve, but I would presume that our planning and engineering functions would certainly do that.         16       0. Class, I for the area of the company if sowthich I serve, but I would presume that our planning and engineering functions would certainly do that.         17       within the state.       0. Okay. I for like to now shift focus to a discontinue service; without UTC oversight.         18       0. And to be very specific, you're asking for erest.       0. Okay. I discontinue service; and going on to page 13 of you restimony.         19       ermitsion because it was uneconomic?       A. I'm there.       0. Gespining on page 13 and going on to page 14, or order to justify no UTC oversight; correct?         20       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       0. Do you have an estimate?       A. Correct.         20       Q. Sis it more than one?       G. What criteria step would be for CenturyLink to determine the precise boundaries of an area in whic	10	But the company seeks the ability, if this	10	with your fiber internet at that time. Have you
13       intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then       13       serve, but I would presume that our planning and         14       that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a       14       engineering functions would certainly do that.         15       particular project could be expended to ultimately bring       16       Okay. If like to now shift focus to a         16       iber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations       16       Okay. If like to now shift focus to a         17       within the state.       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         18       Q. And to be very specific, you're asking for       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         19       perticular circumstances; correct?       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       17       A. I mare.       20         20       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       20       20       20       20       20       21       A. Correct.         20       Q. boy have an estimate?       A. No.       20       Describe four step would be for CenturyLink to determine the precise boundaries of an area in which it seeks to discontinue service?       A. Yes.         21       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       20       Describe four steps would be for CenturyLink to determine where to discontinue service?	11	commission should permit it, to allow the company to	11	explored the feasibility of that approach?
14       that \$250,000 or whatever the price tag might be for a       14       engineering functions would certainly do that.         15       particular project could be expanded to ultimately bring       15       0. Okay. If like to now shift focus to a         17       within the state.       0. Okay. If like to now shift focus to a         18       0. And to be very specific, you're asking for       16         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       17         20       1. In some, but not all; correct.       20         21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       20         20       0. In the last or the last two years, let's say       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in         23       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       23         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       23         25       courde certainly provide it.       24         3       0. Do you have an estimate?       24         4       A. No.       25         7       0. Is it more than none?       26         6       A. Likely so.       26         7       0. Ox you have an estimate?       34         4       A. No.       26         6       A. It his junctur	12	consider the use of alternative sources, intramodal or	12	A. That's not the area of the company in which I
15       particular project could be expended to ultimately bring       15       C. C. Kay, Z. If like to now shift focus to a         16       fiber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         18       C. And to be very specific, you're asking for       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         20       A. In some, but not all; correct?       2       A. In some, but not all; correct.       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in         21       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but 1       2       Correct.         22       Could certainly provide it.       3       Q. Do you have an estimate?         24       A. I don't have that nore?       5       A. Yes.         25       Correct.       3       Q. Do you have an estimate?         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       A. Yes.         4       A. No.       6       Q. What chain five?         5       Q. Is it more than one?       5       A. Yes.         6       A. Likely so.       6       Q. What chain five?         7       Q. More than five?       6       <	13	intermodal, to bring service to that customer and then	13	serve, but I would presume that our planning and
16       fiber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations       16       discussion of the process that you and staff have         17       within the state.       17       and to be very specific, you're asking for       18       0. And to be very specific, you're asking for         18       Q. And to be very specific, you're asking for       18       atternatives when the company discontinues service         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       18       A. Irm there.         20       certain circumstances; correct?       21       A. In some, but not all, correct.       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         21       A. In some, but not all, correct.       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         22       you describe four steps that CerturyLink is going to       pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight;         22       correct       Q. Boy ou have an estimate?       A. Irm there.         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       A. No.       G. A. Likely so.       G. A. Likely so.         7       Q. More than five?       A. A. this juncture, this is just would be       A. A. this juncture, this is just would be       A. A. A. Correct.         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       Q. Oky. You indicate here that you are       attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that <tr< th=""><th>14</th><th></th><th>14</th><th></th></tr<>	14		14	
17       within the state.       17       negotiated for ensuring that there's reasonable         18       0. And to be very specific, you're asking for       18       atternatives when the company discontinues service         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       10       atternatives when the company discontinues service         20       A. In some, but not all; correct.       20       Deginning on page 13 and going on to page 13, or page 13         21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       20       Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight;         22       correct?       20       Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight;         25       correct.       20       Page 88       Page 90         1       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.       2         2       Do you have an estimate?       3       A. Wes.       2       0. What oriteria and which it seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?         3       A. Do you have an estimate?       4       A. No.       2       4. At this juncture, this is just would be speculation, conjecture on my part, so       2       0. What oriteria and which it seeks to discontinue	15	particular project could be expended to ultimately bring	15	Q. Okay. I'd like to now shift focus to a
18       Q. And to be very specific, you're asking for       18       alternatives when the company discontinues service         19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       19       without UTC oversight. If you could turn to page 13 of         21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       20       A. In some, but not all; correct.       21       A. In one, but not all; correct.       22         22       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say       22       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         23       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       24       A. I'm there.         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       24       Deginning on page 13 and going on to page 14,         25       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       25       Degin bear stimate?         26       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.         27       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       4         4       A. No.       6       Q. What criteria will CenturyLink to         4       A. No.       6       Q. What criteria will CenturyLink to         7       Q. More than five?       7       G. Wone than five?       7         8       A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any	16	fiber and high-speed broadband facilities to locations	16	
19       permission to discontinue without UTC oversight in       19       without UTC oversight. If you could turn to page 13 of         20       certain circumstances; correct?       A. In some, but not all; correct.       20         21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       21         22       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say       22         2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       23         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       24         25       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       24         26       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       25         26       Could certainly provide it.       25         27       Q. Is it more than one?       5         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3         4       A. No.       4         5       Q. Is it more than five?       5         6       A. Likkely so.       6         7       Q. More than five?       7         8       A. This jouncture, this is just would be       8         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9         10       Q. You don't know, in other words?       1         11       A. Correct. </th <th>17</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>	17		1	
20       certain circumstances; correct?       20       your testimony.         21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       21       A. In the rest of the last two years, let's say         23       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would correct to the commission because it was uneconomic?       21       A. If there.         24       Correct.       23       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would correct is that conturyLink is going to pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight; correct?         25       Could certainly provide it.       24         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       4         4       A. No.       4         5       Q. Is it more than one?       5         6       A. Likely so.       7         7       Q. More than five?       7         8       A. At this juncture, this is just would be speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       1         10       Q. You don't know, in other words?       1         11       A. Correct.       10         12       Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are       11         13       attempting to expand high-speed fiber ne	18		18	
21       A. In some, but not all; correct.       21       A. Im there.         22       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say       22       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight; correct?         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       24       Deginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight; correct?         25       Could certainly provide it.       24       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       25         26       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       26       Could certainly provide it.       27         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       4       A. Correct.       27       A. Correct?         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       5       A. I kiely so.       6       A. Ukley so.       6         7       Q. More than five?       7       A. It is juncture, this is just would be       8       A. A this juncture, Iha service?         8       A. It is juncture, on my part, so       9       9       discision whatscever with respect to criteria because       in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the         10       Q. Vau don't know, in other w			1	
22       Q. In the last or the last two years, let's say       22       Q. Beginning on page 13 and going on to page 14, you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to plade itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight; correct?         23       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       24         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would the commission because it was uneconomic?       24         25       correct?       25         26       Page 88       Page 90         1       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       A. Do you have an estimate?         4       A. No.       4       seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?         5       Q. Is it more than one?       5       A. Ves.         6       A. Likely so.       6       Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to determine where to discontinue service?         7       Q. More than five?       8       A. At this juncture, this is just would be       8         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9       discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because         11       A. Correct.       12       Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are       13         13       attempting to expand high-speed fiber				
23       2023 and 2024, how many road moves have you engaged in       23       you describe four steps that CenturyLink is going to         24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       24       24         25       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       24         26       Page 88       Page 90         1       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.         2       Could certainly provide it.       2       3         3       O. Do you have an estimate?       3       3         4       A. No.       4       3       Sects to discontinue service; is that correct?         5       G. Is it more than one?       6       A. Likely so.       6       Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to         7       O. More than five?       8       A. At this juncture, this is just would be       8       A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9       discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because         11       A. Correct.       0. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will       apply in making that determination?         12       Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are       11       attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that			1	
24       that would justify that, you know, CenturyLink would       24       pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight;         25       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       24       pledge itself to in order to justify no UTC oversight;         26       correct?       25         27       Page 88       Page 90         1       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.         26       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       determine the precise boundaries of an area in which it         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       determine where to discontinue service; is that correct?         5       Q. Is it more than one?       5       A. Likely so.       7       determine where to discontinue service?         6       A. Likely so.       7       determine where to discontinue service?       8       A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9       discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because         10       Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are       11       decision.         13       attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that       12       Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will         16       that?       Q. Do you know whether it would take			1	
25       come to the commission because it was uneconomic?       25       correct?         Page 88       Page 90         1       A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I       1       A. Correct.         2       could certainly provide it.       2       0         3       Q. Do you have an estimate?       3       Correct?         5       Q. Is it more than one?       5       A. Likely so.       6         7       Q. More than five?       5       A. At this juncture, this is just would be       7         8       A. At this juncture, this is just would be       7       A. More than five?         8       A. At this juncture, this is just would be       8       A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any         9       speculation, conjecture on my part, so       9       discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because         10       Q. You don't know, in other words?       10       Inall likelihood, I would not be the one making the         14       correct?       Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will apply in making that determination?       Q. Do you know whether it would take into account         15       A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat       16       A. It potentially could. But again, whether         16       that?       Q. Do			1	
Page 88Page 901A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I1A. Correct.2could certainly provide it.2Q. The first step would be for CenturyLink to3Q. Do you have an estimate?34A. No.35Q. Is it more than one?56A. Likely so.67Q. More than five?58A. At this juncture, this is just would be89speculation, conjecture on my part, so90Q. You don't know, in other words?111A. Correct.112Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are113attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that1314correct?1415A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat1516that?Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will17Q. You are intending to replace your copper1518technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?1419A. The company is certainly engaged in that type20of activity today. But to do it far and wide2021ubiquitously is will be a long and very2022capital-intensive process.2123Q. Have you applied for or received any federal2324A. Are you referring to that distinction between			1	
1A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I1A. Correct.2could certainly provide it.2Q. The first step would be for CenturyLink to3Q. Do you have an estimate?3determine the precise boundaries of an area in which it4A. No.3determine the precise boundaries of an area in which it4A. No.4seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?5Q. Is it more than one?6A. Likely so.7Q. More than five?6Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to8A. At this juncture, this is just would be8A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any9speculation, conjecture on my part, so9discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10decision.11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14Correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat16the ural or urban nature of the area?16that?Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in th	25	come to the commission because it was uneconomic?	25	correct?
3Q. Do you have an estimate?3determine the precise boundaries of an area in which it4A. No.4seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?5Q. Is it more than one?5A. Yes.6A. Likely so.6Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to7Q. More than five?7determine where to discontinue service?8A. At this juncture, this is just would be8A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any9speculation, conjecture on my part, so9discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require20of		A. I don't have that figure with me today, but I		A. Correct.
4A. No.4seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?5Q. Is it more than one?5A. Yes.6A. Likely so.6Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to7Q. More than five?78A. At this juncture, this is just would be89speculation, conjecture on my part, so910Q. You don't know, in other words?1011A. Correct.1112Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are1213attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that1314correct?1115A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat1516that?1617Q. You are intending to replace your copper1718technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?1819A. The company is certainly engaged in that type1920of activity today. But to do it far and wide2021ubiquitously is will be a long and very2122capital-intensive process.2223Q. Have you applied for or received any federal2323A. Have you applied for or received any federal23	3		3	
6A. Likkely so.6Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to determine where to discontinue service?7Q. More than five?7determine where to discontinue service?8A. At this juncture, this is just would be8A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the decision.11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that that?1314correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will apply in making that determination?16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper 1817A. It potentially could. But again, whether that's one specific criteria bot to be checked, I can't answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide 2120C. In any case, this settlement does not require 2223Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between	4		4	seeks to discontinue service; is that correct?
7Q. More than five?7determine where to discontinue service?8A. At this juncture, this is just would be8A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any9speculation, conjecture on my part, so9discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between	5	Q. Is it more than one?	5	A. Yes.
8A. At this juncture, this is just would be8A. At this juncture, I haven't been a party to any9speculation, conjecture on my part, so9discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.23A. Have you applied for or received any federal23	6	A. Likely so.	6	Q. What criteria will CenturyLink apply to
9speculation, conjecture on my part, so9discussion whatsoever with respect to criteria because10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22or a discontinuance; correct?23A. Are you referring to that distinction between	7		7	
10Q. You don't know, in other words?10in all likelihood, I would not be the one making the decision.11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that correct?12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat that?15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper that?17A. It potentially could. But again, whether that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't answer that today.19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type of activity today. But to do it far and wide ubiquitously is will be a long and very capital-intensive process.20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding on a discontinuance; correct?23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between	8	A. At this juncture, this is just would be	8	
11A. Correct.11decision.12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
12Q. Okay. You indicate here that you are12Q. Do you know what criteria CenturyLink will13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				-
13attempting to expand high-speed fiber networks; is that correct?13apply in making that determination?14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between			1	
14correct?14A. I do not.15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
15A. I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you repeat15Q. Do you know whether it would take into account16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
16that?16the rural or urban nature of the area?17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
17Q. You are intending to replace your copper17A. It potentially could. But again, whether18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
18technology with high-speed fiber connections; correct?18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type18that's one specific criteria box to be checked, I can't20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
19A. The company is certainly engaged in that type19answer that today.20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22On a discontinuance; correct?23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
20of activity today. But to do it far and wide20Q. In any case, this settlement does not require21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22on a discontinuance; correct?23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between				-
21ubiquitously is will be a long and very21CenturyLink to make such a determination before deciding22capital-intensive process.22on a discontinuance; correct?23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
22capital-intensive process.22on a discontinuance; correct?23Q. Have you applied for or received any federal23A. Are you referring to that distinction between			1	
23 Q. Have you applied for or received any federal 23 A. Are you referring to that distinction between				
		• •		
			24	
25 A. Yes. 25 Q. That's correct.	25		25	

in the r	natter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. 01-240029 - Vol.
	Page 91		Page 93
1	A. Well it may not require that distinction. The	1	that may come in the future.
2	safeguards that are built into this process and it's	2	Q. The second step that you engaged in is that the
3	a lengthy one would, you know, certainly cover that,	3	company will consult with the FCC's broadband data
4	whether it's a rural or an urban location.	4	collection, or BDC data, as well as with wireless
5	Q. In this first step, you provide an example of a	5	availability data, and I presume that's commercially
6	\$250,000 road move and four customers	6	available wireless availability data?
7	A. Okay.	7	A. I apologize, can you repeat that again, please?
8	Q and then you indicate approximately paying	8	Q. Sure you're going to consult BDC data
9	\$50 a month, so that's \$200 a month for a \$250,000 move.	9	A. Correct.
10	Do you have any kind of threshold or criteria for what	10	Q and wireless availability data?
11	the delta has to be before a move is uneconomic?	11	A. Which is contained within the BDC data.
12	A. The only information that I have been privy to	12	Q. Correct. And that data you will use to
13	is that within the financial organization of our	13	determine whether or not there's an alternative;
14	company, we have specific periods in which we would like	14	correct?
15	to see a recruitment of that investment over a number of	15	A. That in addition to a robust method of
16	years. What those numbers of years are, I don't know.	16	initially taking that data and then consulting with any
17	Q. Does CenturyLink currently have any plans to	17	potential customer that might be identified to ensure us
18	discontinue service to any areas as defined in this	18	that what the BDC data imparts is actually true and
19	testimony in Washington State?	19	correct at that location.
20	A. To the best of my knowledge, I have never had	20	Q. Well that's the third step that you describe
21	anyone raise that subject with me ever at any time.	21	here, which is you will reach out to each existing
22	Q. Does CenturyLink have plans for discontinuing	22	customer in the discontinuance area with three things:
23	in the future, so let's say the next three to five	23	A letter, an e-mail, and a phone call. Correct?
24	years?	24	A. Correct. To the extent that we have a working
25	A. Again, I've that's, you know, potentially	25	e-mail for the customer.
	Page 92		Page 94
1	asking me to speculate. I will suggest that as you I	1	Q. Correct. And the phone presumably will be the
2	think alluded to with Dr. Weisman, that there were a	2	line that you maintain for them and you will, you've
3	number of federal grant programs made available and	3	indicated, leave a voicemail. Is the phone call going
4	that it may have been in your opening statement as	4	to be a person or is it going to be a robo-call?
5	much as, you know, 1.7 billion dollars coming into the	5	A. Certainly be a person.
6	State of Washington. And as those dollars flow and the	6	Q. Okay. That will give the consumers the
7	Washington Broadband Office does apportion those to some	7	opportunity to indicate that they don't believe that
8	grantees who will push broadband services deeper into	8	they do have reasonable alternatives or that they do and
9	the network in the state, the potential for legacy	9	they understand why you're leaving and they might
10	CenturyLink copper services to be overbuilt by	10	complain, but as long as they have availability that's
11	competitors exists. And should that happen at some	11	what you're checking for?
12	juncture in the future, instead of maintaining two	12	A. Yes.
13	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the	12 13	Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to
13 14	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another	13 14	Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and
13 14 15	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services	13 14 15	Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?
13 14 15 16	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no	13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that	13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location.	13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably;</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is whether we should wait for that replacement to be in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably; correct?</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is whether we should wait for that replacement to be in place before discontinuing or whether there are certain	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is whether we should wait for that replacement to be in place before discontinuing or whether there are certain circumstances where we cannot wait; right?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. How much time before or how much time will</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is whether we should wait for that replacement to be in place before discontinuing or whether there are certain circumstances where we cannot wait; right? A. I don't know if I entirely agree with that	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. How much time before or how much time will you give the staff and public counsel before the</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	networks, you know, we don't need two fibers to the farm, and so there could be a potential where another competitor who brings high-speed fiber internet services comes where we have older legacy copper and may no longer make sense to maintain two networks in that location. Q. And I guess the question for this commission is whether we should wait for that replacement to be in place before discontinuing or whether there are certain circumstances where we cannot wait; right?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. The fourth step would be an enhanced notice to the consumers as well as sharing data with staff and public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the idea behind that notice to staff and to public counsel is, that would allow those entities to check your your data and to object, presumably; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. How much time before or how much time will</li> </ul>

	Page 95		Page 97
1	again, I think the likelihood of that in my personal	1	and would not be without some price tag.
2	belief is very, very slight. But if we ever did get	2	Q. Would you agree with me that the company's
3	that far down the road in terms of time given, I think,	3	incentive to be thorough in that process would be
4	you know, an informal procedural schedule would probably	4	greater if there were a formal UTC filing that had to be
5	be agreed to at that juncture.	5	made?
6	Q. How much time are you going to give them before	6	A. I don't know that I do. I would say no because
7	you initiate the FCC discontinuance 214 process?	7	if the company is seeking to discontinue a small
8	A. As I sit here today, that's not something I've	8	location, small geographic area, in order for the
9	contemplated. But again, that amount of time can	9	request to pass muster in the first instance, the
10	certainly be negotiated.	10	company is going to do its homework, we're going to be
11	Q. That's not part of the settlement currently?	11	extremely thorough.
12	A. We do have a 45-day period from the time that	12	
13		13	Q. Do you have the settlement doesn't indicate
	we do make contact with the customer to give them an		this. Do you have an understanding of what challenge
14	opportunity to reach back out to the company with their	14	rights consumers would have if they simply disagreed
15	response.	15	with your decision to disconnect? Let's say there is
16	Q. I think that's in your third step. I'm talking	16	reliable cell service but for some reason they believe
17	after you've decided to discontinue without UTC	17	that's not appropriate to the area. What challenge
18	approval, do you know how much time you're going to give	18	rights would they have under this settlement?
19	staff and public counsel to review your work?	19	A. The challenge rights, one of the items that we
20	A. I'd like to, I just I don't have an answer	20	have listed and will have on the notice is that the
21	for you as I sit here today.	21	consumer can approach the public counsel unit and all
22	Q. Is this process that you've agreed to in the	22	that contact information will be included there. And
23	settlement any different than the process you would	23	they can make their case that they believe that to be a
24	engage in if you were to seek UTC approval for a	24	correct scenario, and through the powers that are
25	discontinuance?	25	invested in the unit in which you work, you can help the
	Page 96		Page 98
1	A. I didn't catch that word you said. What type	1	customer to assert those rights if need be.
2	of approval?	2	Q. Where does the settlement envision public
3	Q. Is the process that you just described in this	3	counsel or UTC staff that UTC staff also can receive
4	settlement any different from the process that you would	4	complaints and advocate on behalf of consumers, where
5	engage in before seeking UTC approval of a	5	would they challenge a decision to disconnect that meets
6	discontinuance?	6	the criteria listed in the settlement?
7	A. I thought you said ETC, so I beg your pardon.	7	A. Again, we would the public counsel unit
8	I largely they would be one in the same,	8	challenge?
9	I would think.	9	Q. That's correct. What the venue?
10	Q. How much time or expense does this process cost	10	A. Again as I testified earlier, I'm not an
11			6
	CenturyLink?	11	attorney, so that in my estimation, it requires a
12	A. It'll be the cost will be fairly substantial	12	legal conclusion which I'm not prepared or qualified to
13	because if we go back to Step 1 and walk all the way	13	give.
14	through it, that, you know, kind of initial analysis of,	14	Q. It's not described in the settlement, in any
15	you know, a potential area for discontinuation will	15	case?
16	require, number one, the identification of it, number	16	A. Not to my knowledge, other than in a multiparty
17	two, a very close scrutiny and analysis of each and	17	settlement in the notice therein, it, again, lists how
18	every customer living unit within that geographic	18	the customer can contact the public counsel unit. But
19	boundary, if you will, of the area for discontinuance,	19	beyond that and how the public counsel unit might
20	and then performing those studies, reaching out to the	20	proceed with any customer contact they have, I don't
		21	know that that was contemplated.
21	customer by phone call, via e-mail, and etcetera, and		•
21 22	customer by phone call, via e-mail, and etcetera, and then just kind of stepping through that process. As I	22	Q. Let's turn now to data. You there is a data
			•
22	then just kind of stepping through that process. As I	22	Q. Let's turn now to data. You there is a data
22 23	then just kind of stepping through that process. As I sit here today, I don't have a precise quantification, a	22 23	Q. Let's turn now to data. You there is a data source out there CostQuest, it's also Fabric Broadband

10 (Pages 95 to 98)

In the N	Natter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. 01-240029 - Vol.
	Page 99		Page 101
1	Q. And I'm going to this is a situation where	1	UT 240029. Do you have that in front of you?
2	I'm going to ask you not to talk about numbers	2	A. I do not.
3	because	3	Q. Okay.
4	A. Understood.	4	A. What's oh.
5	Q. All right. In the quote that you cite to in	5	MR. SHERR: Counsel, is that his direct
6	your testimony which is on page 15, if you need to refer	6	testimony in this case?
7	to it, you indicate that quote is for the Fabric data,	7	MR. O'NEILL: No. This is direct testimony
8	CostQuest data, for all of the ILEC states in which	8	in it's 33X, direct testimony in UT 240078.
9	CenturyLink currently operates?	9	MR. SHERR: Counsel, I apologize. I read
10	A. That's my understanding, yes.	10	that as his direct testimony in this case, and the
11	Q. Did you explore with CostQuest whether there	11	witness may not have a copy of that in front of him.
12	was a cheaper price for Washington specific, CostQuest	12	THE WITNESS: I do not.
13	data?	13	MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
14	A. At the time of construction of the testimony,	14	MR. SHERR: Apologies.
15	no. Since that time, we have reached out to CostQuest	15	MR. O'NEILL: That's all right. I will
16	for that information, and to this juncture they've been	16	attempt to screen share because that is an option when
17	nonresponsive so I don't have a number for you at this	17	I'm remote. Otherwise, I would hand out a copy. I am
18	time.	18	not there, and I apologize.
19	Q. Do you know and again, don't say numbers.	19	MR. SHERR: And I apologize for the
20	Do you know how much staff paid for the	20	inconvenience.
21	their access to CostQuest?	21	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. O'Neill, feel free to
22	A. I've heard Mr. Bennett make reference to that,	22	share your screen. And if that is not sufficient, we
23	but that number escapes me right now. I do know that on	23	can wait a moment for the witness to receive a copy of
24	occasion, CostQuest will afford different rate	24	that, perhaps by e-mail.
25	structures for industry versus state government, so what	25	MR. O'NEILL: I can send an e-mail
	Page 100		Page 102
1	was provided or what staff the pricing that staff	1	immediately as well. But let me try the share screen.
2	provided might be different than what industry may be.	2	I'm going to too zoom in because I'm
3	Q. Is there a threshold at which the access to	3	assuming you can't read that at that level?
4	CostQuest data will no longer be cost prohibitive? So	4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	let's say using the number, is it one-fourth of what is	5	BY MR. O'NEILL:
6	listed there or one-half where it would no longer be	6	Q. Can you see that this is your direct testimony
7	cost prohibitive?	7	in 240029?
8	A. Again, that's a difficult question to answer,	8	A. Yes.
9	and I'm not trying to be evasive. But let's for the	9	Q. Okay. This is a penalty proceeding related to
10	sake of argument say it's one-fourth. So you and I can	10	phone call response times by CenturyLink. Does that
11	kind of do the mental math of what that is. If I have	11	sound right to you?
12	to purchase that for five years of the term of the	12	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. O'Neill, I hate to
13	present AFOR, in each of five years that's more than the	13	interrupt, but I believe this is the first page
14	amount today. That's a cost prohibitive number.	14	refers to the present docket number, but I believe the
15	Q. Okay. So we roughly know not to refer to the	15	exhibit itself is from UT 0078, I believe.
16	number, but if you get up to that number that's listed	16	MR. O'NEILL: Yes. I see and that's
17	in your document, whether it's over one year or over	17	it should be on your screen now if you want to look at
18	five years, that's too much?	18	that number.
19	A. Again, as I that's not a final decision that	19	BY MR. O'NEILL:
20	I can make for the company, but I in my estimation, I	20	Q. Are you able to see that?
21	would believe the answer to be yes today.	21	A. I can see it, yes.
22	Q. Okay. Can you I'm now going to talk a	22	Q. Okay. What I'm interested in I'm not
23	little bit about CenturyLink's staffing. Can you turn	23	interested in this proceeding, I'm interested in some
24	to what's been designated as Exhibit 33X, PG I'm	24	testimony that you gave in this matter on page 10 of
25	sorry. PJG 33X, which is your testimony in case	25	that, and I'm going to scroll down to that page. Do you
		1	

11 (Pages 99 to 102)

	Page 103	Page 10	5
1	see we're on page 10 here?	1 numerous numerous other states. As noted above, I	
2	A. Yes.	2 have compliance responsibility for over 26 ILEC and CLE	С
3	MR. O'NEILL: Let me make sure I have the	3 states. This is not offered as an excuse but merely as	
4	right number and page.	4 the reality we face with overwhelming competition,	
5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Mr. O'Neill, this is	5 diminished reviews, and reduced staffing." Did I read	
6	Commissioner Rendahl. Are you on page 10 of the PDF	6 that correctly?	
7	document or page 10 of the original testimony?	7 A. Did you say diminished reviews or revenues?	
8	MR. O'NEILL: Page 10 of the original	8 Q. Revenues. Excuse me. Revenues.	
9	testimony.	9 A. With that, you did correctly, yes.	
10	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	10 Q. Is that true, that CenturyLink has diminished	
11	BY MR. O'NEILL:	11 staffing in its regulatory compliance, it's just you,	
12	Q. In the middle of that hold on one second.	12 Mr. Sherr, and one legal paralegal for multiple	
13	In the middle of this page starting at	13 states?	
14	line 16 and I'm going to highlight it so that you can	14 A. That is correct.	
15	see what I'm looking at you write: "Likewise just	15 Q. What protections in this settlement agreement	
16	like any other business must, CenturyLink must maintain	are there that CenturyLink won't experience the kind of	
17	staffing levels accounting for declining subscribership,	17 staffing shortage that caused the other proceeding	
18	revenues, and resources." Did I read that correctly?	18 related to call times?	
19	A. Yes.	19 MR. SHERR: Objection as to relevance.	
20	Q. Is that statement true in this proceeding as	20 JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question.	
21	well?	A. With that, Mr. O'Neill, could I trouble you to	
22	A. Let's take that one at a time. So in the	22 repeat it, please?	
23	context of the key performance indicators testimony	23 <b>Q. Sure?</b>	
24	here, that's certainly a necessity when you staff seats	24 What assurance in this settlement agreement	
25	in a call center, you don't want people to sit there	do we have that given the staffing shortages that you	
	Page 104	Page 10	6
1	unutilized and incurring costs without calls coming in	1 have, that CenturyLink will maintain sufficient staffing	
2	to handle. In the context of this particular matter,	2 to do a thorough job when discontinuing without UTC	
3	I'm not I'd like you to be a little more specific	3 approval?	
4	with the question what you mean with respect to that.	4 A. Thank you.	
5	Q. Sure. I'm going to if you go down to the	5 So in the first part of the question, I	
6	very last line that starts here: "The company has had a	6 understood you to say that as it had happened in the	
7	great" and I'll go on to the next page "deal of	7 call in the matter of the call time case, we had one	
8	staffing changes and downsizing (in all departments) and	8 individual assigned to get those answers to staff as	
9	has from time to time struggled to address every concern	9 requested who left the company. He was not replaced	•
10	and inquiry raised by every public utilities commission	10 And so that, without question, simply did fall through	
11	in a preferred timeframe. Staffing levels are very	11 the cracks. Regrettable, but that's how it is.	
12	tight, and this assignment fell through the cracks."	12 In regard to this issue and again, I	
13	Did the I read that correctly?	13 think we're talking about the likelihood of something	
14	A. You did.	14 that may rarely, if ever, occur. And when it does, if	
15	Q. Is it true that CenturyLink has had a great	15 it does, that all required resources would be devoted to	
16	deal of staffing changes and downsizing in all	16 make certain that it is done with care, with	
17 10	departments?	17 consideration, and compassion for any subscriber that	
18 19	A. Yes. Q. I'm going to scroll down now to page 13 of the	<ul> <li>could potentially be impacted.</li> <li>Q. It's possible that Mr. Sherr I'll convince</li> </ul>	
20	document as denoted in the lower right corner, and I'm	20 Mr. Sherr to come join me in the public counsel and	
20 21	going to direct your lines your attention to line 15	20 Mr. Sherr to come join me in the public counsel and 21 fight for the good fight, correct, and he won't be here	
22	here where you testify: "As discussed above,	<ul> <li>21 Inght for the good right, correct, and he won't be here</li> <li>22 next year and someone else will have to replace him.</li> </ul>	
23	CenturyLink is short staffed, one attorney, one	23 What assurances given the constraints that you're	
24	paralegal, legal assistant, and I are responsible for	24 facing with staffing, with expensive legacy copper	
25	the regulatory affairs for Washington in addition to	<ul> <li>network that you will have sufficient staffing to allow</li> </ul>	
-			

12 (Pages 103 to 106)

		,	
	Page 107		Page 109
1	for discontinuance without UTC oversight?	1	A. I have not filed anything in Utah.
2	MR. SHERR: I'm going to object that the	2	Q. Okay. The company hasn't filed Qwest hasn't
3	question has been asked and answered.	3	filed a petition asking for similar relief in other
4	JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow it.	4	states?
5	A. In terms of assurances, I can tell you that I	5	A. That's my understanding. Utah is not one of
6	have opined loudly within the company that, yeah, this	6	the states in which I have compliance oversight.
7	could potentially be unsustainable, and I am in the	7	Q. Okay. In any case, can you quantify the amount
8	process right now of seeking to hire some additional	8	of time, energy, and investment that CenturyLink put
9	people to bring us back to a full strength playing team.	9	into this because of the formal process that we are now
10	Q. Do you know when you will hear back from the	10	engaged in?
11	company, whether they're going to provide those	11	A. The energy and the resources that were devoted
12	resources?	12	to this, really, as I mentioned a moment ago began long
13	A. I am very hopeful that before the end of the	13	before this formal process with that broadbandnow.com
14	third quarter of this year, if not before.	14	analysis off and on for days over the course of two
15	Q. Okay. Now I want to contrast this a little bit	15	months. So I sat at my computer and looked at that
16	with the process in this particular case where there was	16	because I didn't know and I wanted to understand it, so
17	a full and fulsome investigation by UTC staff, by public	17	I went through that.
18	counsel, full investment by CenturyLink in examining the	18	When they excuse me. When the
19	market in Washington, etcetera.	19	broadband I'm sorry when the BDC data came out, our
20	In this case, you filed this original	20	business intelligence and geospacial folks, we tasked
21	petition well, you first contacted the parties about	21	them with taking that data and helping us look at it to
22	this more than a year ago; is that correct?	22	determine how many competitors we had wire center by
23	A. I would think it was almost more than two years	23	wire center for both intra and intermodal competitors
24	ago, actually, when first conversations began to occur.	24	throughout the state. But it's been substantial.
25	Q. And then you filed this petition seven months	25	Again, when you ask how much or I can't attach or
	Page 108		Page 110
1	ago, and since then we've engaged in formal discovery;	1	quantify a dollar figure precisely to it.
2	correct?	2	Q. Would you agree with me that CenturyLink has
3	A. Correct.	3	spent more than a hundred hours, probably hundreds of
4	Q. There have been multiple discussions that you	4	hours, really, on this proceeding?
5	and I have had, that we've had with staff; correct?	5	A. This proceeding. That's probably fair, I would
6	A. Agreed.	6	agree.
7	Q. Now the original filing included data from	7	Q. Do you have any sense of the number of hours
8	Broadband Data the BDC data and an argument that	8	that public counsel or the UTC staff has spent on this
9	there was sufficient competition in all of Washington to	9	proceeding to get where we are today?
10	justify a competitive classification; is that correct?	10	A. I would say it's, you know, equal, possibly
11	A. That is correct. And even prior to that, I	11	greater.
12	constructed a pretty thorough analysis using something	12	Q. And now that we are sitting here after this
13	other that BDC first using a data source called	13	long process, you would agree that we have a much better
14	broadbandnow.com and went through all 221 wire centers	14	understanding of the facts in Washington state than we
15	that the company has ZIP Code by ZIP Code for each of	15	did when we started this process; correct?
16	those wire services. Took a couple months to take	16	A. I think we improved our datasets and our
17	place. That gave us a really good sense of the existing	17	understanding of them day by day, yes.
18	state of affairs of competition in Washington. But the	18	Q. And the good faith negotiations in trying to
19	BDC data was passing or granular, more reliable because	19	draw the line between areas where there isn't
20	it withstands a challenge process from the carriers.	20	competition and is competition has been productive,
21	And so that was that data that we used to underpin this	21	would you agree with me?
22	analysis, the BDC data.	22	A. Yeah. I would say the, you know, work that
23	Q. And this petition that you filed here in	23	we've all done together, staff, public counsel, the
24	Washington is similar to one that you filed in Utah; correct?	24 25	commission has been, you know, very cooperative, in good
25		1 40	faith and very productive.

13 (Pages 107 to 110)

	Page 111		Page 113
1	Q. And while it was, perhaps, cumbersome, this	1	there's mobile broadband, there's more than likely the
2	process has achieved the goal of getting closer to the	2	likelihood that there's also cellular voice data as
3	truth; correct?	3	well.
4	A. Can you define "the truth"?	4	Q. So the example that you picked was kind of a
5	Q. The true state of affairs in Washington when it	5	liminal one, you've got three homes that are in a hex
6	comes to CenturyLink and its telecommunication	6	and then if you continue down that road which happens to
7	competitors.	7	follow a river into a gorge, there isn't mobile
8	A. I'll agree with that.	8	broadband service; correct?
9	Q. Let's talk a little bit about the FCC process	9	A. That is correct. Though the and this
10	which is the backup kind of here for approval.	10	comes screenshot came from the FCC's broadband map,
11	You have to get approval by the UTC and FCC	11	and the FCC broadband map depicts two things. You can
12	for discontinuation; is that correct?	12	either find fixed broadband or mobile broadband.
13	A. Correct.	13	However within the FCC's datasets that underpin this,
14	Q. And that's section 214, FCC 214; correct?	14	the mobile voice can be discerned as well, it just can't
15	A. That's my understanding where the process lies	15	be picked up with the publicly available FCC broadband
16	and is defined.	16	data.
17	Q. Do you know what the criteria is that the FCC	17	Q. Have you been to this area of Washington?
18	applies when deciding to grant or not grant a	18	A. No, I have not.
19	continuance?	19	Q. Do you know anything about the topography of
20	A. I do not. That if a discontinuance process was	20	this particular road?
21	ever sought in that, that would likely go through an	21	A. I worked prior to including this example, I
22	entirely different legal team or group within our	22	went to Google Earth, so I believe it's forested and the
23	company, wouldn't be state, it would be a federal	23	topo lines on the map would suggest that it's not flat.
24	regulatory legal team.	24	Q. Right. It's a river gorge; right?
25	Q. Do you know whether the factors considered by	25	A. Uh-huh.
		1	
	Page 112		Page 114
1	the federal government overlap with the factors	1	Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's
1 2	the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?	2	Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile
	the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC? A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the	1	Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?
2 3 4	the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC? A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> </ul>
2 3	the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC? A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. Identifying the screenshot, less than 5</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC? <ul> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone service, according to their data, is sufficient and then</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and dropped them into an Excel document. And then I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC? <ul> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone service, according to their data, is sufficient and then the kind of gray hexes are where it isn't; correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and dropped them into an Excel document. And then I provided that Excel file to my business intelligence</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone service, according to their data, is sufficient and then the kind of gray hexes are where it isn't; correct?</li> <li>A. Generally, I agree with that. You said you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and dropped them into an Excel document. And then I provided that Excel file to my business intelligence folks who went through to determine whether or not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone service, according to their data, is sufficient and then the kind of gray hexes are where it isn't; correct?</li> <li>A. Generally, I agree with that. You said you mentioned cell phone service. What this is depictive of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>A. Identifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and dropped them into an Excel document. And then I provided that Excel file to my business intelligence folks who went through to determine whether or not they are and they are a current CenturyLink customer. So I would say</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the federal government overlap with the factors considered by the UTC?</li> <li>A. Again, because I'm not familiar with the entirety of the 214 process at the FCC, I can't give a precise answer to that question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's turn now to page 21 of your testimony in support of in support of the settlement.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is the Yacolt example that you provided in your testimony; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. I happen to be from that area of Washington, so I didn't know if you chose that for that purpose, but I know of the areas.</li> <li>A. I did not know that.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at the figure 5 which is actually on page 22?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. This is a that's a screenshot of the BDC data which these little hexes, right, where cell phone service, according to their data, is sufficient and then the kind of gray hexes are where it isn't; correct?</li> <li>A. Generally, I agree with that. You said you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And that could explain why there's mobile broadband coverage accept along that mobile gorge; correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that, by the way?</li> <li>A. Do I know?</li> <li>Q. Do you know did you you didn't go through the process of calling all these people to see if they had mobile service, did you?</li> <li>A. I didn't, though I pulled the addresses. When you click on each of those points in those hexagon polygons in there, it does populate an address, and I did gather all the addresses for every location in there and went through to determine whether or not they are a current CenturyLink subscriber.</li> <li>Q. How much time did you spend in putting together this example?</li> <li>A. I dentifying the screenshot, less than 5 minutes, probably took me 10 to 15 to click on each of the ones in the polygons or the hexagons there and dropped them into an Excel document. And then I provided that Excel file to my business intelligence folks who went through to determine whether or not</li> </ul>

	Page 115		Page 117
1	Q. It took you less than an hour to identify an	1	you say the "size," are you talking about specifically
2	area in Washington, to pull the data that you then	2	the number of individuals or the geographic unit of
3	presented in this testimony?	3	measurement that we're studying?
4	A. That's fair.	4	Q. This is one of those fun ones where it's both,
5	Q. Is that an approximate number that we could use	5	which is why it's compound and it's a bad question.
6	for the first step of your process?	6	But, I mean, yes, if we were under the
7	MR. SHERR: I'm going to object that it	7	current settlement there's no restriction either on the
8	calls for speculation.	8	size, meaning geographic size, or on the number of
9	JUDGE HOWARD: I'll allow the question.	9	customers.
10	A. Again, if I refer back to page 134 of my	10	A. So I think an interesting consideration we have
11	testimony, that first step is to determine the precise	11	to make here is that the larger the size, whether it's
12	boundaries of the areas in which the company might at	12	number of customers or whether it's the size of a
13	some point seek a discontinuance	13	geographic area under study, the far greater of the
14	Q. Right.	14	likelihood that you're going to within that area
15	A I think substantially longer than an hour.	15	encounter a challenging customer location. And when
16	Q. Do you know how much longer?	16	that happens, that triggers the company to come to the
17	A. I wouldn't be the person conducting that work	17	commission for approval and so.
18	in all likelihood, so but and to access a myriad	18	Q. And as you just said, until you see it, we
19	of different facilities' databases and systems, it could	19	don't know, do we?
20	take hours if not days, likely.	20	A. Right, correct.
21	Q. So even though you were able to put together a	21	Q. Let's shift now to we've been talking about
22	fairly compelling example in this testimony, it would	22	the administrative burden, both generally and of this
23	take additional time in order to prepare the packet that	23	settlement on the company. Now I want to shift to the
24	you're describing in the settlement; is that fair?	24	impact on the consumers.
25	A. Correct.	25	You provided the 2022 National Health
	D 110		
	Page 116		Page 118
1	Q. And that would happen, according to settlement,	1	Page 118 Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated
1 2		1 2	
	Q. And that would happen, according to settlement,		Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct.
2	<ul><li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li><li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li></ul>	2	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is
2 3	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on</li> </ul>	2 3	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be</li> </ul>	2 3 4	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one,
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? <b>Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG</b> <b>35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you?</b> A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? <b>Q. It's the same document, just also designated</b> <b>as a cross</b> A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood. Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood. Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood. Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? <b>Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG</b> <b>35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you?</b> A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? <b>Q. It's the same document, just also designated</b> <b>as a cross</b> A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. <b>Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so</b> we're clear. A. Understood. <b>Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom</b> there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? <b>Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG</b> <b>35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you?</b> A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? <b>Q. It's the same document, just also designated</b> <b>as a cross</b> A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. <b>Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so</b> we're clear. A. Understood. <b>Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom</b> there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington? A. Right. <b>Q. And if you start from the landline only adults</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this settlement, there's no maximum</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? <b>Q. Yeah.</b> And I've also designated that as PJG <b>35X, but yes.</b> Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? <b>Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross</b> A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. <b>Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear.</b> A. Understood. <b>Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington? A. Right. <b>Q. And if you start from the landline only adults you get there's a 1.9 listed there, that's the</b></b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this settlement, there's no maximum limit or size limit to the discontinuance that could be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood. Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington? A. Right. Q. And if you start from the landline only adults you get there's a 1.9 listed there, that's the percentage of Washingtonians who have only access to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this settlement, there's no maximum limit or size limit to the discontinuance that could be proposed under the settlement agreement; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct.</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you?</li> <li>A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill?</li> <li>Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross</li> <li>A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me.</li> <li>Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear.</li> <li>A. Understood.</li> <li>Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And if you start from the landline only adults you get there's a 1.9 listed there, that's the percentage of Washingtonians who have only access to a landline; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And that would happen, according to settlement, every time; right?</li> <li>A. Any time there was an area under study for discontinuance, that would be required.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If the commission were to put a limit on the size of a non reviewed discontinuance, what would be the best workable limit for CenturyLink? So in this case, you provided us an example of three houses. If we were to limit it to, say, a hundred homes, would that be workable for is there a limit that you would be able to accept?</li> <li>A. In my mind, placing a limit like that at this juncture would be very arbitrary, and I don't know why we would.</li> <li>Q. Is there a maximum number of customers that you would accept as a limit, or is the answer the same, that that would be arbitrary?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say "arbitrary" what you mean there is, you don't know until you look; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this settlement, there's no maximum limit or size limit to the discontinuance that could be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Interview Survey Early Release Program data updated data in in support of your settlement testimony; is that correct. A. Yes. I believe you're referring to Exhibit PJG 31? Q. Yeah. And I've also designated that as PJG 35X, but yes. Do you have that in front of you? A. I have PJG 31. What was the second one, Mr. O'Neill? Q. It's the same document, just also designated as a cross A. Oh, understood. Thanks. I do have that in front of me. Q. And the 35 X is really more for the record so we're clear. A. Understood. Q. If you go down to four lines up from the bottom there, there's a column for a road rather for Washington? A. Right. Q. And if you start from the landline only adults you get there's a 1.9 listed there, that's the percentage of Washingtonians who have only access to a

23

24

25

that had only a landline in Washington state.

A. That's what the numbers would suggest. Q. And that there were 162,666 adults that were

In the I	Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol. I
	Page 119		Page 121
1	Q. And the next column is 2.7 percent, and that's	1	mostly landline?
2	landline, mostly adults; correct?	2	A. Again, subject to check, I'm with you.
3	A. Correct.	3	Q. And that there were 379,555 Washingtonians that
4	Q. And then the middle one is 6.3 percent which	4	were dual users; correct?
5	are individuals who are "dual users," which I take it	5	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Mr. O'Neill, the end
6	means they use both with some frequency?	6	of your question dropped off. So what was the last
7	A. Yes.	7	question?
8	Q. Okay. I had designated for you PJG 36XC. This	8	Q. It was: 379,555 Washingtonians who are dual
9	is Mr. Webber's testimony. Do you have that?	9	use; correct?
10	A. I do.	10	A. That particular figure, is that on any of the
11	Q. And if you turn to page 16 of that document,	11	lines 4 through 15 on page 16?
12	you will see	12	Q. No, no. So that's
13	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Excuse me. Counsel,	13	A. This is
14	what page number was that?	14	Q the math
15	MR. O'NEILL: Page 16 on the bottom right of	15	A. Right.
16	Mr. Webber's testimony which is 36X.	16	Q updated to the new
17	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.	17	A. Yeah.
18	A. I'm on page 16, Mr. O'Neill.	18	Q roughly go ahead.
19	Q. You'll see that Mr. Webber performed some	19	A. Again, that math likely works out.
20	calculations on the 2020 version of this survey	20	THE REPORTER: Gentlemen, y'all are
21	estimating the numbers of adults who were in these	21	beginning to talk over each other a little bit. Would
22	categories. Do you see that?	22	you be mindful of that, please. Thank you.
23	A. Can you assist me with a line number or	23	MR. O'NEILL: That was the court reporter.
24	Q. Sure. If you look at 16, line 4 through	24	Sorry.
25	line line 13.	25	
	Page 120		Page 122
1	A. Apologies. I was on page 6-0 instead of 1-6.	1	BY MR. O'NEILL:
2	Q. The problem and flaw of filing large testimony.	2	Q. If you add up the percentages of mostly
3	A. Yeah. I'm there on page 16, lines 4.	3	landline and landline, it adds up to 4.6 percent;
4	Q. So do you see where he did some calculations to	4	correct?
5	try to estimate the size or number of Washingtonians	5	A. Yes.
б	that this survey reveals are dependent on land lines?	6	Q. And that roughly squares with the 4 percent
7	A. Yes.	7	market share number that you've identified in your
8	Q. Now, you've provided updated numbers; correct?	8	original testimony regarding the petition to be
9	And if you look at the back to the exhibit that you	9	competitively classified; correct?
10	provided, 1.9 is the percentage of Washingtonians in	10	A. Are you referring to where I calculated what I
11	2022 who are landline only; correct?	11	believe to be CenturyLink's market share of voice
12	A. That's correct, yes. From 2020 to 2022, that	12	connections in the state of Washington?
13	figure went down from 2.3 percent to 1.9, correct.	13	Q. Yes.
14	Q. And if you look at Mr. Webber's testimony,	14	A. While those two numbers, you know, are
15	you'll see that there are as of 2022's census roughly	15	fairly coincide fairly closely, that's not how I got
16	6,024,689 adults in the state of Washington; correct?	16	there, but
17	A. I see that, yes.	17	Q. Okay. Just a coincidence, then.
18	Q. So it's just math.	18	Did you do any analysis to determine where
19	Would you agree with me that at one I	19	these land lines landline only and landline mostly
20	mean, subject to check with a calculator later and	20	customers are concentrated in Washington?
21	I've used a calculator would you agree with me that	21	A. I have not.
22	this means that even in 2022, there were 114,469 adults	22	Q. Would you agree with me that it's likely that

- 22 Q. Would you agree with me that it's likely that 23 those landline only customers are generally going to be 24 older? Older Washingtonians?
  - A. I believe that in some of the demographic data

25

	Page 123		Page 125
		1	_
1 that I've seen I believe it may hav		1	location.
<ul> <li>Webber's testimony that that's, ye</li> <li>preference for those 65 years and o</li> </ul>		2	Q. Do you know or can you quantify how much losing her ability to talk to family and friends would mean?
<ul> <li>3 preference for those 65 years and o</li> <li>4 Q. And would you agree with me</li> </ul>		3 4	Like what's the burden if we were to try to translate
<ul> <li>Iikely to be concentrated in rural Water</li> </ul>		5	into a number so that we can compare it to CenturyLink's
6 A. If we're going to use the pren		6	administrative burden?
7 dictates, you know, adoption of wire		7	A. With apologies, I'm not following the question.
<ul> <li>8 know, landline retention, I don't thin</li> </ul>	-	8	Could you rephrase it a little bit, please?
<ul> <li>9 that the aged population of the state</li> </ul>		9	Q. Can you value her connection to her family and
10 They could be in core Seattle as we		10	friends?
11 Q. I wasn't inferring that there w		11	A. Value her ability to communicate to family and
12 between age and rural, I was just sa		12	friends?
13 correlation between rural Washingt		13	Q. Yeah.
14 reliance on land lines; correct?		14	A. I I in my 30-plus years of doing this,
15 A. Well, I'll accept your premise	. Again, I	15	I've never been tasked with coming up with that sort of
16 haven't studied that and can't say w	-	16	a metric, so but, you know, obviously from her
17 that is, in fact, the case, but	-	17	comments she makes, it is important, and I don't
18 MR. O'NEILL: Right. I'm no	ow going to play	18	disagree with her.
19 Exhibit 38 X to your testimony. I ha	ve tested this with	19	Q. Did you review the testimony of Mr. Brevitz's?
20 staff, so I hope this will work. You'll	hear an audio	20	A. Yes.
21 presentation and I'm going to share		21	Q. And you're aware that in response to informal
also see a video as well. And then		22	data requests, staff has quantified the number of
23 you after I've played it. Okay? Let		23	challenging consumer challenging locations that would
24 the if the technology doesn't work		24	be protected under the current definitions require UTC
25 (Video playing.)		25	approval; correct?
	Page 124		Page 126
1 SPEAKER: This is Joy Mar	grav (phonetic).	1	A. I saw a number in Mr. Brevitz's testimony. I
2 (Inaudible.)		2	was unable to tie it back to staff analysis.
3 SPEAKER: Yeah. I'm goin	g to make this real	3	Q. The number was 1,200. Do you have any reason
4 short, but and I guess it's concern		4	to disagree that that's approximately the number of
5 UT-240029. And I'm a citizen of rur		5	locations that's protected by this settlement proposal?
6 I'm 79 years old and living alone, ar		6	A. As memory serves, I think it was specifically
7 my landline phone service to Centur		7	1,233.
8 I don't have cell service at my reside		8	Q. I believe that's correct.
9 don't if they drop my landline, what		9	A. And I think that was the number. I could
10 emergency? And it would be very lo		10	looking at the staffs' analysis, I couldn't quite get it
11 able to talk to my family and friends		11	back, so it's quite possible it's a typographical error
12 let CenturyLink take my landline aw	ay. Thank you very	12	in the testimony. But I don't have any reason to doubt
13 much.		13	that that number, you know, may be slightly the
14 (End of video playback.) 15 BY MR. O'NEILL:		14 15	actual number could be slightly higher, could be
16 Q. As you sit here today, do you	know whether	16	slightly lower. But for the entirety of the state to have in the neighborhood of 1,000 challenging customer
17 Ms. Margrav exists in an area where		17	locations isn't surprising.
18 purple-shaded hex on some cell ph		18	Q. Does that or should that cause the
19 sorry, mobile wireless data?		19	commission any angst that there are several hundred
20 A. Yes.		20	thousand Washingtonians that rely on land lines and that
21 Q. You do know. Does she?		21	this settlement would protect around 1,200 locations?
22 A. I do.		22	A. I don't believe the commission should have
23 <b>Q. Does she?</b>		23	angst because again, we've set up a very robust process
A. She she does have comme	ercial mobile radio	24	to you know, that once the company and what I
service or cellular from as many as			
		25	continue to believe to be an unlikely scenario

17 (Pages 123 to 126)

	Page 127		Page 129
1	identifies location that, you know, ultimately, it will	1	yes, all those four carriers are in our area but to the
2	have to pass muster with the FCC and the 214	2	best of my knowledge they don't work on the hill or
3	discontinuance process.	3	wherever I live, we're going to create a ticket, we're
4	But let's say everything in line in that was	4	going to dispatch a CenturyLink individual to go to
5	possible. The commission existence of any challenging	5	those locations to make to ascertain that.
6	customer locations in those areas trigger a number of	6	Q. And if she disagrees, what's her remedy?
7	things, one of which is, you know, seeking commission	7	A. If she disagrees with the
8	approval for that. And when we do that, we will be	8	Q. Your assessment that a cell
9	providing all of the information we have gathered to	9	A. Disagrees with the company's finding that cell
10	identify any potential customers in those discontinuance	10	service is available?
11	areas, and the commission at that juncture will	11	Q. Correct.
12	certainly have the ability and authority to, you know,	12	A. Again, on the notices she receives, her, you
13	look into that to ascertain whether those customers are	13	know, remedies will, you know, be that she's going to
14	receiving adequate protection.	14	have access to public all of public counsel's contact
15	So I believe the safeguards that are in	15	information, and she can contact you and let us know
16	place within the constructs of the multiparty settlement	16	CenturyLink has approached me, and we are I don't
17	should provide the commission with a comfort and	17	believe that I have any of these services here, they
18	assurance that, you know, any potential customers who	18	contend I do. And, you know, it's I'll take your
19	may be discontinued will be cared for.	19	phone call, we'll take, you know, anybody from your
20	Throughout this entire process going back	20	staff and say, you know, this is what help us
21	more than two years, so many almost every one of the	21	understand.
22	stakeholders have, you know, put this forth as a primary	22	Q. And?
23	concern of protection of customers who may have not have	23	A. Either I'll have that information or I won't.
24	alternatives. CenturyLink has stepped through this	24	But if I dispatch a technician there to take a
25	process, staff as well, and have been very diligent to	25	measurement of cell phone signal strength in that
	Page 128		Page 130
1	make certain that those customers are properly looked at	1	location, I'll be able to provide that information to
2	and cared for such that a discontinuance with absolutely	2	you and say, you know, here's the quantitative findings
3	no available alternatives within a set of parameters are	3	that we did from some tests that we'll all agree upon.
4	taken care of.	4	JUDGE HOWARD: And Mr. O'Neill, if I could
5	Q. Any time you design a process, what you're	5	jump in. We're just a few minutes over your estimated
6	really talking about is allocating the risk of being	6	cross time for this witness. Do you believe you'd be
7	wrong. What happens if we miss somebody? And in that	7	able to finish in the next minute or two? Do you have
8	context, how do you weigh Ms. Margrav's ability to speak	8	some final questions?
9	with her family against your administrative burdens?	9	MR. O'NEILL: I have one last line of
10	<ul> <li>Again, in her testimony at the public hearing,</li> </ul>	10	questions. Five minutes, max.
11		1	•
11	Ms. Margrav mentioned she doesn't have cell service at	11	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed.
12	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by	11 12	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL:
12 13	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.	11 12 13	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision
12 13 14	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b>	11 12 13 14	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is
12 13 14 15	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an	11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for
12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard
12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents;
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering this. We believe that you have AT&T wireless, we	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going forward basis, those credits will be afforded in both of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering this. We believe that you have AT&T wireless, we believe you have T-Mobile, we believe you have U.S.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going forward basis, those credits will be afforded in both of those scenarios.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering this. We believe that you have AT&T wireless, we believe you have T-Mobile, we believe you have U.S. Cellular, and we believe you have Verizon at your	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going forward basis, those credits will be afforded in both of those scenarios. Q. And that's for people who file trouble tickets
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering this. We believe that you have AT&T wireless, we believe you have T-Mobile, we believe you have U.S. Cellular, and we believe you have Verizon at your location. If we're wrong, please let us know.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going forward basis, those credits will be afforded in both of those scenarios. Q. And that's for people who file trouble tickets in an where there's been an outage, they'll get an
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	her location. My personal belief, what she meant by that is, she doesn't subscribe to it. <b>Q. Okay.</b> A. And so if you know, let's use this as an extremely unlikely hypothetical scenario that her area in rural Klickitat County where she lives was a consideration. And we approached her through telephone call, through e-mail, if she has it, through notice in the mail and said, you know, CenturyLink is considering this. We believe that you have AT&T wireless, we believe you have T-Mobile, we believe you have U.S. Cellular, and we believe you have Verizon at your	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Proceed. BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. I just want to turn now to the other provision of the settlement that is pretty significant which is CenturyLink's agreement to provide automatic credits for outages. And I want to confirm that is with disregard to either force majeure or third-party theft incidents; is that correct? A. That's correct. When in a multiparty settlement agreement we have said that on a going forward basis, those credits will be afforded in both of those scenarios. Q. And that's for people who file trouble tickets

18 (Pages 127 to 130)

	Page 131	Page 133
1	A. When they file a trouble ticket, correct.	1 bit of a longer cross. If the other parties don't
2	Q. And the idea behind this is that it will	2 object, I'm fine with taking a break now.
3	incentivize the company by better customer service;	3 MR. O'NEILL: From my part, Your Honor, I
4	correct?	4 would prefer a break now, if I could.
5	A. By better customer service, if you mean, you	5 MR. ROBERSON: Staff has no objection.
6	know, rectification of, you know, if I have a van	6 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Let's go off
7	will come and take a 600 pair cable to get it spliced	7 we're going to take a break, we'll reconvene at 11:05
8	back in more quickly or, you know, forbid, you know, we	8 wait, I'm sorry, 10:55 a.m. And we are off the record.
9	have a wildlife like we did in, say, Madison Lake last	9 (A break was taken from 10:40 to 10:56 a.m.)
10	summer, to get those facilities replaced more swiftly.	10 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. It is 10:56 a.m.
11	Q. Have you quantified in the last year how many	11 Let's be back on the record. And we left off after the
12	incidents would have would have qualified for this	12 cross-examination of Mr. Gose, and we were going to turn
13	provision or whether	13 to the redirect by the company.
14	A. To some extent, yes.	14 MR. SHERR: Thank you, Your Honor. I should
15	Q. What how many in the what period of time	15 be very brief.
16	did you review?	16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	A. The entirety of 12 months of 2023.	17 BY MR. SHERR:
18	Q. In 2023 how many incidents were there where	18Q. Mr. Gose, do you recall at the beginning of his
19	this would apply?	19 examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you whether the
20	A. The we took the I would have to back into	20 commission faced is faced with a choice of either
21	the math. I can tell you that the credits based on a	21 decreasing regulation or or protecting customers?
22	day's outage beyond the 24-hour threshold being 1/30th	A. I apologize. Mr. Sherr, I can't hear you.
23	of the monthly rate which throughout 2023 was in the \$30	23 Q. Okay. Thank you. Can you hear me better now?
24	range, so it would be about a dollar a day. It would	A. Much better. Thank you.
25	have the additional credits that would have been	25 Q. Okay. Do you recall at the beginning of his
	Page 132	Page 134
1	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000	
1 2		
	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000	1 examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in
2	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> </ol>
2 3	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> </ol>
2 3 4	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage,	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</b></li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in	<ol> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase.	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b>	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct.	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the</li> <li>company to replace a random section of copper with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</b></li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li><b>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</b></li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li><b>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the</b></li> <li>company to replace a random section of copper with</li> <li>fiber?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the</li> <li>company to replace a random section of copper with</li> <li>fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in</li> <li>this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing</li> <li>regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually</li> <li>exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the</li> <li>multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair</li> <li>compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored</li> <li>replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the</li> <li>company to replace a random section of copper with</li> <li>fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber</li> <li>material may be less expensive than copper but it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from the company?	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I</li> <li>hope it's right whether the company has explored replacing copper in the event of a road move with</li> <li>fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the company to replace a random section of copper with fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber material may be less expensive than copper but it requires more electronics on the end. But that's not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from the company? MR. SHERR: Yes. Your Honor, would it be	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I hope it's right whether the company has explored replacing copper in the event of a road move with fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the company to replace a random section of copper with fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber material may be less expensive than copper but it requires more electronics on the end. But that's not the area of the company in which I serve. So to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from the company? MR. SHERR: Yes. Your Honor, would it be possible to take a short break? Is that all right, or	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I hope it's right whether the company has explored replacing copper in the event of a road move with fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the company to replace a random section of copper with fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber material may be less expensive than copper but it requires more electronics on the end. But that's not the area of the company in which I serve. So to precisely answer, you would need to involve planning,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from the company? MR. SHERR: Yes. Your Honor, would it be	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I hope it's right whether the company has explored replacing copper in the event of a road move with fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the company to replace a random section of copper with fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber material may be less expensive than copper but it requires more electronics on the end. But that's not the area of the company in which I serve. So to precisely answer, you would need to involve planning,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	returned to customers would have been in the \$150,000 range. I believe that on a going forward basis because of three things adding in force majeure situations including threats for theft, vandalism incidents, and also, perhaps most importantly, in the multiparty settlement agreement, we have agreed to on a quarterly basis, once every three months, bringing a bill message from the customer saying, hey, if you've had an outage, you are entitled to a credit. Please contact this number and, you know, we will look at your ticket and automatically apply that credit. So when you add in force majeure, theft, vandalism, and then more frequent notification to the customer, I think that number is likely to increase. <b>Q. By about 150 a year?</b> A. Yes. About 150, correct. MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Any redirect from the company? MR. SHERR: Yes. Your Honor, would it be possible to take a short break? Is that all right, or would you like to do that after redirect?	<ul> <li>examination, Mr. O'Neill asked you if the commission in this proceeding is faced with a choice of decreasing regulation or protecting customers?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe those two things are mutually exclusive?</li> <li>A. No. I think the I believe that the multiparty settlement agreement is a very fair compromise and balance between the two.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill also asked you a question and I hope it's right whether the company has explored replacing copper in the event of a road move with fiberoptics instead. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is it would it be less expensive for the company to replace a random section of copper with fiber?</li> <li>A. It all depends on the circumstances. The fiber material may be less expensive than copper but it requires more electronics on the end. But that's not the area of the company in which I serve. So to precisely answer, you would need to involve planning, engineering, and a number of others. But it's likely</li> </ul>

19 (Pages 131 to 134)

	Page 135		Page 137
1	Mr. O'Neill was walking you through the four steps that	1	Landline Only Adults column for Washington; correct?
2	we would that the company would engage with in order	2	A. Correct.
3	to process a potentially hypothetical discontinuance.	3	Q. And Mr. O'Neill referred to those individuals
4	Do you recognize that?	4	in three different ways. He said they are dependent on
5	A. Yes.	5	landlines, they have access they only have access to
б	Q. And it started on page 13 of your Exhibit PJG	6	landlines, and that they have only landlines. Is it
7	30T?	7	your understanding that this report, that 1.9 percent of
8	A. Yes, I'm there.	8	Washingtonians that are listed in landline only, that
9	Q. Mr. O'Neill asked you about Step 1 and whether	9	that is the only technology for telecommunications that
10	you would expect that to take longer than the amount of	10	they have access to?
11	time it took you to put together the diagrams that are	11	A. No. I believe that that 1.9 percent is more
12	begin on page 17. Do you recall that?	12	likely reflective of just the customer taste and
13	A. I do.	13	preferences and how they choose to consume their
14	Q. Is Step 1 the equivalent to the diagrams that	14	telecommunication services.
15	you placed in this in your testimony?	15	Q. Okay. Is the 1.9 percent a identification of
16	A. Certainly not. The diagram that I placed was	16	available services at locations or of customer use?
17	just one very hypothetical scenario that I envisioned	17	A. It's not indicative of what's available there
18	and tried to model just for an example of how the	18	but just again how the consumer chooses to purchase and
19	process might work. But in reality for Step 1, the	19	utilize telecommunication services.
20	steps that we have to go through, all the different	20	MR. SHERR: Thank you. I have no further
21	business units, finance, planning, engineering would all	21	questions, Your Honor.
22	have to come together, bring multiple different systems	22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Do we
23	and processes to construct that analysis, and it would	23	have any questions from the bench for this witness?
24 25	take a very quite a long amount of time.	24 25	Please proceed.
25	Q. Okay. Because what is the analysis in Step 1	25	COMMISSIONER DANNER: All right. Thank you.
	Page 136		Page 138
1	that we would be doing in that scenario?	1	Good morning, Mr. Gose. Appreciate you being here.
2	A. Just to look to see, you know, what are the,	2	EXAMINATION
3	you know, business ramifications in terms of	3	BY COMMISSIONER DANNER:
4	utilization, maintenance, repair, anything that has to	4	Q. I wanted to ask you: We talked a little bit
5	occur in that particular area, and then ultimately the	5	about federal funding, and you gave the scenario where
6	number of subscribers and certainly the ultimately,	6	other carriers provide for state funding, BEAD funding,
7	the alternatives that may or may not be available to	7	others. But can you tell me: Has CenturyLink, Lumen,
-	them in that area.		
8		8	or any of the companies, have they been awarded federal
8 9	Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31,	8	or any of the companies, have they been awarded federal funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving
9	Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31,	9	funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving
9 10	Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program	9 10	funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? A. Yes. Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and
9 10 11	Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?	9 10 11 12 13	funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? A. Yes. Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?
9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving</li> <li>Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and</li> <li>over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving</li> <li>Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time?</li> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have that in front of you now?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time? <ul> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out to new locations? How has that money been used just</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have that in front of you now?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time? <ul> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out to new locations? How has that money been used just generally speaking?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have that in front of you now?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill used a variety of descriptors. He</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time? <ul> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out to new locations? How has that money been used just generally speaking?</li> <li>A. It could be to bring broadband services to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have that in front of you now?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill used a variety of descriptors. He described and again, just to reorient, the CDC data</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time? <ul> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out to new locations? How has that money been used just generally speaking?</li> <li>A. It could be to bring broadband services to either new locations or existing locations that just</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit PJG 31, which is the CDC survey. It says Early Release Program at the top?</li> <li>A. 31 or 32?</li> <li>Q. Excuse me. 31. 31?</li> <li>A. 31. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Neill asked you a series of questions about the column entitled Landline Only Adults?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have that in front of you now?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Mr. O'Neill used a variety of descriptors. He</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>funding such as RDOF funding in the past for serving Washington service territories? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Lumen has. And can you tell me how much and over what period of time? <ul> <li>A. So the RDOF program is a ten-year program, and they awarded the state of Washington, I believe this is subject to check about 42 million dollars over that time period to serve I think around a little more than 14,000 customer locations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you can tell me specifically: Is that serving existing locations, or is that reaching out to new locations? How has that money been used just generally speaking?</li> <li>A. It could be to bring broadband services to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

20 (Pages 135 to 138)

	Page 139	Page 2	L41
1	available at that location.	1 submits the data and somebody doesn't believe that there	
2	Q. Okay. So and is it required that you reach	2 are services available and either in the modality or the	
3	out to rural areas, or could you focus on rural or on	3 speeds, they can contact the FCC's broadband mapping	
4	urban areas or highly dense areas when you're making	4 team and let me them know. So those maps do become m	ore
5	those investments?	5 and more accurate over time.	
6	A. Under the RDOF program, it looks at an unserved	6 But you raise a very good point,	
7	and underserved markets which are primarily in more	7 Commissioner Danner, because when I look at those maps	i,
8	rural locations.	8 say, for instance, I get in a very unlikely scenario	
9	Q. Okay. So Ms. Margrav, for example, could be in	<sup>9</sup> that if we were go out and consider discontinuance and	
10	an area where you would be reaching out and expanding	10 it shows somebody like Ms. Margrav has those services.	
11	your services and improving your service?	11 There has been some conjecture that, you know, perhaps	
12	A. Quite frankly, I really don't know. And I say	12 wireless coverage or mobile or fixed wireless, will have	
13	that because when I look at FCC's broadband data	13 mobile or fixed, might be to some extent overstated.	
14	collection map, she has some fixed wireless broadband	14 And so that's why we want to go through that validation	
15	alternatives at her location at 35 down and 7 up. So I	15 process with the customer saying, do you believe you	
16	don't even know if that's one of our RDOF locations	16 have service here? And if they say, no, we don't, it's	
17	today.	17 a pretty easy task to dispatch somebody with the proper	
18	Q. Okay. Are there other federal programs that	18 testing equipment to go and validate that.	
19	you have taken money for serving Washington State?	19Q. Well, thank you.	
20	A. Looking back perspective, there was the Connect	20 So you talked about in your hypothetical	
21	America Fund II program and then also there's been some	about moving, you know, a road and then having four	
22	funding from the American Rescue Plan Act, or the ARPA	22 customers who are affected. But under this, I mean, yo	
23	grants as well.	23 could decide just as a business decision that you don't	
24	Q. Okay. Are there any that are focused on tribal	24 want to serve the western part of county because it's	
25	areas?	25 too far to drive for the trucks to make repairs,	
			1 1 0
	Page 140	Page 2	L42
1	A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the	1 whatever, it's just not in your business case. You	
2	A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the <b>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs</b>	<ol> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> </ol>	
2 3	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> </ul>	)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> </ul>	)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> </ul>	)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> </ul>	<b>)</b> t's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> </ul>	b t's t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> </ul>	t's tle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you. I misheard that. All right.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, tha</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> </ul>	t's t le not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you. I misheard that. All right.</li> <li>So you're relying on the FCC's maps. Can</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> </ul>	t's t le not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>I misheard that. All right.</li> <li>So you're relying on the FCC's maps. Can you tell me: Are you confident that those maps are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, if</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> <li>based on outside forces but just your own business</li> </ul>	t's t le not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>I misheard that. All right.</li> <li>So you're relying on the FCC's maps. Can you tell me: Are you confident that those maps are accurate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, i</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> <li>based on outside forces but just your own business</li> <li>calculation, you could decide that</li> <li>A. Again, I would reiterate, though, that that</li> </ul>	t's t le not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you. Imisheard that. All right.</li> <li>So you're relying on the FCC's maps. Can you tell me: Are you confident that those maps are accurate.</li> <li>A. I have a fairly high degree of confidence</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, i</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> <li>based on outside forces but just your own business</li> <li>calculation, you could decide that</li> <li>A. Again, I would reiterate, though, that that</li> </ul>	t's t le not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the</li> <li>Q. Tribal. Tribal areas. Are there any programs that are specific to tribal areas?</li> <li>A. There are, and CenturyLink, even some of our RDOF areas spill over into tribal areas.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And BEAD funding, are you looking at applying for BEAD funding or any other state funding?</li> <li>A. Yes. CenturyLink is in the process today of going very thoroughly through the Washington Broadband Office's Volume 2 and completely understanding the rules and procedures for any sub grantees under that. But the company certainly has that under strong consideration at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Consideration about whether to apply?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you said western broadband office?</li> <li>A. No. The Washington Broadband Office. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>I misheard that. All right.</li> <li>So you're relying on the FCC's maps. Can you tell me: Are you confident that those maps are accurate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>whatever, it's just not in your business case. You</li> <li>could make that decision and say we're not going to</li> <li>serve this area anymore; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would agree to the extent that there are</li> <li>viable alternatives in that area. But in the western</li> <li>part of the county, if CenturyLink and commercial</li> <li>satellite services are the only available alternatives,</li> <li>I cannot.</li> <li>Q. But if they are there, you could make that</li> <li>business decision and say, we're just out of here, if</li> <li>you feel there are viable alternatives?</li> <li>A. If there are, you know, other available</li> <li>alternatives that meet the parameters that are the</li> <li>multiparty settlements in terms of speed and price, i</li> <li>a possibility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So it's just you would have the</li> <li>authority under this agreement, if we approve it, that</li> <li>you could do that. So the hypothetical of four peop</li> <li>is one thing but making a business decision that is r</li> <li>based on outside forces but just your own business</li> <li>calculation, you could decide that</li> <li>A. Again, I would reiterate, though, that that</li> </ul>	t's t le hot

21 (Pages 139 to 142)

	Page 143		Page 145
1	you could pursue it?	1	all the way down to the staff has asked us and we
2	A. From my understanding though it's not the	2	agree, yeah, I think it's important because we can get
3	venue in which I serve the FCC is very, very stingy	3	even more granular if we have the GPS coordinates for
4	with that process.	4	that location. So we would collect that.
5	Q. Yes. And FCC's change over time too, just	5	And then for all of wireless carriers in her
6	like	6	location, which again the FCC's BDC maps show that there
7	A. Yes.	7	are four with as much as, you know, fixed wireless
8	Q. So I just want let's go back to the example	8	available 35 up and 7 down I'm sorry 35 down and
9	of Ms. Margrav. She's 79 years old, she lives in a	9	7 up. We would gather the information in terms of who
10	rural area of a county, and you tell her we're going to	10	is there, what plans they offer, how much it costs, and
11	discontinue this is a hypothetical and you say	11	when we provide her that information and reaching out
12	you've got four other providers. Is it up to her to	12	via the notice, all that information will be there
13	ascertain whether any of those four are viable so I	13	including how to contact the customer. To your point,
14	mean, what is the process by if you put yourself in	14	it's a fair one. Are we asking her to do all that work?
15	her shoes, how do you go about this? She's got to call	15	And so for any, you know, kind of elderly
16	these four providers on her landline, she has to call	16	customers like that, we will certainly be more than
17	these four providers, and they all say, sure, we can.	17	willing to provide assistance to them to kind of help
18	How does she test that they actually have service in her	18	them make that decision. Beyond just that, you know,
19	area as opposed to her having to get in her car and	19	personal assistance, the multiparty settlement agreement
20	drive down to the city center to get cell coverage? I	20	also affords her financial in a number circumstances as
21	mean, how does she test it out or how do you help her?	21	well.
22	I mean, she's 79, but I I have been contacted by	22	Q. Right. But my concern is that you are making
23	people in their 90s. And I just want to know how this	23	her doing some making her do some heavy lifting. She
24	works, because it seems like what you're doing is,	24	has got to contact these other companies, she has to
25	you're saying you have to do all this stuff as opposed	25	determine what plan she wants and believe me, my
		1	
	Page 144		Page 146
1	Page 144	1	Page 146
1	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want	1	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and
2	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this	2	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to
2 3	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.	2 3	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to
2 3 4	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And	2 3 4	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not
2 3 4 5	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers	2 3 4 5	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been
2 3 4 5 6	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation	2 3 4 5 6	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.	2 3 4 5 6 7	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony? Q. This is your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony? Q. This is your A. 30 T.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony? Q. This is your A. 30 T. Q. You said page 25?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony? Q. This is your A. 30 T.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works. A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise. But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony? <b>Q. This is your</b> A. 30 T. <b>Q. You said page 25?</b> A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> <li>Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with you that, yeah, change, technological change especially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> <li>Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>A. My apologies. I'm not sitting close enough to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with you that, yeah, change, technological change especially for older citizens can be difficult.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> <li>Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>A. My apologies. I'm not sitting close enough to the microphone.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with you that, yeah, change, technological change especially for older citizens can be difficult. Just to provide a little bit of color,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> <li>Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>A. My apologies. I'm not sitting close enough to the microphone.</li> <li>Q. All right. So there I am, Table II.</li> <li>A. So in terms of instead of location 1 being in the Yacolt area, it would be the in the rural Klickitat</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with you that, yeah, change, technological change especially for older citizens can be difficult. Just to provide a little bit of color, because I think it's kind of instructive is, I started my career in 1989 at the Missouri Public Service Commission. At that time, we were kind of at a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to we will help you do all this stuff. So I just want you to put yourself in her shoes and tell me how this process works.</li> <li>A. That's a very fair and good question. And certainly, we want to make certain that those customers are cared for should that, again, unlikely situation ever arise.</li> <li>But can I ask you to turn to page 21 of my testimony?</li> <li>Q. This is your</li> <li>A. 30 T.</li> <li>Q. You said page 25?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm there.</li> <li>A. So if you see Table II there, so prior to even contacting, Ms. Margrav, we're going to collect</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, you said this is 30 T, page 25?</li> <li>A. Page 21.</li> <li>Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>A. My apologies. I'm not sitting close enough to the microphone.</li> <li>Q. All right. So there I am, Table II.</li> <li>A. So in terms of instead of location 1 being in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	father didn't know how to use the television remote, and I just you know, I'm trying to figure out how to if we're going to approve this, that we are not going to be traumatizing a lot of seniors who really are not going to be able to negotiate this because they've been using their landline for the last 80 years. And, you know, so we you're going to have to introduce them to a cell phone, you're going to have to or I should say one is going to have to introduce a person to a cell phone, this is how it works, this is the plan all you're interested in is voice, what is the best plan for you. How do you provide that kind of advice to a senior, or is that something that is going to fall on the commission or to local service agencies? A. I believe that that kind of assistance, you know, if we're asking for this, there's no reason why, you know, CenturyLink couldn't provide some amount of assistance to the customer. But I completely agree with you that, yeah, change, technological change especially for older citizens can be difficult. Just to provide a little bit of color, because I think it's kind of instructive is, I started my career in 1989 at the Missouri Public Service

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

22 (Pages 143 to 146)

	- 147	- 140
	Page 147	Page 149
1	get all the electromechanical switches out of the	1 help them with that so that Ms. Margrav is not basically
2	network. And one of the byproducts of that was that the	<sup>2</sup> in a panic because she has never used a cell phone and
3	customers were going to lose their party line service.	<sup>3</sup> now she's going to be told sink or swim.
4	And many and especially in rural areas like where	4 So that's what I'm looking for. And what I
5	Ms. Margrav lives, cable TV wasn't very ubiquitous at	5 hear is, you don't have authority right now to commit to
6	that time. If you had internet at all, it was, you	6 something like that but certainly it does concern me
7	know, 1,200 bottom and dial up modem or less. And	7 because this is a vulnerable population that is that
8	customers did not want to lose their party lines simply	8 there are a lot of older Americans who will be affected
9 10	because of the entertainment value that it provided them	<ul> <li>9 by a decision to discontinue service. And so I I</li> <li>10 need to be thinking about how we deal with those. And</li> </ul>
11	to, you know, keep tabs on their neighbors' lives. And so I under completely understand Ms. Margrav's	10need to be thinking about how we deal with those. And11so that's why I was looking for your thoughts on that.
12	position.	11So that s why was booking for your moughts on that.12A. As I think about it with respect to, you know,
13	But change is inevitable, and so I'm not	13 some of the other components of this multiparty
14	saying that it absolutely has to be dictated or forced	14 settlement, let's take, for instance, the automatic
15	upon her, and if comes to that we can provide a	15 credit that we'll be agreeing to. As part of the
16	reasonable level of care and compassion to help her make	16 affects of it, that's a fairly heavy lift with respect
17	that transition.	17 to all the IT back office programming and training of
18	Q. So is that something that you would	18 the customer care agents to make certain that those
19	affirmatively offer her, or is it something that she	19 tickets get established and all that happens correctly,
20	would have to know and to ask for. You say you're	20 to set up a hotline to assist that vulnerable population
21	willing to, which is different than we will reach out	21 with this kind of a transition, I see that as a much,
22	and help you.	22 much easier task. And I would personally be glad to
23	A. So I don't have the authority as I sit here	advocate for that within the company. Again, I can't
24	today to tell you I can commit the company to that, but	commit to it, but would be glad to.
25	I can advocate very strongly for it. And again, because	25 Q. Okay. Lastly, I wanted to ask you if you have
	Page 148	Page 150
1	I see these type of scenarios as very limited in nature,	1 any customers in Washington that are on a price for life
2	and quite frankly pretty unlikely. And, you know,	2 program?
3	this	3 A. I haven't studied that, but it wouldn't
4	Q. Well	4 surprise me.
5	A discontinuance portion has kind of become	5 Q. Okay. That is a program where you have
6	front and center a little bit in this process simply	6 guaranteed them a price for life
7	because we've been socializing this one particular	7 A. Correct.
8	aspect of it for several years now across a number of	8 Q and so of an assumption below that would be
9	different stakeholders and	9 that they would have service so that, you know, it would
10	Q. And I understand that, you know, change is	10 be service for life and just how you would deal with a
11	inevitable, it does happen, but it also disrupts	11 price for life customer if you're going to abandon the
12	people's lives, you know. And this is a little	12 geographic area in which that person resides? So maybe
13	different than you know, I remember when I had to	13 that will be a bench request.
14	make the life changing decision whether I was going to	14 A. That's fair enough, because I don't have the
15 16	use Word Perfect or WordStar, you know, and I know this	15 information to respond. Although I want to, I just
16 17	kind of stuff comes up. But here we are talking about basically a vulnerable population that you're currently	16 don't have that information today. I look forward to 17 it.
18	serving, and we've got to figure out if we're going to	17 II. 18 COMMISSIONER DANNER: Okay. Thank you very
19	approve this, I mean, we've got we're giving you the	19 much. All right. That's all the questions I have for
20	authority that you say is unlikely, but I think in terms	20 now. I may have some in a moment.
21	of worse case scenarios, you could say, okay, we want to	21 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'll say I have quite
22	get out of this county or get out of this portion of the	22 a number of questions, but I will try to focus on that
23	county, and there's going to be these people who are	23 that can't be asked in a bench request.
24	older Americans who are going to be asked to take on a	24
25	technology that they're not familiar with. How do we	25

23 (Pages 147 to 150)

In the Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.

in the r	Natter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol. I
	Page 151		Page 153
1	EXAMINATION	1	from the bench, we will certainly do a good job of
2	BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:	2	getting you an answer.
3	Q. But first, in your in the cross-examination	3	Q. Okay. In a bench request. Thank you.
4	by Mr. O'Neill, in this question about how many road	4	And also in your responses to questions from
5	moves there are, you said you didn't know how many. But	5	Mr. O'Neill, you said you couldn't really describe the
6	in terms of that, is it the CenturyLink companies that	6	214 process because you're not a lawyer. But then in
7	could potentially put in fiber, that was a question he	7	another response, you said that there's a rigorous 214
8	had. Would it be the CenturyLink companies that are	8	process. So if you can't describe the criteria, how can
9	putting the fiber or would it be the Lumen wholesale	9	you confirm that's a rigorous process?
10	nonregulated company that it would be likely to be	10	A. Fair question.
11	putting in fiber?	11	So I've heard our federal attorneys describe
12	A. It could be both. Again in terms of that	12	how getting a 214 process or application through the
13	decision, though, how engineering and planning would	13	commission is a, you know, very kind of uphill task.
14	construct that and connect it to the various portions,	14	Q. Okay. And moving to just in general, and I
15	Commissioner Rendahl, I just don't know.	15	don't have a specific area, and so if I need to I will
16	Q. But it is possible is could be the unregulated	16	form this into a bench question.
17	entity that's putting in the fiber?	17	But in the discontinuance process, those
18	A. It's certainly a possibility.	18	four steps, there's a reference both to, you know, in
19	Q. Okay. And the question about the various steps	19	reference to a CCL customer omitting a customer or an
20	in the discontinuance process in your conversation about	20	area. And I'm confused about whether in particular if
21	Step 3, one of those options you know, the company	21	there's a dispute and that dispute is upheld about a
22	says they will make a call, it won't a robo-call, it	22	customer's availability of alternative, CenturyLink will
23	occurred to me that we've had some testimony in this	23	then consider the customer or the area a CCL?
24	proceeding about folks being out of service for quite	24	A. It could be both. So if a customer says I
25	some time and not being able to get service. If that	25	don't, we studied and we looked and we believe there was
	Page 152		Page 154
1	customer is one of those folks that has significant	1	an alternative at that location, we provided our
2	service quality issues and is not connected at the	2	information, and the council or the commission took a
3	moment because CenturyLink has not fixed their service,	3	look at it and said, you know, we don't believe it and
4	how will you make sure you contact that customer in	4	there was some ultimate decision that this customer is a
5	addition to a letter?	5	CCL, we have a couple choices. Either we can remove the
6	A. So there was the letter, there was the call,	6	entire discontinuance request at that location or just
7	and then was also the	7	remove that particular customer location and proceed
8	Q. E-mail?	8	with whatever might be left in the area.
9	A e-mail. Potential e-mail.	9	Q. Okay. So turning to the service credit portion
10	Q. But presumably if they don't have voice, they	10	of the settlement, and that would be Provision 8 of the
11	don't have e-mail, either. So how would you make sure	11	settlement. Does the statement in Subsection 12 that
12	in the event of those customers, you would actually	12	references I'm looking for it right now in lieu of
13	contact them?	13	commission penalties associated with a failure to apply
14	A. So as I've mentioned before, number one, I	14	or not apply the correct credit amount, does that
15	state the likelihood of this as very limited and	15	restrict the commission's ability to impose penalties in
16 17	unlikely; secondly, in terms of the numbers, I can't	16	this specific scenario?
17	imagine that they would be, you know, substantial. So	17	A. It's my understanding that yes, that if for
18	and in that instance, if we reached out and you're	18 19	whatever reason CenturyLink didn't apply the credits
19 20	unable to leave a voicemail, this isn't anything I've	20	accurately, that we would go back, and once that's
20	previously contemplated before, but we could, you know,	20	identified apply twice the credit for the customer in
21 22	certainly come up with possibly a fourth avenue of a	22	lieu of the commission's enforcement authority there.
22 23	technician call or something of that nature.	22	Q. So that provision actually restricts is intended to restrict the commission's enforcement
23 24	So if I could, you know because I don't have the authority to commit us to that, if, you know,	23	authority and preclude the commission from taking any
24 25	you could, you know, submit that question in a request	24	action based on those service quality issues completely.
2.5	איז געניאי, איז		action succe on those set not quality issues completely.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

24 (Pages 151 to 154)

i the r	viatter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. 01-240029 - Vol.
	Page 155		Page 157
1	It's a complete preclusion of any action the commission	1	So you said \$360 for three months. How much
2	might take about the company's the way the company is	2	would a technician cost to send out per visit, if you
3	addressing the service quality?	3	know?
4	A. I think the that component was designed to	4	A. I I don't have, like, a loaded hourly labor
5	say, you know, if I inadvertently the system does	5	rate study available to me as I sit here. But, you
6	make a mistake and somebody if, say the just for	6	know, 50 to \$100. I that's conjecture on my part.
7	the sake of easy math, the monthly rate is \$30 and they	7	
8	owe the customer \$2 worth of credit and the system has	8	Q. Okay. And then depending on the cost of
o 9		9	replacing the copper, that could be significantly more?
9 10	some kind of a malfunction and they don't get their \$2 credit, it's designed to say, okay, we regret that	10	<ul> <li>Agreed.</li> <li>Q. So there is a calculus that the company makes</li> </ul>
11		11	• •
12	happened, here's \$4 instead of the commission coming	1	in terms of not fixing a customer's service, correct, if
	back for that one particular little misstep and fining	12	we're just looking at the numbers, not the intent but
13	up to \$1,000 for that.	13	just the numbers?
14	Q. So in that case and I think you referenced	14	A. I would think there could be. I don't know
L5	in your response to Mr. O'Neill's questions that for a	15	if again, it's not there, the company wants to serve,
-6	months' worth of being out of service, that could be \$30	16	Commissioner Rendahl, so I don't know if that calculus
.7	that the company might pay for that particular customer;	17	was ever made, but could be.
18	is that correct?	18	Q. Okay. And one last question and then I will
19	A. Correct.	19	turn it to my colleague.
20	Q. So under the commission's traditional	20	There is in the provision of No. 7 of the
21	enforcement authority, we can either as you said,	21	Attachment A, the provisions of the agreement, it talks
22	there's penalty assessments for a \$100 a day	22	about: "The provision does not modify or restrict
23	A. Correct.	23	CenturyLink's ability to enter into individual contracts
24	Q or \$1,000 a day if we file a complaint. And	24	for service that specify rates other than statewide
25	so \$30 is significantly less than either \$100 or \$1,000.	25	average rates." Now I interpret that from my years of
	Page 156		Page 158
1	What incentive does that penalty provide to the company	1	having done telecom work to refer to commercial
2	to actually fix the customer's service? And we heard	2	services, when you would enter into an individual
3	testimony in the public comment hearing about customers	3	contract. Is that a correct assessment.
4	being out for months. So what is the incentive it	4	A. Yes. And that would likely be more
5	may address the enforcement issue, but what incentive	5	relationship to flat-rated business lines. But you
6	does that provide to the company to actually correct the	6	might enter into individual case basis contact for a
7	service?	7	term agreement or something of that nature.
8	A. It's in those instances, hypothetically,	8	Q. Does the company ever enter into the individual
9	let's say that, you know, I hope this would never	9	contracts with residential or 1FR customers?
. 0	happen. But a customer was out for three months, would	10	A. Not to my knowledge.
.1	be \$180 of service credit. And if the system	11	MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.
L 2	malfunctioned and they didn't get that accurately, that	12	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Thank you. And
. 3	\$180 would double to 360. That's a fairly, you know,	13	Mr. Robinson O'Neill and my fellow commissioners asked
L4	substantial financial penalty to the company for its	14	many of the questions, but I have a few general ones,
. 5	system working inaccurately. And I think that in my	15	Mr. Gose, if I might.
16	mind, that's a very good incentive for the company to	16	EXAMINATION
17	address that situation.	17	BY COMMISSIONER DOUMIT:
18	Q. So would that amount I'm comparing it to the	18	Q. So would you agree that the commission, we
19	cost of sending a technician out. Is it going to cover	19	stand in the place of the market to the extent that
20	the cost is it going to address, insense, not sending	20	there's no competition; is that right?
21	a technician out or is it going to actually insense the	21	A. I'm not hearing you.
22	cost of getting the work done on the location?	22	Q. I said: Would you concur that this commission
3	A. I can I ask you to rephrase that? I	23	stands in the place of the market in terms of regulating
24	apologize.	24	monopoly? Where there's no competition, we stand in the
25	Q. Sure.	25	place of what would be competition; is that correct?

25 (Pages 155 to 158)

r		
	Page 159	Page 161
1	A. That's correct. If I had, you know, 60 or	1 like this multiparty settlement or some other form
2	70 percent of the grid connection to the state of	2 occurs, those areas where we are have a service
3	Washington instead of less than 4, I would definitely	<sup>3</sup> obligation, we will attend to.
4	agree with that.	4 I think it's important to realize, though,
5	Q. And even if even if you in this to my	5 that several folks have mentioned it today that,
б	knowledge this settlement agreement doesn't excuse you	6 you know, the state of Washington is going to see
7	from carrier of last resort obligation in places in	7 1.75 billion dollars over the next six to ten years.
8	which you are the singular carrier where there's no	8 And whether or not that comes from, you know, incumbent
9	competition, is that your is that correct?	9 provider, it goes to new or current incumbent providers,
10	A. I believe that's in the statute or the rules,	10 new providers, boards, public utility districts, rural
11	and so I agree with you, right.	11 electric cooperatives, there it can be substantial
12	Q. So we're here in part to determine whether it's	12 opportunity for additional services and broadband and
13	in the public interest to agree with the settlement in	13 faster deployment of speeds to these rural areas the
14	terms of how it developed a process for determining	14 like of which we've never seen before. And this is, you
15	where competition exists and where it doesn't exist	15 know, perhaps a once in a lifetime, you know,
16	A. Agreed.	16 opportunity of that amount of capital infusion into the
17	Q is that right? Okay.	17 state.
18	So when public counsel's question, you	18Q. Well I hope that occurs, because as I'm sitting
19	testified on page 25 of your settlement testimony in	19 here, you know, Commissioner Rendahl went through a very
20	relation to you can go there if you'd like. And I'll	20 high level cost benefit exercise into the credits versus
21	paraphrase your what I think you said in response to	21 money spent, \$360 doesn't impress me as a penalty that
22	the question. Okay. Essentially that, look, if it	could sort of push the company to spend a great deal
23	doesn't make capital sense for us we don't have our	23 more than that in terms of the capital that it needs to
24	urban base to subsidize, you know, ourselves in these,	24 spend in these areas. That's kind of what that was
25	you know, rural areas necessarily, so we it doesn't	25 comment.
	David 160	De 14 160
	Page 160	Page 162
1	make economic sense to spend capital to sort of, you	1 The other thing I am concerned about
2	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of	2 Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and
2 3	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who	<ol> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> </ol>
2 3 4	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist,	<ol> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right? A. Correct.	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are uncertain, we have repair obligations, we'll spend what	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are uncertain, we have repair obligations, we'll spend what we need to spend to take care of those customers?	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> <li>do everything we can possibly do to ensure that they are</li> <li>notified of their rights because you might be wrong in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are uncertain, we have repair obligations, we'll spend what we need to spend to take care of those customers?</li> <li>A. So the company has a compact with the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> <li>do everything we can possibly do to ensure that they are</li> <li>notified of their rights because you might be wrong in</li> <li>the case. Do you concur with that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are uncertain, we have repair obligations, we'll spend what we need to spend to take care of those customers? A. So the company has a compact with the commission that, you know, we're certificated to serve	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> <li>do everything we can possibly do to ensure that they are</li> <li>notified of their rights because you might be wrong in</li> <li>the case. Do you concur with that?</li> <li>A. To some extent. I certainly see your point,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>know, enhance those few customers. But in the case of what we just determined that where a few customers who don't otherwise have a competitive proposition exist, you will have to spend the capital. I think you testified if those are the if we're the only game in town those are my words then yes, we'll do what we have to do; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will you do that? Will the company do that? You don't you now have fewer customers in those rural area, you'll have potentially disconnected those who are you know have the opportunity for competition, you don't as we said have the urban base. Won't there be an irresistible sort of pull for those making those decisions to say, look, there are only a few people here, that's just not cost beneficial for us to spend what we need to spend in these areas. Should we be concerned about that, or is this just, no, there if there are a few customers left who are uncertain, we have repair obligations, we'll spend what we need to spend to take care of those customers?</li> <li>A. So the company has a compact with the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioner Danner brought this up as well and</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl is for those the customers</li> <li>who for whom the decision is made to discontinue,</li> <li>they'll be notified. What if they don't essentially</li> <li>appeal? Then they're out of due process in that case;</li> <li>right? We have to do, I would say, everything we can to</li> <li>ensure that that process can take hold, not just a</li> <li>letter or a call in the case they don't have service or</li> <li>e-mail or a tech, you know, call, but I, you know,</li> <li>everything, I would say. And would you concur with</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure if I followed the question.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. The question is the question is: Do</li> <li>you agree that we we shouldn't leave any stone</li> <li>unturned when it comes to these customers who under the</li> <li>agreement will make the last determination of whether to</li> <li>appeal the decision, your decision, whether they have a</li> <li>competitor, okay. So if they don't appeal, then it's</li> <li>they're out of business, it's game over. So we have to</li> <li>do everything we can possibly do to ensure that they are</li> <li>notified of their rights because you might be wrong in</li> <li>the case. Do you concur with that?</li> </ul>

26 (Pages 159 to 162)

	Page 163	Pa	ge 165
1	Q. To what extent don't you agree?	1 decisions are made that are affecting investmen	
2	A. That the customers kind of out of luck.	2 various subsidiaries that are parties to this	is by the
3	You know, when they have, you know,	3 proceeding?	
4	telephone calls, e-mails, letters sent to them, possibly	4 A. Correct.	
5	some item that we haven't contemplated yet, some of the	5 Q. Okay. And one of the provisions that you	have
6	fair questions. You know, I haven't heard from you, I	<ul> <li>here would take away our authority to review or</li> </ul>	
7	dispatch a technician. I think, you know, in many	7 mergers if the company were to decide to sell o	
8	locations, if gas or water service is going to be	8 with another company, sale of the company. An	•
9	terminated for, say, nonpayment or something of that	<ul> <li>9 know we have in the past found we have disar</li> </ul>	•
10	nature, they have the technical come and ring the	10 mergers because we have found that the buying	•
11	doorbell and say, hey, we're sorry, you know, we need	11 purchasing company wasn't fit, willing, and able	
12	payment today or we're unfortunately going to have to	12 whatever reason, the financials, or they were un	
13	turn your meter off or shut your water down.	13 control of a separate government agency or son	
14	So a kind of a, for lack of a better	14 like that. Why should we approve a provision th	-
15	term, welfare check to the customer if we haven't heard	15 not allow us to review mergers? I'm just wonde	
16	from them, that's something that can certainly be taken	16 your thinking is there.	U
17	under advisement.	17 A. I think several things. Number one, as this	;
18	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. Thanks.	18 AFOR is constructed, it's a very fair balance and	
19	No nothing further from me. Thank you, Your Honor.	19 compromise of all decisions here. Number two,	
20	COMMISSIONER DANNER: I have a few more,	20 CenturyLink is far from a monopoly service provid	der that
21	Mr. Gose. Thank you very much.	21 may have been, you know, post divestiture. Whe	en I had,
22	REEXAMINATION	22 you know, close to three million subscribers 20-s	ome
23	BY MR. DANNER:	23 years ago and today I have only 300,000 out of f	ve or
24	Q. So if we approve this settlement agreement,	24 six million voice grade connections in the state of	
25	there's going to we're providing you with a degree of	25 Washington, that likelihood is or necessity to h	ave
1 2	regulatory stability over the next five years. Are	1 authority over the company for those actions is ver	
3 4	these settlement terms such as the stay-out period market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do	<ul> <li>asymmetric. In terms of that authority, if any other</li> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> </ul>	Эу
4 5	market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> </ul>	Эу
4 5 6	market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> </ul>	ey y.
4 5 6 7	market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> </ul>	ey y.
4 5 6 7 8	market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that? A. In terms I really don't see the incentives	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> </ul>	∋y y. <b>re I</b>
4 5 6 7 8 9	market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that? A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>/e l</b>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>re I</b> nopoly
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>ve I</b> nopoly s a
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> </ul>	ey y. re I nopoly s a are if
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market share</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>re I</b> nopoly s a are if ww,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market share</li> </ul>	ey y. nopoly s a are if w, 're
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>l've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market sha</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> </ul>	ey y. nopoly sa are if w, re do l
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>l've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shall</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> </ul>	ey y. nopoly sa are if w, re do l
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market sha</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> </ul>	ey y. nopoly sa are if w, re do l
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market sha</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> </ul>	ey y. nopoly s a are if w, re do l iments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mo</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market sha</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> <li>alternatives is very few relative to the population. It</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>/e I</b> nopoly s a are if w, ire do I iments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>l've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the monoprovider, you know. Even though for the state and</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shaded whole, you have a smaller slice of the state a slice slice slice shaded whole, you have a smaller slice slic</li></ul>	ey y. <b>/e I</b> nopoly s a are if w, fre do I iments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here. We've got all of the CenturyLink companies and then we have the umbrella of Lumen. Where is that decision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mon</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state and</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shad</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> <li>alternatives is very few relative to the population. If</li> <li>we've got a population in the state that's between state</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>/e I</b> nopoly s a are if w, fre do I iments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here. We've got all of the CenturyLink companies and then we have the umbrella of Lumen. Where is that decision being made? Is it at the Lumen level?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mon</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shat</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> <li>alternatives is very few relative to the population. If</li> <li>we've got a population in the state that's between state</li> <li>and seven million and I have 1,200 or so locations</li> <li>fall under that bucket, that's pretty small. And if</li> </ul>	ey y. <b>/e I</b> nopoly s a are if w, fre do I iments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here. We've got all of the CenturyLink companies and then we have the umbrella of Lumen. Where is that decision being made? Is it at the Lumen level?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mon</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state and</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shat</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> <li>alternatives is very few relative to the population. If</li> <li>we've got a population in the state that's between state</li> <li>and seven million and I have 1,200 or so locations</li> <li>fall under that bucket, that's pretty small. And if</li> <li>we're going to use that as the basis to look at, you</li> </ul>	ey y. /e I nopoly s a are if ww, /re do I ments on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>market base pricing, what effect are those going to have on the incentive to replace aging infrastructure? Do you see it as an incentive or a disincentive? You won't have the pressure from the regulator. So what how do you see that?</li> <li>A. In terms I really don't see the incentives being that different than they are under the current AFOR today. Basically, with a few exceptions, the AFOR that we're agreeing to in the multiparty settlement largely is the same with a few exceptions.</li> <li>Q. So you see no impact, your decisions are going to be basically business decisions, then, regardless?</li> <li>A. I would probably agree with that.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. In your testimony, some of the answers you gave to questions, you talked about having to you know, you couldn't commit, you would have to check with the company. When we're talking about "the company," is that within I mean, we've got several companies here. We've got all of the CenturyLink companies and then we have the umbrella of Lumen. Where is that decision being made? Is it at the Lumen level?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>similarly situated carrier, small carrier in the state</li> <li>of Washington, do to answer the question, do the</li> <li>operate under that same level of regulatory scrutin</li> <li>Q. Yeah. I mean, what I'm struggling with is,</li> <li>I've read, you know, Dr. Weisman's testimony, I've</li> <li>certainly am familiar with antitrust and market</li> <li>economics and the so forth, but this is a little bit</li> <li>different because for individuals, you are the mon</li> <li>provider, you know. Even though for the state a</li> <li>whole, you have a smaller slice of the market shat</li> <li>we look at the state as a single unit, but, you know</li> <li>for a particular citizen on a particular street, you</li> <li>the only game in town. And so, you know, how of</li> <li>balance that, you know? I love to here your com</li> <li>that if you have any to share.</li> <li>A. The only things that really come to mind is,</li> <li>that citizen who has virtually no or very few other</li> <li>alternatives is very few relative to the population. If</li> <li>we've got a population in the state that's between state</li> <li>and seven million and I have 1,200 or so locations</li> <li>fall under that bucket, that's pretty small. And if</li> </ul>	ey y. /e I nopoly s a are if ww, /re do I ments on

	Page 167		Dogo 160
			Page 169
1	that it has no market power, by and large. I hear loud	1	quickly I can move through it as an estimate. I had
2	and clear what you're saying with respect to some	2	originally estimated about 15 minutes, so that's kind of
3	certain rural pockets of the state.	3	the scope.
4	Q. Yeah. I mean, I just I worry about	4	JUDGE HOWARD: Would the parties have any
5	individuals who have are dealing with long wait times	5	concerns with taking Webber before lunch and then
6	when they're trying to get service dealt with, those	6	changing the witness order? All right. All right.
7	kinds of things, you know, do we want you know,	7	Hearing no objections, let's take our next witness out
8	should we have the authority to look and see that the	8	of order. We're going to call James Webber.
9 10	purchase company or the acquiring company is going to	9 10	Mr. Webber, are you on the call? MR. WEBBER: Yes, I am, Your Honor.
11	have the commitment to service quality so that we don't get burned. And so it is, it might affect 1,000	11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And can you hear
12	customers as opposed to 120,000 customers, but for those	12	and see me all right?
13	customers, it's a pretty important decision. So I'm	13	MR. WEBBER: I can. Thank you.
14	just trying to figure out in my own head how to balance	14	JUDGE HOWARD: Great. If you'd please raise
15	that.	15	your right hand, I will swear you in.
16	A. I completely hear what you're saying. I	16	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
17	recently with my CRS carrier, I had an occasion, I	17	you will give today is the truth, the whole truth, and
18	needed to get in touch with their customer care	18	nothing but the truth?
19	department. I was on hold for 45 minutes until that	19	THE WITNESS: I do.
20	occurred. Vexing? Absolutely. But what if that	20	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Please introduce
21	carrier were to merge with another, the commission's,	21	the witness and tender them for cross. I'd ask staff to
22	you know, oversight over that is certainly not what it	22	do that.
23	would be with us as a, you know, regulated carrier but	23	MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. Would you
24	one that's certainly no longer a monopoly.	24	please state your name and spell your last name for the
25	COMMISSIONER DANNER: Now again, not a	25	record.
	Page 168		Page 170
1		1	
1 2	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is	1	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber,
1 2 3	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice		THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r.
2	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is	2	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor
2 3	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I	2 3	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r.
2 3 4	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding?
2 3 4 5	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the
2 3 4 5 6 7	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction. And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction. And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction. And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction. And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you. Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly efficient use of the day. I would turn to Mr. O'Neill.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant population in Washington that remains or that relies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly efficient use of the day. I would turn to Mr. O'Neill. Mr. O'Neill, do you plan to ask questions to cross</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: <b>Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad</b> Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant population in Washington that remains or that relies solely or primarily on landlines for their voice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly efficient use of the day. I would turn to Mr. O'Neill. Mr. O'Neill, do you plan to ask questions to cross James Webber at this point today? I mean</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant population in Washington that remains or that relies solely or primarily on landlines for their voice communication needs; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction. And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you. Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly efficient use of the day. I would turn to Mr. O'Neill. Mr. O'Neill, do you plan to ask questions to cross James Webber at this point today? I mean MR. O'NEILL: Yeah, I do have a line of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant population in Washington that remains or that relies solely or primarily on landlines for their voice communication needs; is that correct? A. I recall that general topic. Would you mind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>monopoly statewide, but a monopoly on Elm Street is there's I'm trying to figure out how I slice and dice this. So all right thank you very much. That's all I have.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have a number of questions, but I think they can be turned into bench questions in the interest of time.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Any further questions from the bench? All right. Thank you, Mr. Gose, for your testimony. And I will note that under commission rules, testimony subject to check as you had indicated earlier, I believe there's five business days to submit a letter if there is a correction.</li> <li>And counsel for the company, will Mr. Gose be present the remainder of the afternoon? All right. Thank you.</li> <li>Thank you for your testimony at this time, though I'd ask that you remain available just in case. So we we are at 11:47. I want to make fairly efficient use of the day. I would turn to Mr. O'Neill. Mr. O'Neill, do you plan to ask questions to cross James Webber at this point today? I mean</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: My name is James D. Webber, that's spelled W-e-b-b-e-r. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits JW JDW-1T through JDW-19 in this proceeding? THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked in your testimony today, would your answers be the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they would. MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Webber is available for cross. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Public counsel, please proceed. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL: Q. Mr. Webber, it's nice to meet you. I'm Tad Robinson O'Neill. I'm assistant attorney general with public counsel. I have a few questions. In your original testimony filed back in April, you testified that there is a significant population in Washington that remains or that relies solely or primarily on landlines for their voice communication needs; is that correct?

28 (Pages 167 to 170)

	Page 171	Page	173
1	Q. Page 15 of your testimony and that's the	1 copper-based services and broadband providers who of	ten
2	numbers in the lower right corner lines 9 through 12.	2 do not provide voice services along with their basic	
3	A. Yes, I see that. Thank you.	<ul> <li>offerings without additional charges unless required by</li> </ul>	
4	Q. Do you stand by that testimony today that there	4 state or federal support programs." Did I read that	
5	is a significant number of Washingtonians that rely	5 correctly?	
6	solely or primarily on landlines?	6 A. I believe you did. It looks like you're	
7	A. The testimony as written, I stand by that as	<ul> <li>reading from about lines 5 through 10.</li> </ul>	
8	being accurate. Though I will note in the conversation	8 Q. Do you stand by your testimony that the 55.13	
9	you had with Mr. Gose, there there are updated data	9 dollar amount is a conservative estimate for	
10	as to the 2.3 percent figure which is now slightly	10 affordability?	
11	lower, I think it's 1.9. So the math would carry	11 A. Yeah. As I stated in my testimony when we're	
12	through in follow-up.	12 looking at voice service in particular, it is a	
13	Q. And it's true that this population of not	13 relatively conservative figure. When you take a look at	
14	from that data but generally from data, that people who	14 the broadband flip side of that, FCC noticed that number	
15	are older tend to people who relied on landlines tend	15 is about \$88. And so considering those two and where	
16	to be older. Is that correct?	16 the state is as a general matter, the 55.13 was pretty	
17	A. I do address the issue as to age in my	17 conservative. And my point in stating that it's	
18	testimony and as it's written, I stand by that. But as	18 conservative is that it for purposes of making	
19	a general premise, it appears that the literature shows	19 decisions in a cutpoint, if you will, as to what's	
20	preferences for older people are to retain landlines	affordable and not, it's deemed reasonable to put the	
21	versus switching completely to wireless. It's a higher	company in the spot to look at that figure or a number	
22	fraction of folks as they become older that have that	that might be a little bit higher or lower.	
23	preference to retain their landlines.	23 Q. And if you do you have access to what's been	
24	Q. And it's also true separately that rural	24 marked as Exhibit SB 31X?	
25	populations tend to have a high reliance on landlines	A. I don't know, to be quite honest.	
	Page 172	Page	174
1	Page 172 for their voice commission needs; correct?		174
1 2			174
	for their voice commission needs; correct?	1 Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that	174
2	for their voice commission needs; correct? A. I also address that in my testimony, and I	1Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that255.13 number from the FCC.	
2 3	for their voice commission needs; correct? A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> </ol>	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I</li> <li>believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal</li> <li>component, the tribal populations, again, it's a</li> <li>tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> </ol>	to
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I</li> <li>believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal</li> <li>component, the tribal populations, again, it's a</li> <li>tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on</li> <li>landlines?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> </ol>	to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> </ol>	to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is the</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> </ul>	to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> </ul>	to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> </ul>	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report</li> </ul>	1Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that255.13 number from the FCC.3A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I4don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared5testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?6Q. I will share screen.7A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.8MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th9the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?10MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at11JDW 5. You may have that.12MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your</li> <li>testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many</li> </ul>	1Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that255.13 number from the FCC.3A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I4don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared5testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?6Q. I will share screen.7A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.8MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th9the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?10MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at11JDW 5. You may have that.12MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.13MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I</li> <li>believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal</li> <li>component, the tribal populations, again, it's a</li> <li>tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on</li> <li>landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I</li> <li>can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your</li> <li>testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report</li> <li>and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many</li> <li>low income customers which you quote as the "persistent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> </ul>	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I</li> <li>believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal</li> <li>component, the tribal populations, again, it's a</li> <li>tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on</li> <li>landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I</li> <li>can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your</li> <li>testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report</li> <li>and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many</li> <li>low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced</li> </ul>	1Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that255.13 number from the FCC.3A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I4don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared5testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?6Q. I will share screen.7A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.8MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th9the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?10MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at11JDW 5. You may have that.12MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.13MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of14doing it. Thank you.15THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent</li> </ul>	1Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that255.13 number from the FCC.3A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I4don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared5testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?6Q. I will share screen.7A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.8MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is the9the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?10MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at11JDW 5. You may have that.12MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.13MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of14doing it. Thank you.15THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.16BY MR. O'NEILL:	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> </ul>	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	to nat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes. You characterized that portion of the testimony accurately.</li> <li>Q. And in the attached if you go to page 32 of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> </ul>	to nat of <b>on</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes. You characterized that portion of the testimony accurately.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> <li>you see there under Voice Rates, it says: "Based of</li> </ul>	to nat of <b>on</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes. You characterized that portion of the testimony accurately.</li> <li>Q. And in the attached if you go to page 32 of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> <li>you see there under Voice Rates, it says: "Based of</li> </ul>	to nat of <b>on</b> te is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes. You characterized that portion of the testimony accurately.</li> <li>Q. And in the attached if you go to page 32 of your testimony, line 12, you testify: "The 55.13 amount</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is th</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> <li>you see there under Voice Rates, it says: "Based of</li> <li>survey results, the 2024 urban average monthly rat</li> <li>\$34.27." Do you see that?</li> </ul>	to nat of <b>on</b> <b>te is</b> e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>for their voice commission needs; correct?</li> <li>A. I also address that in my testimony, and I believe it's accurately stated there.</li> <li>Q. And it's also true that there is a tribal component, the tribal populations, again, it's a tendency tend to have a slightly higher reliance on landlines?</li> <li>A. Actually, I don't know that to be true. I can't comment one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Fair.</li> <li>On if you turn to page 23 of your</li> <li>testimony, at line 7 you talk about marketplace report and associated FCC orders. And shows it that for many low income customers which you quote as the "persistent minority: "Services involving bundles, enhanced functionality, etcetera, are not functionally equivalent or readily available at competitive rates." Did I read that portion correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes. You characterized that portion of the testimony accurately.</li> <li>Q. And in the attached if you go to page 32 of your testimony, line 12, you testify: "The 55.13 amount should be considered even more conservative as a measure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q. It's the public notice that you cite for that</li> <li>55.13 number from the FCC.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I recall the document, but frankly I</li> <li>don't see it in the subdirectory that I have prepared</li> <li>testify today. Perhaps you could show it to me?</li> <li>Q. I will share screen.</li> <li>A. Yeah, exactly. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Robinson O'Neill, is the</li> <li>the same as his Exhibit JDW 5?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: It is. It's the same at</li> <li>JDW 5. You may have that.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: That would be a good way of</li> <li>doing it. Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.</li> <li>BY MR. O'NEILL:</li> <li>Q. Do you have that up in front of you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. If you look on the first page, do</li> <li>you see there under Voice Rates, it says: "Based of</li> <li>survey results, the 2024 urban average monthly rat</li> <li>\$34.27." Do you see that?</li> </ul>	to nat of <b>on</b> <b>te is</b> e

	Page 175		Page 177
1	Q. And we've heard testimony that, in fact,	1	where their actual like what their actual budgets
2	CenturyLink's charge for voice services is around that	2	are? Or we don't know that, right, that's unknown?
3	\$35 here in Washington as well; correct? Well, I don't	3	A. That's not data that I have. That's about the
4	know if you were on the line, but did you hear that	4	best I can do to answer your question.
5	testimony?	5	Q. Right. We probably want to have an
6	A. Let me see if I can answer your question	6	investigation into those individual people, maybe a
7	directly.	7	proceeding about it in order to determine that; right?
8	I recall the CenturyLink figures being in	8	A. I I don't have an answer to the method by
9	the upper 30s, roughly 38, \$39 for residential, and then	9	which you would want to get that information.
10	single line businesses is going to be in the \$50-ish	10	Q. All right. I have one more line of questions.
11	neighborhood. I don't recall the numbers off of the top	11	Now I want to shift focus to your analysis of the
12	of my head, but that ballpark.	12	CenturyLink company's when it comes to service quality.
13	Q. So this settlement at the if approved at	13	A. Sure.
14	55.13 for affordability would be almost double what the	14	Q. And I want to be clear here: I don't want you
15	current rates are for voice only service?	15	to talk about any numbers because a lot of the numbers
16	A. I'm sorry, I don't think I follow your	16	that you cite are confidential. I'm really kind of
17	question.	17	talking about generalities. And if an answer requires
18	Q. Well, if we were to adopt a 55.13 affordability	18	you to look at numbers or answer with numbers, please
19	and the actual price that's being paid now, we're in the	19	let me know and I'll stop you there and see if I can
20	30s, it's not quite double but it's a significant	20	work my way around having to share anything that's been
21	increase in the cost that a consumer would have to pay	21	designated confidential. Is that fair?
22	in order to receive voice service under the terms of the	22	A. It is. And thank you for the reminder.
23	settlement; correct?	23	Q. Okay. You would agree with me that your review
24	A. So I make sure that I understand the scenario	24	of trouble ticket data of the companies that are in
25	that you're looking at.	25	front of the commission here is demonstrates a
	Page 176		Page 178
			rage 170
1	The test as I understand it is whether a	1	pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?
1 2	The test as I understand it is whether a consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's	1 2	
			<b>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</b> A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.
2	consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement	2	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as</li> </ul>
2 3	consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement <b>Q. Correct.</b>	2 3	<b>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</b> A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.
2 3 4	consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement	2 3 4	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of</li> </ul></li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the</li> <li>CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> </ul></li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the</li> <li>CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And</li> <li>obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do understand that customers make choices on affordability</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do understand that customers make choices on affordability and also the product set that they prefer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do understand that customers make choices on affordability and also the product set that they prefer.</li> <li>Q. And do you or do you know whether staff has</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And your assessment of the trouble tickets is that the cause of this increase is declining or deteriorating physical plants; in other words, their</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do understand that customers make choices on affordability and also the product set that they prefer.</li> <li>Q. And do you or do you know whether staff has conducted any analysis of the individuals the 1,200</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And your assessment of the trouble tickets is that the cause of this increase is declining or deteriorating physical plants; in other words, their physical infrastructure is getting, old and it's</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>consumer can obtain a service, if you will, whether it's bundled or not under the price structure that's in the agreement</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and there may be in many instances prices that are lower than the threshold. So I can't answer your question specifically without knowing what the number is for each individual customer. That's just not data that I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But it will be, at least from the CenturyLink numbers, mid, like 1230s, it's going to be a price increase for affordability considerations; right?</li> <li>A. For the consideration as to whether it's affordable, that's true.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you indicated that there are consumers who make that choice for \$35 for voice only or \$39 for voice only because that's all they can afford; right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall phrasing it that way, but I do understand that customers make choices on affordability and also the product set that they prefer.</li> <li>Q. And do you or do you know whether staff has</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>pattern of increasing service quality problems; correct? <ul> <li>A. As a general matter, yes, it does. And obviously as written in testimony.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. In fact, it's a fairly significant increase, as much as a fivefold increase for some of those companies; correct? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall the exact numbers. But again, I was seeing trouble ticket activity increase.</li> <li>Q. And in addition to the number of overall complaints, you were able to identify categories of complaints where an individual made more than one complaint, as many as five complaints in the same in the time period that you were looking at; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. And in fact, that's listed at page 49 of my testimony in Table II. There are groups of customers</li> <li>Q. Which is confidential; right? We won't get into numbers.</li> <li>A. Understand. I'm just pointing to where it's located in the testimony.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And your assessment of the trouble tickets is that the cause of this increase is declining or deteriorating physical plants; in other words, their</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

30 (Pages 175 to 178)

	D 100	_	1 0 1
	Page 179	Page	
1	A. I wouldn't characterize the testimony exactly	1 company an opportunity to A., you know, the expense; B	3.,
2	in that manner. What I what I did notice is that a	2 to have an indication as to where the network is failing	
3	large percentage of the trouble tickets all come back to	<sup>3</sup> to provide the appropriate service. It also gives staff	
4	a particular trouble code, and that code is for	4 in the public counsel a base of data on which they can	
5	deteriorated plants. And in many cases, what happens in	5 draw analyses, and if action needs to be taken, they	
6	that circumstance is the line is "cut to clear." That	6 could go to the commission and ask for corrective	
7	is, at a point in the network, one cable is swapped out	7 measures.	
8	for another to continue the path out to the customer	8 Q. But as we sit here today, there's no way for us	
9	location.	9 to know what effects such an incentive will have in th	e
10	Q. In your opinion, are these service qualities	10 future. I mean, we're kind of speculating based off of	
11	going to ameliorate going into the future, or are they	11 what a logical company would do; right?	
12	likely to get worse?	12 A. Well, I wouldn't say we're speculating. I	
13	A. I don't know. I mean, I don't have a crystal	13 mean, we've talked about the fact that there will be	
14	ball. I don't know of anything that would necessarily	14 penalties or, you know, financial incentives, and a line	
15	cause the trend to change except that I'll note in the	15 item is going to appear on somebody's budget at the	
16	agreement, as I understand it, the service credit	16 company as an expense. My general experience shows	that
17	mechanism acts as a penalty to the company where its	17 when expenses start to creep up into an area,	
18	service quality leaves customers out of service for a	18 management-type situation, they want to maintain their	
19	period of time and that expense line, if you will, on a	19 budgets and if they're expending monies, they have the	
20	budget internal to somebody in the company I expect is	20 incentive to stop that.	
21	going to cause investigation and provide data available	21 It will also provide for the data that the	
22	to the company to isolate those circumstances where	22 company can see internally and hopefully provide	
23	problems are recurring and they're making payments. And	direction, if you will, as to whether efforts ought to	
24	it's by hope that it actually provides incentives to	24 be focused. And like I said, it should give the staff	
25	replace cables where most needed to resolve those	and the PC an opportunity to look at data and provide	
	Page 180	Page	182
1	issues.	1 additional oversight if necessary. So I wouldn't say	
2	Q. Incentives beyond a fivefold increase in the	2 it's speculative. You've got these forces, if you will,	
3	number of complaints over the course of a few years?	3 that will be working towards the benefit of the	
4	A. I'm sorry, I don't quite understand the	4 customer.	
5	question.	5 MR. O'NEILL: All right. I have no more	
6	Q. Well, wouldn't the increase a fivefold	6 questions. Thank you.	
7	increase in complaints over a course of a few years be	7 THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
-	incentive for the company to investigate and determine		
8	incentive for the company to investigate and determine	8 JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect from staff.	
8 9	why it is that they're unable to serve these	9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire	ct if
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ct if
9	why it is that they're unable to serve these	9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire	ct if
9 10	why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?	9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire 10 that's	ct if
9 10 11	why it is that they're unable to serve these populations? A. I again, I just I don't I think	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these</li> <li>populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think</li> <li>understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to</li> <li>determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these</li> <li>populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think</li> <li>understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to</li> <li>determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so</li> <li>will be sufficient to result in service quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>15 Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>15 Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>16 A. Good afternoon.</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redirection</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>15 Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>16 A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>17 Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>15 Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>16 A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>17 Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> <li>18 price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> </ul>	ct if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9 MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redires</li> <li>10 that's</li> <li>11 JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>12 MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>14 BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>15 Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>16 A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>17 Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> <li>18 price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>19 A. I do.</li> </ul>	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redirer</li> <li>that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>G. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> <li>price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urban</li> </ul>	an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use that number. If credits were provided there based on</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li><b>Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</b></li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</b></li> <li>price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li><b>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urbout monthly average and CenturyLink's prices. Those</b></li> </ul>	an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use that number. If credits were provided there based on the average number of hours, that would be significant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>G. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> <li>price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urbor monthly average and CenturyLink's prices. Those</li> <li>prices; correct?</li> </ul>	an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use that number. If credits were provided there based on the average number of hours, that would be significant dollar impact to the company. And it's my belief that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redired that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>G. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urbat monthly average and CenturyLink's prices. Those prices; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use that number. If credits were provided there based on the average number of hours, that would be significant dollar impact to the company. And it's my belief that that now becoming an explicit expense line with data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redire</li> <li>that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the</li> <li>price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urbate</li> <li>monthly average and CenturyLink's prices. Those</li> <li>prices; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. They're not an affordability benchmark?</li> </ul>	an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>why it is that they're unable to serve these populations?</li> <li>A. I again, I just I don't I think understand the question.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you or have you done any work to determine whether the incentive of \$360 a month or so will be sufficient to result in service quality improvements?</li> <li>A. Not quite sure I track your question. But when I look at the number of trouble tickets that are presented in Table II, there are well, in the third column of Table II there's a total number. I won't use that number. If credits were provided there based on the average number of hours, that would be significant dollar impact to the company. And it's my belief that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: I have some brief redired that's</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Please go ahead.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Okay.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>G. So good afternoon, Mr. Webber.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall being asked about kind of the price and affordability of CenturyLink's services?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Robinson O'Neill brought up the urbat monthly average and CenturyLink's prices. Those prices; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	an

31 (Pages 179 to 182)

	Page 183		Page 185
1	Q. And the FCC calculates that through averaging	1	BY MR. ROBERSON:
2	urban prices and then making two standard deviations	2	Q. Mr. Webber, thank you for your forbearance.
3	about that; correct?	3	So would you explain your understanding of
4	A. Yes, that's correct. And I believe that's	4	Section 8-D of the settlement agreement.
5	outlined in that order or notice in JDW 5.	5	A. Yes. As a former commissioned staffer and a
6	Q. And that's the 55.13 number; correct?	6	long time industry contract user I'm not an
7	A. That is correct.	7	attorney but my review of that section was that it
8	Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Robinson O'Neill	8	related to the credit mechanism that was created by the
9	asking you about CenturyLink's incentives?	9	agreement and nothing else. Whatever powers the
10	A. Yes.	10	commission or the PC have to pursue service related
11	Q. And as you point out, the settlement contains	11	matters would not be impacted by the settlement
12	terms providing for an automatic credit; correct?	12	agreement, at least as I read it.
13	A. That is correct.	13	Q. And the settlement agreement provides for staff
14	Q. Is that the sum total of CenturyLink's	14	or for public counsel to access the trouble tickets at
15	incentive?	15	any time; correct?
16	A. No.	16	A. It does.
17	Q. So staff or public counsel could complain	17	Q. Does staff or public counsel have access to the
18	against the company for violation of the commission	18	trouble tickets kept by the company?
19	service quality rules; correct?	19	A. That's that's my understanding, yes.
20	A. It could. And I would note that the process	20	MR. ROBERSON: I probably should stop before
21	that's established in the agreement will provide a base	21	l get into further trouble, so
22	of data that both staff and/or the PC could review and	22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Do we
23	have a good sense as to what's happening.	23	have any questions form the bench for this witness?
24	Q. And so that would be penalties under	24	
25	commission's standard authority; correct?	25	
		1	
	Page 184		Page 186
1	Page 184 A. That's correct.	1	Page 186 EXAMINATION
1 2		1 2	
	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> </ul>	1	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> </ul>	2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect"</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the most persuasive for our own witnesses.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas. COMMISSIONER DANNER: Yeah. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the most persuasive for our own witnesses.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Fair enough. I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas. COMMISSIONER DANNER: Yeah. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the most persuasive for our own witnesses.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Fair enough. I don't supposed you're going to go let me treat him as hostile.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas. COMMISSIONER DANNER: Yeah. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DANNER: No further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the most persuasive for our own witnesses.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Fair enough. I don't supposed you're going to go let me treat him as hostile. JUDGE HOWARD: Not yet.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: 9. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas. COMMISSIONER DANNER: Yeah. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DANNER: No further questions. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. With
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you on sorry.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying that's my understanding, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were you on earlier, did you hear</li> <li>Commissioner Rendahl's questions about the preclusive effect of that term in the settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar enough with the settlement to answer the question, I guess, is the first question?</li> <li>A. I believe I am. I've read through it a couple times.</li> <li>Q. And so that term, "the preclusive effect" its preclusive effect just applies to the calculation and application of the penalty provision, right, it doesn't grant the commission from enforcing any of its other rules or service quality rules?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Roberson, could I just jump in. I know would isn't an objection, but I just wanted to remind you that open-ended questions are the most persuasive for our own witnesses.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: Fair enough. I don't supposed you're going to go let me treat him as hostile.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DANNER: Q. So I just want to ask: When we're talking about these numbers like \$33 a month and so forth, this company is currently under a restriction with regard to the deaveraging of prices. So the prices that are currently set are not based on cost in a particular area, they are basically based on competitive pressures that the company might be facing in its in its urban area and so forth; is that correct? A. Yes, that's the way I see it. So let's talk about Seattle, for example. Greater competition, greater force on prices move prices down. But with averaging across the entire state, the rural areas are benefitting from that competition. Although they may not see the competitors immediately in their backyard, they're as many, they're benefitting from the hidden pressure in the larger metro areas. COMMISSIONER DANNER: Yeah. Okay. That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DANNER: No further questions.

32 (Pages 183 to 186)

	Page 187		Page 189
1	testimony and you are excused from the remainder of the	1	THE WITNESS: They will be.
2	hearing.	2	MR. ROBERSON: Mr. Bennett is available for
3	MR. WEBBER: Thank you.	3	Cross.
4	JUDGE HOWARD: We will move to our lunch	4	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Mr. O'Neill, you
5	break. We'll plan, I believe, on we'll take about a	5	may proceed.
6	45-minute lunch break and reconvene at 1:00. And just	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	looking at the remaining witnesses and the time	7	BY MR. O'NEILL:
8	estimates, we have our two witnesses will pick up	8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bennett.
9	with staff witness Bennett after lunch. And just	9	A. Good afternoon, Mr. O'Neill.
10	looking at the total time remaining, it does appear that	10	Q. Would you agree with me that the market is
11	we will be fine in terms of completing the hearing	11	insufficient to provide reliable telecom service, at
12	today, but I just would ask that all the parties be	12	least broadband telecom service to rural areas in
13	mindful of trying to adhere to their cross time	13	Washington?
14	estimates, and we'll move through this expeditiously so	14	A. In some rural areas, yes.
15	we don't push the end of the hearing later today or have	15	Q. And I think you testified one example of this
16	to worry about trying to find time on the commissioners'	16	is that the federal government is planning to spend
17	schedules so we can conclude on time today.	17	almost two billion dollars in Washington to expand
18	So with that, let's go off the record and	18	broadband service to rural areas; is that correct?
19	we'll reconvene at one. We're off the record.	19	A. I don't know that the two million but, yes,
20	(A lunch break was taken from 12:13 to 1:03 p.m.)	20	there are a number of programs both underway and very
21	JUDGE HOWARD: Back on the record. It's	21	well soon underway that will promote broadband
22	1:03 p.m. we're going to be resuming the	22	availability in rural areas.
23 24	cross-examination of witnesses with our staff witness,	23 24	Q. And federal funding and grants were part of the
24 25	Sean Bennett. Mr. Bennett, are you on the line. MR. BENNETT: I am. Good afternoon, Your	24	reason or part of the way in which telephone wires were initially extended out to rural areas; is that right?
20	WR. BENNETT. Tam. Good anemoon, four	25	
	Dama 100		
	Page 188		Page 190
1	Honor.	1	Page 190 A. It is.
1 2		1	
	Honor.		<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that</li> </ul>
2	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll	2	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services</li> </ul>
2 3	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	2 3	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>Chere is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits SB-1T through SB-2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits SB-1T through SB-2018. THE WITNESS: I did and do, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> <li>A. We do. There's broadband availability data, mobile availability data, American community survey data</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits SB-1T through SB-2018. THE WITNESS: I did and do, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> <li>A. We do. There's broadband availability data, mobile availability data, American community survey data that all helps kind of paint the picture of where</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t-t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits SB-1T through SB-2018. THE WITNESS: I did and do, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> <li>A. We do. There's broadband availability data, mobile availability data, American community survey data</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Good afternoon. If you'd please raise your right hand, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll give today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Staff may please introduce the witness and ask if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony. MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Sorry, say that one more time. MR. ROBERSON: Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record? THE WITNESS: Sean Bennett. May last name is spelled B-e-n-n-e-t.t. MR. ROBERSON: And did you sponsor Exhibits SB-1T through SB-2018. THE WITNESS: I did and do, yes. MR. ROBERSON: And if I asked you the questions asked both in your direct testimony which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. Now, in Washington, there are I mean, you could describe this as being a barrier to entry that prevents the expansion of the of broadband services to rural areas; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat or rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>There is a barrier to free competition in rural Washington when it comes to telecom service, and the significant investment to infrastructure that it takes to expand and then maintain those networks; correct.</li> <li>A. Yeah. It it can be challenging which federal programs do help make it easier, although individual companies if they have the financial resources would be able to do it on their own. But there are many areas where they have not.</li> <li>Q. And this is not an abstract problem, we know this happens in Washington; right?</li> <li>A. We do. There's broadband availability data, mobile availability data, American community survey data that all helps kind of paint the picture of where various telecommunication services are and are not.</li> </ul>

	Page 191		Page 193
1	hearing from a Mr. Mederios, Joseph Mederios?	1	
1 2	A. I am opening it. That's PC-7; correct? Yes.	1 2	your original testimony 1T. You testified that cell service was not an appropriate comparator for the voice
3	Joseph Mederios.	3	services provided by copper network that CenturyLink
4	Q. Yeah. It's PC-7 but it's been designated at	4	maintained; is that correct?
5	35X. Are you there?	5	A. Could you please point to that in my testimony?
6	A. Lam.	6	Q. I don't have a specific area, but you did not
7	Q. You'll see here that Mr. Mederios lives in	7	include cell service in your original testimony as a
8	rural Pierce County between South Prairie and Orting.	8	comparator; correct?
9	Are you familiar with that area of Washington?	9	A. No. I I'd say that's not correct.
10	A. I know where Orting is, yes. I'm not sure	10	Q. All right. Could you explain what your view
11	exactly where South Prairie is, but I'm generally aware	11	was of cell service in that original testimony?
12	of that area.	12	A. Yeah. It's included throughout various
13	Q. In 2021, according to Mr. Mederios, he	13	different areas both with respect to the mobile
14	recognized that the copper network was no longer	14	availability data which CenturyLink initially relied on
15	sustainable, and he reached out to CenturyLink to	15	in its competition study. In that study, it took the
16	replace the copper wiring with fiberoptic cable. Do you	16	mobile availability data information that really is an
17	see that?	17	absolute. But based on the FCC website, staff did not
18	A. I do, yes.	18	have the belief that it's necessarily shown what is
19	Q. And he even applied for and received a grant to	19	definitely available within the home.
20	help pay for that; correct?	20	So after voicing those considerations, what
21	A. According to this, yes.	21	we did and what I did in my testimony is, identify the
22	Q. And even with that grant, according to him,	22	U.S. census' American Community Survey's data which does
23	CenturyLink backed out because, according to him, they	23	provide information about mobile internet access. And
24	were a low revenue area?	24	, staffs' analysis also included data information, we
25	A. Based on this comment, yes, that is what it	25	broke it down on a wire center by wire center basis and
	Page 192		Page 194
1	says.	1	ultimately found that based on that ACS data when you
2	Q. And that's the kind of barrier that we're	2	apportion it to the individual census tracks that
3	talking about in Washington which is that that kind of		
	talking about in Washington which is that that kind of	3	overlap CenturyLink's study area, there are about
4	investment, even with government grants, is not certain;	4	overlap CenturyLink's study area, there are about 248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that
4 5	investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?	4 5	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access.
5 6	investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct? A. Yeah.	4 5 6	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the
5 6 7	investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct? A. Yeah. Q. And as I understand the purpose of the	4 5 6 7	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if
5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is,
5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve or the goal you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the only goal, because people will still be protected with</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives. <b>Q. If you could turn to page 43 of your testimony?</b>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the only goal, because people will still be protected with the consumer protection standards and the credit, but</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives. <b>Q. If you could turn to page 43 of your testimony?</b> And that's 43 in the bottom right corner, not the PDF.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the only goal, because people will still be protected with the consumer protection standards and the credit, but generally, yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives. <b>Q. If you could turn to page 43 of your testimony?</b> And that's 43 in the bottom right corner, not the PDF. A. I am there.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the only goal, because people will still be protected with the consumer protection standards and the credit, but generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in your initial testimony in I</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access.</li> <li>And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area.</li> <li>And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives.</li> <li>Q. If you could turn to page 43 of your testimony?</li> <li>And that's 43 in the bottom right corner, not the PDF.</li> <li>A. I am there.</li> <li>Q. At line 5, you start with: "Unfortunately, the</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>investment, even with government grants, is not certain; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand the purpose of the settlement that you have negotiated with the company, it was to come up with a way to draw a line between the areas of Washington where there is an affordable service and the areas where there was and where there was affordable service, you could rely on the market to fulfill the need, and in the other areas you can't, and so continued regulation is necessary. Is that a fair description of the process that you were trying to achieve?</li> <li>A. Generally speaking, yes. It's it's really about definitely the the discontinuance process was born out to protect consumers that may not have other available options available to them. That's not the only goal, because people will still be protected with the consumer protection standards and the credit, but generally, yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	248,000 households within the CenturyTel study area that don't have mobile internet access. And so the kind of two components from the both the BDC's mobile availability data which shows if it reports an area, it's not definitive that it's inside that area, however there is a possibility that it is, however we also know where it's not. And so if they didn't report that hex, you can essentially then draw it, okay, if it's not reporting availability, then it's not in that area. And so ultimately we have a lot of charts, a lot of tables. My testimony was rather exhaustive. But looking at fixed internet, we did also look at mobile because previous commission orders did recognize that not only are copper resellers competition, but that voice services as well as mobile wireless are also reasonably available alternatives. <b>Q. If you could turn to page 43 of your testimony?</b> And that's 43 in the bottom right corner, not the PDF. A. I am there.

34 (Pages 191 to 194)

		1	
	Page 195		Page 197
1	buildings, and staff therefore determined that it does	1	I well, there's the Fabric which is individual
2	not accurately measure the availability of an	2	locations, there's broadband availability data which is
3	alternative service to fixed voice service. Staff did	3	really the provider reported availability data, whether
4	make several attempts to find mobile data that could be	4	it's fixed internet or mobile. Fixed internet is
5	used to assess the availability and market concentration	5	specific to an individual location, the mobile
6	requesting subscribership information directly from	6	availability data, they the different mobile
7	mobile providers in Washington state was unsuccessful in	7	providers report availability at the hex level.
8	obtaining information from most relevant providers."	8	And with both of those what you are able to
9	Did I read that correctly?	9	ultimately do is, if you don't have the underlying
10	A. You did.	10	Fabric information, the FCC also does publish a
11	Q. Do you stand by your conclusion that the BDC	11	hex-shaped file, and all of the broadband availability
12	data, that the is not sufficient to establish the	12	data components have an associated hex file associated
13	availability of cell service inside of homes?	13	with them, so you're essentially able to then tie that
14	A. In and of itself, yes.	14	information to the hex kind of geographic area or the
15	Q. All right. And in fact, you found no source of	15	individual location data if you have an agreement to be
16	data that exists anywhere, even with CostQuest data that	16	able to use the Fabric dataset.
17	you ultimately purchased, that would allow you to make	17	Q. Did you negotiate an agreement to look at the
18	that determination purely on the data; is that right?	18	Fabric dataset?
19	A. The it depends on how location specific you	19	A. We did, yes.
20	want to if you're looking at a location-by-location	20	Q. Did you purchase that data for Washington only
21	basis or a general basis. We did find and I believe	21	or for all the states that CenturyLink serves?
22	if you go down a page or a few pages, there is the ACS	22	A. Washington only.
23	data which again does measure mobile internet access	23	Q. And I don't want you to I don't want you to
24	within areas and estimates the number of households	24	disclose confidential information about the amount of
25	without that mobile internet access within the	25	money that's been quoted to the commission for
	Page 196		Page 198
1		1	
	households.	1 2	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the
1 2 3	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean,	2	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric
2	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And	2 3	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from
2 3	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000	2	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink?
2 3 4	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access?	2 3 4	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's
2 3 4 5	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access? A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink	2 3 4 5	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise.
2 3 4 5 6	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access? A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that
2 3 4 5 6 7	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access? A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink	2 3 4 5 6 7	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access? A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes. Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	households. Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access? A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes. Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It gave us the ability to say based on availability data, what's reported at an individual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to the Fabric is because the initial petition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise.</li> <li>Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right?</li> <li>A. I did hear, yes.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to the Fabric is because the initial petition essentially used that hex process. And that hex process isn't down to individual location. And in order for us</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000</li> <li>Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It gave us the ability to say based on availability data, what's reported at an individual location rather than generalized information that may or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to that hex process. And that hex process isn't down to individual location. And in order for us to kind of take the competitive classification petition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It gave us the ability to say based on availability data, what's reported at an individual location rather than generalized information that may or may not be applicable to a specific location.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise.</li> <li>Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right?</li> <li>A. I did hear, yes.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to the Fabric is because the initial petition essentially used that hex process. And that hex process isn't down to individual location. And in order for us</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It gave us the ability to say based on availability data, what's reported at an individual location rather than generalized information that may or may not be applicable to a specific location.</li> <li>Q. And this is a supervisor layman description,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to the Fabric is because the initial petition essentially used that hex process. And that hex process isn't down to individual location. And in order for us to kind of take the competitive classification petition and really understand where it is and where it isn't, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>households.</li> <li>Q. But it's an estimate, they don't I mean, they're estimating, they don't know the answer. And your estimate, I think you just said, was 248,000 Washingtonians without access?</li> <li>A. Based on that ACS data within the CenturyLink study areas, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now in your study, you relied on a separate database, the it's called it's the CostQuest database which is a different database than BDC; is that correct?</li> <li>A. There's the Fabric, and then there's the broadband or mobile availability data, yes. They are they are complimentary databases that work together, but they are separate.</li> <li>Q. And you used that data because it gave you some additional information about locations; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It gave us the ability to say based on availability data, what's reported at an individual location rather than generalized information that may or may not be applicable to a specific location.</li> <li>Q. And this is a supervisor layman description, but the BDC data gives you those little purple hexes,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CenturyLink's purchase. Can you tell us whether the price the UTC paid the staff paid for the cost Fabric data, how it compares to the price that's quoted from CenturyLink? A. It is a fraction of it, although I think it's fairly imprecise. Q. And you heard testimony earlier today that CenturyLink really currently doesn't know how much that CostQuest Fabric data would be for Washington State; right? A. I did hear, yes. Q. Would you agree with me that access to that database would significantly improve the granularity of the data available if the settlement were to require its use? A. I'm not sure that I would. And if you'll allow me to elaborate. The reason we felt it was necessary to get access to the Fabric is because the initial petition essentially used that hex process. And that hex process isn't down to individual location. And in order for us to kind of take the competitive classification petition and really understand where it is and where it isn't, we wanted to be able to say are these two locations within
	Page 199		Page 201
--	---	--	--
1	accurate information. And within this proceeding that	1	as part of the settlement negotiation process. There
2	was important. However, the terms of the settlement are	2	are justifications and reasons for it which I can
3	substantially detailed and it does require a	3	certainly elaborate on, but
4	location-by-location analysis that Peter Gose and	4	Q. Okay. And the original number that you used
5	hopefully CenturyLink will do. They will yeah.	5	was 55.13; correct?
6	Q. So I get what you're saying. So that's why in	6	A. Yes, that is correct.
7	the agreement, CenturyLink was required to go and	7	Q. And you heard testimony from Mr. Webber that
8	contact each of the customers in an area for	8	that was a conservative estimate of an affordability
9	discontinuance in order to supplement the inadequacies	9	benchmark; right?
10	of the existing data in the BDC example?	10	A. I did.
11	A. It's a different method. I think it's an	11	Q. And you're not changing or challenging that
12	appropriate method. They certainly could do the Fabric	12	testimony, are you?
13	and that would also give them the ability to do that	13	A. Challenging what testimony?
14	location-by-location analysis. But both methods, I	14	Q. That 55.13 is a conservative estimate of what
15	believe, would give them the tools necessary to go	15	affordability of an affordability rate?
16	through the enhanced discontinuance process.	16	A. No. It it is reasonably conservative, yes.
17	Q. And ultimately, that's why you aren't	17	Q. I want to ask you now some questions about
18	advocating for the requirement of cost Fabric, is	18	process. Mr. Gose presented an example, the Yacolt
19	because of the process that CenturyLink agreed to do	19	example, in his testimony, took him about on hour to put
20	which is an individual kind of survey of effected	20	it together, he said. Do you know or do you have any
21	locations?	21	information on how long it would take CenturyLink to put
22	A. It is.	22 23	together the kind of information that they describe in Step 1 of their process that is negotiated in the
23 24	Q. Now, in the process that's been negotiated, UTC staff would not be the one reaching out to these	23	step 1 of their process that is negotiated in the settlement?
24 25	individual locations; correct?	24	A. Nothing other than what I've heard today.
23			A. Nothing other than what ive heard today.
	Page 200		Page 202
1	Page 200 A. That is correct.	1	Page 202 Q. And although they've described a very robust
1 2	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching</li> </ul>	1 2	Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would
	A. That is correct.		Q. And although they've described a very robust
2	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price considerations. Ultimately, the settlement adopts a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of enforceable forcible requirement that they exert the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price considerations. Ultimately, the settlement adopts a price of it's I've drawn a blank.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of enforceable forcible requirement that they exert the kind of careful consideration that Mr. Gose described in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price considerations. Ultimately, the settlement adopts a price of it's I've drawn a blank.</li> <li>A. 61.13.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of enforceable forcible requirement that they exert the kind of careful consideration that Mr. Gose described in his direct testimony, they could just look at a map and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price considerations. Ultimately, the settlement adopts a price of it's I've drawn a blank.</li> <li>A. 61.13.</li> <li>Q. 61,13; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of enforceable forcible requirement that they exert the kind of careful consideration that Mr. Gose described in his direct testimony, they could just look at a map and say, I don't know, that looks good to me, the math works</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel would not be the one reaching out to these individual locations; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And other than the certification from the company that they had done so, it's not clear that they would provide the answers or the data that they produced from the survey, is it?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Well, we're going to be relying on CenturyLink to make sure that they do the survey appropriately, right, that's what this settlement comes down to?</li> <li>A. There are requirements for them to do the survey requirements correctly and then provide all the data associated with that discontinuance process to both public counsel and CenturyLink staff as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to switch a little bit to price considerations. Ultimately, the settlement adopts a price of it's I've drawn a blank.</li> <li>A. 61.13.</li> <li>Q. 61,13; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And although they've described a very robust process, there's nothing in this settlement that would hold them to using a robust process, is there?</li> <li>A. Can you elaborate? Because the overall process is rather robust.</li> <li>Q. I'm just talking about Step 1. Is there any restriction on the size geographic size of the location that they decide to discontinue?</li> <li>A. Outside of the work that CenturyLink would take upon itself to be able to do that and the increasing likelihood of the larger area, the more likely there are to be CCLs at first glance, yes.</li> <li>Q. There's no requirement about size in the agreement; correct? I mean it could by 10,000 people, it could be 3?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And there's no requirement or any kind of enforceable forcible requirement that they exert the kind of careful consideration that Mr. Gose described in his direct testimony, they could just look at a map and say, I don't know, that looks good to me, the math works out, I'm going to do it; right? There's nothing in</li> </ul>

	Page 203	Pa	ge 205
1			
1	but	1 A. I know we do have standing to bring a con	
2	Q. And if we could be certain that Mr. Gose will	2 from working with the company through this I'n	
3 4	be in his position for the next ten years and he will be the one doing the math, I think we'd feel comfortable.	<ul> <li>certainly not an attorney. But from discussing thi</li> <li>process with the company, it's our understanding</li> </ul>	
5	But do you have any concerns about the company being the	F	
6	one that makes this definition or makes these		
7			bariy,
8	decisions without any oversight by the commission or explanation, really?	<ul> <li>public counsel, or staff and to ask questions.</li> <li>And so as part of that, I assume consume</li> </ul>	or.
9	A. The discontinuance process is really about	<ul> <li>9 protection would definitely be receiving those call</li> </ul>	
10	making sure that those areas are unserved or that		
11	those areas that are unserved or underserved are	<ol> <li>and then as consumer protection gets those and</li> <li>fact when we receive that notice, we would work</li> </ol>	
12	protected. And so under a competitive classification	12 kind of that data to ensure that they followed the	unougn
13	proceeding, if that that was granted, the company	13 outline process, yes.	
14	would be able to kind of discontinue after it provided	14 <b>Q. How many staff do you currently have tha</b>	at would
15	that discontinuance process that's required by rule.	15 be available for this work?	
16	And so overall kind of the focus is on protecting those	16 A. I can only speak for the telecommunication	<b>`</b>
17	consumers, but the reasons for that decision are	17 staff. I'm currently the acting section manager, a	
18	ultimately CenturyLink's.	18 then I currently do have three other individuals as	
19	Q. Well let's talk about that process, then.	19 well.	
20	How much time so their process is to	20 Q. And you're responsible not just for Centu	rvl ink
21	design the area, then they're going to do the study, and	but all the telecom cases, is that right, this unit?	-
22	then reach out to the individuals to do the survey, then	A. We are. Although, we are not also we're	
23	when they decide to move forward with it, they're going	23 not also the consumer protection side, we do v	
24	to give staff and public counsel notice. How much time	closely with them. But yes.	
25	are they giving staff to review their documents and	25 <b>Q.</b> And under the agreement, there's the	
	Page 204	Pa	ge 206
1	Page 204 their data before the discontinuance?	Pa 1 consumer would have to complain to either the	
1 2			UTC or
	their data before the discontinuance?	1 consumer would have to complain to either the	UTC or
2	their data before the discontinuance? A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> </ol>	UTC or
2 3	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the l</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> </ol>	UTC or ate; ∋
2 3 4	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the l</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> </ol>	UTC or ate; ∋
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the line</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> </ol>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the line</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complair</li> </ol>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the line</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> </ol>	UTC or ate; ∋ yLink, we nts or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the line</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the function public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right and the second second</li></ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the lipublic counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going ght?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the function public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going ght? ess is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> <li>to work because we've never gone through it; rig</li> <li>A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> <li>necessarily agree with that statement. The process</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e yLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> <li>to work because we've never gone through it; right</li> <li>A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> <li>necessarily agree with that statement. The process</li> <li>what that would go through. We haven't lived it a</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e yLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> <li>to work because we've never gone through it; right</li> <li>A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> <li>necessarily agree with that statement. The procession</li> <li>what that would go through. We haven't lived it a</li> <li>experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> <li>to work because we've never gone through it; right</li> <li>A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> <li>necessarily agree with that statement. The process</li> <li>what that would go through. We haven't lived it a</li> <li>experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is</li> <li>very detailed and that does give us a good frame</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; e ryLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of and work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li><b>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</b> to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process what that would go through. We haven't lived it a experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out the statement.</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; ate; yLink, we ats or going ght? and work. ut to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the function public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li><b>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right and that would go through.</b> We haven't lived it at what that would go through. We haven't lived it at experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out to the consumer, we haven, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we haven were were were were were were were we</li></ul>	UTC or ate; ate; ate; yLink, we ats or going ght? and work. ut to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with the company if it looks like they if there are issues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full</li> <li>public counsel in order to trigger either of these</li> <li>entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance</li> <li>the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centure</li> <li>would do our own kind of due diligence on that</li> <li>regardless of whether or not there were complain</li> <li>questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is</li> <li>to work because we've never gone through it; right</li> <li>A. I don't know if I feel if I would</li> <li>necessarily agree with that statement. The process</li> <li>what that would go through. We haven't lived it at</li> <li>experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is</li> <li>very detailed and that does give us a good frame</li> <li>Along with the actual notification that is mailed out</li> <li>the consumer, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; yLink, we ts or going ght? ess is ing of and work. it to ve would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with the company if it looks like they if there are issues with that process, and then ultimately file comments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process what that would go through. We haven't lived it as experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out the consumer, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we use that as kind of the process or guide.</li> <li>Q. If CenturyLink went through this entire process or guide.</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; yLink, we its or going ght? ess is ing of and work. it to ve would ocess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with the company if it looks like they if there are issues with that process, and then ultimately file comments with the FCC and their discontinuance process if staff</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process what that would go through. We haven't lived it a experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out the consumer, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we use that as kind of the process or guide.</li> <li>Q. If CenturyLink went through this entire process is the packet that they generated of data</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; yLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of and work. at to ve would ocess and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with the company if it looks like they if there are issues with that process, and then ultimately file comments with the FCC and their discontinuance process if staff felt strongly that that was warranted.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the fullic counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process what that would go through. We haven't lived it a experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out the consumer, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we use that as kind of the process or guide.</li> <li>Q. If CenturyLink went through this entire pra and used the packet that they generated of data filed a petition with the commission to discontin</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; yLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of and work. it to ve would ocess and ue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>their data before the discontinuance?</li> <li>A. If it would be all right, I would appreciate pulling up the terms of the settlement. I do know that there is a 45-day window for consumers to respond Q. Correct.</li> <li>A and then that discontinuance process with the FCC can take several months. And I do believe that the terms of the settlement also allows staff and public counsel no less than essentially 60 days to be able to go through that process.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether staff or public counsel will have resources to do an adequate review of the data presented in that 60 days to intervene before the discontinuance occurs or the FCC process goes forward?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't speculate as to public counsel's ability or inability to be able to do that. But at this point, staff as staff, I believe, yes, we do have the resources necessary to go through that process and to look at the enhanced discontinuance notice, work with the company if it looks like they if there are issues with that process, and then ultimately file comments with the FCC and their discontinuance process if staff</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>consumer would have to complain to either the full public counsel in order to trigger either of these entities to stand up on their behalf or to investig correct?</li> <li>A. We would if we receive a discontinuance the enhanced discontinuance notice from Centur would do our own kind of due diligence on that regardless of whether or not there were complain questions that came up.</li> <li>Q. Now, you don't know how this process is to work because we've never gone through it; right A. I don't know if I feel if I would necessarily agree with that statement. The process what that would go through. We haven't lived it a experienced it to kind of go through that, but it is very detailed and that does give us a good frame Along with the actual notification that is mailed out the consumer, is e-mailed out to the consumer, we use that as kind of the process or guide.</li> <li>Q. If CenturyLink went through this entire process is the packet that they generated of data</li> </ul>	UTC or ate; ate; yLink, we ats or going ght? ess is ing of and work. at to ve would ocess and ue adequate

	Page 207		Page 209
1	would you agree with me that the most likely resolution	1	emergencies. Were you or did you listen to the open
2	of that would be on an open docket meeting for the UTC?	2	public comment hearings?
3	A. Am I can I rephrase or, I guess, can you	3	A. I was in attendance, yes.
4	clarify that? Are you saying at the kind of the very	4	Q. And are you an expert on the performance of
5	end of the enhanced discontinuance process? Is that	5	cell service during wildfires or other similar
6	your question?	6	emergencies?
7	Q. Let's say CenturyLink went through this entire	7	A. No, I am
8	process, and at the end they had a packet of information	8	MR. ROBERSON: Objection. This goes a
9	about alternatives about the people in the area of	9	little bit beyond the scope of Mr. Bennett's testimony
10	discontinuance, and if they put that together and they	10	at any phase of this proceeding.
11	filed on the strength of a petition in a petition to	11	JUDGE HOWARD: Hmm. I'll allow the
12	discontinue for the UTC, that would allow staff to look	12	question.
13	at it, that would allow counsel public counsel to	13	Q. I think he said no, so
14	look at it, and wouldn't the most likely result in that	14	A. No, I'm not.
15	scenario be resolution on an open meeting docket?	15	Q. All right. Can you turn to what's been marked
16	A. Sorry, you mentioned approval and a petition.	16	as Exhibit 32X, SB-3X? This is a an article called Five
17	So are you saying that there's a petition which includes	17	Alarm, Assessing the Vulnerability of U.S. Cellular
18	CCLs, or are you saying enhanced discontinuance notice	18	Communication Infrastructure to Wildfires.
19	with no initial CCLs?	19	A. I clicked on it. It's pulling up slowly. I
20	Q. It can either be either way. Either no CCLs or	20	apologize. I did download it and I'm trying to open it,
21	one or two CCLs where they have spoken with them and	21	but it's giving me a denied pop up.
22	like Ms. Margrav, there are four different competitors	22	Q. That's not good.
23	and, you know, it's just she needs to get on with the	23	A. No.
24	times and we should be able to discontinue it because	24	Q. I can share screen with you if that would help.
25	it's going to cost us too much money to maintain her	25	A. Yeah. That that would be great. My
	Page 208		Page 210
1		1	
1 2	service, that kind of information could be assessed and decided fairly quickly by the UTC on the open meeting	1 2	apologies. Thank you.
2 3	docket; right?	3	Q. Sure. I'm going to zoom in because there's no way you're going to be able to read that at that level?
4	A. The normal discontinuance process for	4	The page number I've turned to is 166 at the
5	competitive local exchange companies does go before the	5	bottom, and the question I want to ask you is about this
6	commissioners at an open meeting generally as a bill	6	last paragraph here.
0 7	action item. And so we do kind of work through those	7	MR. ROBERSON: Objection. Foundation.
8	notification processes. And ultimately, if CenturyLink	8	MR. O'NEILL: I haven't asked the question
9	did go through that enhanced discontinuance process, if	9	yet.
10	we found that CenturyLink worked through that entire	10	MR. ROBERSON: Sure.
11	process appropriately, it would go through the open	11	MR. O'NEILL: Judge Howard, I can't hear
12	meeting process like it does for for sea legs	12	you.
13	(phonetic).	13	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. O'Neill, please proceed.
14	Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge of the	14	MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
15	FCC review process?	15	BY MR. O'NEILL:
16	A. The FCC review process for what?	16	Q. You can either read it quietly to yourself or I
17	Q. Section 214 discontinuances?	17	can read it out loud so we're all on the same page, but
18	A. Very peripherally.	18	do you have any reason to doubt the findings of this
19	Q. Do you know what the standards are in a	19	study, that the most vulnerable aspect of cell service
20	Section 214 discontinuance versus the standards in an	20	is the loss of power?
20		21	A. I have not read this read or reviewed this
21	AFOR statute or in a commission decision?		
	AFOR statute or in a commission decision? A. I do not, no.	21	case study.
21			
21 22	A. I do not, no.	22	case study.
21 22 23	<ul><li>A. I do not, no.</li><li>Q. I want to now shift focus a little bit to some</li></ul>	22 23	case study. Q. You have no reason to disagree with the finding

38 (Pages 207 to 210)

in the r	vlatter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. U I -240029 - Vol.
	Page 211		Page 213
1	case of a wildfire?	1	that would be their choice.
2	A. Looking at this, having not before seen it, can	2	My apologies, could you ask the question one
3	you repeat your question for me?	3	more time?
4	Q. Sure.	4	Q. It would be their choice. And I'm asking you:
5	Do you have any reason to doubt the	5	Wouldn't it be rational for them to have a landline even
6	conclusion of this study that in the case of a wildfire,	6	though they might have cell service and for them to
7	the most striking vulnerability of cell service is	7	consider access to that landline something of a
8	power?	8	necessity living in rural Okanogan County?
9	A. I don't have any reason to think that it is or	9	A. It could be. I guess it it depends on
10	that is isn't. I haven't reviewed this case study.	10	whether or not they're ultimately services those
11	Q. Okay. Let's turn to what I marked as	11	wireless and fixed services are available. But if they
12	Exhibit 36X. This is a transcript of a public comment	12	chose to to only have a landline, then that would be
13	hearing for a Mr. Richard Johnson.	13	their choice under the current circumstances which they
14	A. I have that one pulled up.	14	exist.
15	Q. So Mr. Johnson, according to this testimony,	15	Q. Nowhere in the current settlement agreement is
16	lives in Okanogan County, south of the town of Okanogan.	16	there a provision for assessing whether or not a service
17	Now, you agree with me that that is very likely a rural	17	is a necessity in spite of the fact that it might have
18	part of Washington?	18	access under the BDC data; right? There's no provision
19	A. Most likely, yes.	19	that allows or requires the company to consider that, is
20	Q. And he says that when the electricity goes out,	20	there?
21	the telephone landline is the only way that we have to	21	A. The commission previously viewed both copper
22	contact the PUD, it's the only means that they have of	22	and voice as well as mobile wireless as readily
23	contacting a doctor or a hospital in a case of	23	available options. And this process, if approved, would
24	emergency, it's the only contact with the outside world.	24	take all of those different options into consideration,
25	Do you agree with me that's what his testimony was?	25	and CenturyLink would only be allowed to discontinue
	Page 212		Page 214
1	A. That paragraph, yes.	1	service if there are verifiably available alternative
2	Q. And furthermore, in rural Okanogan County,	2	services.
3	their electricity goes out when the snow is wet, when	3	Q. But that could be a cell service where in rural
4	there's a strong wind, or when there's fire; correct?	4	Washington when they lose power, because cell towers
5	A. Based on that sentence, yes.	5	require access to power to operate, that means they lose
6	Q. And in the particular area he's referring to,	6	cell service; right? I mean, that you don't know,
7	it started snowing in November, it didn't end until	7	and there's no provision in this agreement, is there?
8	April, and every time the wind came up, the snow would	8	A. They they may lose cell service, they may
9	drift across their driveway and the road, and they would	9	not. There are a number of cellular companies here in
10	lose power?	10	Washington state that have previously been granted an
11	A. Yes.	11	ETC designation status, and as part of that review
12	Q. And they didn't have cell service even if they	12	process they do have backup power. Many of the energy
13	were within a hex that was purple on the FCC BDC data; correct?	13	companies also do have plans in place to rectify it as
14 15	A. I don't see that information in this.	14 15	quickly as possible. And so obviously, anytime anyone loses
16	Q. You don't see	16	power, it's unfortunate, but there are rules and
17	A. Can you show me where you're coming to that	17	regulations that prompt energy companies to repair that
18	conclusion?	18	service as quickly as possible. And just because an
19	Q. They didn't have electricity; correct?	19	individual loses electricity service does not mean all
20	A. Based on this statement, yes.	20	of the infrastructure surrounding the area also loses
21	Q. Is it possible that a person living in a rural	21	that power as well. It's certainly possible that it
22	area where there still would by access under the BDC	22	does, but it's also possible that it's still functional
23	data would rationally decide to have a landline because	23	as well. And if an individual had a backup battery,
24	it's simply more reliable when conditions are adverse?	24	then they would still be able to use cellular service.
25	A. The to have one or not, if it's available,	25	Q. Well it's not the individuals, it the cell
		1	

39 (Pages 211 to 214)

In the N	latter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol.
	Page 215		Page 217
1	tower that has to have the backup power; right? It	1	discontinuance process, they have to do the work. So if
2	not it isn't a question of you being able to power	2	they don't have sufficient people to do the work, then I
3	your phone, it's question of whether or not the cell	3	think that would probably be a moot point because we
4	tower also lost power and can't give you a signal;	4	won't get to that point.
5	right?	5	Q. It sounds to me like you are agreeing that
6	A. Yeah. And many of at least for those two	6	somebody needs to be reviewing their work, and the
7	entities have and do.	7	question is whether it should be a formal process, that
8	Q. If you go back to the article that I just	8	is, something like this process we've gone through in
9	showed you and you look onto the next page, what it says	9	the last two years to get as much information as we
10	there is that the FCC proposed such a rule requiring	10	have, or if it can be an informal process where your
11	cell towers to have that a battery, and it wasn't	11	office and three individuals in my office and four
12	approved. Do you know whether or not cell companies are	12	individuals are the only line between discontinuance and
13	required to have battery power in rural areas so that	13	somebody raising an argument that it shouldn't be done.
14	they can operate when in rural Okanogan County the power	14	Right? That's essentially what we're disagreeing about
15	is out for significant periods of time?	15	here?
16	A. I'm sorry, what are you referring to? I	16	A. The terms of the settlement are kind of greater
17	currently have open the comment from	17	than the sum of their parts. And yeah, one of those
18	Q. I'll withdraw the question.	18	components is the discontinuance process that it's
19	A. Okay.	19	really based on it starts with the mobile and fixed
20	Q. Now I want to turn to service quality issues.	20	internet availability data. And so, I mean, that data
21	If you turn to SBX 34, this is the testimony of Jean	21	and the structure and the process along with having a
22	Marie Dreyer.	22	maximum speed and a minimum or sorry, a maximum
23	A. Yeah pulling it up now.	23	price, a minimum speed, and then validating that
24	Q. If you turn to page 11 of that document on	24	information is a is a very detailed methodological
25	line 14: "In four years from 2019 to 2023, the UTC	25	process which may or may not happen if CenturyLink
	Page 216		Page 218
1	consumer protection division received nearly 1,800	1	receives a competitive classification.
2	complaints about CenturyLink. 1,300 of those involved	2	And so this process is really it's
3	quality of service with a higher proportion of that	3	created so that we can understand whether or not it is,
4	coming from rural areas, up to 32 percent." Did I read	4	and yes, it's definitely trust but verify. But staff
5	that correctly?	5	definitely takes that verification process very
6	A. Yes, you did.	6	seriously, and we would use the information that they
7	Q. And if you go to the next page, there's a chart	7	provided to do that verification.
8	there and then some text which indicates that the raw	8	Q. And has the UTC always been fully staffed in
9	numbers complaints to CenturyLink has increased every	9	the last couple of years?
10	year for the last five years and that the percentage of	10	A. I don't know the overall staffing levels of the
11	complaints that are specific to CenturyLink are an	11	commission for each individual division, but I know the
12	increasing percentage of all complaints received by UTC.	12	telecoms section may be few but we're mighty, and we
13	In fact, CenturyLink has 89 percent CenturyLink's	13	continue to do the work that's asked of us.
14	complaints compose 89 percent of all complaints about	14	Q. You and I have had multiple discussions about
15	telecom. Right?	15	this, you know my reservations. In spite of that, do
16	A. Based on this data, yes.	16	you still recommend the commission adopt this
17	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt it?	17	settlement?
18	A. Not to my knowledge, no.	18	A. I do.
19	Q. You heard testimony earlier today from Mr. Gose	19	Q. You would agree with me that it would be
20	that they have staffing shortages, they have declining	20	safe it would be, let's say, more conservative to
21	revenue, and do you have any concerns about the	21	require CenturyLink to come in every time that they have
22	ability of CenturyLink to self police itself in this	22	a discontinuance, and if they have a persuasive packet
23	discontinuance process where they're the ones doing the	23	like what they've described, it would probably be
24	work and collecting the data?	24	resolved in a no action docket; right? That would be a
25	<ol> <li>If they want to try to go through that</li> </ol>	25	safer approach?

40 (Pages 215 to 218)

	Dago 010	Doct 221
	Page 219	Page 221
1	A. I don't know if I necessarily agree with that	1 analysis.
2	characterization. I don't know if it would go as a no	2 So there still definitely would be a decent
3	action item. There might be a few people that want to	amount of time to go through that process, but I don't
4	speak up about that discontinuance process. But we do	4 know if it would necessarily individual
5	have a process in front of us that has substantial	5 discontinuance would rise to the same hours of input for
6	safeguards for consumers. We do have and we really dug	6 this entire proceeding over the last year or two years.
7	into this data. And the data is dynamic, it's not	7 MR. O'NEILL: All right. I have no more
8	static, it's constantly changing. I think I'm trying	8 questions. Thank you, Mr. Bennett.
9	to remember the time period. We worked on this, like,	9 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	June 30th, 2024, broadband availability data as being	10 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have an
11	reported to the FCC soon, and soon you would work on	11 redirect? Please go ahead. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	that data.	
13 14	And the data that providers report is going	13BY MR. ROBERSON:14Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bennett.
14	to be changing just like the competitive landscape. And so it is competitive in an area. If there are	15 A. Afternoon.
16	reasonable alternatives, this process was worked on with	15A. Alterhoon.16Q. Do you remember early on in your cross, you
17	painstaking detail because we want to get it right.	17 were asked about kind of the broadband data collection
18	Because we want to make sure that really no consumers	17         were asked about kind of the broadband data conection           18         effort and you spoke about the ACS census data, and I
19	are left behind, that they do have mobile or fixed	19 believe you said that there were about 248,000 locations
20	interned service as an alternative.	20 with no mobile service under the ACS study; correct?
21	Q. And you I'm guessing that you spent at least	A. That's correct, yes. I remember it.
22	hundreds of hours, that is the commission, on this,	22 <b>Q. Does that mean that the service is unavailable</b>
23	maybe even into thousands in the last two years getting	23 at those locations?
24	to this stage; correct?	A. It's it's not defined. It I believe that
25	A. It's been a lot. That's safe to say, yes.	it it may not be available. They also some of it
	Page 220	Page 222
1	Q. And your hope is that in the 60 days that you	1 could be that they just don't have it. So it really
1 2	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> </ol>
	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> </ol>
2 3 4	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now,	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process?	<ol> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process? A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process? A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process?</li> <li>A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process?</li> <li>A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process?</li> <li>A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe that process now that we have it flushed out, if it's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> <li>Q. A little later on in your cross, Mr. Robinson</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope?</li> <li>A. Can you state that question, comment one more time?</li> <li>Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process?</li> <li>A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> <li>Q. Alittle later on in your cross, Mr. Robinson</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process? A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe that process now that we have it flushed out, if it's approved, now that we have that process defined and laid	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> <li>Q. Alittle later on in your cross, Mr. Robinson</li> <li>O'Neill was asking you about kind of discontinuance</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process? A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe that process now that we have it flushed out, if it's approved, now that we have that process defined and laid out, it won't be to that same level. It won't be	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> <li>Q. A little later on in your cross, Mr. Robinson</li> <li>O'Neill was asking you about kind of discontinuance</li> <li>without staff or public counsel getting involved. Do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get from this settlement, you will be able to do a similar amount of working for the individuals that will be directly impacted by that future decision that will have no UTC process; right? That's your hope? A. Can you state that question, comment one more time? Q. It is your hope that in the 60 days of informal review that this settlement creates, that you will be able to recreate that amount of time and investment for those individuals who will be directly impacted by some future decision, the scope of which we don't know now, the dimensions of which we don't know now, we hope we get it right, that's what we're relying on in this process? A. I'm just trying to kind of reconcile the time estimate, because you mention putting that amount of time into this. And that was hundreds of hours and putting together the process to get there. I believe that process now that we have it flushed out, if it's approved, now that we have that process defined and laid out, it won't be to that same level. It won't be necessarily hundreds or thousands in totality on that	<ul> <li>could be that they just don't have it. So it really</li> <li>could be both. But I do believe that the overall number</li> <li>of kind of CCLs will most likely increase substantially</li> <li>because it's not static, it is dynamic. And so I think</li> <li>that 1,200 number of CCLs that was previously mentioned</li> <li>is really a bare minimum, and as CenturyLink and as the</li> <li>individual consumers and staff goes through that</li> <li>process, that number of CCLs will increase. Because</li> <li>there are, based on that ACS data, a lot of people that</li> <li>don't have that mobile internet access.</li> <li>Q. Do you also being sorry.</li> <li>Do you also recall being asked about staffs'</li> <li>negotiation for the Fabric data?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did CostQuest offer staff, for lack of a</li> <li>better word, a government rate?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. To the best of my recollection, it was not.</li> <li>Q. A little later on in your cross, Mr. Robinson</li> <li>O'Neill was asking you about kind of discontinuance</li> <li>without staff or public counsel getting involved. Do</li> <li>you remember that? I believe he used the term</li> </ul>

41 (Pages 219 to 222)

	Page 223		Page 225
1	Q. Did staff view the processes set out in the	1	classification was granted without condition, it would
2	settlement as lacking oversight by the commission	2	be allowed to kind of discontinue at will. And by
3	through its staff?	3	focusing and talking through and detailing this enhanced
4	A. Not at all. We we would be a significant	4	analysis, we substantially minimize litigation risk. To
5	component of it. We wouldn't be kind of starting off,	5	kind of get an overall terms of the settlement to look
6	that's on CenturyLink, which they may or may not do. To	6	like this, through that litigation process we really
7	my knowledge, they've at least since I've been here,	7	would have had to win on almost every count. It is a
8	they haven't petitioned for a discontinuance in any	8	very detailed process, the commission did previously
9	area. But if they do decide at some point in the future	9	consider mobile and voice service as alternative
10	if this process and the AFOR are ultimately accepted,	10	services. And so we did try to take that into account
11	then we would be a part of it after they'd began that	11	in this process.
12	process, and we would validate using the BDC data that	12	But we're not just blindly relying on the
13	there are services and that CenturyLink isn't	13	broadband availability data for both broadband and
14 15	discontinuing service to an unserved or underserved area so that no one is left behind.	14 15	fixed or sorry, mobile wireless, we're validating it. Which CenturyLink's petition, there is no validation.
15	And not only are they not left behind, but	16	There's the 800 protected customers which are protected,
17	if CenturyLink does go through that process and an	17	but then everyone else, all the other subscribers,
18	individual indicates that they do participate in a low	18	CenturyLink would potentially be able to just
19	income program, they could actually get help with	19	discontinue with no additional validation or
20	transitioning to other services. In the terms of the	20	verification.
21	settlement agreement CenturyLink would be willing to	21	But this mechanism, this process mitigates
22	help cover the cost. I believe it's in excess of a \$100	22	that and puts in place a chance for first CenturyLink to
23	for fixed internet service to some extent, but also with	23	validate it, because not only are they going to the BDC
24	mobile wireless services as well.	24	data, they're also going to the provider's links but
25	Q. And what does staff view its role in kind of	25	then they also have to have send out an enhanced notice.
	Page 224		Page 226
1	Page 224 reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 226 And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs
	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through	1	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may
2	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that	2 3 4	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services,
2 3	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said	2 3 4 5	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people
2 3 4 5 6	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not	2 3 4 5 6	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from
2 3 4 5 6 7	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either	2 3 4 5 6 7	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes? A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs'</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> <li>Mr. Robinson O'Neill asked you whether or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And so that also helps kind of mitigate some risk and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> <li>Mr. Robinson O'Neill asked you whether or not you still recommended an option of the settlement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question. Mr. Robinson O'Neill asked you whether or not you still recommended an option of the settlement given his qualms. It was a yes or no question. You</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And so that also helps kind of mitigate some risk and increases the likelihood of that credit actually being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> <li>Mr. Robinson O'Neill asked you whether or not you still recommended an option of the settlement given his qualms. It was a yes or no question. You said that you did. Could you explain why you do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And so that also helps kind of mitigate some risk and increases the likelihood of that credit actually being applied. But if it's not applied, then they get double.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>reviewing CenturyLink's the data it collects through the customer challenging validation processes?</li> <li>A. We're validating it, we're verifying it. We are ensuring that CenturyLink through that discontinuance process is doing exactly what they said they were going to do and that they are not discontinuing service to anyone that doesn't have either fixed wireless or sorry, mobile wireless or fixed internet availability.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember being asked about staffs' ability to bring a complaint?</li> <li>A. I do, yes.</li> <li>Q. And so if staff finds defects in CenturyLink's discontinuance dataset, would staff be able to complain to stop a discontinuance if the felt that it was unwarranted or inappropriate?</li> <li>A. Yes, we would.</li> <li>Q. One last question.</li> <li>Mr. Robinson O'Neill asked you whether or not you still recommended an option of the settlement given his qualms. It was a yes or no question. You said that you did. Could you explain why you do?</li> <li>A. There are substantial benefits under the terms</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And that enhanced notice mentions that consumers may qualify for financial assistance. It also informs people about the Washington State Broadband Office's digital navigation program and language access services, and there are actually digital navigators to help people transition from or not necessarily help from transition from voice to broadband, but to help people understand and be able to set up and use those the modern technology with internet and mobile services. And so overall, there just really are a lot of benefits. There's also the automatic service credit which from staff's understanding is above and beyond. I think earlier today, CenturyLink indicated that in 2023, it would have been about \$150,000. That's real and that's something that is going directly to the consumers and it includes force majeure and vandalism. And it's a automatic system upgrade once the trouble ticket has been created. Without that kind of automated system taking over, then it's just a whole bunch people. And so that also helps kind of mitigate some risk and increases the likelihood of that credit actually being applied. But if it's not applied, then they get double. And that double is meaningful too because staff did

	Page 227		Page 229
1	point. This is a narrative answer at this point, and I	1	those accurate? In the past, there has been a lot of
2	would ask that there be a question asked.	2	question about the accuracy of maps. I just are we
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.	3	at a point now where you're comfortable with them? And
4	JUDGE HOWARD: I will it was a broad	4	what's the basis for that?
5	question, but I will grant the objection just given to	5	A. It is, and we are. Each iteration will be
6	length of the testimony, the length of the answer.	6	better than the last. There is a challenge process that
7	Any further questions on redirect?	7	the FCC allows and really encourages consumers to
8	MR. ROBERSON: I think I can end there.	8	challenge that information, and providers will update it
9	Thank you.	9	based on that and the FCC will. So definitely benefits
10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Do we	10	consumers to challenge it on their first and foremost.
11	have any questions from the bench? Please proceed.	11	But ultimately, although we do want to rely
12	MR. DANNER: 1 will start.	12	on that, we don't want to rely on that exclusively which
13	EXAMINATION	13	is why we built in this validation process. It really
14	BY COMMISSIONER DANNER:	14	gives the consumer a chance to challenge it after
15	Q. First of all, just a clarifying question. In	15	CenturyLink has put in initial legwork where they've
16	your testimony related to the settlement agreement, it's	16	actually gone not only to the broadband availability
17	noted at SB 28T that CenturyLink will be providing the	17	dataset, but also gone to the providers' website and
18	customer notification of the enhanced service credits	18	looked at each individual address. And they do provide
19	within 30 days of the effective date of the AFOR, and	19	within that enhanced notice that they send out to
20	then on page 4 of Attachment A, it says it will provide	20	consumers the company's web link to help consumers be
21	the notifications 60 days after the effective date of	21	able to kind of find that service.
22	AFOR. Can you clarify if it's 30 or 60 days?	22	So it's the mapping the initial
23	A. I can. I apologize. You were going a little	23	broadband data collection map is a starting point, but
24	faster than I was.	24	it's not the ending point. There is that validation
25	Q. All right. Well, I will slow down.	25	process that CenturyLink needs to do, consumers have the
_			
	Page 228		Page 230
1	Page 228 So basically, it's in your testimony where	1	Page 230 ability to then challenge it and provide documentation.
1 2		1 2	
	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it?		ability to then challenge it and provide documentation.
2	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement	2	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation,
2 3	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it?	2 3	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate
2 3 4	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement	2 3 4	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will
2 3 4 5	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just	2 3 4 5	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to
2 3 4 5 6	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to	2 3 4 5 6	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. <b>Q. I thank you for that.</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. <b>Q. I thank you for that.</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's ability to kind of break up an individual company, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Then I had a question you heard my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. Q. I thank you for that. You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's ability to kind of break up an individual company, one of the operating entities. Because there is language
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Then I had a question you heard my question to Mr. Gose about the accuracy of maps. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. <b>Q. I thank you for that.</b> You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's ability to kind of break up an individual company, one of the operating entities. Because there is language where essentially CenturyLink can't kind of break up one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Then I had a question you heard my question to Mr. Gose about the accuracy of maps. I wanted to get your view. Are they the maps that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. <b>Q. I thank you for that.</b> You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's ability to kind of break up an individual company, one of the operating entities. Because there is language where essentially CenturyLink can't kind of break up one of its smaller companies even further. So then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So basically, it's in your testimony where you said 30 days but Attachment A of the settlement agreement says 60 days. Just want your what is it? A. And that 60 days sorry. On the settlement testimony, can you say what page that is? I'm just trying to Q. It's on page 16, line 4. A. Okay. So 16, line 4 it states: CenturyLink will provide double the credit balance to consumers and then inform customers about this program via quarterly bill inserts starting within 30 days of the AFOR effective date. Okay. Thank you. Q. And then in the settlement agreement, it says that the bill insert notifications will be provided within 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR. A. Okay. I would probably go with the terms of the settlement agreement. Q. Okay. So that would be notification 60 days after the effective date of the AFOR? A. Yes. Q. Okay. All right. Then I had a question you heard my question to Mr. Gose about the accuracy of maps. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ability to then challenge it and provide documentation. But even if they don't provide documentation, CenturyLink will then validate attempt to validate what they say, and then all that information will ultimately be given to commission staff for us to go to review. We have spent a lot of time kind of with the data and with the analysis and it will continue to get better. And at this point, it's my understanding that CenturyLink doesn't plan to discontinue service based on the data in front of us, so they would be using future iterations which will only get better with time as well. <b>Q. I thank you for that.</b> You also heard my question about concerns about the UTC giving up authority to approve mergers and acquisitions as the settlement takes that out. When staff was agreeing to this settlement, did you have concerns about that provision? A. The primary concern which was rectified with the current term was really about kind of CenturyLink's ability to kind of break up an individual company, one of the operating entities. Because there is language where essentially CenturyLink can't kind of break up one

		1
	Page 231	Page 233
1	and not the rural or vice versa.	1 Q. Okay. But yeah, that is provided by broadband
2	And so with that consideration, we do have	2 office. All right. Thank you.
3	the current language where if there is someone that is	3 And is it your understanding that cell
4	interested in buying one of these entities, they would	4 towers need are required to have eight hours of
5	be able to do that, and they would be able to do that	5 battery backup?
6	and take taking on the entity as a whole. They're	6 A. No, it's not. And I can expand a little bit if
7	not just getting a component, so they're getting kind of	7 you'd like me to.
8	the urban core along with the rural areas. And any	8 <b>Q. Please.</b>
9	purchasing entity would also be subject to the AFOR. So	9 A. AT&T and T-Mobile were both previously
10	the AFOR still in is place, they wouldn't be relieved of	10 designated as high cost ETCs in the state of Washington
11	any obligations that are created and sustained with this	11 at the and at that time when they received their
12	structure. So that was kind of thinking behind that	12 designation, there was a rule in place that required
13	language.	13 them to have at least I believe it's four hours of
14	And like many of these individual areas, the	14 backup battery power. However after that, the statute
15	sum really is greater than the parts. We're able to	15 was changed or sorry, the rule was changed to just
16	avoid litigation risk with this combined settlement, and	16 require them to have a sufficient amount of backup
17	overall the settlement does benefit consumers by only	17 battery power but it's not "a sufficient" isn't
18	allowing CenturyLink to actually discontinue service if	18 necessarily defined.
19	there are alternative services which are verified	19 Q. So sufficient to do what?
20	ultimately by staff.	A. To provide backup battery. But it it's not
21	Q. Thank you. Now, you mentioned language,	21 defined as far as the length of time
22	translation services as well. I was I saw in the	22 <b>Q. Okay.</b>
23	I think it's Attachment B that there are language	A for cell towers. But yeah.
24	services that are being offered by the broadband office.	24 Q. All right. Yeah, we may have to inquire
25	I didn't see any translation services by the company.	25 further about that.
	Page 232	Page 234
1	Page 232	Page 234 1 And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose
1 2		
	Is that your understanding as well?	1 And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose
2	Is that your understanding as well? A. That that is. I believe there my	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and
2 3	Is that your understanding as well? A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his
2 3 4	Is that your understanding as well? A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they
2 3 4 5	Is that your understanding as well? A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a	<ol> <li>And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose</li> <li>talk about my question to him about seeking state and</li> <li>federal funding. And he said that he was that his</li> <li>company was considering it, he did not say that they</li> <li>would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	Is that your understanding as well? A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going
2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>Is that your understanding as well?</b> A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance. <b>Q. Through the</b> A. Through the kind of digital navigator.	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 100
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay. So the company's going to send out a</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay. So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>G. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot20more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> <li>A. They the discontinuance notice includes a</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot20more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas21not only because of the BEAD funding but also RDOF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> <li>A. They the discontinuance notice includes a link and a flyer for each of those languages for</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot10more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas21not only because of the BEAD funding but also RDOF22funding as well. And so as these other providers do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> <li>A. They the discontinuance notice includes a link and a flyer for each of those languages for individuals to get that language assistance.</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot10more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas21not only because of the BEAD funding but also RDOF22funding as well. And so as these other providers do23roll out available alternative service and report that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> <li>A. They the discontinuance notice includes a link and a flyer for each of those languages for individuals to get that language assistance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot10more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas11not only because of the BEAD funding but also RDOF12funding as well. And so as these other providers do13roll out available alternative service and report that14data to the FCC, those presumably would then be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. That that is. I believe there my apologies. I can try to find it. I do believe for the service quality credit will also be translated into Spanish. But everything else, yes, it is through a digital navigator that there would be language access assistance.</li> <li>Q. Through the</li> <li>A. Through the er-</li> <li>A. Through the kind of digital navigator.</li> <li>Q. By the company or by the who would be the digital navigator is is what?</li> <li>A. It's a grant ran by the Washington State Broadband Office</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A to help with the digital equity.</li> <li>Q. Right. Okay.</li> <li>So the company's going to send out a postcard, people are going to get the postcard, so they would have to contact the broadband office to get those kinds of translation services then?</li> <li>A. They the discontinuance notice includes a link and a flyer for each of those languages for individuals to get that language assistance.</li> </ul>	1And my last question is: You heard Mr. Gose2talk about my question to him about seeking state and3federal funding. And he said that he was that his4company was considering it, he did not say that they5would or would not. If the company does not seek BEAD6funding or other assistance funding, how is that going7to impact some of the numbers we would see for areas8where the company might want to discontinue services?9A. Yeah. So let me answer it two separate ways.10If they do seek it and then they don't seek it.11So if they don't seek it, the Washington12State Broadband Office does that have a project area map13where basically providers are will be going in and14bidding on deploying broadband that needs at least 10015down, 20 up to these different areas. Assuming they16overlap CenturyLink's study area, these companies will17start they will most likely be awarded the funding in182025 and start building out over the next several years.19So over that five years, there will be a lot10more competition throughout CenturyLink's study areas21not only because of the BEAD funding but also RDOF22funding as well. And so as these other providers do23roll out available alternative service and report that

	Page 235	Page 237
1	CenturyLink would be able to then kind of go to that	1 Mr. Robinson O'Neill.
2	discontinuance process because they'd be able to point	2 EXAMINATION
3	to, say, company ABC is now offering one gig to your	3 BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:
4	location even though right now you have no one. So it	4 Q. You're were referring to the open meeting
5	does increase the competition in those areas, but it's	5 process, and I just want to clarify that the rules that
6	going to take time. And this process was designed so	6 you the rule you were referring to is referenced on
7	that kind of that can be taken into consideration.	7 page 10 of Attachment A to the settlement, and that's
8	You did ask what if they do participate in	8 in that's in section it's in the discontinuance
9	the funding. And if they do participate in the funding,	<sup>9</sup> section which goes on for some time, but it's page 10.
10	it is interesting, because the way we structured this,	10 And that references WAC 481.20.083. Is that the rule
11	CenturyLink and its affiliates' information is not taken	11 that references the process that will apply here?
12	into consideration as a competitor. So if they receive	12 A. For the that does reference kind of the
13	that BEAD funding within their areas and no one else is	13 normal discontinuance process which we use any time a
14	offering available services, then they would not be able	14 CLEC discontinues service. There also is the enhanced
15	to point to themselves and say, look, there's	15 discontinuance process but that in staffs' mind
16	competition. So if there is no one else that has	16 that's kind of a precursor to be able to get to that WAC
17	otherwise deployed there on their own, then those	17 that you referenced, yes.
18	locations would still be CCLs because although they may	18 Q. Okay. Great. And then Attachment B to the
19	have one gig service, there's no other option, and so	19 settlement or Appendix B to the settlement is a
20	they wouldn't be able to discontinue that voice service.	20 proposed letter I see it as a proposed letter to go
21	Q. Okay. And if the BEAD funding is not	21 to customers. And is there going to be further work on
22	available, it's set up in such a way that they won't be	this letter? Will public counsel and staff and the
23	funding two entities that would be overbuilding each	23 consumer protection division have an opportunity to work
24	other?	24 on this letter, or is this the letter that everyone's
25	A. Correct. There's a whole deed duplication	25 agreed to?
	Page 236	Page 238
1	process where basically of there's broadband enforceable	1 A. This is the letter that the settlement parties
2	commitment, then if it's funded by another program, then	2 have agreed to. We did incorporate and work with
3	the BEAD funding wouldn't also offer support for that.	3 consumer protection to work through this process and the
4	They also do have gualifications on whether or not it's	4 company, however public counsel did not have any input
5	a reliable service. And so NTIA, not the FCC, because	5 on that. So it's my understanding that this is kind of
6	the FCC didn't necessarily find that one way or another.	6 the final product as a structure of this settlement.
7	But NTIA defines "reliable service" as or they	7 Q. Okay. So if the commission were to grant the
8	they exclude unlicensed fixed wireless from that as well	8 settlement, it there are some things in here that I
9	as satellite.	9 think could be made more clear. Would there be an
10	And so if there's only an unlicensed fixed	10 objection to make this more clear? To require the
11	wireless provider or a satellite provider that report	11 parties to work together again on this letter?
12	availability, the Washington State Broadband Office will	12 A. I staff works the settlement kind of in its
13	consider that as unserved or unserved or underserved	13 entirety without condition. The kind of the letter
14	and so then it would be eligible for BEAD funding as	14 is in front of us as far as I understand it. But I I
15	well.	15 can't speak for the company as far as what how they
16	COMMISSIONER DANNER: All right. Thank you	16 feel one way or another, but it they may be open and
17	very much for answering my questions. That's all I	17 willing to kind of work through that in the process.
18	have. Thank you.	18 <b>Q. Okay. I'll leave that there.</b>
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Chair Danner.	19 You heard my questions for Mr. Gose;
20	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. Good	20 <b>correct?</b>
21	afternoon, Mr. Bennett. This is Commissioner Rendahl.	21 A. I did, yes.
22	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Commissioner	22 Q. Okay. And I asked a question about the
23	Rendahl.	23 reference to individual case basis contracting, and it's
24	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just to follow up on	24 your understanding as well that this does not apply to
24 25	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just to follow up on an answer you gave in response to questioning from	<ul> <li>your understanding as well that this does not apply to</li> <li>residential or one of our customers; correct?</li> </ul>
	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just to follow up on an answer you gave in response to questioning from	

45 (Pages 235 to 238)

			Docket No. 01-240029 - Vol.
	Page 239		Page 241
1	A. Correct, yes.	1	A. It would be, yeah.
2	Q. Okay. So did you also hear the question I	2	Q. So I think we can sometimes we get hung up
3	asked Mr. Gose about the impact of the Provision 8 in	3	when there's not a regulation, we think this is not
4	lieu of commission penalties question?	4	happening. But I think if we asked you, for example,
5	A. I did. I'd be happy to address that further.	5	procure for us and we took notice of that fact that
6	Q. Okay. So in Provision 8 has just general	6	wireless companies are, in fact, providing backup power,
7	provisions about service credits and then Subsection D	7	you could procure that information if we asked for that
8	speaks to the double service credits. And the question	8	because just it's out there. I mean, it's it's to
9	is: That statement, "in lieu of commission penalties	9	my knowledge, that's going on right now, so
10	associated with the failure to apply or not apply the	10	A. We can definitely if we got a bench request for
11	correct amount," does that restrict the commission's	11	that, we could definitely research it further and get
12	ability to take enforcement action or assess penalties	12	response back to you.
13	for these specific the specific facts of those	13	Q. Thank you. And one of the question you
14	specific service quality issues?	14	mentioned earlier that your telecom shop, small but
15	A. The waiver is only specific to the application	15	mighty, okay. I understand Mr. Robinson O'Neill's
16	of the credit. Staffs' understanding that the that	16	concern about are we working on a hope here, right, that
17	it is not waiving the commission's ability to do quality	17	folks aren't going to get left behind without service.
18	of services penalties. So the commission has the	18	We can't have that happen. So I I want you to go a
19	ability if it finds if it goes through an	19	little bit deeper if you could, and I'm looking at your
20	investigation, it would be able to assess penalties on	20	direct testimony, page 4 but also page 1 of the bottom
21	these, it only would not be able to assess a penalty	21	right, to give your background.
22	because it missed that credit specifically, if that	22	Can you share some of your background in
23	makes sense.	23	terms of the depth of your knowledge on rural broadband
24	So, I mean, if the line is out for a week	24	mapping granular data for the record.
25	and it missed the credit, then they're going to get	25	A. Yeah.
	Page 240		Page 242
1	approximately a \$6 credit plus the doubling of \$12 which	1	Q. Just to have it.
2	directly benefits the consumer rather than a penalty	2	A. Yeah. Most definitely. I've been here now
3	permissing that application. However, nothing in this	3	with the commission since about 2016. This is my first
4	prevents the commission from being able to issue	4	kind of administering or overseeing the annual report
5	additional penalties for those six days whether it feels	5	process and came up to telecom in 2018. And from that
6	it's necessary based on the facts to do \$100 a day or	6	time, I've helped administer the Small State Universal
7	\$1,000 a day or somewhere in between. Does that answer	7	Communication Services Program which is about helping
8	your question?	8	some of our small ILECs, maintaining enhanced and
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That does. That's	9	provide both voice and more recently broadband service.
10	very helpful. I defer to my colleague, and I may have	10	As a component of that, those companies
11	more follow-up.	11	many of them did have a defined broadband build-out
12	THE WITNESS: Of course. Thank you.	12	obligation. And a part of that is, they had to report
13	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Thank you,	13	to us their build-out. And so they did provide us with
14	Mr. Bennett.	14	the shape files which we've analyzed, and also as a part
15	EXAMINATION	15	of kind of confirming and truing up their reported
16	BY COMMISSIONER DOUMIT:	16	information, also downloading reported broadband
17	Q. So back to wireless battery backup, which	17	deployment obligations, that those same providers
	you would you agree the wireless industry, unlike	18	reported to the FCC. Because ETCs, Eligible
18			
18 19	what we're talking here is a highly competitive	19	Telecommunication Carriers, are required to hit their
18 19 20	what we're talking here is a highly competitive enterprise?	20	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and
18 19 20 21	what we're talking here is a highly competitive enterprise? A. Generally, yes.	20 21	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and report these to the FCC.
18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>what we're talking here is a highly competitive</li> <li>enterprise?</li> <li>A. Generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether there's a regulation on</li> </ul>	20 21 22	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and report these to the FCC. That information is downloadable, and so I
18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what we're talking here is a highly competitive</li> <li>enterprise?</li> <li>A. Generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether there's a regulation on</li> <li>battery backup or not, would you believe it's a distinct</li> </ul>	20 21 22 23	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and report these to the FCC. That information is downloadable, and so I download that and compare it all just to make sure that
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>what we're talking here is a highly competitive enterprise?</li> <li>A. Generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether there's a regulation on battery backup or not, would you believe it's a distinct competitive disadvantage to not maintain backup power in</li> </ul>	20 21 22 23 24	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and report these to the FCC. That information is downloadable, and so I download that and compare it all just to make sure that what the companies are telling us matches what they're
18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what we're talking here is a highly competitive</li> <li>enterprise?</li> <li>A. Generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether there's a regulation on</li> <li>battery backup or not, would you believe it's a distinct</li> </ul>	20 21 22 23	obligations, their broadband deployment obligations and report these to the FCC. That information is downloadable, and so I download that and compare it all just to make sure that

	Page 243		Page 245
1	double reporting of, hey, we did this, we did this, and	1	further bench questions, Mr. Bennett, thank you for your
2	they're the same one. So just holding companies	2	testimony today, and you are excused from the remainder
3	accountable.	3	of the hearing.
4	l've also worked with Washington State	4	MR. BENNETT: Thank you.
5	Broadband Office on their de-duplication process making	5	JUDGE HOWARD: Before we proceed to the next
6	sure that areas aren't double funded. These same small	6	witness, I just want to note we would have about
7	ILECs that I mentioned earlier do receive either a	7	two-and-a-half hours remaining of hearing time minus ten
8	number of different federal high cost support program	8	minutes for a midafternoon break soon. Are we fine with
9	funds, broadband loop sort, ACAM, enhanced ACAM. I can	9	proceeding to the company cross of Brevitz, or would the
10	go into the details, but I'll spare you that for now.	10	parties like to take a break now.
11	But part of that is just making sure that if they do	11	MR. ROBERSON: Your Honor, a brief break
12	have a broadband deployment obligation, that the	12	would be great.
13	Washington State Broadband Office is aware of that and	13	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. We'll take a
14	so that way, they can report those areas as not eligible	14	brief break. We'll be back at 2:42, and then we'll try
15	for BEAD funding.	15	to timely and efficiently move through the remaining
16	Again with the small ILECs, we knew that the	16	part of the afternoon. So we are off the record.
17	broadband data collection process was coming, and the	17	(A break was taken from 2:33 to 2:44 p.m.)
18	commissioners knew that it was coming. And so when	18	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record.
19	rules were developed, those small incumbent local	19	We're going to continue with our last witness, David
20	exchange companies that the commission had the foresight	20	Brevitz, testifying on behalf of the public counsel.
21	to require those companies to provide that data to the	21	And I will turn it over to my colleague, Judge Callahan.
22	staff. And staff did receive that data and kind of did	22	JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. Brevitz
23	an analysis and worked with each of the companies to	23	MR. BREVITZ: Yes.
24	just ensure that what they were reporting to the FCC and	24	JUDGE CALLAHAN: can you hear me?
25	us is what they intended to report. And they have, and	25	MR. BREVITZ: Yes.
	Page 244		Page 246
1	it's gotten better with time.	1	JUDGE CALLAHAN: Please raise your right
2	So there's a lot of with broadband	2	hand, and I will swear you in.
3	availability, understanding where it is and where it	3	Do you swear the testimony you testify today
4	isn't so that policymakers can identify areas that are	4	is the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth?
5	unserved, and we're just trying to kind of do our part	5	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
5 6	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best	5 6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please
6 7	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all	5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross.
6 7 8	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of	5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.
6 7 8 9	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long,	5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name
6 7 8 9 10	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying.	5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?
6 7 8 9 10 11	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. <b>Q. Thank you for that background.</b>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission? A. Yes. Definitely.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you change any of that testimony today?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission? A. Yes. Definitely. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you change any of that testimony today? THE WITNESS: It is true and accurate. I do
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission? A. Yes. Definitely. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thanks.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you change any of that testimony today? THE WITNESS: It is true and accurate. I do have some corrections to 1T.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission? A. Yes. Definitely. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thanks. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I have nothing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you change any of that testimony today? THE WITNESS: It is true and accurate. I do have some corrections to 1T. MR. O'NEILL: All right. Can you go ahead
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to help Washington State Broadband Office have the best information available so that we can make sure that all unserved and underserved areas in the state of Washington do get that service because for too long, they haven't. Not for lack of trying. Q. Thank you for that background. So based on your expertise and resources in your shop, then, are you fully confident it's an important question public counsel raises. We have not we can't go on faith here. Are you fully confident that you can verify and validate the data and the decisions disconnection decisions that CenturyLink brings to you and to your shop on behalf of the commission? A. Yes. Definitely. COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. Thanks.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, please introduce the witness and tender him for cross. MR. O'NEILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is David Brevitz. And the spelling of the last name is B-r-e-v, as in Victor, i-t-z, as in zebra. MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Brevitz, did you prepare and file with the commission what have been marked Exhibits DB 1T through DB 14? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. O'NEILL: Is the information contained in those exhibits true and accurate, and would you change any of that testimony today? THE WITNESS: It is true and accurate. I do have some corrections to 1T.

47 (Pages 243 to 246)

	Page 247		Page 249
1	THE WITNESS: Yes. So this is my original	1	Q. And you favor an AFOR, an alternative form of
2	response testimony, and contained within it was	2	regulation. You favor an AFOR as the vehicle for
3	references to the testimony of Stephanie K. Chase on	3	resolving CenturyLink's petition in this case rather
4	behalf of the public counsel's unit. Those references	4	than a granting of competitive classification; is that
5	should be globally changed to Jean Marie Dreyer who has	5	correct?
6	adopted her testimony on behalf of public counsel.	6	A. Yes. I think that's more appropriate. And to
7	That's the first one.	7	that extent, I agree with the settlement. It is the
8	And then on page 29, line 2 the word "less"	8	framework for a proposed AFOR that requires some
9	should be changed to "more."	9	modification, but it is an AFOR.
10	SPEAKER: What line was that?	10	Q. You testified that broadband internet access
11	THE WITNESS: That was line 2.	11	service connections are the telecommunication
12	MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.	12	connections chosen by consumers across the country. Do
13	THE WITNESS: Page 29, line 2, "less" should	13	you recall that testimony?
14	be "more."	14	A. I don't know where those exact words are, but
15	MR. O'NEILL: With those changes, do you	15	that was certainly the thrust of my testimony.
16	have any other changes?	16	Q. Okay. Is it in the public interest for
17	THE WITNESS: I have three more.	17	broadband services to be expanded throughout Washington?
18	MR. O'NEILL: Okay.	18	A. Yes.
19	THE WITNESS: On page 33, line 12 insert an	19	Q. Do you understand that every dollar spent out
20	end parenthesis after PD3 fiber. It's missing an end	20	of pocket on legacy copper facilities is a dollar
21	parens.	21	potentially not available to expand broadband services
22	And if we're ready, on page 39, line 20,	22	further into Washington?
23	delete the first appearance of the word "not."	23	A. Well the way I would approach answering that
24	MR. O'NEILL: And the last change?	24	question is to say yes, capital investment dollars are
25	MR. BREVITZ: And then lastly on page 43,	25	limited, companies have to make choices where they spend
10			
	Page 248		Page 250
1			
1	line 9, at the end of the line "is" should be replaced	1	it. Lumen is making the choice to spend their capital
2	line 9, at the end of the line "is" should be replaced with "its." And that's all the corrections I have.	1 2	it. Lumen is making the choice to spend their capital expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other
		1	
2	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have.	2	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other
2 3	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I	2 3	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument
2 3 4	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination.	2 3 4	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue
2 3 4 5	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for	2 3 4 5	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the
2 3 4 5 6	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for 90 minutes. You may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited
2 3 4 5 6 7	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for 90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for 90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for 90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHERR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Thank you.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper. Q. And you agree with that, don't you?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Thank you.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Thank you.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> <li>is your settlement response testimony</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> <li>is your settlement response testimony</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which is your settlement response testimony A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this</li> <li>matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> <li>is your settlement response testimony</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I</li> <li>tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this</li> <li>matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> <li>is your settlement response testimony</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind</li> <li>getting a little closer to the mic?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other</li> <li>enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument</li> <li>in California in support of its request to discontinue</li> <li>copper services in the state of California. It told the</li> <li>commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited</li> <li>and if it would have more money to spend on other</li> <li>advanced services in the state if it didn't have to</li> <li>spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in</li> <li>theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which</li> <li>is your settlement response testimony</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind</li> <li>getting a little closer to the mic?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Yes. I apologize. Is this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?</li> <li>A. It's unnecessary. Rate of return regulation is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper.</li> <li>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in theory that's true.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which is your settlement response testimony A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind getting a little closer to the mic?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Yes. I apologize. Is this okay? Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?</li> <li>A. It's unnecessary. Rate of return regulation is a historical method of public utility regulation. I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper.</li> <li><b>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</b></li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in theory that's true.</li> <li><b>Q. Thank you.</b> Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which is your settlement response testimony A. Yes.</li> <li><b>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</b> COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind getting a little closer to the mic? MR. SHERR: Yes. I apologize. Is this okay? Thank you.</li> <li>A. Page 11?</li> <li><b>Q. Yes, sir. Page 11 of 32.</b> A. Yep.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with "its." And that's all the corrections I have. MR. O'NEILL: With those corrections, I tender the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE CALLAHAN: The company has cross for</li> <li>90 minutes. You may proceed. MR. SHERR: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. My name is Adam Sherr. I know we've spoken</li> <li>before, but I am the attorney for CenturyLink in this matter. It's a pleasure to see you again.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does public counsel believe that the</li> <li>CenturyLink ILEC should be rate of return regulated in the state of Washington?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Why is that?</li> <li>A. It's unnecessary. Rate of return regulation is a historical method of public utility regulation. I can't remember the last rate case for a telephone</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>expenditure dollars or quantum fiber and other enterprise services. And AT&amp;T made a similar argument in California in support of its request to discontinue copper services in the state of California. It told the commission that interfact sellers (phonetic) are limited and if it would have more money to spend on other advanced services in the state if it didn't have to spend it on copper.</li> <li><b>Q. And you agree with that, don't you?</b></li> <li>A. I yeah, I don't know the dollars, but in theory that's true.</li> <li><b>Q. Thank you.</b> Could you take a look at Exhibit DB 19 which is your settlement response testimony A. Yes.</li> <li><b>Q and page 11, let me know when you're there.</b> COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Counsel, do you mind getting a little closer to the mic? MR. SHERR: Yes. I apologize. Is this okay? Thank you.</li> <li>A. Page 11?</li> <li><b>Q. Yes, sir. Page 11 of 32.</b></li> </ul>

In the Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.

[]	• · ·	1
	Page 251	Page 253
1	A. Yeah, yes.	1 A. Yes. Area in terms of geography and the number
2	Q. All of this information comes from	2 of customers affected.
3	Mr. Bennett's original response testimony and not his	3 Q. Okay. And did you mention frequency as well?
4	settlement testimony; correct?	4 A. I don't recall that. I may have.
5	A. Correct.	5 Q. Okay. Those let me rephrase.
6	Q. And it excludes the consideration of mobile	6 The 2014 AFOR, the one that's still
7	wireless, doesn't it?	7 effective, didn't limit CenturyLink's ability to seek
8	A. I believe so, yes.	8 discontinuance in terms of area size or number of
9	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the	9 customers, did it?
10	Centers for Disease Control was incorrect that	10 A. I recall that provision. The size of the area
11	74.2 percent of adults in Washington use only wireless	11 at that time was unlimited, yes.
12	phones?	12 Q. Okay. And public counsel is a party to the
13	A. I don't have any reason to disbelieve that, no.	13 settlement that led to that AFOR, wasn't it?
14	Q. Okay. Any reason to disbelieve the CDC's	14A. Ten years ago, yes, they were.
15	finding that 14 percent use mostly wireless?	15Q. So you you urged the commission to consider
16	A. I don't have any reason to disbelieve that, no.	16 placing limitations on the reasons CenturyLink can seek
17	Q. Okay. Any reason to disbelieve that CDC	17 to discontinue service in Washington, don't you?
18	finding that another 6.3 percent of Washington adults	18A. I don't know about limits, but at least be
19	use are dual users of both wireless and landline?	19 explicit. Right now they're the reasons are
20	A. I don't challenge those statistics, no.	20 unstated. We have testimony from the company indicating
21	Q. Okay. So that's not to make you do math,	that they expect us to be rarely, if ever, applied and
22	but that's 94.5 percent of Washington adults have only	22 yet that belies the effort that the company put to
23	or are exclusively using mobile wireless to communicate;	23 getting this provision as it is in the settlement, and
24	correct?	24 it's also occurring at a time when the largest company
25	A. If that's what the math adds up to, I agree.	<sup>25</sup> in the country is pursuing discontinuance of service
	Page 252	Page 254
1	That leaves 5 or 6 percent in the state that don't,	1 over copper in California and other states, and it is
2	which is a nontrivial number in absolute terms.	<ul> <li>also occurring at a time when Lumen has told investors</li> </ul>
3	Q. And the CDC also shows that more than	<ul> <li>also occurring at a time when Edmen has told investors</li> <li>that it's managing the CenturyLink operations for cash</li> </ul>
4	90 percent of U.S. citizens in nonmetropolitan areas use	4 flow. So I think there's ample reason for the
5	wireless only, mostly wireless, or dual users; isn't	5 commission to be concerned about unlimited
6	that correct?	6 discontinuance of what has been a public utility
7	A. I'll take your figure. And again, that leaves	<ul> <li>service, particularly in the rural areas of Washington.</li> </ul>
8	10 percent that don't, which is a nontrivial figure.	8 Q. Are you aware of any other telecommunications
9	And those are the people we're talking about here, I	9 provider in Washington which faces a restriction based
10	think, in this proceeding.	10 on their reasons they seek to discontinue?
11	Q. And if a customer chooses to use mostly	A. I'm not aware of a provider in Washington
12	landline service or only landline service, that may be	12 that's seeking to discontinue service.
13	as a result of their choice and preference; correct?	13 Q. Okay. Well, I appreciate that. That wasn't my
14	A. It may be a result of availability too.	14 question.
15	Q. Okay.	15 Are you aware of any other
16	A. Again, that's why we're here today.	16 telecommunications provider in Washington who has to
		17 justify or explain the reasons they may seek to
17	Q. Okay. But also, it may be a result of them	
17 18	Q. Okay. But also, it may be a result of them choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to	18 discontinue service?
18	choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives? A. Sure.	18 discontinue service?
18 19	<ul> <li>choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. On page 13 to 15 of the same exhibit, this is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 discontinue service?</li> <li>19 A. It seems to me that if another ILEC in the</li> <li>20 state was to come and ask to discontinue service, they'd</li> <li>21 have to justify why. So in that respect, CenturyLink</li> </ul>
18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. On page 13 to 15 of the same exhibit, this is</li> <li>Exhibit DB 9T from pages 13 to 15, you express concern</li> </ul>	18discontinue service?19A. It seems to me that if another ILEC in the20state was to come and ask to discontinue service, they'd21have to justify why. So in that respect, CenturyLink22wouldn't be treated differently.
18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. On page 13 to 15 of the same exhibit, this is</li> <li>Exhibit DB 9T from pages 13 to 15, you express concern that there are no limits on CenturyLink's ability to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinue service?</li> <li>A. It seems to me that if another ILEC in the</li> <li>state was to come and ask to discontinue service, they'd</li> <li>have to justify why. So in that respect, CenturyLink</li> <li>wouldn't be treated differently.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that for sure?</li> </ul>
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. On page 13 to 15 of the same exhibit, this is</li> <li>Exhibit DB 9T from pages 13 to 15, you express concern that there are no limits on CenturyLink's ability to seek discontinuance in Washington in terms of frequency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 discontinue service?</li> <li>19 A. It seems to me that if another ILEC in the</li> <li>20 state was to come and ask to discontinue service, they'd</li> <li>21 have to justify why. So in that respect, CenturyLink</li> <li>22 wouldn't be treated differently.</li> <li>23 Q. Do you know that for sure?</li> <li>24 A. I don't.</li> </ul>
18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>choosing to stick with landline service or subscribe to landline service, not because they lack alternatives?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. On page 13 to 15 of the same exhibit, this is</li> <li>Exhibit DB 9T from pages 13 to 15, you express concern that there are no limits on CenturyLink's ability to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinue service?</li> <li>A. It seems to me that if another ILEC in the</li> <li>state was to come and ask to discontinue service, they'd</li> <li>have to justify why. So in that respect, CenturyLink</li> <li>wouldn't be treated differently.</li> <li>Q. Do you know that for sure?</li> </ul>

		1	
	Page 255		Page 257
1	you're not an attorney, but are you aware of a statute	1	commission to see whether it was necessary to continue
2	or rule in the commission that requires explanation of	2	to require that.
3	justification of the reasons for discontinuance?	3	Q. So under your concept, CenturyLink would obtain
4	A. I believe commission approval is required, and	4	that license, whatever the cost, within the as soon
5	that likely would that seems logical that there would	5	as the AFOR becomes effective?
6	be some sort of explanation for why required. So it may	6	A. Well, certainly before any petition for
7	not be in black and white, but it seems to me that would	7	discontinuance was filed.
8	be part of the process.	8	Q. Right.
9	Q. Are you aware that the overwhelming majority of	9	There's no you understand that there's no
10	telecommunications service providers in Washington have	10	specified timeframe whether it's year one, year two,
11	to do nothing other than provide notice to the	11	year three, whenever, when CenturyLink might actually
12	commission and customers if they choose to discontinue	12	pursue discontinuance in Washington, if ever?
13	service?	13	A. I'll agree the circumstances are completely
14	A. Majority are you including ILECs in that	14	unspecified and vague at this point. We don't know what
15	majority?	15	CenturyLink might do or not do for the next five years
16	Q. Well, that's a fair question.	16	with regard to discontinuance.
17	In terms of voice connections, the providers	17	Q. But you're
18	of the vast majority of voice connections in the state	18	A. I think it's hardly coincidental that this has
19	if they have to do anything, don't have to do anything	19	turned to such a big issue in this case at the same time
20	other than provide notice to the commission and	20	that discontinuance of service over copper facilities is
21	customers that they intend to discontinue service; true?	21	a significant issue nationwide.
22	A. Again, are you including ILECs in that vast	22	Q. And you mentioned you referred to AT&T
23	majority?	23	earlier in California?
24	Q. Sure. Well, I'm not testifying, sir.	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Well, I'm just trying to clarify the question	25	Q. You understand AT&T and CenturyLink are not
	Page 256		Page 258
			1490 200
1	so I know what I'm answering.	1	
1 2	so I know what I'm answering. Q. Sure. I'll move on.	1	affiliates; correct?
	Q. Sure. I'll move on.		affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely.
2	Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of	2	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked,
2 3	Q. Sure. I'll move on.	2 3	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if
2 3 4	Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?	2 3 4	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are – you – it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but
2 3 4 5	Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that	2 3 4 5	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are – you – it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now? A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	affiliates; correct? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now? A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on.</li> <li>Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to require CenturyLink to pay for up to five years of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area served only by CenturyLink copper networks that could be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to require CenturyLink to pay for up to five years of a license?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area served only by CenturyLink copper networks that could be put forward for the discontinuance of service process in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to require CenturyLink to pay for up to five years of a license?</li> <li>A. Well, the reason I recommended one year was to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area served only by CenturyLink copper networks that could be put forward for the discontinuance of service process in terms of geography and the number of customers</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to require CenturyLink to pay for up to five years of a license?</li> <li>A. Well, the reason I recommended one year was to get the get the cost, get some experience with the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area served only by CenturyLink copper networks that could be put forward for the discontinuance of service process in terms of geography and the number of customers affected." Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. I'll move on. Do you agree that the larger the area of hypothetical discontinuance, the more likely it is that there will be one or more CCLs in that area?</li> <li>A. I think that could very well be the case, yes.</li> <li>Q. And if there's at least if there's just one</li> <li>CCL in that area, small and large, that proposed AFOR requires CenturyLink to submit that discontinuance for affirmative approval by the commission; right?</li> <li>A. I believe that is what the settlement says, yes.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a quote from CostQuest for a one-year license; true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that the proposed AFOR is for at least five years?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So are you really urging the commission to require CenturyLink to pay for up to five years of a license?</li> <li>A. Well, the reason I recommended one year was to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>affiliates; correct?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So going back to the question I asked, are - you it's not clear when CenturyLink might, if ever, seek discontinuance to an area of Washington but you're urging the commission to require CenturyLink to obtain a one-year CostQuest license now?</li> <li>A. Yes. Because I think the urgency with which CenturyLink has pursued this issue in this case in this settlement suggests that the company intends to use the process.</li> <li>Q. Would you take a look at page 17 of the same exhibit, Exhibit DD 19?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Great. Could you look there's a sentence that starts on line 4 that I'm going to read to you and then ask you a question. You say: "Second, the commission should place limits on the size that the area served only by CenturyLink copper networks that could be put forward for the discontinuance of service process in terms of geography and the number of customers</li> </ul>

50 (Pages 255 to 258)

	Page 259	Page 261
1	Q. Okay. Are you using "served only by	
1 2	CenturyLink copper networks" as a synonym for	1         voice service in any rural portion of the state?           2         A. No. It's very location specific. It's where
∠ 3	CenturyLink's ILEC service territory?	3 the customer is, and do they have a decent wireless
4	A. No. That means that's a smaller area than	
5		<ul> <li>4 signal to carry data at high speed.</li> <li>5 Q. Okay. And as we discussed before, the CDC</li> </ul>
5	probably CenturyLink's statewide footprint in that it	6 shows that more than 90 percent of the U.S. citizens in
7	would exclude areas where Century has both fiber and	<ul> <li>7 nonmetropolitan areas use wireless only, mostly,</li> </ul>
8	copper routes in the same area in parallel. Q. Okay. I was just trying to understand what you	<ul> <li>8 wireless, or dual users?</li> </ul>
9	were referring to.	9 A. Right. Which leaves the other 10 percent which
10	A. Right.	10 I think the commission needs to be concerned about.
11	Q. So you're referring to areas here where	1011Q. Okay. And some part of that 10 percent or
12	CenturyLink has copper but does not have fiber?	12 maybe all that 10 percent may actually have other
13	A. Right.	13 alternatives available to them, but they may choose not
14	Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.	14 to pursue those alternatives?
15	You suggest the commission should consider	15 A. Perhaps. I don't know.
16	precluding CenturyLink from seeking discontinuance in an	16Q. Is it public counsel's position that in
17	area larger than a wire center, a distribution area, or	17 CenturyLink's service territory, CenturyLink had market
18	to more than 50 or 100 customers; correct?	18 power over the 72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who use
19	A. Well, that sentence is food for thought for the	19 wireless only?
20	commission to put some flesh on the bones of some	20 MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object here just
21	possibilities for reasonable limits in the absence of	21 briefly. That's the second time it's happened.
22	any limits whatsoever in the settlement agreement. I'm	22 Mr. Brevitz is not public counsel, he's a
23	not sure that I would necessarily advocate any one of	23 witness. And you can ask him his opinion, but I would
24	those specifically, but those are the kind of	object to any question about public counsel's position.
25	limitations that the commission could add to settlement	25 JUDGE CALLAHAN: Counsel, can you rephrase
	Page 260	Page 262
1	Page 260 as a modification.	
1 2	as a modification.	
	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications	1 your question?
2	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> </ol>
2 3	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> </ol>
2 3 4	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they? A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they? A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they? A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> <li>A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as a modification. Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they? A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> <li>A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has</li> <li>market power where the customer does not have an</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> <li>A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has</li> <li>market power where the customer does not have an</li> <li>adequate alternative service. And those locations tend</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> <li>A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has</li> <li>market power where the customer does not have an</li> <li>adequate alternative service. And those locations tend</li> <li>to be, it appears, in a rural areas.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>your question?</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Absolutely.</li> <li>BY MR. SHERR:</li> <li>Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's</li> <li>service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the</li> <li>72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?</li> <li>A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has</li> <li>market power where the customer does not have an</li> <li>adequate alternative service. And those locations tend</li> <li>to be, it appears, in a rural areas.</li> <li>Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even</li> <li>using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the urban areas, even though there's spots in urban areas</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.         20       Q. Same question as to nonurban the 13 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the urban areas, even though there's spots in urban areas where you can't get a decent signal. But as a general</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.         20       Same question as to nonurban the 13 percent         21       of nonurban citizens who mostly use wireless. Would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the urban areas, even though there's spots in urban areas where you can't get a decent signal. But as a general rule, more so in urban areas, mobile wireless is used as</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.         20       Same question as to nonurban the 13 percent         21       of nonurban citizens who mostly use wireless. Would you         22       agree with me that CenturyLink doesn't have market power </th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the urban areas, even though there's spots in urban areas where you can't get a decent signal. But as a general rule, more so in urban areas, mobile wireless is used as broadband substitute.</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         7       7. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.         20       Same question as to nonurban the 13 percent         21       of nonurban citizens who mostly use wireless. Would you         22       agree with me that CenturyLink doesn't have market power         23       over the 13 percent of nonurban customers who mostly use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>as a modification.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And again, no other telecommunications provider in Washington is subject to those types of restrictions, are they?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, no other ILEC in Washington is seeking authority to discontinue service without commission approval. So yes, it's tailored to this case.</li> <li>Q. You urged the commission to be skeptical bout the reach of mobile wireless in rural areas of Washington?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to your concerns about rural areas, let me ask you a couple threshold questions. Do you believe mobile wireless is an adequate substitute for CenturyLink landline service.</li> <li>A. It can be in certain places. And again, that kind of falls along the rural/urban split. Mobile wireless signal is much more robust and reliable in the urban areas, even though there's spots in urban areas where you can't get a decent signal. But as a general rule, more so in urban areas, mobile wireless is used as</li> </ul>	1       your question?         2       MR. SHERR: Absolutely.         3       BY MR. SHERR:         4       Q. Is it your position that in CenturyLink's         5       service territory, CenturyLink has market power over the         6       72.9 percent of nonurban citizens who only use service?         7       A. My testimony would be that CenturyLink has         8       market power where the customer does not have an         9       adequate alternative service. And those locations tend         10       to be, it appears, in a rural areas.         11       Q. But you would agree if a customer's not even         12       using landline service, CenturyLink can't possibly have         13       market power over that customer?         14       A. Would you repeat that?         15       Q. Sure.         16       You would agree, though, that if a customer         17       is not using landline service at all, that CenturyLink         18       can't be deemed to have market power over that customer?         19       A. Right.         20       Same question as to nonurban the 13 percent         21       of nonurban citizens who mostly use wireless. Would you         22       agree with me that CenturyLink doesn't have market power </th

	Page 263	Page 265
1	service those wireless customers might be using.	1 Q. Same question: Does the FCC limit Section 214
2	They're mostly in wireless. What the other service	2 discontinuance as to particular geographic sizes or
3	they're using?	3 numbers of customers?
4	Q. So your answer is you don't know?	4 A. No, not to my knowledge, which again increases
5	A. Right.	5 the importance of a commission review of these kinds of
6	Q. Okay. Thank you.	6 discontinuances.
7	And same question for the dual users which	7 Q. Are you aware of how infrequently the FCC has
8	is 5 percent according to CDC of nonurban citizens are	8 permitted an ILEC to discontinue voice service in its
9	dual users of wireless and landline. Do you believe	9 legacy territory?
10	that CenturyLink has market power over that 5 percent in	10 A. I have, yes. From what I've reviewed with
11	its service territory?	11 the 2022 through '24 applications, most of those
12	A. Well again, I don't know. I don't know what	12 applications are CLECs. And for the ILECs, I didn't
13	alternative services they are using in addition to the	13 review each and every one, but the ones I reviewed, it
14	mobile wireless and what they're using the mobile	14 was situations like a road move or in some cases, again,
15	service for.	15 AT&T was applying for broad scale service
16	Q. Have you conducted or provided any studies as	16 discontinuance. There's one where it applied for
17	to how many rural Washington customers appear to have	17 discontinuance in 60 exchanges and 14 states, something
18	access to mobile wireless service based on FCC data but	18 like that.
19	in reality lack functional service?	19 Q. Was that approved by the FCC?
20	A. I have not.	20 A. It's hard to tell. They don't really act on
21	Q. Do you have any data indicating that mobile	them. They put out a public notice, and that's the last
22	wireless service isn't suitable in rural areas of	thing you see in the file. They're deemed granted
23	Washington?	23 within 31 days if it's a discontinuance, I think, if
24	A. No. But that's a location-by-location	24 there's no objection.
25	question. That's a very customer specific question.	25 Q. Do you want to I'm so sorry to talk over
	Page 264	Page 266
1		
1 2	Page 264 Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not.	
	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others	1 you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?
2	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not.	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> </ol>
2 3	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> </ol>
2 3 4	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission?	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not.	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.</li> <li>Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.</li> <li>Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:</li> <li>"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.</li> <li>Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:</li> <li>"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a</li> <li>location where the customer may have DSL service from</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used?	<ol> <li>you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?</li> <li>A. That was it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&amp;T</li> <li>application you're talking about was deemed granted by</li> <li>the FCC?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.</li> <li>Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:</li> <li>"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a</li> <li>location where the customer may have DSL service from</li> <li>CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes.	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022,	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you.	1       you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?         2       A. That was it.         3       Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T         4       application you're talking about was deemed granted by         5       the FCC?         6       A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.         7       Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:         8       "it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a         9       location where the customer may have DSL service from         10       CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"         11       A. Yes.         12       Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what         13       you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.         14       In the hypothetical you're proposing, does         15       the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local         16       voice service from CenturyLink?         17       A. Let's see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214	1       you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?         2       A. That was it.         3       Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T         4       application you're talking about was deemed granted by         5       the FCC?         6       A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.         7       Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:         8       "it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a         9       location where the customer may have DSL service from         10       CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"         11       A. Yes.         12       Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what         13       you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.         14       In the hypothetical you're proposing, does         15       the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local         16       voice service from CenturyLink?         17       A. Let's see.         18       Q. And for to help follow along, this is page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission?</li> <li>A. I was not.</li> <li>Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process?</li> <li>A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews?</li> </ul>	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local16voice service from CenturyLink?17A. Let's see.18Q. And for to help follow along, this is page1919, lines 20 and 21.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not.</li> <li>Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission?</li> <li>A. I was not.</li> <li>Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process?</li> <li>A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> </ul>	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local16voice service from CenturyLink?17A. Let's see.18Q. And for to help follow along, this is page19lines 20 and 21.20A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews? A. I have not. Q. Does the FCC limit Section 214 discontinuances	1       you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?         2       A. That was it.         3       Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T         4       application you're talking about was deemed granted by         5       the FCC?         6       A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.         7       Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:         8       "it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a         9       location where the customer may have DSL service from         10       CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"         11       A. Yes.         12       Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what         13       you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.         14       In the hypothetical you're proposing, does         15       the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local         16       voice service from CenturyLink?         17       A. Let's see.         18       Q. And for to help follow along, this is page         19       Jines 20 and 21.         20       A. Right.         21       Q. If you need me to ask the question again, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews? A. I have not. Q. Does the FCC limit Section 214 discontinuances to particular reasons or justifications?	1       you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?         2       A. That was it.         3       Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T         4       application you're talking about was deemed granted by         5       the FCC?         6       A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.         7       Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:         8       "it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a         9       location where the customer may have DSL service from         10       CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"         11       A. Yes.         12       Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what         13       you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.         14       In the hypothetical you're proposing, does         15       the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local         16       voice service from CenturyLink?         17       A. Let's see.         18       Q. And for to help follow along, this is page         19       Jines 20 and 21.         20       A. Right.         21       Q. If you need me to ask the question again, I         22       certainly can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews? A. I have not. Q. Does the FCC limit Section 214 discontinuances to particular reasons or justifications? A. No, they do not. Which I think increases the	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local16voice service from CenturyLink?17A. Let's see.18Q. And for to help follow along, this is page19lines 20 and 21.20A. Right.21Q. If you need me to ask the question again, I22certainly can.23A. Yeah, go ahead, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews? A. I have not. Q. Does the FCC limit Section 214 discontinuances to particular reasons or justifications? A. No, they do not. Which I think increases the importance of the commission review and approval of	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local16voice service from CenturyLink?17A. Let's see.18Q. And for to help follow along, this is page1919, lines 20 and 21.20A. Right.21Q. If you need me to ask the question again, I22certainly can.23A. Yeah, go ahead, please.24Q. In the hypothetical you're presenting there for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Some premises will have decent wireless access, others will not. Q. Public counsel submitted a few customer comments as cross-examination exhibits. Were you involved in reviewing those comments and/or selecting those for review by the commission? A. I was not. Q. You also urged the commission to more clearly define the circumstances under which the discontinuance process can be used? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the FCC Section 214 process? A. In a working level, yes. I attached an exhibit showing a list of the applications from for 2022, 2023, and 2024. Q. Thank you. Have you worked on any Section 214 applications for reviews? A. I have not. Q. Does the FCC limit Section 214 discontinuances to particular reasons or justifications? A. No, they do not. Which I think increases the	1you. I apologize. Do you want to finish your answer?2A. That was it.3Q. Okay. Do you believe that this the AT&T4application you're talking about was deemed granted by5the FCC?6A. I wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know.7Q. At page 19 of the same testimony, you state:8"it should be clear that a CCL a CCL includes a9location where the customer may have DSL service from10CenturyLink below the 25/3 threshold?"11A. Yes.12Q. I'll admit that I didn't quite understand what13you were referencing, so I want to try to clarify that.14In the hypothetical you're proposing, does15the CenturyLink DSL customer also subscribe to local16voice service from CenturyLink?17A. Let's see.18Q. And for to help follow along, this is page19lines 20 and 21.20A. Right.21Q. If you need me to ask the question again, I22certainly can.23A. Yeah, go ahead, please.

52 (Pages 263 to 266)

In the	Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol.
	Page 267		Page 269
1	customer also subscribe to local voice service from	1	Q. Well, there's only one customer location that
2	CenturyLink?	2	we're talking about, so the customer go ahead.
3	A. I'm suggesting that's what needs to be clear,	3	A. So the hypothetical is that customer has access
4	whether they do or not.	4	to cable TV over 25, 3, cable internet?
5	Q. If okay. Well, let's go one at a time.	5	Q. Cable internet, that's right.
6	If if there's a CenturyLink voice	6	A. And has access to CenturyLink DSL below 25, 3?
7	customer who also has DSL service from CenturyLink, and	7	Q. Correct. And actually purchases that service.
8	I guess according to your hypothetical the DSL would be	8	A. Okay.
9	provided at below 25 over 3, are you suggesting that	9	Q. But does not purchase voice service from
10	should be a different process than what is set out in	10	CenturyLink.
11	Section 9 of the settlement agreement?	11	A. Okay.
12	A. No, not a different process, just information	12	Q. So I'm wondering if you're suggesting that this
13	provided as to whether or not any of these customer have	13	could should be considered a CCL location?
14	DSL in addition to voice service.	14	A. Well, I think the answer would be no if the
15	Q. What is the value of providing that	15	customer location has cable internet above 25/3
16	information?	16	available.
17	A. A broader picture of what the alternatives are?	17	Q. Thank you.
18	Q. CenturyLink is not going to be considering its	18	You urged caution about consideration of
19	own affiliate broadband services as a competitive rate	19	fixed wireless as a substitute for landline service?
20	services in the CCL analysis, is it?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. No. This is CenturyLink ILEC service. As I	21	Q. And that's on page 21 and 22 of your testimony.
22	understand CenturyLink offers DSL on an unregulated	22	A. Okay.
23	basis.	23	Q. Under the proposed AFOR, the discontinuance
24	Q. Okay. Let me ask it a different way because	24	process requires CenturyLink to communicate in multiple
25	you raised a really good distinction.	25	ways to solicit input on the alternative services shown
	Page 268		Page 270
1	You understand that the CCL analysis will	1	by the FCC, doesn't it?
2	not count as a competitor in broadband service provided	2	A. That's what the settlement says, yes.
3	by any CenturyLink affiliate including the ILEC; true?	3	Q. Okay. So it that customer fears that fixed
4	A. I do. But again, this is information as	4	wireless doesn't actually operate at their house, they
5	disclosure to the extent it pertains for commission	5	can advise CenturyLink and CenturyLink can attempt to
6	consideration.	6	validate that?
7	Q. Staying with your concern about CenturyLink	7	A. That's that's right. That assumes that the
8	DSL, I want to give you a short hypothetical which I	8	process works perfectly and that customers respond to
9	think will be very understandable. And what I want to	9	what's basically a regulatory inquiry that they probably
10	know is whether you believe this customer location	10	don't understand anything except that they might lose
11	should be considered as CCL. Is that okay?	11	their service.
12	A. Okay.	12	Q. And staff and public counsel will both be given
13	Q. Okay. So the three facets: One, the customer	13	that information from CenturyLink and will have an
14	does not use CenturyLink voice service at all. They're	14	opportunity to validate and investigate any concerns
15	not a 1FR customer, they don't have voice service from	15	they may independently have or that are raised by the
16	US.	16	customer.
17	A. Okay.	17	A. To the extent the customer responds at all,
18	Q. The customer does use CenturyLink DSL at a	18	yes, that's true.
19	speed under 25 over 3.	19	Q. Were you in the hearing room when Mr. Bennett
20	A. Okay.	20	testified?
21	Q. And third, the customer has access if it so	21	A. Yes.
22	chooses to cable internet service at greater than 25	22	Q. Did you hear Mr. Bennett testify that staff
23	over 3 at \$50 a month. Would you consider that customer	23	would affirm, validate the CCL findings regardless of
<b>∩</b> 4		0 4	austamar input?
24	a CCL?	24	customer input?
24 25	a CCL? A. Which one?	24 25	A. I I guess I missed that, but I'm not sure

In the	Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.		Docket No. UT-240029 - Vol. I
	Page 271		Page 273
1	how staff would do that unless it's just a reference to	1	level of the complaints, it suggests that at least some
2	the mapping.	2	of these customers do not have an alternative provider
3	Q. You testified this is at page 23 of your	3	that they can move to, and Mr. Webber made the same
4	testimony, Exhibit DB 19.	4	point in this testimony.
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. Okay. Well let me focus on your focus on the
6	Q. You testified about quantum fiber, which	6	words "effective competition." You understand that
7	A. Yes.	7	CenturyLink backed away for purposes of the settlement
8	Q is a broadband service provided by an	8	from its litigation position that it seeks competitive
9	affiliate of CenturyLink ILECs?	9	classification?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. What, if you know, is the total number of	11	Q. Is it your position that the commission must
12	customers CenturyLink has transferred from voice	12	find effective competition exists in order to improve an
13	offerings to unregulated fiber internet offerings from	13	AFOR?
14	Lumen affiliates?	14	A. It is not. The AFOR the statute lists I
15	A. We ask that they request, and CenturyLink	15	think six criteria that the commission has to consider
16	objected to answering, so we don't know.	16	in approving a an AFOR.
17	Q. And do you know the total percentage of	17	Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that
18	customers that you allege have been transferred from	18	commissions' authority over CenturyLink's retail service
19	voice offerings to unrelated fiber internet offerings?	19	quality will change from today and the previous proposed
20	A. We got no answer, so we have no idea.	20	AFOR?
21	Q. And when you discuss transferring of customers	21	A. No. I believe the jurisdiction stays the same,
22	from voice to unregulated fiber internet offerings, are	22	and based on level of complaint data that we've
23	you suggesting a voice customer was moved to a broadband	23	observed, we believe that there's sufficient grounds for
24	service without their consent?	24	the commission to look further into these service
25	A. That's what some of the complaint data	25	quality issues.
	Page 272		Page 274
1	suggested. Customers were complaining that they were	1	Q. Okay. But your testimony is that the purview
2	transferred from CenturyLink to quantum without their	2	of the commission will not change as a result of the
3	knowledge and they didn't particularly understand what	3	proposed AFOR?
4	was happening, and the deal may have changed. There's a	4	A. From the last AFOR, no. The last AFOR
5	whole series of complaints that is listed in	5	referenced the service quality the existing service
6	Ms. Dreyer's testimony.	6	quality statute and then and regulations and then
7	Q. Well speaking of customer complaints, you in	7	subsequent to that, certain regulations were deleted,
8	the same piece of testimony, you call into question	8	leaving the commission with just a general service
9	whether there is effective competition? This is on page	9	quality regulation to operate under.
10	24 through 26.	10	Q. Is it your position that if a customer
11	A. Well, that testimony is in the context of what	11	complains to the company about a service interruption or
12	a customer complaints and quality service problem	12	issue, that it is compelled to change providers?
13	suggest about the existence of effective competition.	13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. Are you testifying that there's a lack	14	Q. Is it your position that it's unreasonable for
15	of effective competition?	15	a customer to experience service issue and still remain
16	A. Not globally.	16	with the provider?
17	Q. Okay. But when you're talking about the	17	A. No. I've had service issues with my own
18	service quality complaints, you're making the point that	18	service, and I stick with the providers usually.
19	there's a lack of effective competition for those	19	Q. So it's possible that a customer can experience
20	customers?	20	a single or even multiple service issues and still
20	Cusioners	1	-
20		21	choose not to change available change to available
	A. The existence of that volume of complaints goes	21 22	choose not to change available change to available alternative services; right?
21	A. The existence of that volume of complaints goes contrary to what commissions around the country have	1	alternative services; right?
21 22	A. The existence of that volume of complaints goes	22	alternative services; right? A. It's possible. I've also seen in my experience
21 22 23	A. The existence of that volume of complaints goes contrary to what commissions around the country have been told for decades about the benefits of competition	22 23	alternative services; right?

54 (Pages 271 to 274)

	Page 275	Page 27
1	have the same service quality problems.	1 MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
2	Q. So if a customer experiences a service quality	2 MR. ROBERSON: And just as that expectation,
3	problem once or even multiple times and they choose to	3 going last, much of what I was going to cover has
4	stay with in this case CenturyLink it may just be	4 already been covered, so I think I can do this in
5	that they have a preference as opposed to not having	5 significantly less than 75 minutes.
6	alternatives; correct?	6 JUDGE CALLAHAN: How much time do you
7	A. I don't know. That doesn't that doesn't	7 anticipate?
8	make a lot of sense to me.	8 MR. ROBERSON: 20 minutes at the most.
9	Q. But you just testified, didn't you, that it's	9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	not unreasonable for a customer to experience a service	10 BY MR. ROBERSON:
11	issue and still remain with the same provider?	11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Brevitz. Would you please
12	A. It's not, but there's a question of the	12 turn to Exhibit 9T, which is your settlement
13	magnitude and the frequency of those service quality	13 testimony
14	issues.	14 A. Yes, I'm there.
15	Q. Okay.	15 <b>Q page 30, about line 6.</b>
16	A. That was the focus, again, of Mr. Webber's	16 A. Okay. Okay.
17	testimony where he focused on recurring complaints,	17 Q. You've been asked questions about this by
18	recurring complaint data that, just the onesie, twosie	18 Mr. Sherr. And this is the portion of your testimony
19	sort of complaints.	19 where you talk about the FCC's discontinuance process;
20	Q. Are you familiar I know you're not an	20 correct?
21	attorney. Are you familiar with RCW 80.36.090 which is	21 A. Yes.
22	entitled Service to be Furnished on Demand?	22 Q. And you've read the settlement; correct?
23	A. Yes. I've read it.	23 A. Yes.
24	Q. Can you explain your understanding of what that	<b>Q.</b> So the settlement contains provisions that
25	statute requires?	25 require CenturyLink to provide notice of a
	Page 276	Page 27
1		
1 2	A. Can you share a copy of that?	
		1 discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and
2	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> </ul>	1discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and2public counsel; correct?
2 3	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> <li>me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> <li>me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your</li> <li>attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> <li>me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not</li> <li>sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your</li> <li>attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to</li> <li>repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance         11       process; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> <li>me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not</li> <li>sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your</li> <li>attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to</li> <li>repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance         11       process; correct?         12       A. It should be very, very similar data to what's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of</li> <li>me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not</li> <li>sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your</li> <li>attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to</li> <li>repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the</li> <li>settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it. MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it. MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't. Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it. MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> <li>outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't. Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it. MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> <li>outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than</li> <li>having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> <li>outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than</li> <li>having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful</li> <li>input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> <li>outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than</li> <li>having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful</li> <li>input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a</li> <li>result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the impression that we were going to redirect at the end of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and</li> <li>public counsel; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that's meant to enable participation in</li> <li>that FCC process; correct?</li> <li>A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.</li> <li>Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more</li> <li>appropriately.</li> <li>That data allows staff and public counsel to</li> <li>meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance</li> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. It should be very, very similar data to what's</li> <li>filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would</li> <li>be for the company to run through the discontinuance</li> <li>process at the Washington commission first, get an</li> <li>outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than</li> <li>having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful</li> <li>input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a</li> <li>result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't</li> <li>be a need for further participation at the FCC level.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the impression that we were going to redirect at the end of both the cross-examination period, but I can I do</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance         11       process; correct?         12       A. It should be very, very similar data to what's         13       filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would         14       be for the company to run through the discontinuance         15       process at the Washington commission first, get an         16       outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than         17       having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful         18       input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a         19       result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't         20       be a need for further participation at the FCC level.         21       Q. Okay. With that in mind, staff does have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the impression that we were going to redirect at the end of both the cross-examination period, but I can I do have questions. I could do it here, or I could reserve</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance         11       process; correct?         12       A. It should be very, very similar data to what's         13       filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would         14       be for the company to run through the discontinuance         15       process at the Washington commission first, get an         16       outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than         17       having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful         18       input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a         19       result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't         20       be a need for further participation at the FCC level.         21       Q. Okay. With that in mind, staff does have the         opportunity or the c
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the impression that we were going to redirect at the end of both the cross-examination period, but I can I do have questions. I could do it here, or I could reserve to the end. And I think more efficient to reserve to</li> </ul>	1discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and2public counsel; correct?3A. Yes.4Q. And that's meant to enable participation in5that FCC process; correct?6A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.7Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more8appropriately.9That data allows staff and public counsel to10meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance11process; correct?12A. It should be very, very similar data to what's13filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would14be for the company to run through the discontinuance15process at the Washington commission first, get an16outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than17having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful18input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a19result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't20be a need for further participation at the FCC level.21Q. Okay. With that in mind, staff does have the22opportunity or the commission has the opportunity to23participate at the FCC level; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Can you share a copy of that?</li> <li>Q. Unfortunately, I don't have a copy in front of me. If you don't know, that's okay.</li> <li>A. I don't. I remember reviewing it, but I'm not sure I have</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I certainly don't have it handy.</li> <li>Q. If I get a chance to cross-examine your attorney, I'll ask him. I probably won't.</li> <li>Do you know if the proposed AFOR purports to repeal or otherwise modify or neuter that statute?</li> <li>A. I don't see any provisions in the AFOR in the settlement that implicate that statute or refer to it.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: Okay. Sir, thank you so much for your time. I have no further questions.</li> <li>JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any redirect? Hearing none. Staff indicated cross was 75 minutes. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I was under the impression that we were going to redirect at the end of both the cross-examination period, but I can I do have questions. I could do it here, or I could reserve</li> </ul>	1       discontinuance filing along with some data to staff and         2       public counsel; correct?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And that's meant to enable participation in         5       that FCC process; correct?         6       A. I'm having a little trouble hearing you.         7       Q. My apologies. Rephrase, perhaps, more         8       appropriately.         9       That data allows staff and public counsel to         10       meaningfully participate in the FCC's 214 discontinuance         11       process; correct?         12       A. It should be very, very similar data to what's         13       filed at the FCC, I think. To me, the best course would         14       be for the company to run through the discontinuance         15       process at the Washington commission first, get an         16       outcome, and then take that to the FCC rather than         17       having them proceed in parallel for the most meaningful         18       input. Ideally, the Washington process would yield a         19       result that could be taken to the FCC and there wouldn't         20       be a need for further participation at the FCC level.         21       Q. Okay. With that in mind, staff does have the         opportunity or the c

	Page 279		Page 281
1	A. That's correct. When the as I understand	1	discontinuance application; correct?
2	it, when the FCC staff gets these applications, they	2	A. Yes.
3	review them first for completeness under the rule,	3	Q. Okay. And I believe Mr. Sherr asked you about
4	communicate with the company to fill any gaps, or get	4	limits on area. But you did talk about limits on the
5	I've seen there are a number of filings there that	5	number of customers; correct?
6	were withdrawn, then re-filed with more information,	6	A. Yes.
7	that kind of thing. And there was one of the AT&T	7	Q. And is it fair to say that the more customers
8	applications involved the state of Michigan, and the	8	for whom a CenturyLink company seeks to discontinue
9	Michigan commission filed objections. And AT&T in the	9	service, the greater the likelihood that there's a CCL
10	Michigan commission kind of went offline for a while,	10	that's one of the customers?
11	and AT&T came back with a joint application in which the	11	A. I can see that being likely, yes.
12	Michigan commission approved or exceeded to.	12	Q. Do you know if CenturyLink has ever sought to
13	Q. So I guess based on that case, it seems like PC	13	discontinue service in an area the size of a wire
14	participation in the FCC process has it's meaningful,	14	center?
15	correct, it affects the outcome?	15	A. To my knowledge, they have not.
16	A. Well, I think it's a little too early to say	16	Q. Do you know if they've ever sought to
17	because from what I can tell, there have not been very	17	discontinue service in an area larger than a wire
18	many full-blown discontinuance residential and business	18	center?
19	service legacy voice. It's most of the applications	19	A. Well, I don't know if sale of 20 state
20	are for other things or for kind of naturally limited	20	operations counts, but Lumen has certainly sold off
21	things like a CenturyLink application with regard to	21	entire states.
22	Florida to discontinue service to avoid having to	22	Q. Fair enough.
23	rebuild after the hurricane around Naples with copper.	23	In Washington are you aware of the company
24	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that	24	or any of the ILECs seeking to discontinue service to an
25	the FCC wouldn't consider the commission's position if	25	area greater than a wire center.
	Page 280		Page 282
1	Page 280	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 282 A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility
	it did comment on a discontinuance application?		A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry
2	it did comment on a discontinuance application? A. No. I think I think it's open public	2	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility
2 3	it did comment on a discontinuance application? A. No. I think I think it's open public comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments	2 3	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in
2 3 4	<b>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</b> A. No. I think I think it's open public comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.	2 3 4	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a</li> <li>state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an</li> <li>individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> <li>a similar weight to an attorney's general office that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a</li> <li>state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an</li> <li>individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> <li>a similar weight to an attorney's general office that</li> <li>makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a</li> <li>state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an</li> <li>individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> <li>a similar weight to an attorney's general office that</li> <li>makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a</li> <li>state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an</li> <li>individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> <li>a similar weight to an attorney's general office that</li> <li>makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past. <b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a</li> <li>state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an</li> <li>individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of</li> <li>a similar weight to an attorney's general office that</li> <li>makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past. <b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</b></li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments</li> <li>that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit?</li> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation.</li> <li>MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</b></li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li><b>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</b></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There you recommend striking the validation</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> <li>A. 17?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There you recommend striking the validation process and accepting customers' determinations that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application? <ul> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? <ul> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> </ul> </li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation. <ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony. <ul> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> <li>A. 17?</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>D. There you recommend striking the validation process and accepting customers' determinations that they don't have an alternative service; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> <li>A. 17?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And all the pages are the actual page in the testimony, not the PDF.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</b></li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li><b>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</b></li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li><b>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</b></li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li><b>Q. There you recommend striking the validation process and accepting customers' determinations that they don't have an alternative service; correct?</b></li> <li>A. Yeah. Basically</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application? <ul> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? <ul> <li>MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're</li> </ul> </li> <li>kind of speculating or calling for speculation. <ul> <li>MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony. <ul> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> <li>A. 17?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Yeah. And all the pages are the actual page in the testimony, not the PDF. <ul> <li>A. Right. Got it.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>D. There you recommend striking the validation process and accepting customers' determinations that they don't have an alternative service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Basically MR. DANNER: I'm sorry. Counsel, what line</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it did comment on a discontinuance application?</li> <li>A. No. I think I think it's open public</li> <li>comment, and the FCC staff would consider the comments that they get and probably be more interested in what a state utility commission had to say, perhaps, than an individual commenter.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you think the FCC would afford kind of a similar weight to an attorney's general office that makes comments through a public counsel unit? MR. O'NEILL: I'm going to object to we're kind of speculating or calling for speculation. MR. ROBERSON: All right. Fair enough.</li> <li>BY MR. ROBERSON:</li> <li>Q. Turning to page 17 of your testimony.</li> <li>A. The original or the</li> <li>Q. All of my questions will deal with your settlement testimony</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q if that helps.</li> <li>A. 17?</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And all the pages are the actual page in the testimony, not the PDF.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No. And again, this is an issue an industry issue that is just culminating now in front of utility commissions probably around the country, certainly in California, here in Washington. And if you look at the company's investor filing, the FCC 10-Ks and those sorts of documents, it's clear why discontinuing service in areas served by copper networks might be of interest to the company. So I think it's a looming issue. We shouldn't take comfort from the fact that it hasn't happened yet or hasn't really happened in the past.</li> <li><b>Q. Do you know how many wire centers don't have at least one CCL?</b></li> <li>A. No real idea.</li> <li><b>Q. Okay. I believe it's around page 17 of your testimony, if you turn there.</b></li> <li>A. Yes, I'm there.</li> <li><b>Q. Actually, it's page 18. Sorry.</b></li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li><b>Q. There you recommend striking the validation process and accepting customers' determinations that they don't have an alternative service; correct?</b></li> <li>A. Yeah. Basically</li> </ul>

	Page 283	Page 285
1	through page 19. I'm sorry I can't be more precise.	1 in their premise. They know that they don't have
2	From what I remember, it's near the bottom of the page,	2 adequate broadband service or other alternatives. And
3	like maybe the second paragraph from the bottom.	<sup>3</sup> speaking up and saying so should be good enough.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, page 18, line 16.	4 Q. Hypothetically, if a location were to go
5	BY MR. ROBERSON:	5 through the process twice and in between, the original
6	Q. Just so I'm clear, you're not suggesting that	6 customer moved, without changes to the signal being
7	the settlement process allowing customers to challenge	7 provided to the property, if one customer thinks the
8	whether or not they have wireless goes away, right, it's	8 service is acceptable and second doesn't, you would
9	just you don't think they should have to do anything	9 reach a different conclusion as to whether or not
10	more than a test if they don't have service; is that	10 location is a CCL; correct?
11	correct?	11 A. Under the terms of your hypothetical, that
12	A. I think we and the commission should be taking	12 drives that conclusion, yes.
13	the customers at their word. They've lived in that	13 Q. So wouldn't that necessarily mean that you're
14	premise for how long they've lived in it, they know what	14 looking at a subjective determination by the customer?
15	options they have or don't have. And if they speak up	15 A. I don't think so, but I guess we have to agree
16	and say they don't have adequate service, that could be	16 to disagree.
17	good enough, and that should be packaged up and	17 Q. Okay. On page 18 you describe the validation
18	presented to the commission for the commission's review	18 process as intrusive; correct?
19	and decision.	19 A. What I'm referring to there is the notion that
20	I think that withdrawal of public utility	a customer's already told the company that they don't
21	service is an important enough issue that the commission	21 have adequate service and then get a knock at the door
22	should be having a look at each circumstance. And some	and somebody wanting to come in the house that they
23	may be pretty routine. I don't know of anybody that's	23 don't know.
24	going to argue much about a road move, but that's	<b>Q.</b> The customer wouldn't necessarily have to allow
25	another aspect of the settlement that is silent, is the	25 the tech into the house; correct?
	Page 284	Page 286
1	_	
2	different circumstances that could apply. A road move is one thing, discontinuing service in a broader area	1 A. If the testing is going to be what signal 2 strength is received in the house where the customer is
3	is one thing, discontinuing service in a broader area	
	because the numbers don't look good is a different	-
	because the numbers don't look good is a different thing. And the commission should be clear about what is	3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it
4	thing. And the commission should be clear about what is	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> </ul>
4 5	thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> </ul>
4 5 6	<ul><li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li><li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually</li></ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> </ul>
4 5	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>9 A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>9 A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>10 subjective or objective or not.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>9 A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>10 subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>12 complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>13 technicians?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>4 would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>5 Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>6 figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>7 distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>8 measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>9 A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>10 subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>12 complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>13 technicians?</li> <li>14 A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are used for determining eligibility if there's kind of an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> <li>sure that any customers have their eyes on this yet.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are used for determining eligibility if there's kind of an objective benchmark?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> <li>sure that any customers have their eyes on this yet.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC's BDC program?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are used for determining eligibility if there's kind of an objective benchmark?</li> <li>A. I would argue that in this case, it's not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> <li>sure that any customers have their eyes on this yet.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC's BDC program?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are used for determining eligibility if there's kind of an objective benchmark?</li> <li>A. I would argue that in this case, it's not subjective feelings about the customer from the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> <li>sure that any customers have their eyes on this yet.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC's BDC program?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does that include a challenge process?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>thing. And the commission should be clear about what is and isn't an appropriate reason to discontinue service.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So whether or not a customer actually has service, there's objective data for that, correct, measures of signal strength or something like that?</li> <li>A. There can be. But I think the accuracy of the data can be questionable at times too.</li> <li>Q. Are you an engineer?</li> <li>A. I am not an engineer. I've been accused of playing one, but I am not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't have any engineering background to deal with whether or not the data is acceptable or not?</li> <li>A. Well, hardcore engineering, but 40-plus years of telecom experience.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you think of another area of kind of public policy where a customer's subjective feelings are used for determining eligibility if there's kind of an objective benchmark?</li> <li>A. I would argue that in this case, it's not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sitting at the kitchen table using a device, yes, it</li> <li>would have to be. The kitchen table test.</li> <li>Q. It's possible the workgroup would be able to</li> <li>figure out to decrement a signal strength based on</li> <li>distance from a publicly available point that tech could</li> <li>measure the signal strength; correct?</li> <li>A. I suppose. I don't know if that would be</li> <li>subjective or objective or not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if public counsel received any</li> <li>complaints from customers about visits from CenturyLink</li> <li>technicians?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly not with this process because</li> <li>it's not in effect.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did public counsel receive any comments</li> <li>from customers stating that they thought that the</li> <li>process was intrusive?</li> <li>A. This has been a relatively late-breaking</li> <li>development. It's filing at the commission. I'm not</li> <li>sure that any customers have their eyes on this yet.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with the FCC's BDC program?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

57 (Pages 283 to 286)

	Page 287		Page 289
1		1	
1	Q. And does it allow challenges based on self	1	Q. I don't have the order. It's the old
2	attestation?	2	CenturyLink and Frontier AFORs, I believe.
3	A. No. The challenges have the challenges are	3	A. Yeah.
4	presented, then other parties review the challenges, the	4	Q. If you don't know, you don't know. That's
5	FCC staff and CostQuest.		fair.
6	Q. Are you aware of the Washington State Broadband	6	A. Well, I've read those I've read the entire
7	Office is in the process for building out that program	7	order including the cited provisions, and I'm not sure
8	for administering BEAD funding?	8	it's as unequivocal as you're suggesting. From what I
9	A. Yes.		read, the commission did make some extensive statements
10	Q. Are you aware that the WSBO is also building a	10	about the advance of technology and what different
11	challenge process?	11 12	things customers are doing with telecommunication
12	A. Yes. <b>Q. Does it allow excuse me.</b>	13	technologies now. But I don't read the language that
13		-	goes so far as the commission saying that mobile
14	Does it allow challenges based on self	14	wireless is an alternative service across the entire
15	attestation.	15 16	state of Washington. And that's our point.
16	A. I doubt it. But that's for an entirely		Q. So in the settlement, CenturyLink has to
17	different purpose. This at stake here as whether or	17	determine the number of CCLs in the area for which it seeks to discontinue service at the time it moves
18	not the customer continues to have public utility	18	
19	service at their location.	19	forward with the discontinuance process; correct?
20	Q. On pages 10 through 13 of your testimony, you	20	A. Yes.
21	discuss kind of the number of CCLs; correct?	21	Q. So is it fair to say that the number of CCLs
22	A. Let's see. You said 10 to 13? Yes.	22	isn't truly fixed until CenturyLink actually starts the
23	Q. And on page 10 in here, I do have lines 18	23	process?
24	through 19, you say there are roughly 1,200 CCLs when	24	A. Well, yes. But under the assumptions in the
25	mobile service is considered; correct?	25	settlement, there will be relatively few CCLs given that
	Page 288		Page 290
-			
1	A. I cite the figure that staff provided in their		mobile wireless is considered to be an alternative
2	informal response to our informal question.	2	service and the comparing somewhere around 100,000
3	Q. Did you do any kind of analysis of your own to	3	unserved locations without wireless mobile wireless
4	determine the number of CCLs?	45	considered to 1,233 with it considered gives you an idea
5	A. I did not.	1	of the ones the CCLs that'll be left aside by the
6	Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with	6	analysis.
7	Mr. Bennett's numbers?	7	Q. Okay. But the process also provides for
8	A. That there may be 1,233 CCLs if mobile is an	8	challenge and validation; correct? For every address
9	alternative?	9	that or every location that CenturyLink seeks to
10	Q. Yeah.	10	discontinue service, the business or the person living there has the opportunity to challenge whether or not
11	A. No. I have no reason to disagree. I think his	11	
12	analysis is very good.	12	they have reasonably available, affordable service;
13	Q. And so to get there, I guess, page 13,	13 14	correct?
14	lines 4 to 6, you basically say that the largest things	1	A. Yes. But I don't think that corrects for a
15	affecting the number of CCLs is the inclusion of mobile	15 16	structurally flawed process.
16	wireless service; correct?	17	Q. But doesn't that process also mean that
17	A. I think I say that inclusion of mobile as an	1	literally every location that CenturyLink would include
18	alternative gravely reduces the number of CCLs from	18	in its application is a potential CCL?
19	somewhere over 100,000 to 1,233, just that one	19	A. What process? I'm not I don't understand
20	assumption.	20	your question.
21	Q. And you are aware that the commission has	21	Q. So if we're talking about the discontinuance
22 23	determined that mobile service is an alternative service	22	process, if CenturyLink has to verify or allow
2.5	for CenturyLink service; correct?	23	customers, business or residential, to challenge whether
	A lim not ourse light that far Constructions inter-	01	or not those have reasonably evailable offerdable
24	A. I'm not sure I go that far. Can you point me	24	or not they have reasonably available, affordable
	A. I'm not sure I go that far. Can you point me to a cite?	24 25	or not they have reasonably available, affordable service, doesn't that transform every location involved

	Page 291		Page 293
1	in the discontinuance application into a potential CCL?	1	they could order staff to get it at any time; correct?
2	A. No. And I don't think that we're required	2	A. Yes. But again, why set up an incomplete
3	we're suggesting requiring the customer verify every	3	process right off the bat and come back and try and fix
4	location.	4	it later? This ought to be part of the whole package
5	Q. No. But the company does have to verify every	5	that's submitted. I'm sure that CenturyLink has the
6	location; right? It has to send out the notice to every	6	has any data on maintenance tickets and so forth for the
7	customer?	7	locations and provide that along with the other data
8	A. The company does have to send out notice. The	8	that's going to be provided with regard to alternatives.
9	customer response is to be seen. We don't know how	9	Q. Last thing I'd like to talk about is page 7,
10	robust the customer response to these kind of mailings	10	which is your testimony about the service quality
11	will be.	11	credits.
12	Q. But every customer has the built-in option to	12	A. Yes.
13	challenge the availability of those alternative services	13	Q. Do you believe this term is in the public
14	and their affordability; correct?	14	interest?
15	A. Yes. But this really kind of resembles all the	15	A. I think it's better than where we are today. I
16	negative selection practices that have been issues from	16	continue to question whether or not it will I
17	time to time in the industry. If you don't complain,	17	couldn't swear that this will actually be an incentive
18	you're in.	18	for CenturyLink to improve facilities and reverse the
19	Q. But given that, it's fair to say that the 1,200	19	trend of service quality complaints. I don't know that.
20	is a floor for the number of CCLs; correct?	20	But it's it's better than what we had before.
21	A. I think it's a very low and unrepresentative	21	Q. So it's better than what we had before. Does
22	floor.	22	that mean it is in the public interest?
23	Q. But it's a floor, right, the number could go up	23	A. I think it's worthwhile to at least refund the
24	quite a bit?	24	money to the customer for service that they are paying
25	A. Yeah. Depending on what happens in the	25	for that doesn't work. So it accomplishes that
	Page 292		Page 294
1	Page 292 process. But again, I don't see why I don't	1	Page 294 objective and returns the funds that the customer was
1 2		1 2	_
	process. But again, I don't see why I don't		objective and returns the funds that the customer was
2	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure	2	objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.
2 3	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. <b>Q. Fair enough.</b>	2 3	objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work. Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in
2 3 4	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20	2 3 4	objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work. Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?
2 3 4 5	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. <b>Q. Fair enough.</b> I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. A. Yes. <b>Q. The settlement sorry.</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. <b>Q. Fair enough.</b> I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. A. Yes. <b>Q. The settlement sorry.</b> A. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it. <b>Q. Fair enough.</b> I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. A. Yes. <b>Q. The settlement sorry.</b> A. Sorry. <b>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> </ul> </li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> </ul> </li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> </ul> </li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct? <ul> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. I'd like to talk about the top of page 20 which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> </ul> </li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a discontinuance process with fast-ticking clock and it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in their testimony.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a discontinuance process with fast-ticking clock and it seems to me that this is the sort of information that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in their testimony.</li> <li>Q. And public counsel could complain about those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a discontinuance process with fast-ticking clock and it seems to me that this is the sort of information that should be reviewed along with the other data to present</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in their testimony.</li> <li>Q. And public counsel could complain about those service quality issues at any time; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> </ul> </li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a discontinuance process with fast-ticking clock and it seems to me that this is the sort of information that should be reviewed along with the other data to present a complete picture regarding the area where the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in their testimony.</li> <li>Q. And public counsel could complain about those service quality issues at any time; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>process. But again, I don't see why I don't recommend that the commission adopt a flawed structure right off the bat and hope that the customer challenge process cures it.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough. <ul> <li>I'd like to talk about the top of page 20</li> <li>which is your recommendation that CenturyLink file the trouble tickets in any application to discontinue service.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The settlement sorry.</li> <li>A. Sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The settlement requires CenturyLink to keep those tickets and produce them to staff or public counsel on demand; correct?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, yes.</li> <li>Q. And that means that staff or public counsel could access them at any time?</li> <li>A. Yes. But this is in the context of a discontinuance process with fast-ticking clock and it seems to me that this is the sort of information that should be reviewed along with the other data to present</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>objective and returns the funds that the customer was otherwise paying for service that doesn't work.</li> <li>Q. Does the terms "worthwhile" mean that it's in the public interest?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm just going to stick with worthwhile.</li> <li>It's we're ahead of where we were before with this provision.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you believe that this term precludes the commission from investigating CenturyLink's service quality issues?</li> <li>A. I don't think it does. But that's not a legal opinion.</li> <li>Q. Fair enough.</li> <li>But if you're correct, this would mean that the service quality process would operate independently of any complaint or penalty assessment process of the commission; correct?</li> <li>A. That's right. We do recommend that the commission have a look at the service quality issues in their testimony.</li> <li>Q. And public counsel could complain about those service quality issues at any time; correct?</li> </ul>

	Page 295		Page 297
1	public service revolving (inaudible) like the consumer?	1 correlation bet	ween the number of complaints for service
2	A. I don't know where the penalties go in the	2 quality issues	and the number of credits?
3	state of Washington.	A. Well, the	e credits go for trouble tickets, not a
4	Q. But the settlement, the service quality credit	4 service. The c	omplaints are not necessarily one in the
5	that goes directly to the consumer; correct?	5 same. Looking	g at line 7 on page 24 as indicated by the
6	A. Yes.	6 level of compla	ints, number one, and analysis of trouble
7	Q. Near the bottom of page 7 at lines 18 through	7 report tickets, i	number two, the credits are based on
8	19, you sort of fault staff for failing to estimate or	8 trouble reports	
9	quantify the number of consumers who would benefit from		DBERSON: Fair enough. I think I'm
10	the program; correct?	0 done. Thank y	
11	A. I don't know that I'm faulting anybody. I do	1 JUDGE	CALLAHAN: Mr. O'Neill, you may
12	believe all parties were operating in good faith. But I	2 redirect.	
13	do point out that neither of the parties estimated the		DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	dollars or the customers that would benefit as part of	4 BY MR. O'NEI	
15	this. So that's all to reach the conclusion that we		vitz, I'm going to start on that last
16	really don't have good, firm information to think about		eighing the benefits of Condition 8
17	whether or not this really is an incentive for the	• •	tential harm of Condition 9 for
18	company to improve service or not.		e. How do you weigh the \$150,000 per year
19	Q. If you would turn to page 20.		edits against the possibility of a
20	A. Okay.	•	oman losing the ability to speak with her
21	Q. And in the first Q and A on that page, you	1 family?	
22	quote public counsel witness Dreyer as providing		really difficult question, and that's
23	testimony about: "A concerning level of customer		stion that commissions have to evaluate.
24	trouble complaints." Correct?		er, when I hear \$150,000 annual in the
25	A. Yes.	5 context of a co	mpany with the size of the operation that
	Page 296		Page 298
_			
1	Q. And on page 24	1 CenturvLink has	s in Washington, 150,000 is a lot to all
1 2	<b>Q. And on page 24</b> A. Okav.		s in Washington, 150,000 is a lot to all /, but there's materiality
	A. Okay.	2 of us individually	/, but there's materiality
2		2 of us individually 3 consideration c	
2 3	A. Okay. Q again in that first answer you quote	<ul> <li>of us individuall</li> <li>consideration cr</li> <li>company. Doe</li> </ul>	, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>of us individually</li> <li>consideration of</li> <li>company. Doe</li> <li>behavior for a company.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>but there's materiality</li> <li>busiderations with regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>of us individually</li> <li>consideration of</li> <li>company. Doe</li> <li>behavior for a c</li> <li>is making in the</li> </ul>	y, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>of us individually</li> <li>consideration of</li> <li>company. Doe</li> <li>behavior for a c</li> <li>is making in the</li> <li><b>Q. Do your</b></li> </ul>	y, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>of us individually</li> <li>consideration of</li> <li>company. Doe</li> <li>behavior for a c</li> <li>is making in the</li> <li><b>Q. Do your</b></li> </ul>	y, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington. ecall questions about the challenge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>of us individually</li> <li>consideration of</li> <li>company. Doe</li> <li>behavior for a of</li> <li>is making in the</li> <li>Q. Do your</li> <li>process under</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And just</li> </ul>	y, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington. ecall questions about the challenge the settlement agreement? so that we're on the same page, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you n         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I under	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>onsiderations with regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>ompany that's making however much Lumen</li> <li>state of Washington.</li> <li>recall questions about the challenge</li> <li>the settlement agreement?</li> <li>so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>stand the proposed settlement would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems;</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a of         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you no         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the com	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>onsiderations with regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>ompany that's making however much Lumen</li> <li>state of Washington.</li> <li>recall questions about the challenge</li> <li>the settlement agreement?</li> <li>so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>sumers to challenge to the company that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do your         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       they have adeo	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>y, but the regards to the</li> <li>y, but the same page, I</li> <li>y, but the settlement would</li> <li>y, but the settlement the settlement would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do your         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       they have adeq         4       then decide base	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>y, but the regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>y, but the set lement and the challenge</li> <li>the settlement agreement?</li> <li>so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>y, but the set lement the company that</li> <li>y, but the set lement the company that</li> <li>y, but the set lement the company would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the company would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the company would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the company would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the company would</li> <li>y, but the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but the set lement the set lement would</li> <li>y, but th</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do your         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       they have adeq         4       decisionmaking	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>y, but the regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>y, but the same page, the settlement agreement?</li> <li>y, but the settlement agreement would</li> <li>y, but the settlement the company that</li> <li>y, but the settlement the company that</li> <li>y, but the settlement the company that</li> <li>y, but they did have adequate service.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do your         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I under         2       require the con         3       they have adeq         4       decisionmaking         5       Do you	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>y, but the regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>y, but the's making however much Lumen</li> <li>s state of Washington.</li> <li>ecall questions about the challenge</li> <li>the settlement agreement?</li> <li>so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>y, uate service and then the company would</li> <li>sed off of either testing or some other</li> <li>y, that they did have adequate service.</li> <li>have any concerns about allowing a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. I what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you n         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       they have aded         4       decisionmaking         5       Do you         7       telecom compare	<ul> <li>y, but there's materiality</li> <li>y, but the regards to the</li> <li>s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>y, but the's making however much Lumen</li> <li>s tate of Washington.</li> <li>ecall questions about the challenge</li> <li>the settlement agreement?</li> <li>so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>y, and then the company would</li> <li>sed off of either testing or some other</li> <li>y, that they did have adequate service.</li> <li>have any concerns about allowing a</li> <li>y, to be arbiter of whether or not there's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a of         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do your         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       then decide bas         6       decisionmaking         6       Do you         7       telecom compa	<ul> <li>a), but there's materiality</li> <li>b) onsiderations with regards to the</li> <li>b) s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>b) ompany that's making however much Lumen</li> <li>b) state of Washington.</li> <li>b) ecall questions about the challenge</li> <li>c) the settlement agreement?</li> <li>c) so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>c) stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>c) sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>c) uate service and then the company would</li> <li>c) sed off of either testing or some other</li> <li>c) that they did have adequate service.</li> <li>c) have any concerns about allowing a</li> <li>c) that justifies a discontinuance?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a of         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you no         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I underst         2       require the con         3       then decide base         6       boyou         7       Lo you         8       cecisionmaking         9       A. I do. The	<ul> <li>a), but there's materiality</li> <li>b) onsiderations with regards to the</li> <li>b) s 150,000 really incent any different</li> <li>b) ompany that's making however much Lumen</li> <li>b) state of Washington.</li> <li>c) call questions about the challenge</li> <li>c) the settlement agreement?</li> <li>c) so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>c) stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>c) sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>c) uate service and then the company would</li> <li>c) sed off of either testing or some other</li> <li>c) that they did have adequate service.</li> <li>c) have any concerns about allowing a</li> <li>c) that justifies a discontinuance?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> <li>Q. Well, if there</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you r         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       then decide bas         6       Do you         7       telecom compation         8       some competition         9       A. I do. The         9       A. I do. The	<ul> <li>a), but there's materiality</li> <li>b) siderations with regards to the</li> <li>b) siderations with regards to the</li> <li>c) siderations with regards to the company that's making however much Lumen</li> <li>c) state of Washington.</li> <li>c) siderations about the challenge</li> <li>c) siderations about the challenge</li> <li>c) so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>c) so that we're on the same page, I</li> <li>c) stand the proposed settlement would</li> <li>c) sumers to challenge to the company that</li> <li>c) uate service and then the company would</li> <li>c) set of of either testing or some other</li> <li>c) that they did have adequate service.</li> <li>c) have any concerns about allowing a</li> <li>c) set of whether or not there's</li> <li>c) on that justifies a discontinuance?</li> <li>c)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> <li>Q. Well, if there</li> <li>A. The credits are refunding a dollar a day,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a of         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you no         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the cons         3       then decide bas         6       Do you         7       decisionmaking         8       some competit         9       A. I do. The         9       A. The com	<ul> <li>a), but there's materiality</li> <li>b) b) b</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> <li>Q. Well, if there</li> <li>A. The credits are refunding a dollar a day, basically, for being out of service for what is a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you n         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       then decide bas         6       Do you         7       Leecom compa         8       some competit         9       A. I do. The         9       A. The com	A, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington. ecall questions about the challenge the settlement agreement? so that we're on the same page, I stand the proposed settlement would sumers to challenge to the company that uate service and then the company would sed off of either testing or some other g that they did have adequate service. have any concerns about allowing a iny to be arbiter of whether or not there's ion that justifies a discontinuance? e ou explain? pany is the same the company has the pport its petition. It wouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> <li>Q. Well, if there</li> <li>A. The credits are refunding a dollar a day, basically, for being out of service for what is a declining base of residential service customers.</li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you n         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       then decide bas         6       box you         7       Leecom compa         8       some competit         9       A. I do. The         9       A. The com         9       A. The com         9       A. The com	A, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington. ecall questions about the challenge the settlement agreement? so that we're on the same page, I stand the proposed settlement would sumers to challenge to the company that uate service and then the company would sed off of either testing or some other g that they did have adequate service. have any concerns about allowing a any to be arbiter of whether or not there's ion that justifies a discontinuance? b ou explain? pany is the same the company has the pport its petition. It wouldn't have sets if it didn't want and intend to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q again in that first answer you quote</li> <li>Ms. Dreyer well, you reference her testimony and you note that: "Substantial testimony has been provided in this matter that CenturyLink customers are experiencing significant quality of service problems." Correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just anecdotally, there's plenty of evidence that there are widespread service quality problems; correct?</li> <li>A. 1 what I'm saying here is, I believe that the testimony documents a significant enough level of customer service quality of service issues that it would warrant commission investigation.</li> <li>Q. And given that fact, is there any reason to believe that the credits would involve a substantial number of customers or a substantial amount of money?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure the two go together.</li> <li>Q. Well, if there</li> <li>A. The credits are refunding a dollar a day, basically, for being out of service for what is a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2       of us individually         3       consideration of         4       company. Doe         5       behavior for a c         6       is making in the         7       Q. Do you n         8       process under         9       A. Yes.         0       Q. And just         1       believe I unders         2       require the con         3       then decide bas         6       Do you         7       telecom compa         8       some competit         9       A. I do. The         9       A. The com         10       A. The com         11       started the proc         12       terminate service	A, but there's materiality onsiderations with regards to the s 150,000 really incent any different ompany that's making however much Lumen state of Washington. ecall questions about the challenge the settlement agreement? so that we're on the same page, I stand the proposed settlement would sumers to challenge to the company that uate service and then the company would sed off of either testing or some other g that they did have adequate service. have any concerns about allowing a iny to be arbiter of whether or not there's ion that justifies a discontinuance? e ou explain? pany is the same the company has the pport its petition. It wouldn't have

60 (Pages 295 to 298)

	Page 299		Page 301
1	inhibited in whether and what they say to the company on	1	broadband was complete, should the commission be paying
2	the whole subject, and it's to me it's just better	2	more or less attention to requests to discontinue?
3	a better structure to have the information go straight	3	A. I think the commission should be paying more
4	to the commission for evaluation, decision, if needed,	4	attention to requests to discontinue. We're in a
5	without all this extra process from a company that's	5	transitional time in between the while there's two
6	indicated it's already short staffed.	6	networks in place. Transitionally, we could have some
7	Q. Under the current regulation, the current AFOR	7	hope that all this infrastructure investment will
8	where every discontinuance has to come before the	8	address most of the issue and bring adequate broadband
9	commission, if a person like Ms. Margrav were to object,	9	service to the unserved and underserved locations. But
10	who would be the factfinder to determine whether or not	10	I think it would be a mistake to pull service from
11	her objections were unreasonable or warranted?	11	consumers before that they have actually available
12	A. Under the settlement agreement, it filters	12	service to subscribe to.
13	through the company first.	13	Q. You mention that we're in the midst of a
14	Q. I know. But right now without the settlement	14	transition process. Could your testimony on this point
15	agreement under the current regulation, who is the	15	change in the next five to ten years as federal
16	arbiter? I mean, who makes the factual when there's	16	government money is expended in Washington to expand
17	a factual dispute in front of the commission, who's the	17	broadband service?
18	one that resolves that?	18	A. Yes. My testimony would keep up with what the
19	A. Yeah. Goes straight to the commission.	19	actual facts on the ground are, and to the extent
20	Q. You talked about when you said in with	20	there's successful deployment of fiber networks that hit
21	respect to whether or not CenturyLink was seeking or had	21	these unserved and underserved areas, the concerns
22	sought to discontinue service, you talked about a	22	obviously are much less significant.
23	looming issue in not just in Washington but	23	Q. But we're faced with that question now. And
24	nationally about the discontinuance in	24	what is your recommendation to the commission on the
25	(Audio reverberation.)	25	facts and the data that we have available today about
	Page 300		Page 302
1	MR. O'NEIL: I don't know if that's on my	1	whether it is wise to allow a company to discontinue
2	part.	2	without UTC oversight?
3	THE WITNESS: I dont' know either. I heard	3	A. My recommendation is that incumbent local
4	it. Go ahead.	4	exchange carriers particularly should not be allowed to
5	BY MR. O'NEILL:	5	discontinue service without commission review to ensure
6	Q. I'm just trying to orient. You understand	6	that there is, in fact, adequate alternative service
7	where I you remember that testimony?	7	functioning and available that the customer can
8	A. I didn't hear because of the background.	8	subscribe to.
9	Q. You had testified there is a looming issue	9	Q. Is the market sufficiently well developed in
10	nationally about the retirement of the copper network.	10	rural Washington to support the expansion of broadband
11	And I wanted to ask you to explain more fully: What is	11	without regulation or federal investment?
12	the looming national issue about the copper network, the	12	A. I think the data that we've seen here in in
13	legacy system from the old AT&T days?	13	this matter that we've been reviewing for the better
14	A. Well, it's it's old, it's manufacture	14	part of a year or two demonstrates the limits of market
15	discontinued, it's harder to maintain, there's two work	15	competition. The areas that we're talking about that
16	forces. The copper network, the legacy network is not	16	we're focused on have had, in theory, the availability
17	scaleable, well-suited to future broadband. And it's a	17	market competition, but the reality is these areas do
		18	not universally uniformly have other service
18	network that was built over a century, and it's a public	1 10	
18 19	network that was built over a century, and it's a public utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or	19	
	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or		alternatives available.
19 20	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly	19	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the
19	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly a dilemma for the companies that operate both networks,	19 20	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the discontinuance of copper wire in a downtown Seattle wire
19 20 21	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly a dilemma for the companies that operate both networks, what to do, how to make the transition. And the	19 20 21	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the discontinuance of copper wire in a downtown Seattle wire center or, you know, neighborhood?
19 20 21 22	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly a dilemma for the companies that operate both networks,	19 20 21 22	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the discontinuance of copper wire in a downtown Seattle wire center or, you know, neighborhood? A. No. And I think, you know, there's a separate
19 20 21 22 23	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly a dilemma for the companies that operate both networks, what to do, how to make the transition. And the industry response seems to be coming down to we want to discontinue service.	19 20 21 22 23	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the discontinuance of copper wire in a downtown Seattle wire center or, you know, neighborhood? A. No. And I think, you know, there's a separate FCC provision for that technology transition where a
19 20 21 22 23 24	utility infrastructure that's very difficult to or expensive to replace. And so there's it's certainly a dilemma for the companies that operate both networks, what to do, how to make the transition. And the industry response seems to be coming down to we want to	19 20 21 22 23 24	alternatives available. Q. Would you or would you oppose the discontinuance of copper wire in a downtown Seattle wire center or, you know, neighborhood? A. No. And I think, you know, there's a separate

61 (Pages 299 to 302)

	Page 303		Page 305
1	copper when they have moved over, invested in fiberoptic	inquiry that would if	we had the information, would
2	facilities.	2 show the extent of it.	
3	Q. Okay.	3 And, you know	ν, I think the bottom line is,
4	A. And that obviously makes sense for a lot of	there's a lot of copper	out in the rural areas, that's
5	reasons.	5 the primary technology	v serving the end user customer,
6	Q. So is it fair to say that your concern is in	and those are the ones	s that are potentially exposed to
7	the liminal areas of Washington, the transitional both	7 service discontinuance	e of fewer options. If there's
8	in terms of technology and from urban to rural spaces	3 copper if the networl	k is copper, it's copper for a
9	where the market is not currently sufficient?	ereason, because the b	usiness case doesn't exist to
10	A. Yes. My in my testimony I refer to "thin	upgrade it.	
11	markets." My concerns are for the thin markets that are	-	Vashington we said, you know,
12	thin in terms of up-to-date capital investment and		,000 CCLs and CenturyLink testimony
13	technology and thin in terms of a customer base. Those	3 with wireless 1,213 or	r whatever it was, that I mean,
14	are the areas where public utility regulation was		ou that there is a robust sort of
15	designed to provide necessary service to customers and		these territories as well, in
16	to protect them from high rates, which is not really an	5 CenturyLink's territor	-
17	issue here, but available availability and adequate and		nat's the map that that's
18	reliable service which is a concern here.	3 the model propagation	for the wireless. And whether or
19	MR. O'NEILL: Okay. I have no more	not individual custome	r locations actually have decent
20	questions. Thank you.	•	e seen. There's a concern in all
21	JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any questions from the		much is marketing versus how much
22	bench?	-	e're in the process of finding
23	COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Thank you. Thank you,	3 out, but I think it's goin	
24	Mr. Brevitz, for your testimony.	_	, we don't have years. We have
25		5 this, you know, petition	on before us to decide on, one way
	Page 304		Page 306
1	EXAMINATION	1 or the other, the set	
2	BY COMMISSIONER DOUMIT:	$^{2}$ A. Right.	
3	Q. Just I'm trying to sort of figure out the		e would you agree that we're
4	relevance of this what you call "looming issue" of the		
5		4 determining whether	
		-	r this settlement is in the public
б	copper to because I I will accept it, for example,	5 interest based on wi	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us
6 7	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in	5 interest based on will accurately deter	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's
7	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you	<ul> <li>interest based on wl</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us
7 8	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am.	<ul> <li>interest based on wl</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are serve</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than
7 8 9	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of	<ul> <li>interest based on wl</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are serve</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree w</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the
7 8 9 10	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition	<ul> <li>interest based on wl</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree w</li> <li>commission needs</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than vith that. I think the - I think the commission would be
7 8 9 10 11	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't	<ul> <li>interest based on wl</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are serve</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree w</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves
7 8 9 10 11 12	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information.	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actuat</li> <li>don't know exactly her</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly hing</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T,	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly hing</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't had</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>copper to because I I will accept it, for example,</li> <li>AT&amp;T, California is going through this, but we in</li> <li>Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you</li> <li>know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am.</li> <li>To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of</li> <li>the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition</li> <li>that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't</li> <li>know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to</li> <li>elicit some more information.</li> <li>A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the</li> <li>larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&amp;T,</li> <li>the rural companies have, you know, different business,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly height will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly him</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't had</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If a st he company's example be relatively easy to dispose of.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue.	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly him</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are disconting</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue. But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly himiliar will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are discord</li> <li>other purposes, just</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. ontinuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue. But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger holding companies that tend to have a blend of urban and	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly her</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are discord</li> <li>operation, then that's</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the s a different circumstance. That's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue. But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger holding companies that tend to have a blend of urban and rural territory. The urban areas have kept up with the	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly her</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are discord</li> <li>operation, then that's</li> <li>something that the commission</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the s a different circumstance. That's commission, I think, should have a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue. But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger holding companies that tend to have a blend of urban and	<ul> <li>interest based on will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly him</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are disconsisted operation, then that's</li> <li>something that the c</li> <li>say so on what's allo</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the s a different circumstance. That's commission, I think, should have a tweed and what's not, what's a valid
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	copper to because I I will accept it, for example, AT&T, California is going through this, but we in Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am. To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information. A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue. But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger holding companies that tend to have a blend of urban and rural territory. The urban areas have kept up with the newer technology while the rural areas have lagged behind. And we didn't we asked for information on a	<ul> <li>interest based on will</li> <li>will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly he</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are discord</li> <li>operation, then that's</li> <li>something that the c</li> <li>say so on what's allo</li> <li>reason for discontinue</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the s a different circumstance. That's commission, I think, should have a wed and what's not, what's a valid uing service, public utility
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>copper to because I I will accept it, for example,</li> <li>AT&amp;T, California is going through this, but we in</li> <li>Washington state, to my knowledge, and I might be, you know, incorrect, but maybe you can correct me if I am.</li> <li>To your knowledge, haven't essentially the remainder of the ILECs already moved to fiber, made that transition that you say is a looming issue now. And again, I don't know if this is necessarily relevant I'm just trying to elicit some more information.</li> <li>A. Sure. I think it's a bigger issue for the larger holding companies like Verizon, Lumen, and AT&amp;T, the rural companies have, you know, different business, different business plans, and they've been able to upgrade to fiber, and they don't have the same issue.</li> <li>But it is a significant issue, I think, for the larger holding companies that tend to have a blend of urban and rural territory. The urban areas have kept up with the newer technology while the rural areas have lagged</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>interest based on will accurately deter</li> <li>customers are server</li> <li>CenturyLink?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree will</li> <li>commission needs</li> <li>better served by han</li> <li>and getting the actual</li> <li>don't know exactly he</li> <li>will come in front of the</li> <li>That's we don't hat</li> <li>it's just a road move,</li> <li>suggests, those will be</li> <li>But if there are discord</li> <li>operation, then that's</li> <li>something that the c</li> <li>say so on what's allo</li> <li>reason for discontinut</li> </ul>	r this settlement is in the public hether this process that's before us mine whether CenturyLink's ed unserved by other than with that. I think the - I think the commission would be dling these applications themselves al data in. We in truth, we ow many or for what circumstances the commission for company. we any information on that. If , as the company's example be relatively easy to dispose of. continuances for larger areas for improve the cash flow of the s a different circumstance. That's commission, I think, should have a wed and what's not, what's a valid uing service, public utility

62 (Pages 303 to 306)

In the Matter of the Petition of the Qwest Corporation, et al.

		1	
	Page 307		Page 309
1	very much. Thank you. That's it.	1	public counsel. We agreed that one week from today
2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	2	would be the close of business one week from today, I
3	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good afternoon,	3	believe. That's my memory, is that we would so are
4	Mr. Brevitz.	4	you asking for an extension of that, then?
5	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.	5	MR. O'NEILL: I am. And so today the record
6	EXAMINATION	6	closes for public comments, and then we have a week to
7	BY COMMISSIONER RENDAHL:	7	assemble it. And I just wanted I wanted to confirm
8	Q. So in looking at your testimony response	8	prior to this proceeding that we are on course to do so,
9	testimony (inaudible) excuse me, 9T, do you have that	9	because I think there's over 200. And I haven't had a
10	still in front of you?	10	chance so, so I am I will ask for a continuance if I
11	A. I do or I will.	11	get information from the staff that it's going to take
12	Q. All right. If you'll to go page 7 of 32	12	more time. So let's I'll keep it as a goal, and
13	starting on line 12. And in this testimony, you speak	13	unless you hear from me, we will make it. But I don't
14	to public counsel's position or what appears to be	14	want to throw my staff under the bus.
15	public counsel's position on Provision 8 which is	15	JUDGE CALLAHAN: Let's go one week from now.
16	relating to the automatic credits. And you discuss the	16	So in your public comments exhibit, you can mark it
17	need for more quantifiable data from the company to help	17	Badge Exhibit 1.
18	the commission evaluate the degree to which this	18	MR. O'NEILL: I'm sorry, I missed the
19	provision benefits consumers or incentivizes the	19 20	number.
20	company. However, there's no explicit indication as to		JUDGE CALLAHAN: Badge Exhibit 1. So we
21 22	whether public counsel supports or you support or oppose this provision. Is that support conditional on some	21 22	have one round of post-hearing briefs due
22	is that if there is support, is it conditional on	22	August 7th, 2024. We ask the parties to limit your post-hearing briefs to 60 pages. Please include in your
23	something in particular? Just trying to determine	24	briefing the issues of whether Provision 8, penalty,
25	exactly your position on behalf of the public counsel.	25	which requires the company to award automatic credits
23			which requires the company to award automatic credits
	Page 308		Page 310
1	A. Yeah. I I certainly don't object to this	1	for out of service or service that is not in working
2	provision, but I think it's a stretch based on the	2	order. And Subsection D of Provision 8 specifically,
3	information we have to conclude that it actually	3	which indicates the automatic credits are in lieu of
4	provides adequate incentive to the company to improve	4	commission assessed penalties associated with the
5	facilities and improve service quality.	5	failure to apply or not apply credit amounts, to include
б	MS. RENDAHL: Thank you. That's all I have.	6	the commission from any enforcement action related to
7	JUDGE CALLAHAN: Mr. Brevitz, you are	7	the same service quantity issue.
8	excused. That brings us to the end of the	8	If you argue that preclusion applies, please
9	cross-examinations. I have a few other housekeeping	9	explain the basis for the claim and whether preclusion
10	items before we adjourn. Mr. O'Neill, is one week	10	applies retroactively prior to the date of the
11	enough for you to submit the public comments?	11	commission's order if the commission approves the
12	MR. O'NEILL: There have been a lot of	12	settlement.
13	public comments filed in this matter, and I really want	13	Is there any questions from parties?
14	to confer with staff to be sure that they are able to	14	MR. SHERR: Yes, Your Honor. Adam Sherr
15	pull it all together within a week. I'd ask my	15	from CenturyLink. Given the complexity of the hearing
	assistant, Mr. Bryce Hardman (phonetic), to contact Ryan	16	today and the matters we've discussed, CenturyLink's
16			position that it might be helpful to the commission,
16 17	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and	17	
16 17 18	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low.	18	although the commission can decide for itself, to have
16 17 18 19	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question.	18 19	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response
16 17 18 19 20	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is	18 19 20	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're
16 17 18 19 20 21	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is not.	18 19 20 21	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're not all just speaking at the same time without anyone
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is not. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any objections from other	18 19 20 21 22	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're not all just speaking at the same time without anyone responding. That may be beneficial to the commission;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is not. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any objections from other parties?	18 19 20 21 22 23	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're not all just speaking at the same time without anyone responding. That may be beneficial to the commission; and if so, we would support that.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is not. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any objections from other parties? COMMISSIONER DANNER: I just want to note	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're not all just speaking at the same time without anyone responding. That may be beneficial to the commission; and if so, we would support that. It's sounds like other bench requests may be
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to make sure that was the case and then I got COVID, and I have been laid low. So I don't know the answer to the question. I don't know if Ryan is on or I know Mr. Harmon is not. JUDGE CALLAHAN: Any objections from other parties?	18 19 20 21 22 23	although the commission can decide for itself, to have two rounds of briefs, opening briefs and response briefs, so that the issues can be joined and so we're not all just speaking at the same time without anyone responding. That may be beneficial to the commission; and if so, we would support that.

63 (Pages 307 to 310)

	Page 311		Dage 212
		_	Page 313
1	when that will be. But to ensure that we have adequate	1	COMMISSIONER DANNER: I'll leave that to the
2	time to deal with that and the briefs, CenturyLink would	2 Jud	-
3	suggest moving the what would now be the opening	3	MR. O'NEILL: For what it's worth, public
4	brief by a week to August 14th, and then provide the		nsel would support the move to the 14th, not I
5	parties two weeks to respond in a simultaneous		an, I will also receive the bench requests and the
6	post-hearing response brief, and then, of course, the		wers, but it would be easier for my own schedule, but 's that has to do with deadlines with PSE and not
7 8	statutory deadline would then move three weeks to accommodate the extra time for briefing.		
0 9	0	° with 9	this matter, as the commission may know. JUDGE HOWARD: Judge Howard, briefly here.
10	COMMISSIONER DANNER: Judge, may I ask: What is the expiration date of the current AFOR?		ry, Mr. Chair, if I missed your addressing this, but
10	MR. SHERR: The expiration of the AFOR is		e did move the deadline for the post-hearing briefs,
12	roughly at the end of this calendar year. As part of		uld be concerned if we did not also move the
13	our petition for competitive classification back in		dline for entry of the final order in this docket.
14	January, we asked the commission to deal with that issue	13 dea 14	MR. SHERR: Absolutely. In lockstep, yes.
15	because this would be pending to ensure that this	15	JUDGE HOWARD: But that would be my primary
16	doesn't run out of time. Obviously, we need a new		cern. But I, you know, of course I'm not a
17	direction before we fall into, you know 1968 rate of		missioner, but I share Commissioner's Rendahl's
18	return regulation. So yeah, I think we have until the		cerns with pancaking workloads if this is moved any
19	end of the year. We had under orders in the AFOR, we		r. But I defer to what's helpful to the
20	had to make a presentation or file for competitive		nmissioners.
21	classification as of a particular date. And so yeah,	21	COMMISSIONER DANNER: Thank you. If we were
22	that it expires.	22 to d	elay this to August 14th for the briefing, that
23	To the extent that this may we have		ans we're pushing the effective date out by a week.
24	plenty of time to resolve this, and obviously if	24 Wha	at is that date?
25	something goes awry and there isn't an order confirming	25	JUDGE HOWARD: I think that would be
	Page 312		
	Page 312		Page 314
1		1 Sep	
1 2	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you		tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is
			tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket.
2	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get	2 curr	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is
2 3	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue.	2 curr 3 4	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.
2 3 4	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern	2 curr 3 4 5 thar	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less
2 3 4 5	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission	2 curr 3 4 5 thar	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less a a month to complete that order. I think that
2 3 4 5 6	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8	tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 corr	<ul> <li>tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is</li> <li>ently September 2nd in this docket.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less</li> <li>a month to complete that order. I think that</li> <li>uld be sufficient.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the</li> <li>Imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending</li> <li>deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to in	Attember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the immissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate iterrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 corr 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just	Attember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uild be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the immissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate interrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 iden	<ul> <li>tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is</li> <li>ently September 2nd in this docket.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less</li> <li>a month to complete that order. I think that</li> <li>uld be sufficient.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the</li> <li>missioners and the company are agreeable to extending</li> <li>deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate</li> <li>nterrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it</li> <li>has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time</li> <li>tifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission.	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 iden 15 gue	<ul> <li>tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is</li> <li>ently September 2nd in this docket.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less</li> <li>a month to complete that order. I think that</li> <li>uld be sufficient.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the</li> <li>missioners and the company are agreeable to extending</li> <li>deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate</li> <li>has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time</li> <li>https://goud.com/see.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16	<ul> <li>tember 9th if the deadline for the final order is</li> <li>ently September 2nd in this docket.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less</li> <li>a month to complete that order. I think that</li> <li>uld be sufficient.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the</li> <li>missioners and the company are agreeable to extending</li> <li>deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate</li> <li>terrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it</li> <li>has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time</li> <li>tifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to</li> <li>ss because I cannot see.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov	<ul> <li>Attember 9th if the deadline for the final order is</li> <li>ently September 2nd in this docket.</li> <li>MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less</li> <li>a month to complete that order. I think that</li> <li>uld be sufficient.</li> <li>MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the</li> <li>missioners and the company are agreeable to extending</li> <li>deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate</li> <li>has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time</li> <li>https://github.com/see.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine.	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the immissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate interrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time itifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge vard again. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18 19	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the immissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate interrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time intifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge ward again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18 19 20	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate interrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time intifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge vard again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the 14th. Do you need more time?	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18 19 20 21 the	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate interrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time intifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge vard again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on record. We are moving the deadline for the single
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the 14th. Do you need more time? MR. SHERR: In light of bench requests	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 com 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18 19 20 21 the 22 rour	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uid be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate itterrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time ittifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge ward again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on record. We are moving the deadline for the single and of post-hearing briefs to August 14th. And per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the 14th. Do you need more time? MR. SHERR: In light of bench requests obviously, I don't know the volume of them that would	2 curr 3 4 5 thar 6 sho 7 8 9 corr 10 the 11 12 to ir 13 just 14 ider 15 gue 16 17 Hov 18 19 20 21 the 22 rour 23 the	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uid be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate iterrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time itifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge ward again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on record. We are moving the deadline for the single and of post-hearing briefs to August 14th. And per company's consent, moving the deadline for the final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the 14th. Do you need more time? MR. SHERR: In light of bench requests obviously, I don't know the volume of them that would be appreciated. If that doesn't, again, work, then	2       curr         3       4         5       thar         6       sho         7       8         9       corr         10       the         11       12         12       to ir         13       just         14       ider         15       gue         16       17         19       20         21       the         22       rour         23       the         24       order	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uld be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the missioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate therrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time tifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge ward again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on record. We are moving the deadline for the single and of post-hearing briefs to August 14th. And per company's consent, moving the deadline for the final er in this case from September 2nd to September 9th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the AFOR one way or the other, we may come back to you and say we need more time for this proceeding to get resolved. But for now, that's not an issue. MS. RENDAHL: So I will say my only concern here is that this is not the only case the commission has on its docket, and fall is very, very busy and including late August is very busy. So I guess I don't see the need for two rounds of briefs. I think we can get any bench requests out next week. And so I guess I defer on the question of delaying the opening brief, but I don't know that we need two rounds, and I do think we just have a lot of other things that we're going to have to turn to, and having two rounds of briefs and extending the date is going to just pancake a lot of other things for the commission. MR. SHERR: Understood, Commissioner. The concept was really to benefit commission. If you don't find it beneficial, that's fine. COMMISSIONER DANNER: But it does sound like you are asking for another week from the 7th to the 14th. Do you need more time? MR. SHERR: In light of bench requests obviously, I don't know the volume of them that would	2       curr         3       4         5       thar         6       sho         7       8         9       corr         10       the         11       12         12       to ir         13       just         14       ider         15       gue         16       17         19       20         21       the         22       rour         23       the         24       order	Atember 9th if the deadline for the final order is ently September 2nd in this docket. MR. SHERR: And CenturyLink would consent. COMMISSIONER DANNER: So that gives us less in a month to complete that order. I think that uid be sufficient. MS. RENDAHL: I have no objection to that. JUDGE HOWARD: If it sounds like the imissioners and the company are agreeable to extending deadline for the post-hearing briefs to August 14th. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, excuse me. I hate iterrupt, but I cannot see who's talking, and it has "record," so I'm having a really difficult time itifying who's speaking right now. I'm trying to ss because I cannot see. JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, apologies. This is Judge ward again. THE REPORTER: Thank you. JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. So we are this is an oral ruling here on record. We are moving the deadline for the single and of post-hearing briefs to August 14th. And per company's consent, moving the deadline for the final

64 (Pages 311 to 314)

	Dage 315	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 315 Are there any other questions from the parties before we adjourn? Hearing none, we are off the record, and we are adjourned. Thank you all. (Hearing adjourned at 4:29 p.m.)	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
	Page 316	
1 2	CERTIFICATE	
3 4 5	STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF WHATCOM	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Evelyn M. Adrean, RPR, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the hearing, having been duly sworn on JULY 19, 2024, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.	
13 14 15 16 17 18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 31st day of July 2024.	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	EVELYN M. ADREAN, RPR, CCR-WA	

				5
A	accept 114:2	196:6 221:18,20	313:10	advocate 98:4
<b>A-F-O-R</b> 63:13	116:11,16 123:15	222:9	adds 83:11 122:3	147:25 149:23
<b>a.m</b> 63:2,4 68:5	304:5	act 76:7,12 139:22	251:25	259:23
133:8,9,10	acceptable 284:16	265:20	adequate 127:14	advocates 71:13
<b>AAG</b> 70:6	285:8	acting 205:17	204:12 206:24,24	advocating 199:18
<b>abandon</b> 150:11	accepted 223:10	action 154:25 155:1	260:16,25 262:9	<b>affairs</b> 104:25
<b>ABC</b> 235:3	accepting 282:20	181:5 208:7	283:16 285:2,21	108:18 111:5
	access 83:18 99:21	218:24 219:3	298:13,15 301:8	<b>affect</b> 167:11
<b>ability</b> 71:19 86:20	100:3 115:18	239:12 310:6	302:6 303:17	<b>affiliate</b> 267:19
87:10 125:3,11	118:23 129:14	<b>actions</b> 166:1	308:4 311:1	268:3 271:9
127:12 128:8	137:5,5,10 173:23	activities 166:25	adhere 187:13	<b>affiliates</b> 258:1
154:15 157:23	185:14,17 193:23	activity 88:20	adjourn 308:10	271:14
196:18 199:13	194:5 195:23,25	178:8	315:2	<b>affiliates'</b> 235:11
204:16 216:22	196:5 198:12,18	acts 179:17	adjourned 315:3,4	<b>affirm</b> 73:11 78:22
224:11 230:1,21	212:22 213:7,18	<b>actual</b> 126:14	administer 242:6	169:16 188:4
239:12,17,19	212.22 213.7,18	175:19 177:1,1	administering	270:23
252:23 253:7	226:4 232:6	206:18 280:21	242:4 287:8	<b>affirmative</b> 256:10
297:20 316:12	249:10 263:18	301:19 306:12	administrative	affirmatively
<b>able</b> 68:20 102:20	264:1 268:21	Adam 60:4 63:22	63:5,8 84:2	147:19
115:21 116:10	269:3,6 292:18	70:1 248:12	117:22 125:6	afford 99:24 176:19
124:11 130:1,7	accommodate	310:14	128:9	280:7
146:5 151:25	86:13 311:8	adam.sherr@lu	admission 64:10	
165:11 178:10				affordability
190:16 197:8,13	accompany 80:16	60:6	65:11,12,21,25	173:10 175:14,18
197:16 198:23	accompanying	add 122:2 132:11	admit 266:12	176:13,21 182:18
202:10 203:14	79:14	259:25	admitted 65:17	182:24 201:8,15
204:9,16 207:24	accomplishes	adding 132:3	66:11 68:14 71:22	201:15 291:14
210:3 214:24	293:25	addition 92:25	73:4 74:16 82:11	<b>affordable</b> 173:20
215:2 220:2,10	account 90:15	93:15 104:25	adopt 175:18	176:15 192:10,12
224:14 225:18	225:10	152:5 178:9	218:16 292:2	290:12,24
226:8 229:21	accountable 243:3	263:13 267:14	adopted 84:3 247:6	<b>afforded</b> 130:21
231:5,5,15 235:1	accounting 103:17	additional 107:8	adoption 85:5	affords 145:20
235:2,14,20	accuracy 228:23	115:23 131:25	123:7	<b>AFOR</b> 63:12,13,19
237:16 239:20,21	229:2 284:9	161:12 173:3	adopts 200:18	81:10,11,16,20,22
240:4 286:5	accurate 76:2	182:1 196:17	Adrean 59:25	81:25 84:24 85:5
304:17 308:14	140:22 141:5	225:19 240:5	316:7,20	85:7,14 100:13
<b>absence</b> 75:23 77:2	171:8 199:1 229:1	262:25	adults 118:21 119:2	164:10,10 165:18
259:21	246:19,21 316:11	address 76:3 77:20	119:21 120:16,22	208:21 223:10
absolute 193:17	accurately 154:19	86:19 104:9	120:25 136:19	227:19,22 228:11
252:2	156:12 172:3,20	114:12 144:25	137:1 251:11,18	228:15,19 231:9
absolutely 87:8	195:2 306:6	156:5,17,20	251:22	231:10 249:1,2,8
128:2 147:14	accused 284:12	171:17 172:2	advance 289:10	249:9 253:6,13
167:20 258:2	achieve 192:16,16	229:18 239:5	advanced 250:8	256:8,17 257:5
262:2 313:14	achieved 111:2	290:8 301:8	adverse 212:24	269:23 273:13,14
abstract 190:18	acquiring 167:9	addresses 114:10	<b>advice</b> 146:13	273:16,20 274:3,4
ACAM 243:9,9	acquisitions 230:16	114:13	advise 270:5	274:4 276:10,12
	ACS 194:1 195:22	addressing 155:3	advisement 163:17	299:7 311:10,11
	I		I	I

Page	31	8
. ~ 90	<u> </u>	-

311:19 312:1	238:2 309:1	<b>allows</b> 76:8 204:8	<b>ample</b> 254:4	295:11
<b>AFORs</b> 289:2	agreeing 149:15	213:19 229:7	analyses 181:5	anymore 142:3
<b>afternoon</b> 168:16	164:11 217:5	278:9	analysis 76:18	anytime 214:15
182:15,16 187:25	230:17	alluded 92:2	96:14,17 108:12	apologies 101:14
188:2,11 189:8,9	agreement 62:22	alternative 63:14	108:22 109:14	120:1 125:7
221:14,15 236:21	66:12 67:22 69:4	63:18 81:17 87:8	122:18 126:2,10	144:20 210:1
236:22 245:16	69:10 81:15	87:12 93:13	135:23,25 176:24	213:2 232:3 278:7
246:8 248:10,11	105:15,24 116:24	153:22 154:1	177:11 193:24	314:16
277:11 307:3,5	130:15,20 132:6	195:3 214:1	199:4,14 202:24	apologize 85:10
age 123:6,12	134:8 142:17	219:20 225:9	221:1 225:4 230:8	89:5 93:7 101:9
171:17	145:19 157:21	231:19 234:23,25	243:23 267:20	101:18,19 133:22
aged 123:9	158:7 159:6	249:1 262:9	268:1 288:3,12	156:24 209:20
<b>agencies</b> 146:14	160:25 162:17	263:13 269:25	290:6 297:6	227:23 250:20
agency 165:13	163:24 176:4	273:2 274:22	analyzed 242:14	266:1 276:19
agents 149:18	179:16 183:21	282:21 288:9,18	and/or 183:22	<b>appeal</b> 162:6,18,19
aging 164:4	185:4,9,12,13	288:22 289:14	264:5	appear 69:3,16
<b>aging</b> 104.4 <b>ago</b> 69:13 107:22		290:1 291:13	Andrew 61:3	81:18 181:15
107:24 108:1	197:15,17 199:7	302:6		
107:24 108:1	202:14 205:25 213:15 214:7	alternatives 77:2	anecdotally 296:9	187:10 263:17
253:14			<b>angst</b> 126:19,23 <b>Ann</b> 68:10	<b>appearance</b> 63:25
	223:21 227:16	83:12,18 89:18	<b>annual</b> 242:4	64:5 247:23
<b>agree</b> 83:15 84:13	228:3,13,17	92:24 94:8 127:24		appearances 63:20
92:23 97:2 110:2	259:22 267:11	128:3 136:7	297:24	69:23
110:6,13,21 111:8	298:8 299:12,15	139:15 142:5,7,11	answer 72:25 90:19	<b>appearing</b> 70:2
112:23,25 120:19	ahead 81:5 121:18	142:13 166:20	95:20 100:8,21	appears 171:19
120:21 122:22	182:11 221:11	194:20 206:25	112:5 116:16	262:10 307:14
123:4 130:3 142:4	246:23 266:23	207:9 219:16	134:22 153:2	<b>Appendix</b> 237:19
145:2 146:18	269:2 294:6 300:4	252:19 261:13,14	166:4 175:6 176:7	applicable 196:21
158:18 159:4,11	Alarm 209:17	267:17 275:6	177:4,8,17,18	application 153:12
159:13 162:15,25	allege 271:18	285:2 293:8	184:9 196:3 227:1	184:14 239:15
163:1 164:15	allocating 128:6	302:19	227:6 234:9	240:3 266:4
177:23 189:10	<b>allow</b> 64:12 68:1	ameliorate 179:11	236:25 240:7	279:11,21 280:1
198:12 206:13	69:17 71:10 87:11	America 139:21	263:4 266:1	281:1 290:18
207:1 211:17,25	94:18 105:20	American 139:22	269:14 271:20	291:1 292:8
218:19 219:1	106:25 107:4	190:21 193:22	296:3 308:19	applications 264:15
240:18 249:7	115:9 165:15	Americans 148:24	answered 107:3	264:19 265:11,12
250:10 251:25	195:17 198:16	149:8	answering 236:17	279:2,8,19 306:11
256:3 257:13	207:12,13 209:11	amount 86:22 95:9	249:23 256:1	applied 88:23
262:11,16,22	285:24 287:1,13	100:14 109:7	271:16	191:19 226:22,22
285:15 296:25	287:14 290:22	135:10,24 146:17	<b>answers</b> 106:8	253:21 265:16
306:3,9	302:1	154:14 156:18	164:16 170:8	applies 111:18
agreeable 226:24	allowed 204:24	161:16 172:22	188:25 200:7	184:13 310:8,10
314:9	205:6 213:25	173:9 197:24	313:6	<b>apply</b> 90:6,13
agreed 64:15 95:5	225:2 302:4,25	220:3,10,17 221:3	anticipate 277:7	131:19 132:11
95:22 108:6 132:6	306:22	233:16 239:11	antitrust 166:8	140:14 154:13,14
157:9 159:16	<b>allowing</b> 231:18	296:18	<b>anybody</b> 123:23	154:18,20 237:11
199:19 237:25	283:7 298:16	<b>amounts</b> 310:5	129:19 283:23	238:24 239:10,10
	I	I	I	I

				5
284:1 310:5,5	arbitrary 116:13	243:6,14 244:4,8	assessed 208:1	228:2 231:23
<b>applying</b> 140:7	116:17,19	252:4 254:7 259:6	310:4	237:7,18
265:15	area 89:12 90:3,16	259:11 260:10,14	assessing 209:17	attempt 101:16
apportion 92:7	93:22 96:15,19	260:20,20,22	213:16	230:3 270:5
194:2	97:8,17 112:12	261:7 262:10	assessment 129:8	attempting 88:13
appreciate 138:1	113:17 115:2	263:22 282:7	158:3 178:21	attempts 195:4
204:2 254:13	116:3 117:13,14	301:21 302:15,17	294:16	attend 161:3
appreciated 312:24	124:17 128:16	303:7,14 304:21	assessments 155:22	attendance 209:3
appreciates 69:2	129:1 134:21	304:22 305:4	assigned 106:8	attending 67:19,21
approach 89:4,11	136:5,8 139:10	306:18	assignment 104:12	<b>attention</b> 104:21
97:21 218:25	142:3,5 143:10,19	<b>argue</b> 283:24	<b>assist</b> 119:23	246:24 301:2,4
249:23	144:24,25 150:12	284:23 310:8	149:20	attestation 287:2
approached 128:18	153:15,20,23	<b>argument</b> 100:10	assistance 85:2	287:15
129:16	153:15,20,25	108:8 217:13	145:17,19 146:15	<b>attorney</b> 60:10,16
<b>appropriate</b> 66:24	181:17 186:8,10	250:3	146:18 226:2	70:2 74:24 98:11
67:17 73:5 97:17	191:9,12,24 193:6	<b>ARPA</b> 139:22	232:7,23 234:6	104:23 170:17
181:3 193:2	191.9,12,24 193.0	<b>article</b> 209:16	<b>assistant</b> 74:24	185:7 205:3
199:12 249:6	194.3,4,8,9,13	215:8	104:24 170:17	248:13 255:1
284:5	202:11 203:21	<b>ascertain</b> 127:13	308:16	275:21 276:9
appropriately	206:25 207:9	129:5 143:13	associated 154:13	attorney's 280:8
200:11 208:11	212:6,22 214:20	<b>aside</b> 66:14 290:5	172:13 197:12,12	attorneys 153:11
278:8	212.0,22 214.20 219:15 223:9,14	<b>asked</b> 65:20 107:3	200:15 239:10	attract 75:25
	234:12,16 252:25		310:4	audio 123:20
approval 95:18,24	,	133:19 134:1,10		299:25
96:2,5 106:3	253:1,8,10 256:3	135:9 136:17	<b>assume</b> 205:8	
111:10,11 117:17	256:5,8 258:5,20	145:1 148:24	assumes 270:7	August 309:22
125:25 127:8	259:4,7,17,17	150:23 158:13	assuming 102:3	311:4 312:7
207:16 255:4	281:4,13,17,25	170:6,7 182:17	142:25 234:15	313:22 314:10,22
256:10 260:7	284:2,19 289:17	188:22,23 210:8	assumption 150:8	authority 127:12
264:24	292:23 298:24	218:13 221:17	288:20	142:17,23 147:23
<b>approve</b> 63:17	areas 71:6 76:11	222:12 224:10,19	assumptions	148:20 149:5
142:17 146:3	77:1 91:18 110:19	227:2 238:22	289:24	152:24 154:21,24
148:19 163:24	112:14 115:12	239:3 241:4,7	<b>assurance</b> 105:24	155:21 165:6
165:6,14 230:15	127:6,11 139:3,4	258:3 277:17	127:18	166:1,2 167:8
<b>approved</b> 69:14	139:4,25 140:2,3	281:3 304:23	assurances 106:23	183:25 230:15
84:7 175:13	140:5,5 147:4	311:14	107:5	260:6 273:18
213:23 215:12	159:25 160:18,25	asking 69:23 87:18	asymmetric 166:2	automated 226:18
220:21 265:19	161:2,13,24	92:1 109:3 145:14	AT&T 128:21	automatic 130:15
279:12	174:25 186:14,18	146:16 183:9	233:9 250:3	130:25 149:14
approves 310:11	189:12,14,18,22	213:4 222:21	257:22,25 265:15	183:12 226:11,17
approving 273:16	189:25 190:5,17	309:4 312:20	266:3 279:7,9,11	307:16 309:25
approximate 115:5	192:10,11,13	aspect 148:8	300:13 304:6,15	310:3
approximately 91:8	193:13 195:24	210:19 283:25	attach 109:25	automatically
126:4 240:1	196:7 203:10,11	assemble 309:7	attached 172:21	132:11
<b>April</b> 170:20 212:8	215:13 216:4	assert 98:1	264:14	availability 93:5,6
<b>arbiter</b> 298:17	231:8,14 234:7,15	assess 195:5 239:12	attachment 81:14	93:10 94:10
299:16	234:20 235:5,13	239:20,21	157:21 227:20	153:22 189:22
	1	1	1	1

				1 490 020
190:20,21 193:14	191:11 243:13	<b>ball</b> 179:14	108:8,13,19,22	195:21 199:15
193:16 194:7,12	254:8,11,15,25	<b>ballpark</b> 175:12	109:19 112:19	204:7,17 220:19
194:25 195:2,5,13	255:1,9 260:5	bare 222:6	145:6 194:25	221:19,24 222:2
196:13,19 197:2,3	265:7 281:23	<b>barrier</b> 190:3,8	195:11 196:11,23	222:23 223:22
197:6,7,11 208:25	287:6,10 288:21	192:2	199:10 212:13,22	232:2,3 233:13
217:20 219:10	awry 311:25	<b>barriers</b> 75:23 76:4	213:18 223:12	240:23 248:16
224:9 225:13		76:13 77:21	225:23 286:22	251:8,9 255:4
229:16 236:12	B	<b>base</b> 159:24 160:14	<b>BDC's</b> 194:7	256:11 260:15
244:3 252:14	<b>B</b> 181:1 231:23	164:3 181:4	<b>BEAD</b> 138:6 140:6	263:9 266:3
291:13 302:16	237:18,19	183:21 296:23	140:7 234:5,21	268:10 273:21,23
303:17	B-e-n-n-e-t-t	303:13	235:13,21 236:3	279:24 281:3
available 72:25	188:18	based 131:21	236:14 243:15	282:14 289:2
82:11 92:3 93:6	<b>B-r-e-v</b> 246:12	142:20 154:25	287:8	293:13 294:8
113:15 128:3	back 68:6 81:8,14	174:20 180:21	becoming 180:24	295:12 296:12,17
129:10 136:7	95:14 96:13 107:9	181:10 186:7,8	<b>beg</b> 96:7	298:11 309:3
137:16,17 139:1	107:10 115:10	191:25 193:17	<b>began</b> 74:23 107:24	believes 70:18
141:2 142:7,12	120:9 126:2,11	194:1 196:6,18	109:12 223:11	<b>bench</b> 72:17 78:6,7
145:8 157:5	127:20 128:25	212:5,20 216:16	beginning 68:18	78:20 82:15
168:19 170:10	131:8,20 133:11	217:19 222:9	89:22 121:21	137:23 150:13,23
172:17 179:21	139:20 143:8	229:9 230:10	133:18,25	153:1,3,16 168:6
189:2 192:20,20	149:17 154:19	240:6 244:12	<b>begins</b> 81:16	168:9 185:23
193:19 194:20	155:12 170:19	254:9 263:18	<b>behalf</b> 63:23 64:2,7	227:11 241:10
198:14 205:15	179:3 187:21	273:22 279:13	70:2,6,11 98:4	245:1 303:22
212:25 213:11,23	215:8 240:17	286:6 287:1,14	206:3 244:18	310:24 312:9,22
214:1 221:25	241:12 245:14,18	297:7 298:14	245:20 247:4,6	313:5
234:23,25 235:14	258:3 279:11	306:5 308:2	307:25	benchmark 182:24
235:22 244:7	293:3 311:13	<b>basic</b> 173:2	behavior 298:5	201:9 284:22
249:21 261:13	312:1	basically 148:17	belief 95:2 128:12	beneficial 160:17
269:16 274:21,21	backed 191:23	149:1 164:10,14	180:23 193:18	310:22 312:18
286:7 290:12,24	273:7	164:25 186:8	<b>belies</b> 253:22	benefit 85:24
301:11,25 302:7	background 241:21	228:1 234:13	<b>believe</b> 72:22 94:7	161:20 182:3
302:19 303:17	241:22 244:11	236:1 270:9	97:16,23 100:21	231:17 295:9,14
<b>avenue</b> 60:11	284:15 300:8	282:22 288:14	102:13,14,15	312:17
152:21	backtrack 69:25	296:22	113:22 116:18	benefits 86:21
average 157:25	<b>backup</b> 111:10	<b>basis</b> 130:21 132:2	118:4 122:11,25	224:23 226:11
174:21 180:22	214:12,23 215:1	132:7 158:6	123:1 126:8,22,25	229:9 240:2
182:21	233:5,14,16,20	166:24 193:25	127:15 128:21,22	272:23 297:16
averaging 183:1	240:17,23,24	195:21,21 229:4	128:22,23 129:17	307:19
186:14	241:6	238:23 267:23	130:6 132:2 134:5	benefitting 186:15
<b>avoid</b> 231:16	<b>backyard</b> 186:16	310:9	134:7 137:11	186:17
279:22	<b>bad</b> 117:5	<b>bat</b> 292:3 293:3	138:15 141:1,15	<b>Bennett</b> 62:10
award 309:25	Badge 309:17,20	<b>battery</b> 214:23	145:25 146:15	99:22 187:9,24,24
awarded 138:8,15	<b>balance</b> 69:8 83:8	215:11,13 233:5	153:25 154:3	187:25 188:17
234:17	134:9 165:18	233:14,17,20	159:10 168:12	189:2,8 221:8,14
<b>aware</b> 68:16 76:6	166:16 167:14	240:17,23	172:3 173:6 183:4	236:21 240:14
77:4 125:21	228:9	<b>BDC</b> 93:4,8,11,18	184:10 187:5	245:1,4 270:19,22
	1	1	1	1

				<u> </u>
Bennett's 209:9	84:17 118:17	bringing 132:7	<b>build</b> 72:6	calculation 142:21
251:3 288:7	119:15 147:7	brings 92:15	build-out 242:11	184:13
<b>best</b> 74:13 80:10	194:22 210:5	244:18 308:8	242:13 305:15	calculations 119:20
82:7 91:20 116:7	241:20 283:2,3	broad 227:4 265:15	building 234:18	120:4
129:2 146:12	295:7 305:3	broadband 72:4	287:7,10	calculator 120:20
177:4 222:19	boundaries 90:3	76:21 77:7,14	buildings 195:1	120:21
244:6 278:13	115:12	87:16 92:7,8 93:3	<b>built</b> 91:2 229:13	calculus 157:10,16
316:11	boundary 96:19	98:23 108:8	300:18	calendar 311:12
<b>better</b> 72:24 110:13	<b>bout</b> 260:9	109:19 112:25	built-in 291:12	California 250:4,5
131:3,5 133:23,24	<b>box</b> 60:17 90:18	113:1,8,10,11,12	<b>bunch</b> 226:19	254:1 257:23
163:14 222:16	break 64:18,19,20	113:12,15 114:2	<b>bundled</b> 176:3	282:4 304:6
229:6 230:9,12	68:5 132:22 133:2	138:23 139:13,14	<b>bundles</b> 172:15	call 93:23 94:3
244:1 272:24	133:4,7,9 186:24	140:9,16,17,18	<b>burden</b> 83:17 84:1	96:21 102:10
293:15,20,21	187:5,6,20 230:21	141:3 161:12	84:2 85:4,11,15	103:25 105:18
299:2,3 302:13	230:23 245:8,10	173:1,14 189:12	85:19 117:22	106:7,7 128:19
306:11	245:11,14,17	189:18,21 190:4	125:4,6	129:19 143:15,16
beyond 98:19	breaking 178:25	190:20 196:13	<b>burdens</b> 83:10	151:22 152:6,22
131:22 145:18	breaks 65:9	197:2,11 219:10	84:19 86:20 128:9	162:9,10 169:8,9
180:2 209:9	Brevitz 62:14 245:9	221:17 225:13,13	<b>burned</b> 167:11	205:6 272:8 304:4
226:12	245:20,22,23,25	226:3,7 229:16,23	<b>bus</b> 309:14	<b>Callahan</b> 63:8 64:2
<b>bidding</b> 234:14	246:8,12,14	231:24 232:13,19	business 103:16	245:21,22,24
<b>big</b> 71:5 257:19	247:25 248:10	233:1 234:12,14	109:20 114:22	246:1,6 248:5
<b>bigger</b> 304:14	261:22 277:11	236:1,12 241:23	135:21 136:3	261:25 276:16,25
<b>bill</b> 132:7 208:6	297:15 303:24	242:9,11,16,20	141:23 142:1,10	277:6 297:11
228:11,14	307:4 308:7	243:5,9,12,13,17	142:19,20 158:5	303:21 308:7,22
<b>billion</b> 77:6 92:5	Brevitz's 125:19	244:2,6 249:10,17	162:20 164:14	309:15,20
161:7 189:17	126:1	249:21 260:23	168:13 279:18	called 108:13 196:9
<b>bit</b> 68:23 84:4	Brewster 61:2	267:19 268:2	290:10,23 296:24	209:16
100:23 107:15	brief 64:11,12 68:1	271:8,23 285:2	304:16,17 305:9	calling 114:8
111:9 121:21	68:24 69:21	287:6 300:17	309:2	280:11
125:8 133:1 138:4	133:15 182:9	301:1,8,17 302:10	businesses 175:10	calls 104:1 115:8
146:21 148:6	245:11,14 311:4,6	broadbandnow.c	<b>busy</b> 312:6,7	163:4 205:9
166:9 173:22	312:10	108:14 109:13	<b>buy</b> 230:25	capital 86:15
200:17 208:23	briefing 309:24	broader 267:17	<b>buying</b> 165:10	159:23 160:1,5
209:9 233:6	311:8 313:22	284:2	231:4	161:16,23 249:24
241:19 291:24	briefly 261:21	broke 193:25	byproducts 147:2	250:1 303:12
298:25	313:9	Brook 61:7		capital-intensive
<b>black</b> 255:7	briefs 309:21,23	brought 162:2	<u> </u>	88:22
blank 200:19	310:19,19,20	182:20	<b>C</b> 60:1 316:1,1	captioned 63:11
<b>blend</b> 304:20	311:2 312:8,13	Brown 61:11	cable 89:3 131:7	<b>car</b> 143:19
<b>blind</b> 71:17	313:11 314:10,22	Bryce 308:16	147:5 179:7	care 106:16 128:4
blindly 225:12	bring 77:1 82:16	<b>bucket</b> 166:23	191:16 268:22	147:16 149:18
<b>boards</b> 161:10	87:13,15 107:9	budget 179:20	269:4,4,5,15	160:22 167:18
<b>bones</b> 259:20	135:22 138:23	181:15	cables 179:25	cared 127:19 128:2
<b>born</b> 192:19	204:25 205:1	budgets 177:1	calculated 122:10	144:6
<b>bottom</b> 75:4,8,13	224:11 301:8	181:19	calculates 183:1	career 146:23
, ,		l	I	

<b>F</b>				
careful 202:19	266:8 267:20	259:6 300:18	259:16 260:16,25	certainly 64:23
Carrasco 61:6	268:1,11,24	CenturyLink 59:3	261:17 262:5,7,12	69:22 73:3 78:16
<b>carrier</b> 140:25	269:13 270:23	60:3 63:12,16,23	262:17,22 263:10	85:1 88:2,19
159:7,8 166:3,3	281:9 282:12	66:2 69:2 70:2	266:10,15,16,25	89:14 91:3 94:5
167:17,21,23	285:10 290:18	71:10,25 76:23	267:2,6,7,18,21	95:10 103:24
carriers 108:20	291:1	77:3 83:10 84:6	267:22 268:3,7,14	114:4 123:2
124:25 129:1	<b>CCLs</b> 202:12	84:23 86:9,14,18	268:18 269:6,10	127:12 135:16
138:6 145:5	207:18,19,20,21	87:24 89:23 90:2	269:24 270:5,5,13	136:6 140:12
242:19 302:4	222:3,5,8 235:18	90:6,12,21 91:17	271:9,12,15 272:2	144:5 145:16
carries 174:23	256:5 287:21,24	91:22 92:10 96:11	273:7 275:4	149:6 151:18
carry 171:11 261:4	288:4,8,15,18	99:9 102:10	277:25 279:21	152:21 153:1
Carter 61:5	289:17,21,25	103:16 104:15,23	281:8,12 286:12	162:24 163:16
case 65:18 89:1	290:5 291:20	105:10,16 106:1	288:23 289:2,16	166:8 167:22,24
90:20 97:23 98:15	305:12	107:18 109:8	289:22 290:9,17	199:12 201:3
100:25 101:6,10	<b>CCR</b> 59:25	110:2 111:6	290:22 292:7,13	205:3 214:21
106:7 107:16,20	<b>CCR-WA</b> 316:20	114:15,24 116:7	293:5,18 296:6	249:15 257:6
109:7 116:8	<b>CDC</b> 136:10,24	124:7,12 127:24	298:1 299:21	266:22 276:7
123:17 142:1	251:17 252:3	128:20 129:4,16	305:12 306:8	281:20 282:3
148:21 155:14	261:5 263:8	138:7 140:4,8	310:15 311:2	286:14 300:20
158:6 160:2 162:6	<b>CDC's</b> 251:14	142:6 146:17	314:3	308:1
162:9,23 168:19	<b>cell</b> 71:15 72:4	151:6,8 152:3	CenturyLink's	certainty 123:16
172:24 210:22	76:20 77:21 97:16	153:22 154:18	63:15 76:9,11	certificated 160:24
211:1,6,10,23	112:20,24 124:8	164:21 165:20	100:23 122:11	certification 200:5
238:23 248:23,25	124:18 128:11	175:8 176:12	125:5 130:15	Certified 316:7
249:3 256:6	129:8,9,25 143:20	177:12 191:15,23	157:23 172:25	certify 316:9
257:19 258:9	146:8,10 149:2	193:3,14 196:6	175:2 182:18,21	<b>Chair</b> 68:9 236:19
260:8 275:4	193:1,7,11 195:13	197:21 198:4,8	183:9,14 194:3	313:10
279:13 284:23	209:5 210:19,25	199:5,7,19 200:10	198:1 203:18	challenge 71:5
305:9 308:17	211:7 212:12	200:16 201:21	216:13 224:1,13	97:13,17,19 98:5
312:5 314:24	213:6 214:3,4,6,8	202:9 205:20	224:25 225:15	98:8 108:20 229:6
cases 67:18 179:5	214:25 215:3,11	206:6,21 207:7	230:20 234:16,20	229:8,10,14 230:1
205:21 265:14	215:12 233:3,23	208:8,10 213:25	249:3 252:23	251:20 283:7
cash 254:3 306:19	cellular 113:2	216:2,9,11,13,22	253:7 259:3,5	286:24 287:11
Cassie 64:3 70:7	124:25 128:23	217:25 218:21	261:17 262:4	290:8,11,23
Castaneda-Kerson	209:17 214:9,24	222:6 223:6,13,17	273:18 294:9	291:13 292:3
61:6	census 120:15	223:21 224:4,25	305:16 306:6	298:7,12
catch 96:1 140:1	194:2 221:18	225:18,22 226:13	310:16	challenges 140:25
categories 119:22	census' 193:22	227:17 228:8	CenturyTel 59:4,4	287:1,3,3,4,14
178:10	center 89:2 103:25	229:15,25 230:3	59:5 194:4	challenging 117:15
cause 126:18	109:22,23 143:20	230:10,23 231:18	certain 72:11 85:9	125:23,23 126:16
178:22 179:15,21	148:6 193:25,25	235:1,11 244:18	87:20 92:21	127:5 190:13
caused 105:17	259:17 281:14,18	248:13,17 253:16	106:16 128:1	201:11,13 224:2
caution 67:6	281:25 302:22	254:3,21 256:9,13	144:5 149:18	<b>chance</b> 225:22
269:18	centers 108:14	256:21 257:3,11	160:25 167:3	229:14 276:8
CCL 153:19,23	251:10 282:11	257:15,25 258:4,6	192:4 203:2	309:10
154:5 256:8 266:8	<b>century</b> 84:18	258:9,21 259:2,12	260:17 274:7	<b>change</b> 143:5
				ŭ
---------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------
146:19,19 147:13	circulated 65:13	clicked 209:19	<b>comfort</b> 127:17	219:22 223:2
148:10 179:15	circumstance 179:6	<b>clock</b> 292:20	282:9	225:8 230:5 238:7
246:20 247:24	283:22 306:20	close 96:17 144:20	comfortable 203:4	239:4,9,18 240:4
273:19 274:2,12	circumstances	165:22 309:2	229:3	242:3 243:20
274:21,21 301:15	87:20 92:22	closely 122:15	coming 92:5 104:1	244:19 246:15
<b>changed</b> 233:15,15	134:18 145:20	205:24	125:15 155:11	250:6 253:15
247:5,9 272:4	179:22 213:13	closer 111:2 250:19	212:17 216:4	254:5 255:2,4,12
changes 104:8,16	257:13 264:9	<b>closes</b> 309:6	243:17,18 300:23	255:20 256:10,13
247:15,16 285:6	274:24 284:1	closing 69:20	<b>comment</b> 71:12	256:20 257:1
changing 148:14	306:13	<b>code</b> 108:15,15	156:3 161:25	258:6,20 259:15
169:6 201:11	cite 99:5 174:1	179:4,4	172:9 190:25	259:20,25 260:7,9
219:8,14	177:16 288:1,25	<b>coincide</b> 122:15	191:25 209:2	261:10 264:6,8,24
characterization	<b>cited</b> 289:7	coincidence 122:17	211:12 215:17	265:5 266:25
83:20 219:2	citizen 124:5	coincidental 257:18	220:6 280:1,3	268:5 273:11,15
characterize 179:1	166:14,19	<b>colleague</b> 64:3 70:7	308:25	273:24 274:2,8
characterized	citizens 146:20	157:19 240:10	commenter 280:6	278:15,22 279:9
172:19	252:4 261:6,18	245:21	comments 125:17	279:10,12 280:5
<b>charge</b> 175:2	262:6,21 263:8	<b>collect</b> 144:16	166:16 204:21	283:12,18,21
charges 173:3	<b>city</b> 143:20	145:4	208:24,24 264:4,5	284:4 286:20
<b>chart</b> 216:7	<b>claim</b> 310:9	collecting 216:24	280:3,9 286:16	288:21 289:9,13
<b>charts</b> 194:14	clarification 116:25	collection 93:4	308:11,13 309:6	292:2 294:9,17,19
<b>Chase</b> 247:3	259:14	139:14 221:17	309:16	294:24 296:15
<b>Chat</b> 65:7,8	clarify 186:20	229:23 243:17	commercial 124:24	299:4,9,17,19
cheaper 99:12	196:25 207:4	collects 224:1	142:6 158:1	301:1,3,24 302:5
272:24	227:22 237:5	<b>color</b> 146:21	commercially 93:5	306:10,10,14,21
<b>check</b> 94:19 120:20	255:25 266:13	<b>column</b> 81:10,11,20	commission 59:1	307:18 310:4,6,11
121:2 138:16	clarifying 227:15	81:22 118:18	60:15 63:7,14,17	310:17,18,22
163:15 164:18	classification 63:12	119:1 136:18	66:17 69:3,13	311:14 312:5,15
168:11	63:16 108:10	137:1 180:20	70:21,23 72:8	312:17 313:8
<b>checked</b> 90:18	198:21 203:12	<b>combined</b> 231:16	83:15 84:20 85:23	commission's
checking 94:11	218:1 225:1 249:4	<b>come</b> 71:4 85:12	87:11,25 92:19	154:15,21,23
<b>choice</b> 83:16	273:9 311:13,21	87:25 93:1 106:20	104:10 110:24	155:20 167:21
133:20 134:2	classified 59:7	117:16 131:7	116:5 117:17	183:25 239:11,17
176:17 213:1,4,13	85:14 122:9	135:22 152:21	126:19,22 127:5,7	279:25 283:18
250:1 252:13	<b>clear</b> 81:23 118:15	163:10 166:18	127:11,17 133:20	310:11
choices 154:5	167:2 177:14	179:3 192:9	134:1 146:14,24	commissioned
176:21 249:25	179:6 200:6 238:9	218:21 254:20	153:13 154:2,13	185:5
<b>choose</b> 137:13	238:10 258:4	285:22 293:3	154:24 155:1,11	commissioner 62:5
255:12 261:13	262:25 266:8	299:8 306:14	158:18,22 160:24	62:6,6,7,9,12,12
274:21 275:3	267:3 282:6 283:6	312:1	168:11 172:1	62:13,16,16 68:9
chooses 137:18	284:4	comes 84:24 92:16	177:25 181:6	68:10 103:5,6,10
252:11 268:22	clearly 264:8	111:6 113:10	183:18 184:15	119:13,17 121:5
choosing 252:18	<b>CLEC</b> 105:2	147:15 148:16	185:10 194:17	137:25 138:3
<b>chose</b> 112:13	237:14	161:8 162:16	197:25 203:7	141:7 150:18,21
213:12	<b>CLECs</b> 265:12	177:12 190:9	206:23 208:21	151:2,15 157:16
<b>chosen</b> 249:12	<b>click</b> 114:11,19	200:12 251:2	213:21 218:11,16	158:12,17 161:19
	1	1	1	

				<u> </u>
162:2,3 163:18,20	190:15 208:5	245:9 248:5,24	competitive 63:16	146:18 147:11
167:25 168:5	214:9,13,17	253:20,22,24	69:11 75:24 76:24	154:25 167:16
184:5 186:2,19,22	215:12 230:24	258:10 274:11	83:9 85:12,13	171:21 257:13
227:14 236:16,20	234:16 241:6	278:14 279:4	108:10 160:4	completeness 279:3
236:21,22,24	242:10,24 243:2	281:8,23 282:8	172:17 186:8	completing 187:11
237:3 240:9,13,16	243:20,21,23	285:20 291:5,8	198:21 203:12	complexity 310:15
244:21,23 250:18	249:25 300:21	295:18 297:25	208:5 218:1	compliance 105:2
303:23 304:2	304:15,16,20	298:4,5,12,13,17	219:14,15 224:25	105:11 109:6
306:25 307:3,7	<b>company</b> 59:6	298:21,21 299:1,5	240:19,24 249:4	complimentary
308:24 311:9	63:21 65:16,21	299:13 302:1	267:19 273:8	196:14
312:16,19 313:1	66:1 67:19 68:18	306:14 307:17,20	311:13,20	component 83:21
313:17,21 314:4	69:7,25 70:3 71:3	308:4 309:25	competitively 59:7	155:4 172:5 223:5
Commissioner's	71:10 72:10 73:16	314:9	85:13 122:9	231:7 242:10
313:17	78:3,14 83:17	<b>company's</b> 71:19	competitor 92:15	<b>components</b> 149:13
commissioners	84:20 85:22 87:6	76:25 96:25 97:2	162:19 235:12	194:6 197:12
63:9 68:2,4,8,12	87:10,11 88:19	129:9 155:2	268:2	217:18
68:19 69:24 70:11	89:12,18 91:14	177:12 229:20	competitors 92:11	compose 216:14
158:13 208:6	93:3 95:14 97:7	232:17 282:5	109:22,23 111:7	compose 210111 compound 117:5
243:18 292:25	97:10 100:20	292:24 306:16	186:16 207:22	compromise 83:8
313:20 314:9	104:6 106:9 107:6	314:23	compiled 65:13	134:9 165:19
commissioners'	107:11 108:15	comparator 193:2	complain 94:10	<b>computer</b> 109:15
187:16	109:2 111:23	193:8	183:17 206:1	concentrated
commissions	115:12 117:16,23	<b>compare</b> 125:5	224:14 291:17	122:20 123:5
272:22 282:3	126:24 131:3	242:23	294:21	concentration
297:23	132:20 133:13	<b>compares</b> 198:3	complaining 272:1	195:5
commissions'	134:11,16,21	comparing 156:18	274:25,25	concept 257:3
273:18	135:2 140:12	290:2	<b>complains</b> 71:25	312:17
<b>commit</b> 147:24	147:24 149:23	comparisons	274:11	<b>concern</b> 104:9
149:5,24 152:24	151:10,21 155:2	172:25	complaint 155:24	127:23 145:22
164:18	155:17 156:1,6,14	compassion 106:17	178:12 205:1	149:6 230:19
commitment	156:16 157:10,15	147:16	224:11 271:25	241:16 252:22
167:10 236:2	158:8 160:10,23	compelled 86:10	273:22 275:18	268:7 303:6,18
communicate	161:22 164:19,19	274:12	294:16	305:20 312:4
125:11 251:23	165:7,8,8,11	compelling 115:22	complaints 98:4	313:16
269:24 279:4	166:1,25 167:9,9	competition 71:7	178:10,11,12	concerned 160:19
communication	168:15 173:21	75:14 76:8 77:1	180:3,7 206:8	162:1 254:5
170:23 208:25	179:17,20,22	105:4 108:9,18	216:2,9,11,12,14	261:10 313:12
209:18 242:7	180:8,23 181:1,11	110:20,20 158:20	216:14 272:5,7,12	concerning 124:4
community 190:21	181:16,22 183:18	158:24,25 159:9	272:18,21 273:1	295:23
193:22	185:18 186:5,9	159:15 160:14	275:17,19 286:12	concerns 169:5
<b>compact</b> 160:23	192:8 200:6 203:5	186:12,15 190:8	293:19 295:24	203:5 216:21
companies 59:3	203:13 204:20	193:15 194:18	297:1,4,6	230:14,18 260:13
60:3 63:12 72:4	205:2,4,6 213:19	234:20 235:5,16	complete 155:1	270:14 298:16
138:8 145:24	230:21 231:25	272:9,13,15,19,23	292:23 301:1	301:21 303:11
151:6,8 164:20,21	232:10 234:4,5,8	273:6,12 298:18	314:5	313:18
151:6,8 164:20,21 177:24 178:5		273:6,12 298:18 302:15,17	314:5 completely 140:10	313:18 <b>conclude</b> 77:12

187:17 308:3	consent 271:24	192:22 205:8,10	293:16	194:22
conclusion 98:12	314:3,23	205:23 206:1,19	continued 70:24	CORPORATION
195:11 211:6	conservative	206:19 216:1	192:14	59:4
212:18 285:9,12	172:23 173:9,13	229:14 237:23	continues 287:18	correct 74:5,13
295:15	173:17,18 201:8	238:3 240:2 295:1	continuing 72:3	76:5,16 77:16
<b>concur</b> 158:22	201:14,16 218:20	295:5	contract 158:3	80:10,19,20 81:7
162:11,23	consider 63:15	consumers 83:11	185:6	81:11,13 82:2,7
condition 225:1	87:12 141:9	83:18 94:6,14	contracting 238:23	83:14,19,24 84:11
238:13 297:16,17	153:23 213:7,19	97:14 98:4 117:24	contracts 157:23	84:12 85:6,8,17
conditional 307:22	225:9 236:13	176:17 192:19	158:9	86:1,6,23 87:20
307:23	253:15 259:15	203:17 204:4	contrary 272:22	87:21 88:11,14,18
conditions 166:25	268:23 273:15	219:6,18 222:7	<b>contrast</b> 107:15	89:25 90:1,4,22
212:24	279:25 280:3	226:1,15 228:9	control 165:13	90:25 93:9,12,14
conducted 176:24	consideration	229:7,10,20,20,25	251:10	93:19,23,24 94:1
263:16	106:17 117:10	231:17 249:12	convened 63:14	94:15,16,20,21
conducting 66:21	128:18 140:12,14	295:9 298:12	conversation	97:24 98:9,24,25
115:17	176:14 202:19	301:11 307:19	151:20 171:8	102:4 105:14
<b>confer</b> 308:14	213:24 231:2	<b>contact</b> 95:13 97:22	conversations	106:21 107:22
confidence 140:23	235:7,12 251:6	98:18,20 129:14	107:24	108:2,3,5,10,11
confident 140:21	266:25 268:6	129:15 132:9	convince 106:19	108:25 110:15
244:13,16	269:18 298:3	141:3 145:13,24	cooperative 110:24	111:3,12,13,14
confidential 66:16	considerations	152:4,13 158:6	cooperatives	112:11,22 113:8,9
66:17,23 67:5,8	176:13 193:20	199:8 211:22,24	161:11	114:3 115:25
177:16,21 178:17	200:18 298:3	232:19 308:16	coordinates 145:3	116:20,21 117:20
197:24	considered 111:25	contacted 107:21	<b>copper</b> 72:1 76:15	118:3,24,25 119:2
confidentiality	112:2 172:23	143:22	87:3 88:17 89:2,7	119:3 120:8,11,12
66:19 67:22	268:11 269:13	contacting 144:16	89:9 92:10,16	120:13,16 121:4,9
<b>confirm</b> 67:16 75:4	287:25 290:1,4,4	211:23	106:24 134:12,16	122:4,9 123:14
130:16 153:9	considering 128:20	contained 93:11	134:19 138:25	125:25 126:8
309:7	173:15 234:4	246:18 247:2	157:8 191:14,16	129:11 130:18,19
confirming 242:15	267:18	<b>contains</b> 183:11	193:3 194:18	131:1,4 132:16
311:25	constantly 219:8	277:24	213:21 249:20	137:1,2 140:15
conflict 298:25	constraints 76:22	contemplated 95:9	250:5,9 254:1	142:3 150:7
confused 153:20	106:23	98:21 152:20	257:20 258:21	154:14 155:18,19
conjecture 88:9	construct 135:23	163:5	259:2,7,12 279:23	155:23 156:6
141:11 157:6	151:14	<b>contend</b> 129:18	282:7 300:10,12	157:11 158:3,25
<b>connect</b> 139:20	constructed 108:12	<b>context</b> 71:16 72:7	300:16 302:21	159:1,9 160:9
151:14	165:18	103:23 104:2	303:1 304:5,25	165:4 170:5,23
connected 152:2	construction 99:14	128:8 272:11	305:4,8,8,8	171:16 172:1
connection 71:1	constructs 127:16	292:19 297:25	copper-based	175:3,23 176:5
125:9 159:2	consult 93:3,8	continuance	173:1	178:1,6,13 182:22
connections 65:2	consulting 93:16	111:19 309:10	<b>copy</b> 74:25 101:11	182:23,25 183:3,4
88:18 122:12	<b>consume</b> 137:13	continue 113:6	101:17,23 276:1,2	183:6,7,12,13,19
165:24 249:11,12	consumer 97:21	126:25 179:8	<b>core</b> 123:10 231:8	183:25 184:1
255:17,18	125:23 137:18	218:13 230:8	<b>corner</b> 75:5,8 86:4	185:15 186:10
<b>Connor</b> 61:5	175:21 176:2	245:19 257:1	104:20 171:2	189:18 190:5,12
	1	1	1	•

191:2,20 192:5	96:10,12 100:4,7	295:22 307:21,25	179:16 183:12	crossroads 146:25
191:2,20 192:5	100:14 156:19,20	309:1 313:4	185:8 192:22	<b>CRS</b> 167:17
196:17 199:25	156:22 157:2,7	counsel's 129:14	226:11,21 228:9	crystal 179:13
200:1,3,4,21,22	160:17 161:20	159:18 204:15	232:4 239:16,22	culminating 282:2
201:5,6 202:14,16	175:21 186:7	247:4 261:16,24	239:25 240:1	cumbersome 111:1
204:5 206:4 212:4	196:24 198:2	307:14,15	295:4 310:5	<b>cures</b> 292:4
212:14,19 219:24	199:18 200:24	count 225:7 268:2	credits 130:15,21	current 114:15,24
221:20,21 235:25	207:25 223:22	country 249:12	131:21,25 154:18	117:7 125:24
238:20,25 239:1	233:10 243:8	253:25 272:22	161:20 180:21	161:9 164:9
239:11 249:5	256:24 257:4	282:3	227:18 239:7,8	175:15 213:13,15
251:4,5,24 252:6	CostQuest 98:23	counts 281:20	293:11 296:17,21	230:20 231:3
252:13,25 258:1	99:8,11,12,15,21	county 71:1 124:5	297:2,3,7,19	299:7,7,15 311:10
259:18 269:7	99:24 100:4	128:17 141:24	307:16 309:25	currently 91:17
275:6 277:20,22	195:16 196:10	142:6 143:10	310:3	95:11 99:9 148:17
278:2,5,11,23,24	198:9 222:15	144:25 148:22,23	creep 181:17	186:5,7 198:8
279:1,15 281:1,5	256:14 258:7	191:8 211:16	<b>criteria</b> 90:6,9,12	205:14,17,18
282:21 283:11	287:5	212:2 213:8	90:18 91:10 98:6	215:17 303:9
284:7 285:10,18	costs 84:19 104:1	215:14 316:4	111:17 153:8	314:2
285:25 286:8	145:10	couple 108:16	273:15	<b>customer</b> 87:7,13
287:21,25 288:16	<b>council</b> 154:2	154:5 184:10	<b>cross</b> 65:4 66:15,17	93:17,22,25 95:13
288:23 289:19	<b>counsel</b> 60:9 64:3,5	218:9 260:14	66:22 67:1,18	96:18,21 98:1,18
290:8,13 291:14	64:7 66:6,7,21	<b>course</b> 67:12 79:4	68:14 73:17 79:3	98:20 114:24
291:20 292:15	67:3 69:8,23 70:6	109:14 180:3,7	82:13,25 118:11	117:15 126:16
293:1 294:14,17	70:9,12,15,18	240:12 278:13	130:6 133:1	127:6 131:3,5
294:22 295:5,10	71:3 72:11,17,20	309:8 311:6	168:22 169:21	132:8,13 137:12
295:24 296:7,11	74:17,19,24 82:13	313:16	170:11 187:13	137:16 138:18
304:8	94:15,18,23 95:19	<b>court</b> 121:23	189:3 221:16	141:15 145:13
correction 168:14	97:21 98:3,7,18	cover 91:3 156:19	222:20 245:9	146:18 149:18
246:25	98:19 101:5,9	223:22 277:3	246:7 248:5	150:11 152:1,4
corrections 74:9	106:20 107:18	coverage 114:2	276:17	153:19,19,23,24
79:19,22 80:6,7	110:8,23 119:13	141:12 143:20	cross-examination	154:4,7,20 155:8
80:24 82:4,6	168:15 170:13,18	305:20	62:3,4,8,11,14,15	155:17 156:10
188:10 246:22	181:4 183:17	covered 277:4	62:23 64:14 65:15	163:15 167:18
248:2,3	185:14,17 200:2	<b>COVID</b> 308:17	66:12 71:22 74:20	176:9 179:8 182:4
corrective 181:6	200:16 203:24	COWICHE 59:5	82:11,19 133:12	224:2 227:18
correctly 75:17,25	204:9,11 205:7	cracks 104:12	134:25 151:3	252:11 261:3
83:13 84:21 86:16	206:2 207:13,13	106:11	170:14 187:23	262:8,13,16,18
103:18 104:13	222:22 237:22	create 77:10 129:3	189:6 248:4,8	263:25 264:3
105:6,9 149:19	238:4 244:14	created 72:1 185:8	264:4 276:21	266:9,15 267:1,7
172:18 173:5	245:20 247:6	218:3 226:18	277:9	267:13 268:10,13
195:9 200:14	248:16 250:18	231:11	cross-examinations	268:15,18,21,23
216:5 258:24	253:12 261:22,25	creates 220:9	308:9	269:1,2,3,15
<b>corrects</b> 290:14	264:3 270:12	credit 130:25 132:9	cross-examine	270:3,16,17,24
<b>correlation</b> 123:11	278:2,9,25 280:9	132:11 149:15	276:8	271:23 272:7,12
123:13 297:1	282:23 286:11,16	154:9,14,20 155:8	cross-examining	272:25 274:10,15
<b>cost</b> 71:25 86:13	292:15,17 294:21	155:10 156:11	67:4	274:19 275:2,10

				3
284:6,24,25 285:6	<b>cut</b> 179:6	219:10,12,13	<b>DD</b> 258:13	298:15
285:7,14,24 286:2	cutpoint 173:19	221:17,18 222:9	de 200:25	<b>decisions</b> 160:16
287:18 291:3,7,9		222:13 223:12	de-duplication	164:13,14 165:1
291:10,12 292:3	D	224:1 225:13,24	243:5	165:19 173:19
293:24 294:1	<b>D</b> 62:8 170:1 239:7	229:23 230:8,11	<b>deadline</b> 311:7	203:7 244:17,17
295:23 296:14	310:2	234:24 241:24	313:11,13 314:1	<b>declining</b> 103:17
297:19 302:7	<b>Danner</b> 62:5,7,9,12	243:17,21,22	314:10,21,23	178:22 216:20
303:13 305:5,19	68:9 137:25 138:3	244:16 261:4	<b>deadlines</b> 313:7	296:23
customer's 153:22	141:7 150:18	263:18,21 271:25	<b>deaf</b> 71:23	decreasing 133:21
156:2 157:11	162:2 163:20,23	273:22 275:18	<b>deal</b> 66:17 104:7,16	134:2
262:11 284:20	167:25 186:2,19	278:1,9,12 284:7	149:10 150:10	decrement 286:6
285:20	186:22 227:12,14	284:10,15 292:22	161:22 272:4	deed 235:25
<b>customers</b> 86:13,23	236:16,19 282:23	292:25 293:6,7	280:16 284:15	<b>deem</b> 66:10
87:4 91:6 116:15	308:24 311:9	301:25 302:12	311:2,14	<b>deemed</b> 75:15
117:9,12 122:20	312:19 313:1,21	306:12 307:17	dealing 167:5	173:20 262:18
122:23 127:10,13	314:4	<b>database</b> 196:9,10	<b>dealt</b> 167:6	265:22 266:4
127:18,23 128:1	<b>data</b> 71:5,15 93:3,4	196:10 198:13	deaveraging 76:23	deeper 92:8 241:19
132:1 133:21	93:5,6,8,10,11,12	databases 115:19	186:6	<b>defects</b> 224:13
134:3 141:22	93:16,18 94:14,19	196:14	<b>decade</b> 69:13	<b>defer</b> 240:10
144:5 145:16	98:22,22,24 99:7	dataset 197:16,18	decades 248:24	312:10 313:19
147:3,8 150:1	99:8,13 100:4	224:14 229:17	272:23	define 111:4 264:9
152:12 156:3	108:7,8,8,13,19	datasets 110:16	decent 221:2	defined 91:18
158:9 160:2,3,11	108:21,22 109:19	113:13	260:21 261:3	111:16 220:21
160:20,22 162:3	109:21 112:20,21	date 59:24 227:19	264:1 305:19	221:24 233:18,21
162:16 163:2	112:25 113:2,16	227:21 228:12,15	<b>decide</b> 141:23	242:11
167:12,12,13	115:2 118:1,2	228:19 310:10	142:21 165:7	defines 236:7
172:14 176:21	122:25 124:18,19	311:10,21 312:14	202:8 203:23	definitely 159:3
178:16 179:18	125:22 136:24	313:23,24	212:23 223:9	192:18 193:19
180:25 199:8	139:13 141:1	<b>Dave</b> 68:9	298:14 305:25	205:9 218:4,5
225:16 228:10	171:9,14,14	David 62:14 245:19	310:18	221:2 229:9
237:21 238:25	176:10 177:3,24	246:11	decided 95:17	241:10,11 242:2
253:2,9 255:12,21	179:21 180:24	<b>day</b> 110:17,17	208:2	244:20
258:23 259:18	181:4,21,25	131:24 155:22,24	deciding 90:21	definition 71:5
262:23 263:1,17	183:22 190:20,21	168:21 240:6,7	111:18	203:6
265:3 270:8	190:21 193:14,16	296:21 316:15	decision 90:11	definitions 125:24
271:12,18,21	193:22,24 194:1,7	<b>day's</b> 131:22	97:15 98:5 100:19	definitive 194:8
272:1,20 273:2	194:25 195:4,12	<b>days</b> 109:14 115:20	141:23 142:2,10	<b>degree</b> 86:24
274:24 281:5,7,10	195:16,16,18,23	168:13 204:9,13	142:19,25 145:18	140:23 163:25
283:7,13 286:12	196:6,13,16,19,23	220:1,8 227:19,21	148:14 149:9	307:18
286:17,21 289:11	197:2,3,6,12,15	227:22 228:2,3,4	151:13 154:4	delay 313:22
290:23 295:14	197:20 198:3,9,14	228:11,15,18	162:4,18,18	delaying 312:10
296:6,18,23	199:10 200:7,15	240:5 265:23	164:22 167:13	<b>delete</b> 247:23
298:25 303:15	204:1,12 205:12	300:13	203:17 208:21	deleted 274:7
304:24 306:7	206:22 212:13,23	<b>DB</b> 246:16,16	220:4,12 283:19	delicate 69:8 83:8
customers' 66:20	213:18 216:16,24	250:14 252:22	299:4	<b>delta</b> 91:11
282:20	217:20,20 219:7,7	271:4	decisionmaking	demand 275:22
	1	I	I	I

				1 age 520
292:15	72:21 82:25	109:11	79:17 80:22	221:5 222:21
demographic	100:24 118:6,10	diagram 135:16	181:23 311:17	223:8 224:5,14,15
122:25	119:8 177:21	diagrams 135:11	<b>directly</b> 67:2 76:6	232:21 235:2
demonstrate 70:19	191:4 192:25	135:14	175:7 195:6 220:4	237:8,13,15
demonstrates	233:10	<b>dial</b> 147:7	220:11 226:15	252:24 253:8,25
177:25 302:14	designation 214:11	dice 168:2	240:2 295:5	254:6 255:3 256:4
<b>denied</b> 209:21	233:12	dictated 147:14	disadvantage	256:9 257:7,12,16
<b>Dennis</b> 62:2 73:23	designations 66:19	dictates 123:7	240:24	257:20 258:5,22
74:4	<b>designed</b> 155:4,10	difference 71:18	<b>disagree</b> 125:18	259:16 264:9,25
denoted 83:3	235:6 303:15	different 71:18	126:4 210:23	265:2,16,17,23
104:20	detail 219:17	95:23 96:4 99:24	285:16 288:6,11	269:23 277:19
<b>dense</b> 139:4	detailed 199:3	100:2 111:22	disagreed 97:14	278:1,10,14
department 167:19	206:14,17 217:24	115:19 135:20,22	disagreeing 217:14	279:18 280:1
departments 104:8	225:8	137:4 147:21	disagrees 129:6,7,9	281:1 289:19
104:17	detailing 225:3	148:9,13 164:9	disapproved 165:9	290:21 291:1
<b>dependent</b> 120:6	<b>details</b> 243:10	166:10 193:13	disbelieve 251:13	292:20 297:18
137:4	deteriorated 179:5	196:10 197:6	251:14,16,17	298:18 299:8,24
depending 64:19	deteriorating	199:11 207:22	<b>discerned</b> 113:14	302:21 305:7
157:7 291:25	178:23	213:24 234:15	discipline 75:16	discontinuances
depends 134:18	determination	243:8 267:10,12	disclose 197:24	208:17 264:21
195:19 213:9	90:13,21 162:17	267:24 272:25	disclosure 268:5	265:6 306:18
depictive 112:24	195:18 285:14	284:1,3 285:9	disconnect 97:15	discontinuation
depicts 113:11	determinations	287:17 289:10	98:5	83:23 96:15
deployed 235:17	282:20	298:4 304:16,17	disconnected	111:12
deploying 234:14	<b>determine</b> 84:14	306:20	160:12	discontinue 71:10
deployment 161:13	90:3,7 93:13	differently 254:22	disconnection	86:20 87:19 90:4
242:17,20 243:12	109:22 114:14,23	difficult 100:8	244:17	90:7 91:18 95:17
301:20	115:11 122:18	146:20 297:22	discontinuance	97:7 143:11 149:9
depth 241:23	145:25 159:12	300:19 314:13	72:10 90:22 93:22	162:4 202:8
derived 200:23,25	177:7 180:8,14	difficulty 88:15	94:24 95:7,25	203:14 206:23
describe 89:23	288:4 289:17	digital 226:4,5	96:6,19 107:1	207:12,24 213:25
93:20 153:5,8,11	299:10 306:6	232:6,9,11,15	111:20 115:13	225:2,19 230:10
190:3 201:22	307:24	<b>dilemma</b> 300:21	116:4,6,23 127:3	231:18 234:8
285:17	determined 160:3	diligence 206:7	127:10 128:2	235:20 250:4
described 96:3	195:1 288:22	diligent 127:25	135:3 141:9	253:17 254:10,12
98:14 136:24	determining	dimensions 220:13	142:24 148:5	254:18,20 255:12
202:1,19 218:23	159:14 284:21	diminished 105:5,7	151:20 153:17	255:21 260:6
describes 174:23	306:4	105:10	154:6 192:18	265:8 279:22
describing 115:24	<b>developed</b> 159:14	direct 71:21 74:3	199:9,16 200:15	281:8,13,17,24
description 192:15	243:19 302:9	79:13 80:3,5,7	203:9,15 204:1,6	284:5 289:18
196:22	development	101:5,7,8,10	203.9,19 204.1,0	290:10 292:8,24
descriptions 66:20	286:20	101:5,7,8,10	204:14,19,22	299:22 300:24
descriptions 00:20 descriptors 136:23	<b>deviations</b> 174:24	188:23 202:20	207:10,18 208:4,9	301:2,4 302:1,5
<b>design</b> 128:5	183:2	241:20 246:24	207:10,10 200.4,9	302:25
203:21	device 286:3	296:25	217:1,12,18	discontinued
designated 67:8	devoted 106:15	direction 74:7	217:1,12,10	127:19 300:15
				12,119 500.15

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1 490 020
237:14     79:25 83:2100:17     downtown 302:21     e-mailed 206:19     207:20 210:16       discontinuing     103:7 104:20     Dr 65:17,20 72:19     e-maile 163:4     224:7 243:7       91:22 92:21 106:21     119:11 174:3     73:19,20,23 74:2     108:12 184:4     elaborate 198:17       236:62 84:2     200:23 215:24     74:45.8,11,14,16     198:7 216:19     201:3 202:4       306:23     306:23     200:32 215:24     74:45.8,11,14,16     198:7 216:19     elbert 161:11       discusses 66:25     282:6 296:13     draw 71:6 110:19     221:16 279:16     212:3; 19 214:19       201:12 1287:21     doing 125:14 136:1     181:5 192:9     Earth 113:22     electroines 13:4:20       201:5 30:16     214:3:24 145:23     194:11     easier 149:21     147:1       discussing 66:22     224:5 289:11     247:5 295:22     easy 141:17 155:7     elicit 67:6 304:13       205:3     dollar 110:1 131:24     296:4     306:17     eligiblity 284:21       discussing 66:22     224:5 289:11     247:5 295:22     easy 141:17 155:7     elicit 67:6 304:13       205:3     dollar 17:0: 138:12     drift 212:9 <td>discontinues 89:18</td> <td>document 75:6</td> <td>104:16</td> <td>152:11 162:10</td> <td>206:1.2 207:20.20</td>	discontinues 89:18	document 75:6	104:16	152:11 162:10	206:1.2 207:20.20
discontinuing     103:7 104:20     Dr 65:17,20 72:19     e-mails 163:4     224:7 243:7       91:22 92:21 106:2     114:21 118:10     72:12,32 73:6,8     earlier 98:10     298:14 300:3       232:14 224:7     191:11 174:3     73:19.20;23 74:2     168:12 184:4     elaborate 198:17       232:62 242:7     200:23 215:24     74:45,8,11,14,16     198:7 216:19     201:3 202:4       306:23     documentation     74:22 75:9 77:25     226:13 241:14     electric 161:11       108:1     documents 203:25     92:2 166:7     early 118:1 136:10     electric 161:11       108:1     132:24:5 289:11     211:21 6 279:16     212:3; 19 214:19     212:3; 19 214:19       261:5 310:16     143:24 145:23     194:11     easier 149:22     electroices 134:20       discussing 66:22     224:5 289:11     247:5 295:22     33:6     eexonits 76:10       205:3     dollar 110:1 31:24     296:4     306:17     eexonits 76:10       218:14     87:3 92:5,6     143:20     eeconomics 166:9     eliminate 76:12       218:4     87:3 92:5,6     143:20     economizing 85:22     eeconomizing 85:22 <					
91:22 92:21     114:21 118:10     72:21:23 73:6.8     earlier 98:10     298:14 300:3       223:14 224:7     119:11 174:3     73:19:20:23 74:2     168:12 184:4     eaborate 198:17       306:23     documentation     74:22 75:9 77:25     226:13 241:14     elderty 145:15       discovery 71:12     230:1.2     78:6.9.12 85:3     243:7 257:23     electric 161:11       108:1     documents 203:25     92:21 166:7     early 118:1 136:10     electric 12 11:20       discuss 86:25     282:6 296:13     draw 71:6 110:19     221:16 279:16     212:3,19 214:19       201:5 310:16     126:23 20:25     Dreyer 61:3 215:22     133:6     electroines 76:10       discussion 89:16     173:91 80:23     Dreyer's 272:6     economics 166:9     eligiblity 284:21       discussion 89:16     173:91 80:23     Dreyer's 272:6     economics 166:9     eligiblity 284:21       discussion 89:16     173:91 80:23     Dreyer's 272:6     economics 166:9     eligiblity 284:21       discussion 89:16     173:91 80:23     Dreyer's 272:6     economizing 85:22     economizing 85:22       discusion 89:16     173:91 80:23     Dreyer'	discontinuing				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			, ,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
108:1documents 203:2592:2 166:7early 118:1 136:10electroicty 211:20discuss 86:25282:6 296:13draw 71:6 110:19221:16 279:16212:3,19 214:19307:16143:24 145:23194:11casier 149:22147:1discussing 66:22124:5 289:11247:5 295:22313:6electroines 134:20261:5 310:16216:23 220:25Dreyer 512:22313:6elements 76:10discussing 66:22224:5 289:11247:5 295:22asier 149:22elements 76:10205:3dollar 110:1 131:24296:4306:17eligible 236:1490:9249:19,20 296:21drive 141:25economic 75:14eligible 236:1490:9249:19,20 296:21drive 141:25economist 166:9eliminate 76:12218:1487:3 92:5,6143:20economist 77:16,17eligible 236:140ispace 10:10138:16 161:7drives 285:12economist 77:16,17eligible 236:14141:17 163:7door 285:21114:21 121:6effect 164:3 184:6emergencies 71:1615ispace 130:16door 285:21114:21 121:6effect def 299:20enable 278:4dispace 130:16doorbel 165:11267:7,8,14,22227:19,21 228:12encourage 64:23,25district 61:10double 26:12due 72:9 162:6effect 164:3 184:6encourage 229:7district 61:10299:16214:12,31 25:2182:15encourage 229:7district 61:10153:12,23206:7 309:21efficient 168:12236:111:27:725210:18 211:5206:7 309:2					
discuss 86:25     282:6 296:13     draw 71:6 110:19     221:16 279:16     212:3, 19 214:19       271:21 287:21     doing 125:14 136:1     181:5 192:9     Earth 113:22     easier 149:22     electromechanical       307:16     143:24 145:23     Uraw 71:9 200:19     190:14 256:25     electromechanical       261:5 310:16     216:23 220:25     Dreyer 61:3 215:22     313:6     electronics 134:20       discussion 89:16     173:9 180:23     Dreyer's 272:6     economic 75:14     eligibility 284:21       discussion 108:4     d0lars 77:6 86:11     drive 141:25     economiz 166:9     economiz 186:9       218:14     87:3 92:5,6     143:20     economiz 186:9     economiz 186:9     emergencis 71:16       dispose 06:17     dont' 300:3     drive 141:25     economiz 186:9     emergencis 71:16     211:24       dispose 306:17     door 285:21     114:21 121:6     effect 164:3 184:6     emergencis 71:16     211:24       dispose 306:17     door 205:21     114:21 121:6     effect 164:3 184:6     enable 278:4     enounter 117:15       dispose 306:17     doorb 285:21     116:21     266:8,18:269:6	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				•	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
discussed 104:22174:14 203:4drawn 71:9 200:19190:14 256:25electronics 134:20261:5 310:16216:23 220:25Dreyer 61:3 215:22313:6elements 76:10discussing 66:22224:5 289:11247:5 295:22asy 141:17 155:7eligibility 284:21discussion 89:16173:9 180:23Dreyer 8:272:6economic 75:14eligibility 284:21discussions 108:4dollars 77:6 86:11drite 121:9160:1242:18 243:14discussions 108:4dollars 77:6 86:11drive 141:25economist 77:16,17eliminate 76:12disincentive 164:5189:17 249:24drive vag 212:9EDC 124:18209:1,6dispatch 129:4,24250:2,11 295:14drop 124:9EDC 124:18209:1,6dispute 153:21,21door 430:3dropped 65:6184:12,13 286:15ennergency 124:10141:17 163:7door 430:3dropped 65:6184:12,13 286:15ennergency 124:10141:17 163:7door 285:21114:21 121:6effecti 164:3 184:6ennergency 124:10141:17 163:7doorbell 165:13267:7,8,14,22227:19,21,28:12ennourage 64:23,25dispute 153:21,21double 126:12261:8 263:7,9313:23encourage 64:23,25district 40:23doubl 126:12due 72:9 162:6effecti 164:31 81:9enforceable 202:18district 51:10Doumit 62:6,13,16dug 219:6efficient 168:21236:1district 51:11216:17 287:16dug 219:6effort 81:19enforceable 202:18126:17 287:16dug 219:6efficient 168:21 <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		0			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
discussing $66:22$ $224:5 289:11$ $247:5 295:22$ easy $141:17 155:7$ elicit $67:6 304:13$ $205:3$ dollar $110:1 131:24$ $296:4$ $306:17$ eligibility $284:21$ discussion $89:16$ $173:9 180:23$ Dreyer's $272:6$ economic $75:14$ eligible $236:14$ $90:9$ $249:19,20 296:21$ drift $212:9$ $160:1$ $242:18 243:14$ discussions $108:4$ dollars $77:6 86:11$ drive $141:25$ economics $166:9$ eliminate $76:12$ $218:14$ $87:3 92:5,6$ $143:20$ economist $77:16,17$ Elm $168:1$ Disease $251:10$ $138:16 161:7$ drive $285:12$ economist $77:16,17$ emergences $71:16$ dispatch $129:4,24$ $250:2,11 295:14$ drop $124:9$ EDC $124:18$ $209:1,6$ $141:17 163:7$ door $300:3$ dropped $65:6$ $184:12,13 286:15$ $211:24$ dispute $153:21,21$ door bell $163:11$ DSL $266:9,15,25$ effect $164:3 184:6$ emergency $124:10$ $141:17 163:7$ door bell $165:13$ $267:7,8,14,22$ $227:19,21 228:12$ encourter $117:15$ $299:17$ double $156:13$ $267:7,9$ $313:23$ $214:12,17$ distince $240:23$ doubling $240:1$ $261:8 263:7,9$ $313:23$ $214:12,17$ distince $165:21$ $68:10 158:12,17$ dug $19:6:6$ $276:23$ enforceable $202:18$ $91:1 267:25$ $210:18 211:5$ $206:7 309:21$ efficient $168:21$ $236:1$ $91:1 267:25$ $210:18 211:5$ $206:7 309:21$ efficient $168:21$ $236:1$ $91:1 267:25$ <					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
discussion 89:16 90:9     173:9 180:23 249:19,20 296:21 discussions 108:4 dollars 77:6 86:11 218:14     Dreyer's 272:6 diff 212:9     economic 75:14 160:1     eligible 236:14 242:18 243:14       discussions 108:4 discussions 108:4 discussions 108:4 218:14     dollars 77:6 86:11 138:16 161:7     drive 141:25 drive 245:12     i60:1     242:18 243:14 242:18 243:14       Disease 251:10     138:16 161:7     drives 285:12 driveway 212:9     economics 166:9 etonomizing 85:22     emergencies 71:16 emergency 124:10       disputs 106:17     don' 300:3     drop 124:9     effect 164:3 184:6 emergency 124:10     emergency 124:10       141:17 163:7     door 285:21     114:21 121:6 door 285:21     effect 65:6 encounter 117:15     enable 278:4 encourage 64:23,25       299:17     doorbel 163:11 disputs 133:21,21 distance 286:7     238:18 269:6 228:15,19 253:7     82:15 encourage 64:23,25       distruct 240:23 distance 286:7     doubling 240:1 201:8 263:7,9     261:8 263:7,9 313:23     313:23 214:12,17       district 161:10 district 161:10     Doumit 62:6,13,16 duy 13:610     duy 13:610     efficient 168:21 276:23     236:1 276:23       91:1 267:25     210:17 287:16 duy 13:610     duy 13:610     efficiently 245:15 duy 15:10     154:21,23 155:21       district 161:10     Doumit 62:6,13,16 duy 316	U			Ū.	
90:9     249:19,20 296:21     drift 212:9     160:1     242:18 243:14       discussions 108:4     dollars 77:6 86:11     drive 141:25     economics 166:9     elminate 76:12       Disease 251:10     138:16 161:7     drives 285:12     economist 77:16,17     Elm 168:1       disincentive 164:5     189:17 249:24     dropped 65:6     184:12,13 286:15     211:24       dispatch 129:4,24     250:2,11 295:14     dropped 65:6     184:12,13 286:15     211:24       dispose 306:17     door 285:21     114:21 121:6     effective 65:2     encourage 64:23,25       disregard 130:16     175:14,20 226:22     268:8,18 269:6     228:15,19 253:7     82:15       distinct 240:23     double 156:13     267:7,8,14,22     227:19,27 29,13,15     encourage 64:23,25       distinct 240:23     doubling 240:1     261:8 263:7,9     313:23     214:12,17       distinct 161:10     Doumit 62:6,13,16     dug 219:6     effectient 168:21     236:1       g1:1 267:25     210:18 211:5     206:7 309:21     efficient 168:21     236:1       distinct 240:23     doub 126:12     dug 219:6     efficient 168:21	· -				0
discussions 108:4 218:14     dollars 77:6 86:11 87:3 92:5,6     drive 141:25 143:20     economics 166:9 economist 77:16,17     eliminate 76:12 Elm 168:1       Disease 251:10     138:16 161:7     drives 285:12     economizing 85:22     Elm 168:1       dispatch 129:4,24     250:2,11 295:14     droped 65:6     184:12,13 286:15     209:1,6       dispose 306:17     door 285:21     114:21 121:6     effect 164:3 184:6     emcergency 124:10       dispute 153:21,21     doorbell 163:11     DSL 266:9,15,25     effective 65:2     encounter 117:15       299:17     dooble 156:13     267:7,8,14,22     228:15,19 253:7     82:15       disrupt 148:11     226:23 228:9     dual 119:5 121:4,8     257:5 272:9,13,15     encourage 62:29:7       distinct 240:23     doubling 240:1     261:8 263:7,9     313:23     214:12,17       districtin 90:23     doubl 126:12     206:7 309:21     efficient 168:21     236:1       districts 161:10     Doumit 62:6,13,16     dug 219:6     276:23     enforcement       distribution 259:17     216:17 287:16     dug 19:6     253:22     enforcement       distribution 259:17     163:18 240:			e e		8
218:14   87:3 92:5,6   143:20   economist 77:16,17   Elm 168:1     Disease 251:10   138:16 161:7   drives 285:12   economizing 85:22   emergencies 71:16     dispnet 129:4,24   250:2,11 295:14   drop 124:9   effect 164:3 184:6   emergency 124:10     141:17 163:7   door 300:3   dropped 65:6   184:12,13 286:15   211:24     dispose 306:17   door bell 163:11   DSL 266:9,15,25   effect 164:3 184:6   encourter 117:15     299:17   double 156:13   267:7,8,14,22   227:19,21 228:12   encourter 64:23,25     disropts 148:11   226:23 228:9   dual 119:5 121:4,8   257:5 272:9,13,15   encourage 64:23,25     distinct 240:23   doubling 240:1   261:8 263:7,9   313:23   214:12,17     distriction 90:23   doubl 126:12   due 72:9 162:6   effect 164:81   91:2 27:23   236:1     districts 161:10   Doumit 62:6,13,16   duly 316:10   duly 316:10   enforcement     districts 161:10   Doumit 62:6,13,16   duly 316:10   253:22   enforcing 184:15     districts 161:10   Doumit 62:6,13,16   duly 316:10   253:22   enforcement     districts 1		, ·			
Disease 251:10     138:16 161:7     drives 285:12     economizing 85:22     emergencies 71:16       dispatch 129:4,24     250:2,11 295:14     drop 124:9     effect 164:3 184:6     emergency 124:10       141:17 163:7     dont' 300:3     dropped 65:6     184:12,13 286:15     211:24       dispose 306:17     door 285:21     114:21 121:6     effect 164:3 184:6     emcounter 117:15       299:17     double 156:13     267:7,8,14,22     227:19,21 228:12     encourteg 64:23,25       disrupts 148:11     226:23 228:9     dual 119:5 121:4,8     257:5 272:9,13,15     encourage 64:23,25       distinct 240:23     doubling 240:1     261:8 263:7,9     313:23     214:12,17       distinct on 90:23     doubling 240:1     261:8 263:7,9     313:23     214:12,17       districts 161:10     Doumit 62:6,13,16     dug 219:6     276:23     enforceable 202:18       91:1 267:25     210:18 211:5     206:7 309:21     efficient 168:21     236:1       divestiture 165:21     68:10 158:12,17     dug 19:6     276:23     enforceable 202:18       91:1 267:25     210:18 240:13,16     dug 316:10     efficie					
disincentive 164:5189:17 249:24driveway 212:9EDC 124:18209:1,6dispatch 129:4,24250:2,11 295:14drop 124:9effect 164:3 184:6emergency 124:10141:17 163:7door 300:3dropped 65:6184:12,13 286:15211:24dispose 306:17door 285:21114:21 121:6effect 199:20enable 278:4dispute 153:21,21doorbell 163:11DSL 266:9,15,25effective 65:2encourtage 64:23,25disrupts 148:11226:23 228:2268:8,18 269:6228:15,19 253:782:15distinct 240:23doubling 240:1261:8 263:7,9313:23214:12,17distinct 240:23doubt 126:12due 72:9 162:6effects 181:9enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21efficient1 68:21236:191:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21efficient1 68:21236:1districts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duty 316:10efficient1 245:15154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duty 316:10efficient1 245:15154:21,23 155:21division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 316:10efficient1 81:23engage 72:5 95:24idivision 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24idische 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eeither 85:13 113:12engage 71:4 87:23		,			
dispatch 129:4,24 141:17 163:7250:2,11 295:14 dont' 300:3drop 124:9 dropped 65:6effect 164:3 184:6 184:12,13 286:15emergency 124:10 211:24dispose 306:17 dispose 306:17door 285:21114:21 121:6effected 199:20enable 278:4dispute 153:21,21 299:17doobel 163:11 double 156:13DSL 266:9,15,25effective 65:2 227:19,21 228:12encourage 64:23,25disregard 130:16 distance 286:7175:14,20 226:22 239:8 243:1,6268:8,18 269:6 251:19 252:5227:19,21 28:12 261:8 263:7,9encourage 62:29:7 82:15distinct 240:23 distance 286:7doubling 240:1 210:18 211:5261:8 263:7,9 210:18 211:5313:23214:12,17 encourage 229:7districts 161:10 districts 161:10 districts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16 dup 219:6dug 219:6 276:23effect 168:21 276:23236:1 enforceable 202:18 236:1districts 161:10 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 download 209:20effect 1168:21 222:4236:1 enforceng 184:15 engage 72:5 95:24 eight 233:4DLW 74:1,1,3 208:3 218:24 208:3 218:24 208:3 218:24 218:22download 209:20E 60:1,1 61:11 30:17 133:20engage 71:5 95:24 eight 233:4 eight 233:4124:4 207:2,15 208:3 218:24 214:2downloading 242:22e-mail 93:23,25 316:1,1130:17 133:20 130:17 133:20engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12				6	0
141:17 163:7 dispose 306:17 dispose 306:17 dispose 306:17 dispute 153:21,21 299:17dont' 300:3 door 285:21 door 285:21dropped 65:6 114:21 121:6 DSL 266:9,15,25 267:7,8,14,22184:12,13 286:15 effected 199:20 effective 65:2 227:19,21 228:12211:24 enable 278:4 encourage 64:23,25disregard 130:16 disrupts 148:11 distinct 240:23 distinct 240:23 distribution 259:17 districts 161:10 districts 161:10 districts 161:10 districts 161:10 district 165:21 district 165:21175:14,20 226:22 268:8,18 269:6 251:19 252:5 251:19 252:5 267:7,8,14,22 268:8,18 269:6 257:5 272:9,13,15 272:19 273:6,12 encourage 229:7 energy 109:8,11 214:12,17distict 240:23 distribution 259:17 districts 161:10 districts 161:10 divestiture 165:21 districts 161:10 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 download 209:20 242:23drop 20:16 effort 161:11 222:418:11 237:23 222:424:21 303:23 222:421:124 efforts 181:23 efforts 181:23 efforts 181:23 eight 233:4 eight			•		,
dispose 306:17 dispute 153:21,21 299:17door 285:21 doorbell 163:11 double 156:13114:21 121:6 DSL 266:9,15,25 267:7,8,14,22effected 199:20 227:19,21 228:12 227:19,21 228:12 228:15,19 253:7 82:15encourage 64:23,25 82:15disrupts 148:11 distance 286:7 distance 286:7 distinct 240:23 doubling 240:1 doubling 240:1 261:8 263:7,9251:19 252:5 267:7,8,14,22228:15,19 253:7 272:19 273:6,12 216:18 263:7,9encourage 229:7 encourage 229:7distinct 240:23 distinct 240:23 distinct 090:23 distinct 090:23 distribution 259:17 distinct 165:21 distribution 259:17 216:17 287:16 distribution 259:17dup 126:12 206:7 309:21 dup 126:12 206:7 309:21effects 181:9 enforceable 202:18 enforceable 202:18distribution 259:17 distribution 259:17 distinct 165:21 distribution 259:17 distine 216:1 216:17 287:16 dup 219:6276:23 coff 309:21 duplication 235:25 dup 216:6 efficient 168:21 253:22enforcement enforcementdistribution 259:17 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 download 209:20 def:19 102:14 242:23dup 70:23 72:8 222:485:20 221:18 253:22 efforts 181:23 engage 72:5 95:24 eight 233:4 eight 233:4 96:5 135:2engage 72:5 95:24 eight 233:4 96:5 135:2distribution 216:1 distribution 216:1 218:14 207:2,15 download 209:20E email 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25engage 71:4 87:23 136:1,1 130:17 133:20divestiture 165:21 distribution 216:12 212:14 212download 209:20 24:22E 96:21 101:24,25 96:21 101:24,25engagement 69:7 engagem	- · ·	,	-		<b>e</b>
dispute 153:21,21 299:17doorbell 163:11 double 156:13DSL 266:9,15,25 267:7,8,14,22effective 65:2 227:19,21 228:12encourage 64:23,25 encourage 64:23,25disregard 130:16 disrupts 148:11175:14,20 226:22 226:23 228:9268:8,18 269:6 dual 119:5 121:4,8227:19,21 228:12 228:15,19 253:7encourage 64:23,25 82:15distance 286:7 distinct 240:23 distinct 240:23239:8 243:1,6 doubling 240:1251:19 252:5 261:8 263:7,9272:19 273:6,12 313:23encourages 229:7 energy 109:8,11distinct 240:23 distinct 240:23doubt 126:12 210:18 211:5due 72:9 162:6 206:7 309:21effects 181:9 enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25 distribution 259:17 districts 161:10 divestiture 165:21 216:17 287:16206:7 309:21 dug 219:6efficient 168:21 276:23236:1 enforcementdivestiture 165:21 divestiture 165:21 66:10 158:12,17163:18 240:13,16 duy 316:10 duy 70:23 72:8 dynamic 219:7effort 71:4 84:8 253:22156:5 239:12 enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3 208:3 218:24 316:2304:2 306:25 242:22222:4efforts 181:23 eight 233:4 130:17 133:20engage 71:4 87:23 engaged 71:4 87:23 engaged 71:4 87:2312:4:4 207:2,15 314:2download able 242:16E 60:1,1 61:11 96:21 101:24,25 96:21 101:24,25130:17 133:20 138:24 141:2engagement 69:7 engagement 69:7 engagement 69:7				, ,	
299:17double 156:13267:7,8,14,22227:19,21 228:12encourage 64:23,25disregard 130:16175:14,20 226:22268:8,18 269:6228:15,19 253:782:15disrupts 148:11226:23 228:9dual 119:5 121:4,8257:5 272:9,13,15encourages 229:7distance 286:7239:8 243:1,6251:19 252:5272:19 273:6,12energy 109:8,11distinct 240:23doubling 240:1261:8 263:7,9313:23214:12,17distinction 90:23doubt 126:12due 72:9 162:6effects 181:9enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21efficient 168:21236:1distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforcementdistricts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duplication 235:25effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eeither 85:13 113:12engaged 71:4 87:23124:4 207:2,15downloadableE 60:1,1 61:11130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloadinge-mail 93:23,25138:24 141:2engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-				
disregard 130:16175:14,20 226:22268:8,18 269:6228:15,19 253:782:15disrupts 148:11226:23 228:9dual 119:5 121:4,8257:5 272:9,13,15encourages 229:7distance 286:7239:8 243:1,6251:19 252:5272:19 273:6,12encourages 229:7distinct 240:23doubling 240:1261:8 263:7,9313:23214:12,17distinction 90:23doubt 126:12due 72:9 162:6effects 181:9enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21efficient 168:21236:1distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforcementdistricts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eeight 233:496:5 135:266:19 102:14242:23E 60:1,1 61:11117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1208:3 218:24242:22316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7	-				
disrupts 148:11226:23 228:9dual 119:5 121:4,8257:5 272:9,13,15encourages 229:7distance 286:7239:8 243:1,6251:19 252:5272:19 273:6,12energy 109:8,11distinct 240:23doubling 240:1261:8 263:7,9313:23214:12,17distinction 90:23doubt 126:12due 72:9 162:6effects 181:9enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21duf 168:21236:1distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforceable 202:18districts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duplication 235:25effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23222:4efforts 181:23enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eeither 85:13 113:12engage 71:4 87:23208:3 218:24242:23316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloading96:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12				,	0
distance 286:7239:8 243:1,6251:19 252:5272:19 273:6,12energy 109:8,11distinct 240:23doubling 240:1261:8 263:7,9313:23214:12,17distinction 90:23doubt 126:12due 72:9 162:6effects 181:9enforceable 202:1891:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21276:23enforceable 202:18distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforcementdivestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duplication 235:25effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eefforts 181:23engage 71:4 87:23208:3 218:24242:22316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloadinge-mail 93:23,25138:24 141:2engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12	0	,		·	
distinct 240:23 distinction 90:23 91:1 267:25doubling 240:1 doubt 126:12 	-		,		8
distinction 90:23 91:1 267:25doubt 126:12 210:18 211:5due 72:9 162:6 206:7 309:21effects 181:9 efficient 168:21 276:23enforceable 202:18 236:1distribution 259:17 districts 161:10216:17 287:16 Doumit 62:6,13,16 divestiture 165:21dug 219:6 68:10 158:12,17276:23 dug 219:6enforcement 154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:21 division 216:168:10 158:12,17 163:18 240:13,16 218:11 237:23dug 129:6 68:10 158:12,17effort 71:4 84:8 253:22156:5 239:12 310:6DLW 74:1,1,3 download 209:20 66:19 102:14242:23 242:23dynamic 219:7 222:4253:22 efforts 181:23 eight 233:4 eight 233:4enforcing 184:15 engage 72:5 95:24 eight 233:4208:3 218:24 312:6 313:13 314:2242:16email 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25138:24 141:2 152:11 154:5engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12		· · ·		-	
91:1 267:25210:18 211:5206:7 309:21efficient 168:21236:1distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforcementdistricts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:8effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12218:11 237:23244:21 303:23duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25duynamic 219:7222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20EE 60:1,1 61:11117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1124:4 207:2,15downloadable242:22516:1,1130:17 133:20109:10208:3 218:24242:22downloading96:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12		0	,		
distribution 259:17216:17 287:16dug 219:6276:23enforcementdistricts 161:10Doumit 62:6,13,16duly 316:10efficiently 245:15154:21,23 155:21divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duplication 235:25effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23duy anmic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20Eeither 85:13 113:12engage 71:4 87:2366:19 102:14242:23E 60:1,1 61:11117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1124:4 207:2,15downloadableE 60:1,1 61:11130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloading96:21 101:24,25138:24 141:2engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12	distinction 90:23	<b>doubt</b> 126:12	<b>due</b> 72:9 162:6	effects 181:9	enforceable 202:18
districts 161:10 divestiture 165:21 division 216:1 218:11 237:23Doumit 62:6,13,16 68:10 158:12,17 163:18 240:13,16 244:21 303:23duly 316:10 duplication 235:25 duty 70:23 72:8 dynamic 219:7 222:4efficiently 245:15 effort 71:4 84:8 85:20 221:18 253:22154:21,23 155:21 156:5 239:12DLW 74:1,1,3 download 209:20 66:19 102:14 124:4 207:2,15 208:3 218:24 312:6 313:13 314:2download 209:20 242:23efforts 181:23 eight 233:4 117:7 129:23enforcing 184:15 engage 72:5 95:24 96:5 135:2DLW 74:1,1,3 download 209:20 242:23download 209:20 242:23E 60:1,1 61:11 316:1,1efforts 181:23 eight 233:4 117:7 129:23engage 71:4 87:23 88:19 93:2 108:1109:10 e-mail 93:23,25 314:2download ing 242:1696:21 101:24,25 96:21 101:24,25engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12	91:1 267:25		206:7 309:21		236:1
divestiture 165:2168:10 158:12,17duplication 235:25effort 71:4 84:8156:5 239:12division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20242:23Eeither 85:13 113:12engaged 71:4 87:23124:4 207:2,15downloadable242:22316:1,1117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1208:3 218:24242:22316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloading96:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7	distribution 259:17	216:17 287:16	<b>dug</b> 219:6	276:23	
division 216:1163:18 240:13,16duty 70:23 72:885:20 221:18310:6218:11 237:23244:21 303:23duty 70:23 72:8253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25222:4253:22efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20242:23Eeight 233:496:5 135:266:19 102:14242:23E 60:1,1 61:11117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1124:4 207:2,15downloadable242:22316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10208:3 218:24242:22downloading96:21 101:24,25138:24 141:2engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7	districts 161:10	<b>Doumit</b> 62:6,13,16	<b>duly</b> 316:10	efficiently 245:15	154:21,23 155:21
218:11 237:23244:21 303:23dynamic 219:7253:22enforcing 184:15DLW 74:1,1,3304:2 306:25download 209:20222:4efforts 181:23engage 72:5 95:24docket 59:5 63:10download 209:20242:23EEeither 85:13 113:12engage 71:4 87:2366:19 102:14242:23E60:1,1 61:11117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1124:4 207:2,15downloadable242:22I formail 93:23,25130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloading96:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engagement 69:7	divestiture 165:21	68:10 158:12,17	duplication 235:25	effort 71:4 84:8	156:5 239:12
DLW 74:1,1,3   304:2 306:25   222:4   efforts 181:23   engage 72:5 95:24     docket 59:5 63:10   download 209:20   242:23   eight 233:4   96:5 135:2     124:4 207:2,15   downloadable   242:22   117:7 129:23   88:19 93:2 108:1     208:3 218:24   242:22   316:1,1   130:17 133:20   109:10     312:6 313:13   downloading   96:21 101:24,25   138:24 141:2   engagement 69:7     314:2   242:16   96:21 101:24,25   152:11 154:5   engagement 69:7	division 216:1	163:18 240:13,16	duty 70:23 72:8	85:20 221:18	310:6
docket 59:5 63:10 66:19 102:14 124:4 207:2,15download 209:20 242:23Eight 233:4 either 85:13 113:12 117:7 129:2396:5 135:2 engaged 71:4 87:23 88:19 93:2 108:1208:3 218:24 312:6 313:13 314:2242:22 downloading 242:16E 60:1,1 61:11 316:1,1 e-mail 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25iight 233:4 either 85:13 113:12 117:7 129:23 138:24 141:2 138:24 141:296:5 135:2 engaged 71:4 87:23 88:19 93:2 108:1 109:10	218:11 237:23	244:21 303:23	dynamic 219:7	253:22	enforcing 184:15
docket 59:5 63:10 66:19 102:14 124:4 207:2,15download 209:20 242:23Eight 233:4 either 85:13 113:12 117:7 129:2396:5 135:2 engaged 71:4 87:23 88:19 93:2 108:1208:3 218:24 312:6 313:13 314:2242:22 downloading 242:16E 60:1,1 61:11 316:1,1 e-mail 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25iight 233:4 either 85:13 113:12 117:7 129:23 138:24 141:2 138:24 141:296:5 135:2 engaged 71:4 87:23 88:19 93:2 108:1 109:10	<b>DLW</b> 74:1,1,3	304:2 306:25	222:4	efforts 181:23	engage 72:5 95:24
66:19 102:14242:23Eeither 85:13 113:12engaged 71:4 87:23124:4 207:2,15downloadable242:22117:7 129:2388:19 93:2 108:1208:3 218:24242:22316:1,1130:17 133:20109:10312:6 313:13downloadinge-mail 93:23,25138:24 141:2engagement 69:7314:2242:1696:21 101:24,25152:11 154:5engineer 284:11,12		download 209:20			00
124:4 207:2,15 208:3 218:24downloadable 242:22E 60:1,1 61:11 316:1,1117:7 129:23 130:17 133:2088:19 93:2 108:1 109:10312:6 313:13 314:2downloading 242:16e-mail 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25138:24 141:2 152:11 154:5engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12	66:19 102:14	242:23		8	engaged 71:4 87:23
208:3 218:24 312:6 313:13242:22 downloading316:1,1 e-mail 93:23,25130:17 133:20 138:24 141:2109:10 engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12	124:4 207:2,15	downloadable	<b>E</b> 60:1,1 61:11	117:7 129:23	00
312:6 313:13 314:2downloading 242:16e-mail 93:23,25 96:21 101:24,25138:24 141:2 152:11 154:5engagement 69:7 engineer 284:11,12		242:22	,	130:17 133:20	109:10
314:2     242:16     96:21 101:24,25     152:11 154:5     engineer 284:11,12		downloading	e-mail 93:23,25		engagement 69:7
		0	96:21 101:24,25		0.0
			128:19 152:8,9,9		0

				1 age 550
134:23 135:21	313:13	event 134:12	exclusively 229:12	189:17 190:11
151:13 284:14,17	envision 98:2	152:12	251:23	233:6 249:21
<b>enhance</b> 160:2	envisioned 135:17	everyone's 237:24	excuse 105:3,8	301:16
enhanced 94:13	equal 110:10	evidence 71:21	109:18 119:13	<b>expanded</b> 249:17
172:15 199:16	equipment 141:18	73:5 75:18 76:24	136:13 159:6	expanding 86:15
204:19 206:6	equity 232:15	296:9	287:13 307:9	139:10
207:5,18 208:9	equivalent 135:14	EVIDENTIARY	314:11	expansion 190:4
225:3,25 226:1	172:16	59:11	<b>excused</b> 78:10	302:10
227:18 229:19	error 126:11	exact 178:7 249:14	187:1 245:2 308:8	expect 64:11,18
237:14 242:8	escapes 99:23	exactly 174:7 179:1	<b>exercise</b> 161:20	135:10 179:20
243:9	especially 146:19	191:11 224:5	exert 202:18	253:21
<b>ensure</b> 70:25 87:3	147:4	306:13 307:25	exhaustive 194:15	expectation 277:2
93:17 162:8,21	<b>ESQUIRE</b> 60:4,10	examination 62:1,5	exhibit 62:20 65:13	expected 75:24
205:12 243:24	60:16	62:5,6,6,9,9,11,12	66:9 74:1 79:10	77:1
302:5 310:25	essentially 76:10	62:12,13,15,16,16	81:24 100:24	expeditiously
311:1,15	159:22 162:5	72:16 133:16,19	102:15 118:4	187:14
ensuring 89:17	199:22 102:5	134:1 138:2 151:1	120:9 123:19	expend 86:10
224:4	198:19 204:9	158:16 182:13	135:6 136:9	expended 87:15
enter 157:23 158:2	217:14 230:23,25	186:1 221:12	173:24 174:9	301:16
158:6,8	304:9	227:13 237:2	188:24,25 209:16	expending 181:19
enterprise 240:20	establish 195:12	240:15 297:13	211:12 250:14	expenditure 250:2
250:3	established 149:19	304:1 307:6	252:21,22 258:13	expense 96:10
entertainment	183:21	examining 107:18	258:13 264:14	179:19 180:24
147:9	estimate 88:3 120:5	<b>example</b> 86:12 89:8	271:4 277:12	181:1,16
entire 127:20 154:6	169:1 173:9 196:2	91:5 112:9 113:4	309:16,17,20	expenses 85:20
186:14 206:21	196:4 201:8,14	113:21 114:17	exhibits 62:21,22	181:17
207:7 208:10	220:17 295:8	115:22 116:8	62:23 64:10 65:11	expensive 106:24
221:6 281:21	estimated 82:13	135:18 139:9	65:12,14,15,25	134:15,19 300:20
289:6,14	130:5 169:2	143:8 186:12	66:8,11,13,15,16	experience 105:16
entirely 73:5 92:23	295:13	189:15 199:10	68:13,14 74:1,6	181:16 208:14
111:22 123:9	estimates 187:8,14	201:18,19 241:4	74:10,12 79:10,14	256:24 274:15,19
287:16	195:24	304:5 306:16	79:20 80:6,9,10	274:23 275:10
entirety 112:4	estimating 119:21	exceeded 279:12	80:14,16 170:4	284:18
126:15 131:17	196:3	Excel 114:21,22	188:20 246:16,19	experienced 206:16
238:13	estimation 98:11	exception 68:15	264:4	284:25
entities 94:18 206:3	100:20	exceptions 164:10	exist 159:15 160:4	experiences 66:20
215:7 230:22	<b>etcetera</b> 96:21	164:12	213:14 305:9	275:2
231:4 235:23	107:19 172:16	excerpt 190:25	existence 127:5	experiencing 296:6
entitled 74:3 80:15	ETCs 233:10	excess 223:22	272:13,21	expert 209:4
132:9 136:18	242:18	exchange 208:5	existing 93:21	expertise 244:12
275:22	evaluate 297:23	243:20 302:4	108:17 138:20,24	expiration 311:10
entity 151:17 231:6	307:18	exchanges 265:17	199:10 274:5	311:11
231:9	evaluation 299:4	<b>exclude</b> 236:8	exists 92:11 124:17	expired 85:6
entrants 75:25	evasive 100:9	259:6	159:15 195:16	<b>expires</b> 311:22
entry 66:8 75:23	Evelyn 59:25 316:7	excludes 251:6	273:12	<b>explain</b> 114:1 185:3
76:4,13 190:3	316:20	exclusive 134:6	expand 77:7 88:13	193:10 224:22
		1	1	I

				1 490 001
254:17 275:24	87:16 131:10	166:23 311:17	FCC's 93:3 113:10	168:2 171:10
298:20 300:11	249:20 257:20	312:6	113:13 139:13	173:13,21 174:25
310:9	293:18 303:2	<b>falls</b> 260:18	140:20 141:3	252:7,8 286:6
explanation 203:8	308:5	familiar 112:3	143:5 145:6	288:1 304:3
255:2,6	facilities' 115:19	148:25 166:8	277:19 278:10	figures 175:8
<b>explicit</b> 180:24	facing 70:21 106:24	184:8 191:9	286:22	file 114:22 130:23
253:19 307:20	186:9	264:12 275:20,21	fears 270:3	131:1 155:24
<b>explore</b> 99:11	fact 123:17 175:1	286:22	feasibility 89:11	197:11,12 204:21
<b>explored</b> 89:4,11	178:4,14 181:13	family 71:2 124:11	<b>federal</b> 72:2 77:4	246:15 265:22
134:11	195:15 205:11	125:3,9,11 128:9	88:23 92:3 111:23	292:7 311:20
exposed 305:6	213:17 216:13	297:21	112:1 138:5,8	filed 65:14 66:13
express 252:22	241:5,6 282:9	far 65:14 88:20	139:18 153:11	107:20,25 108:23
extended 189:25	296:16 302:6	95:3 117:13	173:4 189:16,23	108:24 109:1,2,3
extending 312:14	factfinder 299:10	141:25 165:20	190:14 234:3	170:19 206:23
314:9	factors 111:25	233:21 238:14,15	243:8 301:15	207:11 257:7
extension 309:4	112:1	260:5 288:24	302:11	278:13 279:9
extensive 289:9	facts 70:18 110:14	289:13 292:16	feel 65:3 67:14	308:13
extent 86:9 93:24	239:13 240:6	<b>farm</b> 92:14	101:21 142:11	files 242:14
131:14 141:13	301:19,25	fast-ticking 292:20	196:25 203:4	filing 97:4 108:7
142:4 158:19	factual 299:16,17	faster 161:13	206:12 238:16	120:2 278:1 282:5
162:24 163:1	failing 181:2 295:8	227:24 272:24	feelings 284:20,24	286:20
223:23 249:7	<b>failure</b> 154:13	father 146:1	<b>feels</b> 240:5	<b>filings</b> 279:5
268:5 270:17	239:10 310:5	fault 295:8	<b>fell</b> 104:12	<b>fill</b> 279:4
301:19 305:2	fair 69:8 83:20	faulting 295:11	fellow 158:13	filters 299:12
311:23	110:5 115:4,24	<b>favor</b> 249:1,2	felt 198:17 204:23	final 100:19 130:8
external 85:2	134:8 144:4	FCC 95:7 111:9,11	224:15	238:6 313:13
extra 299:5 311:8	145:14 150:14	111:14,17 112:4	fewer 160:11 305:7	314:1,23
<b>extract</b> 86:22	153:10 163:6	113:11,15 127:2	fewest 83:12	finance 135:21
extremely 97:11	165:18 172:10	142:23 143:3	fiber 86:15 87:16	financial 91:13
128:16	177:21 184:21	172:13 173:14	88:13,18 89:3,6	145:20 156:14
<b>eyes</b> 286:21	192:14 255:16	174:2,25 183:1	89:10 92:15	181:14 190:15
	280:12 281:7,22	193:17 197:10	134:17,18 151:7,9	226:2
$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$	289:5,21 291:19	204:7,14,22	151:11,17 247:20	financials 165:12
<b>F</b> 316:1	292:5 294:13	208:15,16 212:13	250:2 259:6,12	<b>find</b> 72:8 113:12
<b>Fabric</b> 98:23 99:7	297:9 303:6	215:10 219:11	271:6,13,19,22	187:16 195:4,21
196:12,24 197:1	<b>fairly</b> 64:11 68:15	228:25 229:7,9	301:20 304:10,18	229:21 232:3
197:10,16,18	96:12 115:22	234:24 236:5,6	fiberoptic 191:16	236:6 273:12
198:2,9,18 199:12	122:15,15 140:23	242:18,21,25	303:1	312:18
199:18 222:13	149:16 156:13	243:24 263:18	fiberoptics 134:13	finding 129:9
<b>face</b> 105:4	168:20 178:4	264:12,21 265:1,7	<b>fibers</b> 92:13	210:23 251:15,18
<b>faced</b> 83:16 133:20	198:6 208:2	265:19 266:5	<b>Fifth</b> 60:11	294:25 305:22
133:20 134:2	<b>faith</b> 69:6,6 71:4	270:1 278:5,13,16	<b>fight</b> 106:21,21	findings 130:2
301:23	110:18,25 244:15	278:19,20,23	figure 88:1 110:1	210:18 270:23
faces 254:9	295:12	279:2,14,25 280:3	112:16 120:13	finds 224:13 239:19
<b>facets</b> 268:13	<b>Faker</b> 61:7	280:7 282:5 287:5	121:10 146:2	fine 133:2 187:11
facilities 86:12,14	<b>fall</b> 106:10 146:14	302:24	148:18 167:14	245:8 276:25
	I	I	I	I

				1 ugo 002
312:18	<b>flesh</b> 259:20	<b>form</b> 63:14,18	<b>front</b> 82:16,23	278:20 297:24
<b>fining</b> 155:12	<b>flip</b> 173:14	81:17 153:16	101:1,11 118:7,13	furthermore 212:2
<b>finish</b> 130:7 266:1	floor 60:5 291:20	161:1 185:23	136:21 148:6	future 85:21 91:23
<b>fire</b> 212:4	291:22,23	249:1	174:17 177:25	92:12 93:1 179:11
<b>firm</b> 295:16	Florida 279:22	formal 71:11 97:4	204:25 219:5	181:10 220:4,12
<b>first</b> 64:9 66:1	<b>flow</b> 92:6 254:4	108:1 109:9,13	230:11 238:14	223:9 230:11
72:18 90:2 91:5	306:19	217:7	276:2 282:2	234:25 300:17
97:9 102:13 106:5	<b>flushed</b> 220:20	former 185:5	299:17 306:14	
107:21,24 108:13	flyer 232:22	forth 81:21 127:22	307:10	G
115:6,11 151:3	focus 71:14 83:22	166:9 186:4,10	Frontier 289:2	G-o-s-e 79:8
174:19 184:9	86:15 89:15 139:3	293:6	<b>fulfill</b> 72:8 192:13	game 160:6 162:20
186:24 202:12	150:22 177:11	<b>forward</b> 68:23	<b>full</b> 71:13 72:9	166:15
225:22 227:15	203:16 208:23	130:21 132:2	81:14 107:9,17,18	gaps 279:4
229:10 242:3	273:5,5 275:16	150:16 203:23	full-blown 279:18	gas 163:8
247:7,23 278:15	<b>focused</b> 139:24	204:14 258:22	fully 218:8 244:13	gather 114:13
279:3 295:21	181:24 275:17	289:19	244:15 300:11	145:9
296:3 299:13	302:16	<b>found</b> 165:9,10	<b>fulsome</b> 107:17	gathered 127:9
<b>fit</b> 165:11	focusing 225:3	194:1 195:15	<b>fun</b> 117:4	general 60:10,16
five 85:5,18 87:4,5	folks 109:20 114:23	208:10	functional 214:22	74:24 153:14
88:7 91:23 100:12	151:24 152:1	Foundation 210:7	263:19	158:14 170:17,24
100:13,18 130:10	161:5 171:22	four 87:4 89:23	functionality	171:19 173:16
164:1 165:23	241:17	91:6 118:17	172:16	178:2 181:16
168:12 178:12	<b>follow</b> 113:7 175:16	124:25 129:1	functionally 172:16	195:21 239:6
209:16 216:10	236:24 266:18	135:1 141:21	functioning 302:7	260:21 274:8
234:19 256:18,21	follow-up 171:12	142:18 143:12,13	functions 89:14	280:8
257:15 301:15	240:11	143:16,17 145:7	<b>Fund</b> 139:21	generalities 177:17
five-year 85:16	<b>followed</b> 162:13	153:18 207:22	<b>funded</b> 236:2 243:6	generalized 196:20
fivefold 178:5	205:12	215:25 217:11	funding 88:24	generally 112:23
180:2,6	<b>following</b> 64:14	233:13	138:5,6,6,9,9	117:22 122:23
fix 156:2 293:3	125:7	<b>fourth</b> 94:13	139:22 140:6,7,7	138:22 171:14
fixed 113:12 139:14	food 259:19	152:21	189:23 234:3,6,6	191:11 192:17,23
141:12,13 145:7	footprint 259:5	<b>fraction</b> 171:22	234:17,21,22	208:6 240:21
152:3 194:16	forbearance 185:2	198:5	235:9,9,13,21,23	generated 206:22
195:3 197:4,4	forbid 131:8	framework 206:17	236:3,14 243:15	Gentlemen 121:20
213:11 217:19	force 130:17 132:3	249:8	287:8	geographic 96:18
219:19 223:23	132:12 186:13	frankly 139:12	<b>funds</b> 243:9 294:1	97:8 117:2,8,13
224:8,8 225:14	226:16	148:2 174:3	Furnished 275:22	150:12 197:14
236:8,10 269:19	<b>forced</b> 147:14	free 65:3 101:21	<b>further</b> 76:23 85:21	202:7 265:2
270:3 289:22	forces 71:23 75:15	190:8 196:25	137:20 163:19	geography 253:1
fixing 157:11	142:20 182:2	frequency 119:6	168:9 185:21	258:23
flat 113:23	300:16	252:24 253:3	186:22 227:7	geospacial 109:20
flat-rated 158:5	<b>forcible</b> 202:18	275:13	230:24 233:25	getting 111:2 153:2
flaw 120:2	foregoing 316:9	frequent 132:12	237:21 239:5	153:12 156:22
flawed 290:15	foremost 229:10	Friday 63:4	241:11 244:22,24	174:24 178:24
292:2	foresight 243:20	friends 71:2 124:11	245:1 249:22	219:23 222:22
<b>flaws</b> 71:14	forested 113:22	125:3,10,12	273:24 276:15	231:7,7 250:19
		, -, -		

				8
253:23 306:12	243:10 244:15	224:6 225:23,24	202:19 203:2	groups 178:15
<b>gig</b> 235:3,19	246:23 266:23	226:15,25 227:23	216:19 228:23	guaranteed 150:6
give 69:23 73:12	267:5 269:2 285:4	232:17,18 234:6	234:1 238:19	guess 77:18 92:19
78:23 94:6.23	288:24 291:23	234:13 235:6	239:3	124:4 184:9 207:3
95:6,13,18 98:13	295:2 296:19	237:21 239:25	<b>Gose's</b> 77:8	213:9 262:25
112:4 169:17	297:3 299:3 300:4	241:9,17 245:19	gotten 80:2 244:1	267:8 270:25
181:24 188:5	307:12 309:15	258:3,18 261:20	governing 84:14	279:13 285:15
196:24 199:13,15	goal 111:2 192:16	267:18 276:20	government 72:7	288:13 312:7,9
203:24 206:17	192:21 309:12	277:3,3 280:10	77:4,9 99:25	314:15
215:4 241:21	goes 161:9 204:14	283:24 286:1	112:1 165:13	guessing 219:21
268:8	209:8 211:20	293:8 294:5	189:16 192:4	guide 206:20
<b>given</b> 95:3 105:25	212:3 222:7 237:9	297:15 304:6	222:16 301:16	8
106:23 172:24	239:19 272:21	305:23 309:11	<b>GPS</b> 145:3	H
224:21 227:5	283:8 289:13	312:12,14	grade 165:24	Haley 63:8
230:5 270:12	295:5 299:19	<b>Goldfarb</b> 61:10	<b>Graham</b> 61:10	Hammond 61:8
289:25 291:19	311:25	good 63:22 64:1,6	grant 92:3 111:18	hand 73:10 78:21
296:16 310:15	going 68:2 84:6	68:19 69:6,6 70:1	111:18 184:15	101:17 169:15
gives 180:25 181:3	89:22,23 93:8	70:5,10 71:4	191:19,22 227:5	188:3 246:2
196:23 229:14	94:3,4 95:6,18	73:18,20 74:22	232:12 238:7	316:14
290:4 314:4	97:10,10 99:1,2	79:5 82:21,22	granted 203:13	handle 104:2
giving 148:19	100:22 102:2,25	89:6 106:21	214:10 225:1	handling 306:11
170:25 203:25	103:14 104:5,19	108:17 110:18,24	265:22 266:4	handy 276:7
209:21 230:15	104:21 107:2,11	138:1 141:6 144:4	grantees 92:8	happen 87:9 92:11
glad 149:22,24	115:7 117:14	153:1 156:16	140:11	112:12 116:1
glance 202:12	122:23 123:6,18	169:23 174:13	granting 249:4	148:11 156:10
globally 247:5	123:21 124:3	182:15,16 183:23	grants 139:23	217:25 241:18
272:16	127:20 129:3,4,13	187:25 188:2,11	189:23 192:4	happened 106:6
<b>go</b> 68:3,23 81:5	130:20 132:2	189:8,9 202:21	granular 108:19	155:11 261:21
84:9 96:13 104:5	133:7,12 140:9	206:14,17 209:22	145:3 241:24	282:10,10
104:7 111:21	142:2 143:10	221:14 236:20,22	granularity 198:13	happening 183:23
114:7 118:17	144:16 146:3,3,5	246:8 248:10,11	gravely 288:18	241:4 272:4
121:18 129:4	146:7,8,9,13	267:25 277:11	gray 112:22	happens 113:6
133:6 135:20	147:3 148:14,18	283:17 284:3	great 78:17 86:12	117:16 128:7
141:9,14,18 143:8	148:23,24 149:3	285:3 288:12	104:7,15 161:22	149:19 179:5
143:15 154:19	150:11 156:19,20	295:12,16 307:3,5	169:14 209:25	190:19 291:25
159:20 172:21	156:21 161:6	Google 113:22	237:18 245:12	happy 65:1 239:5
181:6 182:11	163:8,12,25 164:3	gorge 113:7,24	258:17	hard 265:20
184:22 187:18	164:13 166:24	114:3	greater 97:4 110:11	hardcore 284:17
195:22 199:7,15	167:9 169:8	Gose 62:4 72:22	117:13 186:12,13	harder 300:15
204:10,18 206:15	175:10 176:12	78:14,15,19 79:5	217:16 231:15	Hardman 308:16
206:16 208:5,9,11	179:11,11,21	79:7,15 82:11,14	268:22 281:9,25	harm 297:17
215:8 216:7,25	181:15 184:22	82:21 133:12,18	Greg 61:8	Harmon 308:20
219:2 221:3,11	187:22 200:10	138:1 158:15	grid 159:2	hate 102:12 314:11
223:17 228:16	202:22 203:21,23	163:21 168:10,15	ground 301:19	head 167:14 175:12
230:5 235:1	206:10 207:25	171:9 172:25	grounds 273:23	Health 117:25
237:20 241:18	210:2,3 219:13	199:4 201:18	group 111:22	hear 68:20 69:25
	I	I		I

				0
71:8 73:7 107:10	helping 109:21	78:4 132:21 133:3	133:10 137:22	244:4
123:20 133:22,23	242:7	133:14 137:21	168:8 169:4,11,14	identifying 114:18
149:5 167:1,16	helps 190:22	163:19 169:10	169:20 170:12	314:14
169:11 175:4	226:20 280:19	188:1 245:11	182:8,11 184:17	<b>II</b> 61:11 139:21
184:4 198:11	hereunto 316:14	310:14	184:23 185:22	144:15,22 178:15
210:11 239:2	hex 113:5 124:18	Honors 64:6 68:20	186:23 187:4,21	180:19,20
245:24 270:22	194:11 197:7,12	70:10	188:2,8 189:4	<b>III</b> 59:11
297:24 300:8	197:14 198:19,19	hope 67:10 123:20	209:11 210:11,13	<b>ILEC</b> 76:14 99:8
309:13	198:24 212:13	134:11 156:9	221:10 227:4,10	105:2 248:17
heard 99:22 153:11	hex-shaped 197:11	161:18 179:24	244:25 245:5,13	254:19 259:3
156:2 163:6,15	hexagon 114:11	220:1,5,8,13	245:18 313:9,9,15	260:5 265:8
175:1 198:7 201:7	hexagons 114:20	241:16 292:3	313:25 314:8,16	267:21 268:3
201:25 216:19	hexes 112:20,22	301:7	314:17,19	ILECs 242:8 243:7
228:22 230:14	196:23	hopeful 107:13	hundred 110:3	243:16 255:14,22
234:1 238:19	hey 132:8 163:11	hopefully 181:22	116:9 126:19	265:12 271:9
300:3	243:1	199:5	hundreds 86:10	281:24 304:10
hearing 59:11	hidden 186:17	hospital 211:23	87:2 110:3 219:22	imagine 152:17
63:10,15 64:9,20	high 86:15 140:23	hostile 184:22,24	220:18,23	immediately 102:1
65:11 68:9 71:12	161:20 171:25	hotline 149:20	hung 241:2	186:16
71:13,14 72:18	233:10 243:8	hour 114:25 115:1	hurricane 279:23	impact 117:24
78:11,15 88:15	261:4 303:16	115:15 201:19	hypothetical	164:13 180:23
128:10 156:3	high-speed 87:16	hourly 157:4	128:16 135:3,17	234:7 239:3
158:21 169:7	88:13,18 92:15	hours 110:3,4,7	141:20 142:18	impacted 106:18
187:2,11,15 191:1	higher 75:24	115:20 180:22	143:11 256:4	185:11 220:4,11
211:13 245:3,7	126:14 171:21	219:22 220:18	266:14,24 267:8	impaneling 64:17
270:19 276:16	172:6 173:22	221:5 233:4,13	268:8 269:3	imparts 93:18
278:6 308:25	216:3	245:7	285:11	<b>impede</b> 66:25
310:15 315:2,4	highlight 103:14	house 270:4 285:22	hypothetically	implicate 276:13
316:9	highly 69:11 139:4	285:25 286:2	156:8 285:4	importance 264:24
hearing's 89:5	240:19	households 194:4		265:5
hearings 209:2	<b>hill</b> 129:2	195:24 196:1	$\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}}$	important 125:17
heavy 145:23	hire 107:8	housekeeping	<b>i-t-z</b> 246:13	145:2 161:4
149:16	historical 248:22	308:9	idea 94:17 131:2	167:13 198:25
help 67:10 72:6	hit 242:19 301:20	houses 116:8	271:20 282:13	199:2 244:14
97:25 129:20	<b>Hmm</b> 209:11	<b>Howard</b> 63:3,5,24	290:4	283:21
143:21 144:1	hold 103:12 162:8	64:1,4,8 65:19,23	Ideally 278:18	importantly 132:5
145:17 147:16,22	167:19 202:3	66:4,6,10 67:13	identification	<b>impose</b> 154:15
149:1 190:14	holding 243:2	68:6,22 69:17,22	62:21 96:16	imposes 84:19
191:20 209:24	304:15,20	70:4,8,13 72:13	137:15	imprecise 198:6
223:19,22 226:5,6	home 96:25 193:19	73:3,9,15 74:18	identified 85:11	impress 161:21
226:7 229:20	homes 113:5 116:9	75:3,10 78:2,5,13	93:17 122:7 154:20 176:25	impression 276:20
232:15 244:6	195:13 196:24	78:19 79:1 82:12		improve 198:13
266:18 307:17	homework 97:10	101:21 102:12	identifies 127:1	273:12 293:18
helped 242:6	honest 173:25	105:20 107:4	<b>identify</b> 84:9,23 115:1 127:10	295:18 306:19
<b>helpful</b> 240:10	Honor 63:22 65:19	115:9 130:4,11	178:10 193:21	308:4,5
310:17 313:19	66:2 73:18 74:15	132:19,24 133:6	1/0.10 175.21	improved 110:16
	•	-	•	•

				•
improvements	incorporate 238:2	214:19,23 218:11	292:21 295:16	intended 68:25
180:16	incorrect 251:10	221:4 222:7	299:3 304:13,23	154:23 243:25
improving 139:11	304:8	223:18 229:18	305:1 306:15	<b>intending</b> 72:20,21
inability 204:16	increase 132:14	230:21 231:14	308:3 309:11	88:17
inaccurately	175:21 176:13	238:23 280:6	informs 226:2	intends 258:10
156:15	178:4,5,8,22	305:19	infrastructure 72:1	<b>intent</b> 157:12
inadequacies 199:9	180:2,6,7 222:3,8	individually 64:17	72:6 86:16,21	interest 69:10,19
inadvertently	235:5	298:2	164:4 178:24	159:13 168:7
155:5	increased 216:9	individuals 67:17	190:10 209:18	249:16 282:7
inappropriate	increases 226:21	117:2 119:5 137:3	214:20 300:19	293:14,22 294:4
224:16	264:23 265:4	166:10 167:5	301:7	306:5
inaudible 124:2	increasing 178:1	176:24,25 203:22	infrequently 265:7	interested 67:9
295:1 307:9	202:10 216:12	205:5,6,18 214:25	<b>infusion</b> 161:16	102:22,23,23
incent 298:4	incumbent 161:8,9	217:11,12 220:3	inhibited 299:1	146:11 231:4
incentive 97:3	243:19 302:3	220:11 232:23	<b>initial</b> 96:14 192:24	280:4
156:1,4,5,16	incurring 104:1	industry 99:25	198:18 207:19	interesting 117:10
164:4,5 180:8,14	incurs 84:24	100:2 185:6	224:24,25 229:15	235:10
181:9,20 183:15	indefinite 85:16	240:18,25 282:1	229:22	interests 69:9
293:17 295:17	independently	291:17 300:23	initially 93:16	interfact 250:6
308:4	270:15 294:15	inevitable 147:13	172:25 189:25	INTERISLAND
incentives 164:8	<b>INDEX</b> 62:1,20	148:11	193:14	59:5
179:24 180:2	<b>indicate</b> 88:12 91:8	inferring 123:11	initiate 95:7	intermodal 87:13
181:14 183:9	94:7 97:12 99:7	<b>inform</b> 228:10	<b>input</b> 221:5 238:4	109:23 172:24
incentivize 131:3	246:24	informal 71:11	269:25 270:24	internal 85:1
incentivizes 307:19	indicated 74:25	95:4 125:21	278:18	179:20
incidents 130:17	82:13 94:3 168:12	217:10 220:8	<b>inquire</b> 233:24	internally 181:22
131:12,18 132:4	176:16 226:13	288:2,2	inquiry 104:10	interned 219:20
<b>include</b> 66:19 193:7	276:17 297:5	information 66:18	270:9 305:1	<b>internet</b> 89:10
286:24 290:17	299:6	66:23 67:2 91:12	insense 156:20,21	92:15 147:6
309:23 310:5	indicates 75:18	97:22 99:16 127:9	<b>insert</b> 228:14	193:23 194:5,16
included 97:22	76:24 216:8	129:15,23 130:1	247:19	195:23,25 197:4,4
108:7 193:12,24	223:18 310:3	145:9,11,12	inserts 228:11	217:20 222:10
includes 207:17	indicating 253:20	150:15,16 154:2	<b>inside</b> 194:8,25	223:23 224:9
226:16 232:21	263:21	177:9 193:16,23	195:13	226:9 249:10
266:8	indication 181:2	193:24 195:6,8	<b>instance</b> 97:9 141:8	268:22 269:4,5,15
including 62:22	307:20	196:17,20 197:10	149:14 152:18	271:13,19,22
65:15 66:11 68:14	indicative 137:17	197:14,24 198:24	instances 156:8	interpret 157:25
113:21 132:4	indicators 103:23	199:1 201:21,22	176:6	interpretation
145:13 255:14,22	individual 76:9	207:8 208:1	instructive 146:22	77:19
268:3 289:7 312:7	106:8 129:4	212:15 217:9,24	insufficient 70:20	interrupt 102:13
inclusion 288:15,17	157:23 158:2,6,8	218:6 229:8 230:4	72:2 75:15 77:10	314:12
<b>income</b> 172:14	176:9 177:6	235:11 241:7	189:11	interruption
223:19	178:11 190:15	242:16,22 244:7	intelligence 109:20	274:11
incomplete 293:2	194:2 196:19,24	246:18 251:2	114:22	intervene 204:13
inconvenience	197:1,5,15 198:20	267:12,16 268:4	<b>intend</b> 65:16 67:5	intervention 77:9
101:20	199:20,25 200:3	270:13 279:6	255:21 298:23	Interview 118:1
	1	I	I	1

				1 ugo 000
<b>intra</b> 109:23	301:8 303:17	<b>Jones</b> 64:3 70:7	264:22	279:7,10,20 280:7
intramodal 76:8	304:4,11,14,18,19	<b>Joseph</b> 191:1,3	justifies 298:18	280:11,25 284:19
87:12	310:7 311:14	<b>Josh</b> 61:9	justify 72:2 87:24	284:21 287:21
introduce 73:16	312:3	<b>Joy</b> 124:1	89:24 108:10	288:3 291:10,15
79:2 146:8,9	issued 310:25	judge 63:3,6,8,24	254:17,21	297:23 304:25
169:20 188:9	issues 65:8 72:22	64:1,2,4,8 65:19	<b>JW</b> 170:4	kinds 167:7 232:20
246:7	152:2 154:25	65:23 66:4,6,10		265:5
<b>intrusive</b> 285:18	180:1 204:20	67:13 68:6,22	K	<b>kitchen</b> 286:3,4
286:18	215:20 239:14	69:17,22 70:4,8	<b>K</b> 247:3	Klickitat 71:1
<b>invest</b> 77:5	273:25 274:17,20	70:13 72:13 73:3	keep 64:21,24 87:7	124:5 128:17
<b>invested</b> 77:5 97:25	275:14 291:16	73:9,15 74:18	147:10 292:13	144:24
303:1	294:10,19,22	75:3,10 78:2,5,13	301:18 309:12	knew 243:16,18
investigate 180:8	296:14 297:2	78:19 79:1 82:12	keeps 71:1	knock 285:21
206:3 270:14	309:24 310:20	101:21 102:12	kept 185:18 304:21	know 66:23 68:12
investigating 294:9	It'll 96:12	105:20 107:4	<b>key</b> 103:23	85:18 87:24 88:10
investigation 71:11	item 67:24 81:17	115:9 130:4,11	<b>kind</b> 72:5 76:18	90:12,15 91:3,16
107:17 177:6	163:5 181:15	132:19,24 133:6	77:9 91:10 96:14	91:25 92:5,13,23
179:21 239:20	208:7 219:3	133:10 137:22	96:22 100:11	95:4,18 96:14,15
296:15	items 97:19 308:10	168:8 169:4,11,14	105:16 111:10	97:6 98:21 99:19
investment 72:2,5	iteration 229:5	169:20 170:12	112:22 113:4	99:20,23 100:15
91:15 107:18	iterations 230:12	182:8,11 184:17	145:15,17 146:12	107:10 109:16
109:8 190:10		184:23 185:22	146:15,22,24	110:10,22,24
192:4 220:10	J	186:23 187:4,21	148:5,16 149:21	111:17,25 112:13
249:24 301:7	<b>Jack</b> 61:10	188:2,8 189:4	153:13 155:9	112:14,15 113:19
302:11 303:12	James 61:11 62:8	209:11 210:11,13	161:24 163:2,14	114:5,6,7 115:16
investments 139:5	168:23 169:8	221:10 227:4,10	169:2 177:16	116:13,20 117:19
165:1	170:1	244:25 245:5,13	181:10 182:17	122:14 123:2,7,8
investor 282:5	<b>January</b> 311:14	245:18,21,22,24	190:22 192:2,3	123:23 124:16,21
investors 254:2	<b>Jared</b> 61:8	246:1,6 248:5	194:6 197:14	125:2,16 126:13
<b>involve</b> 134:22	<b>JDW</b> 174:9,11	261:25 276:16,25	198:21 199:20	126:24 127:1,7,12
296:17	183:5	277:6 297:11	201:22 202:17,19	127:18,22 128:15
<b>involved</b> 85:2 216:2	<b>JDW-19</b> 170:4	303:21 308:7,22	203:14,16 205:5	128:20,24 129:13
222:22 264:5	<b>JDW-1T</b> 170:4	309:15,20 311:9	205:12 206:7,16	129:13,15,18,19
279:8 290:25	<b>Jean</b> 61:3 215:21	313:2,9,9,15,25	206:20 207:4	129:20 130:2
involving 172:15	247:5	314:8,16,16,19	208:1,7 217:16	131:6,6,8,8
irresistible 160:15	<b>Jeff</b> 60:16 64:2 70:5	July 59:24 63:1,4	220:16 221:17	132:10 134:24
isolate 179:22	jeff.roberson@at	69:4 316:10,15	222:3,21 223:5,25	136:2,3 138:25
issue 65:5 71:17	60:18	<b>jump</b> 130:5 184:18	225:2,5 226:18,20	139:12,16 141:4
77:23 85:6 86:18	<b>Jimmy</b> 61:9	juncture 88:8 90:8	229:21 230:7,20	141:11,21 142:12
106:12 156:5	<b>job</b> 106:2 153:1	92:12 94:25 95:5	230:21,23 231:7	143:23 145:7,15
171:17 204:25	<b>Johnson</b> 211:13,15	99:16 116:13	231:12 232:9	145:18 146:1,2,7
240:4 248:25	<b>join</b> 68:2,4 106:20	127:11	235:1,7 237:12,16	146:16,17 147:7
257:19,21 258:9	joined 310:20	<b>June</b> 219:10	238:5,12,13,17	147:10,20 148:2
274:12,15 275:11	joining 64:25 68:8	jurisdiction 273:21	242:4,15,25	148:10,12,13,15
282:1,2,8 283:21	68:10 69:24	justification 255:3	243:22 244:5	148:15 149:12
299:23 300:9,12	<b>joint</b> 279:11	justifications 201:2	259:24 260:18	150:9 151:5,15,21
			l	, , ,

				9
152:17,20,23,24	308:19,20,20	172:7	300:13,16	239:4,9 310:3
152:25 153:13,18	311:17 312:11,23	landscape 83:9	legal 98:12 104:24	<b>life</b> 148:14 150:1,6
154:3 155:5 156:9	313:8,16	219:14	105:12 111:22,24	150:10,11
156:13 157:3,6,14	<b>knowing</b> 176:8	language 226:4	294:11	lifetime 161:15
157:16 159:1,24	knowledge 74:13	230:22 231:3,13	legs 208:12	<b>lift</b> 149:16
159:25 160:2,13	80:11 82:8 91:20	231:21,23 232:6	legwork 229:15	lifting 145:23
160:24 161:6,8,15	98:16 129:2	232:23 289:12	length 64:20 227:6	light 312:22
161:15,19 162:10	158:10 159:6	languages 232:22	227:6 233:21	likelihood 90:10
162:10 163:3,3,6	208:14 216:18	laptop 78:17	lengthy 91:3	95:1 106:13 113:2
163:7,11 164:18	222:17 223:7	large 76:12 120:2	<b>Lenora</b> 60:5	115:18 117:14
165:9,21,22 166:7	241:9,23 265:4	162:25 167:1	lessening 83:16	152:15 165:25
166:11,13,15,16	272:3 281:15	179:3 256:8	let's 63:3,20 64:8	202:11 226:21
166:25 167:7,7,22	304:7,9 316:11	largely 96:8 164:12	65:12 68:3,6,17	281:9
167:23 172:8		larger 117:11	78:13 84:16 87:22	Likewise 103:15
173:25 175:4	L	186:18 202:11	91:23 97:15 98:22	liminal 113:5 303:7
176:23 177:2,19	L 60:4 62:2 73:23	256:3 259:17	100:5,9 103:22	<b>limit</b> 116:5,7,9,10
179:13,14 181:1,9	74:4	281:17 304:15,19	111:9 112:6	116:12,16,23,23
181:14 184:18	labeled 81:19,20,21	306:18	117:21 127:4	253:7 264:21
189:19 190:18	labor 157:4	largest 253:24	128:15 133:6,11	265:1 309:22
191:10 194:10	lack 163:14 210:24	288:14	143:8 149:14	limitations 253:16
196:3 198:8	222:15 244:10	lastly 149:25	156:9 169:7	259:25
201:20 202:21	252:19 263:19	247:25	186:11,24 187:18	limited 64:13 148:1
204:3,11 205:1	272:14,19	late 312:7	203:19 207:7	152:15 249:25
206:10,12 207:23	lacking 223:2	late-breaking	211:11 218:20	250:6 279:20
208:19 214:6	lagged 304:22	286:19	245:18 266:17	<b>limiting</b> 280:25
215:12 218:10,11	laid 220:21,24	law 63:5,8	267:5 287:22	limits 252:23
218:15 219:1,2	308:18	lawyer 84:10 153:6	309:12,15	253:18 258:20
220:12,13 221:4	Lake 131:9	lay 70:23	letter 93:23 152:5,6	259:21,22 281:4,4
248:12 249:14	land 120:6 122:19	layman 196:22	162:9 168:13	302:14
250:11,17 253:18	123:14 126:20	lean 68:22	237:20,20,22,24	line 64:24 67:11
254:23,25 256:1	landline 71:1	leave 94:3 152:19	237:24 238:1,11	71:6,9 73:6 75:13
257:14 261:15	118:21,24 119:2	162:15 238:18	238:13	75:22 84:17 86:9
263:4,12,12 266:6	120:11,23 121:1	313:1	letters 163:4	94:2 103:14 104:6
268:10 271:11,16	122:3,3,19,19,23	leaves 179:18 252:1	level 102:3 147:16	104:21 110:19
271:17 275:7,20	123:8 124:7,9,12	252:7 261:9	161:20 164:23	119:23,24,25,25
276:3,10 281:12	136:18 137:1,8	leaving 94:9 274:8	166:5 197:7 210:3	127:4 130:9 147:3
281:16,19 282:11	143:16 146:6	led 253:13	220:22 264:14	168:24 172:12,22
283:14,23 284:25	211:21 212:23	left 72:12 106:9	273:1,22 278:20	175:4,10 177:10
285:1,23 286:9,11	213:5,7,12 251:19	133:11 154:8	278:23 295:23	179:6,19 180:24
289:4,4 291:9	252:12,12,18,19	160:20 219:19	296:13 297:6	181:14 187:24
292:16 293:19	260:16,25 262:12	223:15,16 241:17	levels 75:24 103:17	192:9 194:24
294:23,24 295:2	262:17 263:9	290:5	104:11 218:10	215:25 217:12
295:11 299:14	269:19	legacy 86:11 87:3	license 256:14,22	228:7,8 239:24
300:1,3 302:22,23	landlines 137:5,6,6	89:3,7 92:9,16	257:4 258:7	247:8,10,11,13,19
304:8,12,16 305:3	170:22 171:6,15	106:24 249:20	<b>lies</b> 111:15	247:22 248:1,1
305:11,25 306:13	171:20,23,25	265:9 279:19	lieu 154:12,21	258:18 277:15
,		l	,	l

				0
282:23 283:4	lives 128:17 143:9	lockstep 313:14	241:19 285:14	<b>M</b> 59:25 63:8 316:7
297:5 305:3	147:5,10 148:12	logical 181:11	297:5 307:8	316:20
307:13	191:7 211:16	255:5	looks 139:6 173:6	<b>Madison</b> 131:9
lines 104:21 113:23	living 96:18 124:6	lonely 124:10	202:21 204:20	magnitude 275:13
118:17 120:3,6	212:21 213:8	long 69:5 88:21	looming 282:8	mail 128:20
121:11 122:19	290:10	94:10 109:12	299:23 300:9,12	mailed 206:18
123:14 126:20	<b>loaded</b> 157:4	110:13 135:24	304:4,11	mailings 291:10
147:8 158:5 171:2	local 146:14 208:5	167:5 185:6	loop 243:9	maintain 92:17
173:7 266:19	243:19 266:15	201:21 244:9	lose 147:3,8 212:10	94:2 103:16 106:1
287:23 288:14	267:1 302:3	283:14	214:4,5,8 270:10	181:18 190:11
295:7	located 178:20	longer 85:18 92:17	loses 214:15,19,20	207:25 240:24
link 229:20 232:22	180:25	100:4,6 115:15,16	losing 125:2 297:20	300:15
links 225:24	location 87:7,8	133:1 135:10	<b>loss</b> 210:20	maintained 193:4
<b>Lisa</b> 61:7	91:4 92:18 93:19	167:24 191:14	<b>lost</b> 215:4	maintaining 86:21
list 65:13 66:9	97:8 114:13	look 67:19 77:23	lot 96:25 146:4	92:12 242:8
264:15	117:15 125:1	80:14 102:17	149:8 177:15	maintenance 136:4
<b>listed</b> 66:8 81:17,25	127:1 128:12,24	109:21 112:16	194:14,15 219:25	293:6
97:20 98:6 100:6	130:1 139:1,15	116:20 119:24	222:9 226:10	majeure 130:17
100:16 118:22	144:23 145:4,6	120:9,14 127:13	229:1 230:7	132:3,12 226:16
137:8 178:14	154:1,6,7 156:22	132:10 136:2,9	234:19 244:2	majority 255:9,14
272:5	179:9 195:19	139:13 141:7	275:8 298:1 303:4	255:15,18,23
listen 209:1	196:20,21 197:5	150:16 154:3	305:4 308:12	making 90:10,13
lists 98:17 273:14	197:15 198:20	159:22 160:16	312:12,14	139:4 142:19
literally 290:17	202:8 235:4 261:2	166:13,24 167:8	loud 167:1 210:17	145:22,23 160:16
literature 171:19	266:9 268:10	170:25 173:13,21	loudly 107:6	173:18 179:23
litigating 85:6	269:1,13,15 285:4	174:19 177:18	love 166:16	183:2 203:10
litigation 69:5	285:10 287:19	180:18 181:25	low 172:14 191:24	243:5,11 250:1
225:4,6 231:16	290:9,17,25 291:4	194:16 197:17	223:18 291:21	272:18 298:5,6
273:8	291:6 304:24	202:20 204:19	308:18	malfunction 155:9
little 68:23 84:4	location-by-locat	207:12,14 215:9	lower 83:3 86:4	malfunctioned
85:21 100:23	195:20 199:4,14	225:5 235:15	104:20 126:15	156:12
104:3 107:15	263:24	250:14 258:12,17	171:2,11 173:22	management-type
111:9 112:20	locations 87:16	273:24 282:4	176:7	181:18
121:21 125:8	92:25 125:23	283:22 284:3	luck 163:2	manager 205:17
138:4,17 146:21	126:5,17,21 127:6	294:19	Lumen 60:4 138:7	managing 254:3
148:6,12 155:12	129:5 137:16	looked 109:15	138:12 151:9	<b>manner</b> 179:2
166:9 173:22	138:18,20,21,24	128:1 153:25	164:22,23 250:1	manufacture
196:23 200:17	138:24 139:8,16	229:18	254:2 271:14	300:14
208:23 209:9	163:8 166:22	looking 103:15	281:20 298:5	<b>map</b> 113:10,11,23
222:20 227:23	196:17 197:2	126:10 139:20	304:15	139:14 202:20
233:6 241:19	198:23 199:21,25	140:6 149:4,11	lunch 64:19 169:5	229:23 234:12
250:19 278:6	200:3 221:19,23	154:12 157:12	186:24 187:4,6,9	305:17
279:16	235:18 262:9	168:25 173:12	187:20	mapping 141:3
<b>live</b> 129:3	290:3 293:7 301:9	175:25 178:13	Lundquist 61:4	229:22 241:24
lived 206:15 283:13	305:19	187:7,10 194:16		271:2 305:21
283:14	lockout 85:17	195:20 211:2	M	maps 140:20,21,24
	I	I	I	I

				1 uge 000
141:4,7 145:6	248:14 296:6	191:7,13	<b>mid</b> 176:12	114:2,2,9 124:19
228:23,24 229:2	302:13 308:13	meet 142:13 170:16	midafternoon	124:24 141:12,13
Margrav 124:1,17	313:8	meeting 65:7 207:2	64:20 245:8	190:21 193:13,16
128:11 139:9	matters 185:11	207:15 208:2,6,12	middle 75:22 86:8	193:23 194:5,7,16
141:10 143:9	310:16	237:4	103:12,13 119:4	194:19,25 195:4,7
144:16 147:5	max 130:10	<b>meets</b> 98:5	midmorning 64:18	194.19,25 195.4,7
149:1 207:22	maximum 116:15	<b>Mel</b> 61:6	midst 301:13	195.25,25 190.15
299:9	116:22 217:22,22		mighty 218:12	217:19 219:19
<b>Margrav's</b> 128:8	mean 85:6 104:4	<b>memory</b> 126:6 309:3	241:15	221:20 222:10
144:25 147:11	116:19 117:6	<b>mental</b> 100:11	<b>million</b> 138:16	223:24 224:8
Marie 61:3 215:22	120:20 125:3	<b>mention</b> 65:7	165:22,24 166:22	225:9,14 226:9
247:5	131:5 141:22	220:17 253:3	189:19	251:6,23 260:10
mark 309:16	143:14,21,22	301:13	<b>millions</b> 86:10	260:15,18,22
marked 66:16 74:1	148:19 164:20	mentioned 109:12	Milt 68:10	263:14,14,18,21
79:10 173:24	166:6 167:4	112:24 128:11	mind 116:12	287:25 288:8,15
190:24 209:15	168:23 179:13	152:14 161:5	156:16 166:18	288:17,22 289:13
211:11 246:15	181:10,13 190:2	207:16 222:5	170:24 237:15	290:1,3
market 69:12 70:19	196:2 202:14	231:21 241:14	250:18 278:21	modality 141:2
71:8,23 75:15,16	214:6,19 217:20	243:7 257:22	mindful 66:21	<b>model</b> 135:18
76:19 77:10	221:22 224:24	mentioning 67:7,14	121:22 187:13	305:18
107:19 122:7,11	239:24 241:8	mentions 226:1	<b>minimize</b> 225:4	<b>modem</b> 147:7
158:19,23 164:3	285:13 290:16	<b>merely</b> 105:3	<b>minimum</b> 217:22	<b>modern</b> 226:9
166:8,12 167:1	293:22 294:3,14	merge 165:7 167:21	217:23 222:6	modification 249:9
189:10 192:12	299:16 305:13	merger 166:25	minority 172:15	260:1
195:5 261:17	313:5	mergers 165:7,10	<b>minus</b> 245:7	modify 157:22
262:5,8,13,18,22	meaning 117:8	165:15 230:15	<b>minute</b> 130:7	276:11
263:10 302:9,14	meaningful 226:23	message 132:7	<b>minutes</b> 64:13 68:1	<b>moment</b> 68:4
302:17 303:9	278:17 279:14	<b>met</b> 74:22	72:20 73:2 82:13	101:23 109:12
marketing 305:21	meaningfully	<b>meter</b> 163:13	114:19 130:5,10	140:13 150:20
marketplace	278:10	method 93:15	167:19 169:2	152:3
172:12	means 119:6	177:8 199:11,12	245:8 248:6	<b>money</b> 84:6,23
markets 72:6 76:4	120:22 211:22	248:22	276:17 277:5,8	86:22 138:21
139:7 303:11,11	214:5 259:4	methodological	Miriam 61:10	139:19 161:21
matches 242:24	292:17 313:23	217:24	misheard 140:19	197:25 207:25
material 134:19	meant 128:12	methods 199:14	missed 239:22,25	250:7 293:24
materiality 298:2	278:4	<b>metric</b> 125:16	270:25 309:18	296:18 301:16
math 100:11	measure 172:23	metro 186:18	313:10	monies 181:19
120:18 121:14,19	194:25 195:2,23	<b>mic</b> 68:21,23	missing 247:20	monopoly 84:18
131:21 155:7	286:8	250:19	<b>Missouri</b> 146:23	158:24 165:20
171:11 202:21	measurement	Michael 63:5	misstep 155:12	166:10 167:24
203:4 251:21,25	117:3 129:25	Michigan 279:8,9	mistake 155:6	168:1,1
matter 63:7,11 67:5	measures 181:7	279:10,12	301:10	month 91:9,9
77:18 84:14	284:8	microphone 78:20	<b>mitigate</b> 226:20	180:14 186:4
102:24 104:2	mechanism 179:17	144:21	mitigates 225:21	268:23 314:5
106:7 173:16	185:8 225:21	microphones 64:22	<b>mobile</b> 112:25	monthly 131:23
178:2 220:25	<b>Mederios</b> 191:1,1,3	82:15	113:1,7,12,14	155:7 174:21
			,·, <b>,</b>	

				1 490 010
182:21	muster 97:9 127:2	240:6 257:1	300:10,12,16,16	205:5,11 206:6
months 107:25	142:23	303:15	300:18 305:8	207:18 225:25
108:16 109:15	muted 64:22,24	necessity 87:2	networks 88:13	226:1 229:19
131:17 132:7	mutually 134:5	103:24 165:25	92:13,17 190:11	232:21 241:5
140:24 156:4,10	myriad 115:18	213:8,17	258:21 259:2	255:11,20 265:21
157:1 204:7		need 67:23 78:17	282:7 300:21	277:25 291:6,8
months' 155:16	<u> </u>	81:8 85:13,14	301:6,20	noticed 173:14
<b>moot</b> 217:3	<b>N</b> 60:1	89:1 92:13 98:1	neuter 276:11	notices 129:12
morning 63:22	name 63:5 73:21	99:6 134:22	never 91:20 125:15	notification 132:13
64:1,6 68:19 70:1	79:6,7,8 169:24	149:10 153:15	149:2 156:9	206:18 208:8
70:5,10 73:18,20	169:24 170:1	160:18,22 163:11	161:14 206:11	227:18 228:18
74:22 79:5 82:21	188:12,12,16,17	192:13 233:4	new 75:25 92:25	notifications
82:22 89:6 138:1	246:9,9,11,12	266:21 278:20	121:16 138:21,24	227:21 228:14
169:23	248:12	307:17 311:16	161:9,10 300:25	notified 162:5,22
motivation 298:22	Naples 279:23	312:2,8,11,21	311:16	notion 285:19
move 65:16,21	narrative 227:1	needed 167:18	newer 304:22	November 212:7
68:11 86:13 89:2	<b>national</b> 117:25	179:25 299:4	nice 170:16	NTIA 236:5,7
89:9 91:6,9,11	300:12	needs 71:23 72:8	<b>non</b> 116:6	number 81:16
134:12 169:1	nationally 299:24	84:18 161:23	nonmetropolitan	91:15 92:3 96:16
186:13 187:4,14	300:10	170:23 172:1	252:4 261:7	96:16,24 99:17,23
203:23 245:15	nationwide 257:21	181:5 207:23	nonpayment 163:9	100:5,14,16,16
256:2 265:14	naturally 279:20	217:6 229:25	nonregulated	102:14,18 103:4
272:25 273:3	nature 69:11 90:16	234:14 261:10	151:10	110:7 115:5
283:24 284:1	148:1 152:22	267:3 306:10	nonresponsive	116:15 117:2,8,12
306:16 311:7	158:7 163:10	negative 291:16	99:17	119:14,23 120:5
313:4,11,12	172:24	negotiate 146:5	nontrivial 252:2,8	122:7 125:5,22
moved 271:23	navigation 226:4	197:17	nonurban 261:18	126:1,3,4,9,13,14
285:6 303:1	navigator 232:6,9	negotiated 89:17	262:6,20,21,23	127:6 132:10,13
304:10 313:18	232:11	95:10 192:8	263:8	134:23 136:6
moves 86:25 87:23	navigators 226:5	199:23 201:23	<b>normal</b> 208:4	145:20 148:8
151:5 289:18	near 134:25 283:2	negotiation 69:5	237:13	150:22 152:14
moving 66:22	295:7	201:1 222:13	Normally 66:16	165:17,19 168:5
86:12 141:21	<b>nearly</b> 216:1	negotiations	NORTHWEST	170:25 171:5
153:14 311:3	necessarily 159:25	110:18	59:6	173:14,21 174:2
314:21,23	179:14 193:18	neighborhood	note 66:14 168:10	176:9 178:9 180:3
multiparty 81:14	206:13 219:1	126:16 175:11	171:8 179:15	180:18,20,21,22
98:16 127:16	220:23 221:4	302:22	183:20 245:6	183:6 189:20
130:19 132:5	226:6 233:18	neighbors' 147:10	296:5 308:24	195:24 200:23
134:8 142:14	236:6 259:23	<b>Neiri</b> 61:6	noted 67:25 105:1	201:4 210:4 214:9
145:19 149:13	285:13,24 297:4	neither 85:19	227:17	222:2,5,8 243:8
161:1 164:11	304:12	198:25 200:25	notes 282:25	252:2 253:1,8
<b>multiple</b> 69:13	necessary 64:19	295:13	notice 94:13,17	258:23 271:11
105:12 108:4	67:15 70:25 72:5	<b>network</b> 76:10 92:9	97:20 98:17	279:5 281:5
135:22 218:14	77:12 182:1	106:25 147:2	128:19 145:12	287:21 288:4,15
269:24 274:20	192:14 198:17	179:7 181:2	174:1 179:2 183:5	288:18 289:17,21
275:3	199:15 204:18	191:14 193:3	203:24 204:19	291:20,23 295:9

[				6
296:18 297:1,2,6	210:11,13,14,15	178:3 214:15	103:10 107:15	273:5,17 274:1
297:7 304:24	221:7 222:21	301:22 303:4	109:2,7 112:6	275:15 276:3,6,14
309:19	224:19 226:25	311:16,24 312:23	114:1 116:5 119:8	277:1,16,16
<b>numbering</b> 81:7,9	237:1 246:6,8,14	occasion 99:24	122:17 123:23	278:21 279:24
81:19	246:18,23 247:12	167:17	128:14 133:23,25	280:18 281:3
<b>numbers</b> 67:6,7	247:15,18,24	occur 87:1,1 106:14	134:25 135:25	282:14,18 284:6
75:4,5,8 91:16	248:3 261:20	107:24 136:5	136:9,14,17,21	284:14,19 285:17
99:2,19 119:21	276:19 277:1	occurred 151:23	137:15 138:19	286:16 290:7
120:8,24 122:14	280:10 297:11,14	167:20	139:2,9,18,24	292:25 294:8
152:16 157:12,13	300:5 303:19	occurring 253:24	140:6,14,16,18	295:20 296:2
171:2 175:11	308:10,12 309:5	254:2	142:16 148:21	303:3,19 305:11
176:12 177:15,15	309:18 313:3	occurs 94:24 161:2	149:25 150:5,18	314:25
177:18,18 178:7	<b>O'Neill's</b> 155:15	161:18 204:14	151:19 153:3,14	old 71:25 124:6
178:18 186:4	241:15	offer 145:10 147:19	154:9 155:10	143:9 178:24
216:9 234:7 265:3	object 94:19 107:2	222:15 236:3	157:7,18 158:11	289:1 300:13,14
284:3 288:7	115:7 133:2	offered 105:3	159:17,22 160:10	older 92:16 122:24
numerous 77:2	226:25 261:20,24	231:24	162:19 164:25	122:24 123:3
105:1,1 140:25	280:10 299:9	offering 72:9 235:3	165:5 172:10	146:20 148:24
Nyanwapolu-Fell	308:1	235:14	176:11 177:23	149:8 171:15,16
61:9	objected 271:16	offerings 173:3	178:21 180:13	171:20,22
	objection 66:8	271:13,13,19,19	182:12 183:8	<b>Olympia</b> 60:17
0	105:19 133:5	271:22	184:4 186:19	omitting 153:19
<b>O'NEIL</b> 300:1	184:18 209:8	offers 267:22	192:24 194:12	on-line 65:1,7
<b>O'Neill</b> 60:10 62:3	210:7 227:5	office 60:10,16 92:7	196:8 200:17	67:20
62:4,8,11,15 64:6	238:10 265:24	140:16,17,18	201:4 210:14	once 69:15 94:25
64:7 66:7 67:3,14	314:7	149:17 217:11,11	211:11 215:19	126:24 132:7
67:23 70:10,11,16	objections 169:7	231:24 232:13,19	222:18 227:3	154:19 161:15
72:14,23 74:21,23	279:9 299:11	233:2 234:12	228:8,12,16,18,21	226:17 275:3
75:3,7,11,12	308:22	236:12 243:5,13	232:16,24 233:1	<b>one-fourth</b> 100:5
77:24 82:18,20,22	<b>objective</b> 284:7,22	244:6 280:8 287:7	233:22 235:21	100:10
101:7,13,15,21,25	286:10 294:1	<b>Office's</b> 140:10	237:18 238:7,18	one-half 100:6
102:5,12,16,19	obligation 159:7	226:3	238:22 239:2,6	one-year 256:14
103:3,5,8,11	161:3 242:12	officially 72:1	240:22 241:15	258:7
105:21 118:9	243:12	offline 279:10	244:21 247:18	ones 114:20 117:4
119:15,18 121:5	obligations 160:21	<b>oh</b> 101:4 118:12	249:16 250:21	158:14 216:23
121:23 122:1	231:11 242:17,20	144:19 314:16	251:14,17,21	265:13 290:5
123:18 124:15	242:20	Okanogan 211:16	252:15,17 253:3,5	305:6
130:4,9,12 132:17	observe 65:6	211:16 212:2	253:12 254:13,25	onesie 275:18
133:3,19 134:1,10	observed 273:23	213:8 215:14	256:17 258:3	open 207:2,15
135:1,9 136:17,23	observing 64:23	okay 68:20 79:16	259:1,8,14 260:2	208:2,6,11 209:1
137:3 151:4 153:5	<b>obtain</b> 176:2	80:2,4 81:2,5,12	261:5,11 263:6	209:20 215:17
158:13 168:21,22	256:14 257:3	81:23 82:3,6 84:1	266:3 267:5,24	237:4 238:16
168:24 170:15,17	258:7	84:16 86:3 88:12	268:11,12,13,17	280:2
174:8,10,13,16	obtained 85:24	89:15 91:7 94:6	268:20 269:8,11	open-ended 184:19
182:5,20 183:8 189:4,7,9 210:8	obtaining 195:8	100:15,22 101:3	269:22 270:3	<b>opening</b> 64:12
107.4,7,7 210.0	<b>obviously</b> 125:16	101:13 102:9,22	271:5 272:14,17	67:25 68:1,11,17
		•		•

68:25 69:20 70:14	313:13 314:1,5,24	<u></u> Р	195:22 252:22	155:12,17 166:14
70:15,16 92:4	orders 172:13	$\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}60:1,1}$	280:21 287:20	166:14 173:12
191:2 310:19	194:17 311:19	<b>p.m</b> 187:20,22	309:23	179:4 186:7 212:6
311:3 312:10	organization 91:13	245:17 315:4	paid 99:20 175:19	264:22 265:2
operate 166:5	orient 300:6	<b>P.O</b> 60:17	198:2,2 294:24,25	307:24 311:21
214:5 215:14	original 103:7,8	package 293:4	painstaking 219:17	particularly 71:16
270:4 274:9	107:20 108:7	packaged 283:17	paint 190:22	254:7 272:3 302:4
294:15 300:21	122:8 170:19	packet 115:23	<b>pair</b> 131:7	parties 64:21 65:14
operates 99:9	193:1,7,11 201:4	206:22 207:8	pancake 312:14	65:24,24 68:12
operating 230:22	247:1 251:3	218:22	pancaking 313:18	69:9 107:21 133:1
295:12	280:15 285:5	page 62:2,21 75:1,4	panic 149:2	165:2 169:4
operation 297:25	originally 72:21	75:5,7,13,21,22	paragraph 210:6	187:12 238:1,11
306:20	169:2	79:24 81:2,15,18	212:1 283:3	245:10 287:4
operations 254:3	<b>Orting</b> 191:8,10	81:21,24 83:2,5,6	paralegal 104:24	295:12,13 308:23
281:20	ought 181:23 293:4	84:16,17 86:3,8	105:12	309:22 310:13
opined 107:6	outage 130:24	89:19,22,22 99:6	parallel 259:7	311:5 315:2
opinion 71:18	131:22 132:8	102:13,24,25	278:17	parties' 64:14
179:10 261:23	outages 130:16	102:13,24,23	parameters 128:3	65:14
294:12	outcome 278:16	104:7,19 112:6,17	142:13	parts 76:25 217:17
opportunity 69:1,2	279:15	115:10 119:11,14	paraphrase 159:21	231:15
69:16,18 94:7	outline 205:13	119:15,18 120:1,3	pardon 96:7	party 64:13 65:6
95:14 160:13	outlined 183:5	121:11 135:6,12	parens 247:21	68:2 85:19 90:8
161:12,16 181:1	outside 142:20	144:8,12,17,18	parenthesis 247:20	147:3,8 253:12
181:25 237:23	202:9 211:24	159:19 170:25	<b>part</b> 67:18 76:12,12	pass 97:9 127:2
270:14 278:22,22	outweigh 86:21	171:1 172:11,21	88:9 95:11 96:25	142:23
278:25 290:11	overall 178:9 202:4	174:19 178:14	106:5 133:3	passing 108:19
<b>oppose</b> 302:20	203:16 218:10	194:21 195:22	141:24 142:6	path 179:8
307:21	222:2 225:5	210:4,17 215:9,24	149:15 157:6	pattern 178:1
opposed 143:19,25	226:10 231:17	216:7 227:20	159:12 189:23,24	<b>Pause</b> 78:18
167:12 275:5	overbuilding	228:5,7 237:7,9	201:1 205:8	pay 155:17 175:21
<b>option</b> 67:23 89:1,9	235:23	241:20,20 246:24	211:18 214:11	191:20 256:21
101:16 224:20	overbuilt 92:10	247:8,13,19,22,25	223:11 242:12,14	paying 91:8 124:6
235:19 291:12	overlap 112:1	250:17,22,23,25	243:11 244:5	293:24 294:2
<b>options</b> 151:21	194:3 234:16	252:21 258:12	245:16 255:8	301:1,3
192:20 213:23,24	overlook 69:23	266:7,18 269:21	261:11 293:4	payment 163:12
283:15 305:7	overseeing 242:4	271:3 272:9	295:14 300:2	payments 179:23
<b>oral</b> 314:20	oversight 70:24	277:15 280:14,21	302:14 311:12	<b>PC</b> 181:25 183:22
order 64:15 72:18	87:19 89:19,24	282:14,17,25	participate 223:18	185:10 279:13
77:6 81:7 89:24	107:1 109:6	283:1,2,4 285:17	235:8,9 278:10,23	<b>PC-7</b> 191:2,4
97:8 115:23 135:2	167:22 182:1	287:23 288:13	participation 278:4	<b>PD3</b> 247:20
169:6,8 175:22	203:7 222:24	292:6 293:9 295:7	278:20 279:14	<b>PDF</b> 75:6 103:6
177:7 183:5	223:2 302:2	295:19,21 296:1	particular 87:15	194:22 280:22
198:20 199:9	overstated 141:13	297:5 298:10	104:2 107:16	<b>penalties</b> 154:13,15
206:2 273:12	overwhelming	307:12	113:20 121:10	181:14 183:24
289:1,7 293:1	105:4 255:9	pages 59:12 81:3	136:5 148:7	239:4,9,12,18,20
310:2,11 311:25	owe 155:8	_ ~	153:20 154:7	240:5 294:24
	1	I	1	I

[				1
295:2 310:4	periods 91:14	physical 178:23,24	188:3,9,12,15	277:18 280:24
penalty 102:9	215:15	pick 187:8	193:5 196:25	portions 151:14
- ·	peripherally	picked 113:4,15	210:13 221:11	<b>position</b> 147:12
161:21 179:17	208:18	picture 190:22	227:11 233:8	203:3 261:16,24
	permissing 240:3	267:17 292:23	246:1,6,9 266:23	262:4 273:8,11
	permission 87:19	<b>piece</b> 272:8	277:11 309:23	274:10,14 279:25
	permit 87:11	<b>Pierce</b> 191:8	310:8	307:14,15,25
	permitted 265:8	<b>PJG</b> 79:10,11 80:1	<b>pleasure</b> 248:14	310:17
	persistent 172:14	80:14,16 81:24	pledge 89:24	<b>possibilities</b> 259:21
	person 67:19 94:4,5	82:24,25 100:25	plenty 296:9	possibility 114:4
130:23 142:18	115:17 146:10	118:5,6,8 119:8	311:24	142:15 151:18
143:23 148:23	150:12 212:21	135:6 136:9	<b>plus</b> 240:1	194:9 297:19
143.23 148.23 160:17 171:14,15	290:10 299:9	<b>place</b> 76:23 92:21	pius 240.1 pocket 249:20	<b>possible</b> 66:25,25
-	personal 95:1	108:17 127:16	pockets 167:3	106:19 126:11
192:21 202:14	128:12 145:19		-	127:5 132:22
		158:19,23,25	point 68:3 115:13	
	personally 149:22	214:13 225:22	141:6 145:13	151:16 212:21
	personnel 85:1	231:10 233:12	162:24 168:23	214:14,18,21,22
	perspective 139:20	258:20 301:6	173:17 179:7	274:19,23 286:5
	persuasive 184:20	placed 135:15,16	183:11 193:5	possibly 85:18
people's 148:12	218:22	places 159:7 260:17	204:17 217:3,4	110:10 152:21
<b>-</b>	pertains 268:5	placing 116:12	223:9 227:1,1	162:21 163:4
,	Peter 62:4 72:22	253:16	229:3,23,24 230:9	262:12
136:25 137:7,11	78:14 79:7 199:4	plan 139:22 145:25	235:2,15 257:14	<b>post</b> 165:21
	petition 63:12,15	146:11,12 168:22	272:18 273:4	post-hearing
171:10 216:4,13	107:21,25 108:23	187:5 230:10	286:7 288:24	309:21,23 311:6
216:14 251:11,15	109:3 122:8	planning 89:13	289:15 295:13	313:11 314:10,22
251:18,22 252:1,4	198:18,21 206:23	134:22 135:21	297:16 301:14	postcard 232:18,18
252:8 261:6,9,11	207:11,11,16,17	151:13 189:16	pointing 178:19	potential 92:9,14
261:12,18 262:6	225:15 249:3	<b>plans</b> 64:9 77:5	points 71:19 114:11	93:17 96:15
262:20,23 263:8	257:6 298:22	91:17,22 145:10	<b>police</b> 71:20 216:22	127:10,18 152:9
263:10	305:25 311:13	214:13 304:17	<b>policy</b> 284:20	290:18 291:1
. 0	petitioned 223:8	plants 178:23 179:5	policymakers 244:4	297:17
	<b>PG</b> 100:24	<b>play</b> 123:18	<b>polygons</b> 114:12,20	potentially 86:2
	<b>phase</b> 209:10	playback 124:14	<b>pop</b> 209:21	90:17 91:25
. 0	<b>phone</b> 71:15 72:4	played 123:23	<b>Pop's</b> 138:25	106:18 107:7
<b>Perfect</b> 148:15	76:20 93:23 94:1	<b>playing</b> 107:9	populate 114:12	135:3 151:7
perfectly 270:8	94:3 96:21 102:10	123:25 284:13	population 123:9	160:12 225:18
performance	112:20,24 124:7	please 65:7 73:10	148:17 149:7,20	249:21 305:6
103:23 209:4	124:18 129:19,25	73:16 75:21 78:19	166:20,21 170:21	power 84:18 167:1
performed 119:19	146:8,10 149:2	79:2,6 80:14 93:7	171:13	210:20,24 211:8
performing 96:20	215:3	105:22 121:22	populations 72:3	212:10 214:4,5,12
-	<b>phones</b> 251:12	124:11 125:8	171:25 172:5	214:16,21 215:1,2
95:12 131:15	phonetic 76:8	128:24 132:9	180:10	215:4,13,14
138:13,17 164:2	124:1 208:13	137:24 169:14,20	portion 67:17	233:14,17 240:24
178:13 179:19	250:6 308:16	169:24 170:13	148:5,22 154:9	241:6 261:18
219:9 276:21	phrasing 176:20	177:18 182:11	172:18,19 261:1	262:5,8,13,18,22

r				0
263:10	115:3 180:19	<b>privy</b> 91:12	127:25 128:5	301:14 305:22
<b>powers</b> 97:24 185:9	201:18 204:13	probably 95:4	135:3,19 140:8	306:5
practices 291:16	283:18 287:4	110:3,5 114:19	141:15 142:24	processes 135:23
<b>Prairie</b> 191:8,11	presenting 266:24	164:15 177:5	143:4,14 144:3	208:8 223:1 224:2
pre-filed 62:22	preserves 83:11	185:20 217:3	148:6 151:20	procure 241:5,7
64:10 65:25 66:11	presiding 63:7	218:23 228:16	153:6,8,9,12,17	produce 292:14
68:13 188:10	pressure 164:6	259:5 270:9 276:9	159:14 162:6,8	produced 200:7
precise 90:3 96:23	186:18	280:4 282:3	183:20 192:15,18	product 69:4,6
112:5 115:11	<b>pressures</b> 76:24	problem 120:2	198:19,19 199:16	176:22 238:6
283:1	186:8	190:18 272:12	199:19,23 200:15	productive 110:20
precisely 110:1	presumably 94:1	275:3	201:1,18,23 202:2	110:25
134:22	94:19 152:10	problems 178:1	202:3,4 203:9,15	program 118:1
preclude 154:24	234:24	179:23 275:1	202:3,4 203:9,13	136:10 138:14,14
precludes 294:8	<b>presume</b> 89:13 93:5	296:7,10	204:10,14,18,21	139:6,21 150:2,5
precluding 259:16	presume 09:15 95:5	procedural 95:4	204:22 205:4,13	223:19 226:4
preclusion 155:1	130:14 141:17	procedures 140:11	206:10,13,20,21	228:10 236:2
310:8,9	148:2 166:23	proceed 74:19	207:5,8 208:4,9	242:7 243:8
preclusive 184:5,12	167:13 173:16	82:18 98:20	208:11,12,15,16	286:22 287:7
184:13	283:23	130:11 137:24	213:23 214:12	295:10
precursor 237:16	prevents 190:4	154:7 170:13	216:23 217:1,7,8	programming
predicted 80:13	240:4	189:5 210:13	217:10,18,21,25	149:17
prefer 133:4 176:22	previous 194:17	227:11 245:5	218:2,5 219:4,5	programs 92:3
preference 123:3	273:19	248:6 276:18	219:16 220:5,15	139:18 140:2
171:23 252:13	previously 152:20	278:17	220:19,20,21	173:4 189:20
275:5	213:21 214:10	proceeding 70:21	221:3 222:8	190:14
preferences 137:13	222:5 225:8 233:9	71:24 74:23 102:9	223:10,12,17	prohibitive 100:4,7
171:20	price 71:19 87:14	102:23 103:20	224:5 225:6,8,11	100:14
preferred 104:11	97:1 99:12 142:14	105:17 110:4,5,9	225:21 229:6,13	project 87:6,15
preferring 132:25	150:1,6,11 175:19	134:2 151:24	229:25 235:2,6	88:24 234:12
premise 92:24	176:3,13 182:18	165:3 170:4 177:7	236:1 237:5,11,13	promote 189:21
123:6,15 171:19	198:2,3 200:17,19	199:1 203:13	237:15 238:3,17	prompt 214:17
283:14 285:1	217:23	209:10 221:6	242:5 243:5,17	propagation 71:17
premises 264:1	prices 75:23 176:6	245:9 252:10	255:8 256:25	77:21 305:18
prepare 115:23	182:21,22 183:2	309:8 312:2	258:11,22 264:10	proper 141:17
246:14	186:6,6,13,13	proceedings 69:14	264:13 267:10,12	properly 128:1
prepared 67:16	pricing 66:18 100:1	78:18	269:24 270:8	property 285:7
70:17 74:6 79:16	164:3	process 69:5 71:6	277:19 278:5,11	proportion 216:3
80:21 98:12 174:4	primarily 139:7	72:9 88:22 89:16	278:15,18 279:14	proposal 70:22
present 61:1 65:20	170:22 171:6	91:2 95:7,22,23	282:20 283:7	126:5
67:17 100:13	primary 83:21	96:3,4,10,22,24	285:5,18 286:14	proposed 116:24
102:14 168:16	127:22 230:19	97:3 107:8,16	286:18,24 287:7	215:10 237:20,20
292:22	305:5 313:15	108:20 109:9,13	287:11 289:19,23	249:8 256:8,17
presentation 64:15	principle 67:9	110:13,15 111:2,9	290:7,15,16,19,22	269:23 273:19
72:19 123:21	<b>prior</b> 108:11	111:15,20 112:4	292:1,4,20 293:3	274:3 276:10
311:20	113:21 144:15	114:8 115:6	294:15,16 298:8	298:11
presented 69:15	309:8 310:10	126:23 127:3,20	298:23 299:5	proposing 266:14
-	I			

				1 490 0 10
proposition 160:4	236:11,11 254:9	94:15,18,23 95:19	197:20 198:1	qualify 226:2
protect 126:21	254:11,16 260:3	97:21 98:2,7,18	269:9	qualities 179:10
192:19 303:16	272:25 273:2	98:19 104:10	purchased 195:17	quality 152:2
protected 125:24	274:16 275:11	106:20 107:17	purchases 269:7	154:25 155:3
126:5 192:21	provider's 225:24	110:8,23 128:10	purchasing 165:11	167:10 177:12
203:12 225:16,16	302:25	129:14,14 146:23	231:9	178:1 179:18
protecting 133:21	providers 143:12	156:3 159:13,18	purely 195:18	180:15 183:19
134:3 203:16	143:16,17 161:9	161:10 170:13,18	purple 196:23	184:16 215:20
<b>protection</b> 83:11,17	161:10 173:1	174:1 181:4	212:13	216:3 232:4
127:14,23 192:22	195:7,8 197:7	183:17 185:14,17	purple-shaded	239:14,17 272:12
205:9,10,23 216:1	219:13 229:8	190:25 200:2,16	124:18	272:18 273:19,25
237:23 238:3	234:13,22 242:17	203:24 204:8,11	purports 276:10	274:5,6,9 275:1,2
protections 105:15	255:10,17 274:12	204:15 205:7	purpose 76:11	275:13 293:10,19
prove 85:12	274:18	206:2 207:13	112:13 192:7	294:10,15,19,22
proven 71:23	providers' 229:17	208:24 209:2	287:17	294:25 295:4
provide 70:15,20	provides 179:24	211:12 222:22	purposes 173:18	296:7,10,14 297:2
75:15 88:2 91:5	185:13 290:7	237:22 238:4	273:7 306:19	308:5
107:11 127:17	308:4	244:14 245:20	<b>Pursuant</b> 59:7	qualms 224:21
130:1,15 138:6	providing 63:18	247:4,6 248:16,22	<b>pursue</b> 143:1	quantifiable 307:17
145:11,17 146:12	127:9 163:25	249:16 253:12	185:10 257:12	quantification 84:5
146:17,21 147:15	183:12 227:17	254:6 261:16,22	261:14	96:23
156:1,6 173:2	241:6 267:15	261:24 264:3	pursued 258:9	quantified 84:1,25
179:21 181:3,21	295:22	265:21 270:12	pursuing 253:25	125:22 131:11
181:22,25 183:21	<b>provision</b> 76:14	278:2,9,25 280:2	purview 274:1	<b>quantify</b> 109:7
189:11 193:23	85:8,15,25 130:13	280:9 283:20	push 92:8 161:22	110:1 125:2 295:9
200:7,14 227:20	131:13 154:10,22	284:20 286:11,16	187:15	quantitative 130:2
228:9 229:18	157:20,22 165:14	287:18 292:14,17	<b>pushing</b> 313:23	quantity 310:7
230:1,2 233:20	184:14 213:16,18	293:13,22 294:4	put 76:23 109:8	quantum 250:2
242:9,13 243:21	214:7 230:18	294:21 295:1,22	115:21 116:5	271:6 272:2
255:11,20 277:25	239:3,6 253:10,23	300:18 303:14	127:22 135:11	quarter 107:14
293:7 303:15	294:7 302:24	306:4,23 307:14	143:14 144:2	quarterly 132:6
311:4	307:15,19,22	307:15,21,25	151:7 173:20	228:10
provided 100:1,2	308:2 309:24	308:11,13,25	201:19,21 207:10	question 70:21 71:9
112:9 114:22	310:2	309:1,6,16 313:3	229:15 253:22	72:22 85:9 90:24
116:8 117:25	provisions 76:7	publicly 113:15	258:22 259:20	92:19 100:8 104:4
120:8,10 147:9	81:16 83:24	286:7	265:21	105:20 106:5,10
154:1 180:21	157:21 165:5	<b>publish</b> 197:10	puts 225:22	107:3 112:5 115:9
193:3 203:14	239:7 276:12	<b>PUD</b> 211:22	putting 114:16	116:25 117:5
218:7 228:14	277:24 289:7	<b>pull</b> 115:2 160:15	151:9,11,17	121:6,7 125:7
233:1 263:16	<b>PSE</b> 313:7	301:10 308:15	220:17,19 228:25	134:10,13 144:4
267:9,13 268:2	<b>public</b> 60:9 64:5,7	<b>pulled</b> 114:10		151:4,7,19 152:25
271:8 285:7 288:1	66:6,7 67:3 69:7	211:14	Q	153:10,16 157:18
293:8 296:5	69:10 70:8,11,14	<b>pulling</b> 204:3	qualifications	159:18,22 162:13
<b>provider</b> 161:9	70:18 71:3,12,13	209:19 215:23	236:4	162:14,14 166:4
165:20 166:11	72:10,11,19 74:17	purchase 100:12	qualified 98:12	175:6,17 176:8
197:3 230:25	74:19,24 82:12	137:18 167:9	131:12	177:4 180:5,12,17
			l	

184:9,9 190:6	quickly 131:8	175:15 303:16	109:12 110:4	222:12 249:13
200:9 207:6	169:1 208:2	rational 213:5	118:14 128:6	253:4,10 298:7
209:12 210:5,8	214:14,18	rationale 86:24	139:12 146:4	receive 98:3 101:23
211:3 213:2 215:2	quietly 210:16	rationally 212:23	153:5 164:8	175:22 205:11
215:3,18 217:7	quit 274:25	raw 216:8	166:18 177:16	206:5 235:12
220:6 224:18,21	quite 80:3 126:10	<b>RCW</b> 59:7 84:10	192:17 193:16	243:7,22 286:16
227:2,5,15 228:22	126:11 135:24	275:21	197:3 198:8,22	313:5
228:23 229:2	139:12 148:2	<b>RDOF</b> 138:9,14	203:8,9 217:19	received 88:23
230:14 234:1,2	150:21 151:24	139:6,16 140:5	218:2 219:6,18	191:19 208:24
238:22 239:2,4,8	173:25 175:20	234:21	222:1,6 225:6	216:1,12 233:11
240:8 241:13	180:4,17 266:12	<b>re-filed</b> 279:6	226:10 229:7,13	286:2,11
244:14 249:24	291:24	reach 76:21 93:21	230:20 231:15	receives 129:12
254:14 255:16,25	quote 99:5,7	95:14 139:2	256:20 265:20	218:1
258:3,19 261:24	172:14 256:14	147:21 203:22	267:25 282:10	receiving 127:14
262:1,20 263:7,25	295:22 296:3	260:10 285:9	291:15 295:16,17	205:9
263:25 265:1	quoted 197:25	295:15	297:22 298:4	recognize 83:8
266:21 272:8	198:3	reached 99:15	303:16 308:13	135:4 194:17
275:12 288:2	Qwest 59:3 109:2	128:25 152:18	312:17 314:13	recognized 69:13
290:20 293:16		191:15	<b>reason</b> 97:16 126:3	191:14
297:22,23 301:23	R	reaching 96:20	126:12 146:16	recognizes 69:11
308:19 312:10	<b>R</b> 60:1 316:1	138:20 139:10	154:18 165:12	recollection 222:19
questionable	radio 71:16 77:21	145:11 199:24	189:24 198:17	recommend 218:16
284:10	124:24	200:2	210:18,23 211:5,9	280:25 282:19
questioning 67:9	raise 73:10 78:20	read 75:16,25 77:8	216:17 251:9,13	292:2 294:18
236:25	91:21 141:6	83:12 84:20 86:16	251:14,16,17	recommendation
questions 65:10	169:14 188:3	101:9 102:3	254:4 256:23	292:7 301:24
67:4 72:17,24	246:1	103:18 104:13	279:24 284:5	302:3
77:24 78:6,7	raised 69:9 104:10	105:5 166:7	288:6,11 296:16	recommended
123:22 130:8,10	267:25 270:15	172:17 173:4	305:9 306:23	224:20 256:23
132:18 136:18	raises 244:14	184:10 185:12	reasonable 89:17	reconcile 220:16
137:21,23 150:19	raising 217:13	195:9 210:3,16,17	94:8 147:16	reconvene 133:7
150:22 153:4	ramifications 136:3	210:21,21 216:4	173:20 219:16	187:6,19
155:15 158:14	<b>ran</b> 232:12	258:18,24 275:23	259:21	record 63:3 68:3,7
163:6 164:17	random 134:16	277:22 289:6,6,9	reasonably 194:20	73:22 79:6 118:14
168:6,7,9,22,25	range 68:15 82:16	289:12	201:16 290:12,24	133:8,11 169:25
170:7,18 177:10	131:24 132:2	readily 172:17	reasons 201:2	187:18,19,21
182:6 184:5,19	rarely 106:14	213:22	203:17 253:16,19	188:13,16 241:24
185:23 186:22	253:21	reading 173:7	254:10,17 255:3	245:16,18 246:10
188:23 201:17	rate 99:24 131:23	ready 247:22	264:22 303:5	309:5 314:13,21
205:7 206:9 221:8	155:7 157:5	real 86:18 124:3	rebuild 279:23	315:3
227:7,11 236:17	174:21 201:15	226:14 282:13	recall 133:18,25	<b>recreate</b> 220:10
238:19 245:1	222:16 248:17,21	reality 105:4	134:13 135:12	recruitment 91:15
260:14 276:15,22	248:23 267:19	135:19 263:19	136:17 170:24	rectification 131:6
277:17 280:16	311:17	302:17	174:3 175:8,11	rectified 230:19
298:7 303:20,21	rates 157:24,25	<b>realize</b> 161:4	176:20 178:7	rectify 214:13
310:13 315:1	172:17 174:20	really 71:9 108:17	182:17 183:8	recurring 179:23
	I	I	I	I

				i ago o ir
275:17,18	regret 155:10	171:25 172:6	237:3 240:9	representative 65:6
redirect 62:5,9,11	Regrettable 106:11	relied 171:15	244:23 250:18	represents 69:6,8
62:15 78:2 132:19	regularity 86:25	193:14 196:8	307:3,7 308:6	83:7
132:23 133:13,16	regulated 167:23	<b>relief</b> 109:3	312:4 314:7	request 97:9
182:8,9,13 221:11	248:17	relies 170:21	<b>Rendahl's</b> 184:5	150:13,23 152:25
221:12 227:7	regulating 158:23	<b>relieved</b> 231:10	313:17	153:3 154:6
276:16,20 297:12	<b>regulation</b> 63:14,19	relitigate 84:18	<b>reorient</b> 136:24	241:10 250:4
297:13	72:7 75:14 81:17	rely 67:18 126:20	<b>repair</b> 136:4 160:21	271:15
reduced 105:5	133:21 134:3	171:5 192:12	214:17	requested 106:9
reduces 288:18	192:14 240:22	229:11,12	<b>repairs</b> 141:25	requesting 63:17
Reexamination	241:3 248:21,22	relying 140:20	repeal 276:11	195:6
62:7 163:22	249:2 274:9 299:7	200:10 220:14	repeat 85:10 88:15	requests 65:9 72:9
refer 81:8,14 84:5	299:15 302:11	225:12	93:7 105:22 190:6	125:22 301:2,4
99:6 100:15	303:14 311:18	<b>remain</b> 168:19	200:9 211:3	310:24 312:9,22
115:10 158:1	regulations 214:17	274:15 275:11	262:14	313:5
276:13 303:10	274:6,7	remainder 78:10	rephrase 125:8	require 77:14 90:20
reference 99:22	<b>regulator</b> 164:6	168:16 187:1	156:23 190:6	91:1 96:16 125:24
153:18,19 237:12	regulatory 83:10	245:2 304:9	207:3 253:5	198:14 199:3
238:23 271:1	83:16 104:25	<b>remaining</b> 187:7,10	261:25 278:7	214:5 218:21
296:4	105:11 111:24	245:7,15	replace 88:17 89:1	233:16 238:10
referenced 155:14	164:1 166:5 270:9	<b>remains</b> 170:21	89:2,6,7,9 106:22	243:21 256:13,21
237:6,17 274:5	<b>reiterate</b> 142:22	<b>remedies</b> 129:13	134:16 164:4	257:2 258:6
references 154:12	related 102:9	remedy 129:6	179:25 191:16	277:25 298:12
237:10,11 247:3,4	105:18 180:25	204:24	300:20	required 106:15
referencing 266:13	185:8,10 227:16	remember 148:13	replaced 106:9	116:4 139:2 173:3
referred 137:3	310:6	219:9 221:16,21	131:10 248:1	199:7 203:15
257:22	<b>relating</b> 307:16	222:23 224:10	replacement 86:11	215:13 233:4,12
referring 67:1 75:7	<b>relation</b> 159:20	248:23 276:4	92:20	242:19 255:4,6
76:15 90:23 118:4	relationship 158:5	283:2 300:7	replacing 134:12	291:2
122:10 212:6	<b>relative</b> 166:20	<b>remind</b> 64:21	157:8	requirement
215:16 228:25	relatively 173:13	184:19	replicate 76:10	199:18 202:13,17
237:4,6 259:9,11	286:19 289:25	reminder 177:22	<b>report</b> 137:7	202:18
285:19	306:17	<b>remote</b> 101:17	172:12 194:11	requirements 87:1
refers 102:14	Release 118:1	146:1	197:7 219:13	200:13,14
reflective 137:12	136:10	REMOTELY	234:23 236:11	requires 96:25
refrain 67:1	relevance 105:19	59:16	242:4,12,21	98:11 134:20
refund 293:23	304:4	<b>remove</b> 154:5,7	243:14,25 297:7	177:17 213:19
refunding 296:21	relevant 195:8	removes 83:10	reported 59:25	249:8 255:2 256:9
regard 80:5 106:12	304:12	<b>Rendahl</b> 62:6,12,16	196:19 197:3	269:24 275:25
186:5 257:16	reliability 71:15,18	68:10 103:5,6,10	198:24 219:11	292:13 309:25
279:21 293:8	reliable 70:20	119:13,17 121:5	242:15,16,18	requiring 215:10
regarding 122:8	97:16 108:19	150:21 151:2,15	reporter 121:20,23	291:3
292:23	189:11 212:24	157:16 158:11	314:11,18 316:8	requisite 75:16
regardless 164:14	236:5,7 260:19	161:19 162:3	reporting 194:12	resale 76:9
206:8 270:23	303:18	168:5 174:8,12	243:1,24	<b>Rescue</b> 139:22
regards 298:3	<b>reliance</b> 123:14	236:20,21,23,24	reports 194:8 297:8	research 241:11
				l

r				•
resellers 194:18	157:22 239:11	<b>reviews</b> 105:5,7	219:17 220:5,14	227:8 245:11
resembles 291:15	restriction 117:7	264:19	221:7,10 227:10	277:2,8,10 280:12
reservations 218:15	186:5 202:7 254:9	revolving 295:1	227:25 228:21	280:13 282:25
reserve 276:22,23	restrictions 260:4	<b>Richard</b> 211:13	232:14,16 233:2	283:5 297:9
reserved 65:8	restricts 154:22	right 65:11,23	232:11,10 255:2	<b>Roberts</b> 61:3
residence 124:8	result 180:15	66:10 67:13,24	236:16 241:9,16	<b>Robinson</b> 60:10
residential 158:9	202:24 207:14	68:6 69:22 70:13	241:21 244:21,25	64:7 70:11 74:23
175:9 238:25	252:13,14,17	72:13,18 73:3,7,9	245:13 246:1,23	158:13 170:17
279:18 290:23	274:2 278:19	73:10,15 74:18	253:19 256:10	174:8 182:20
296:23.24	results 174:21	78:6,13,21 79:1	257:8 259:10,13	183:8 222:20
resides 150:12	resuming 187:22	83:3 86:4 89:8	261:9 262:19	224:19 237:1
resolution 207:1,15	retail 273:18	92:22 99:5,23	263:5 266:20	241:15
resolve 179:25	retain 87:3 171:20	101:15 102:11	269:5 270:7	robo-call 94:4
311:24	171:23	103:4 104:20	274:22 280:12,23	151:22
resolved 85:7	retention 123:8	107:8 112:10,20	283:8 291:6,23	robust 70:22 76:19
218:24 312:3	retirement 300:10	113:24,24 115:14	292:3 293:3	93:15 126:23
resolves 299:18	retroactively	116:2,24 117:20	294:18 299:14	202:1,3,5 260:19
resolving 249:3	310:10	118:20 119:15	306:2,25 307:12	291:10 305:14
resort 159:7	return 248:17,21	121:15 123:18	314:14	role 223:25
resources 103:18	311:18	132:19,22 133:6	<b>right-hand</b> 75:5,8	roll 234:23
106:15 107:12	returned 132:1	133:10 134:11	<b>rights</b> 97:14,18,19	room 67:19 68:9
109:11 190:16	<b>returns</b> 294:1	137:22,25 140:19	98:1 162:22	78:15 270:19
204:12,18 244:12	reveals 120:6	144:22 145:22	<b>rigorous</b> 153:7,9	roughly 100:15
<b>respect</b> 90:9 104:4	<b>revenue</b> 191:24	149:5 150:19	<b>ring</b> 163:10	120:15 121:18
149:12,16 167:2	216:21	154:12 158:20	rise 221:5	122:6 175:9
193:13 254:21	revenues 103:18	159:11,17 160:8	risk 128:6 225:4	287:24 311:12
299:21	105:7,8,8	162:7 163:18	226:20 231:16	round 309:21
respond 150:15	reverberation	168:3,8,9,16	<b>river</b> 113:7,24	314:22
204:4 270:8 311:5	299:25	169:6,6,11,12,15	road 86:13,25	rounds 310:19
responding 310:22	reverse 293:18	169:20 170:12	87:23 89:9 91:6	312:8,11,13
responds 270:17	review 95:19	171:2 174:19	95:3 113:6,20	<b>routes</b> 259:7
response 95:15	125:19 131:16	176:13,19 177:2,5	-	routine 283:23
102:10 125:21	165:6,15 177:23	177:7,10 178:17	141:21 151:4	<b>RPR</b> 59:25 316:7
153:7 155:15	183:22 185:7	181:11 182:5	212:9 265:14	316:20
159:21 236:25	203:25 204:12	184:14 185:22	283:24 284:1	rule 203:15 215:10
241:12 247:2	208:15,16 214:11	186:23 188:3,8	306:16	233:12,15 237:6
250:15 251:3	220:9 230:6 264:6	189:4,25 190:19	<b>Roberson</b> 60:16	237:10 255:2
288:2 291:9,10	264:24 265:5,13	193:10 194:22	62:9,11,15 64:1,2	260:22 279:3
300:23 307:8	279:3 283:18	195:15,18 198:10	66:5 69:19 70:5,5	rules 140:10 159:10
310:19 311:6	287:4 302:5	200:12 201:9	133:5 169:23	168:11 183:19
responses 153:4	reviewed 116:6	202:22 204:2	170:3,6,10 182:9	184:16,16 214:16
responsibility	210:21 211:10	205:21 206:11	182:12,14 184:17	237:5 243:19
105:2	265:10,13 292:22	208:3 209:15	184:21 185:1,20	ruling 314:20
responsible 104:24	reviewing 217:6	213:18 214:6	188:11,15,19,22	<b>run</b> 278:14 311:16
205:20	224:1 264:5 276:4	215:1,5 216:15	189:2 209:8 210:7	rural 70:19,23,25
restrict 154:15,23	302:13	217:14 218:24	210:10 221:13	71:24 72:3,6 76:4
	l		Ι	

r				<u> </u>
76:21 77:1,6,7	199:6 207:4,17,18	<b>seat</b> 78:16	234:5,10,10,11	138:17 141:24
90:16,24 91:4	285:3 289:13	seats 103:24	252:24 253:7,16	142:3 143:3
123:5,9,12,13	296:12	Seattle 60:5,11	254:10,17 258:5	157:15 160:24
124:5 128:17	says 136:10 151:22	123:10 186:12	seeking 96:5 97:7	180:9
139:3,3,8 143:10	153:24 174:20	302:21	107:8 127:7 234:2	<b>served</b> 86:14
144:24 147:4	192:1 211:20	second 93:2 103:12	254:12 259:16	258:21 259:1
159:25 160:12	215:9 227:20	118:8 258:19	260:6 281:24	282:7 304:24
161:10,13 167:3	228:3,13 256:11	261:21 283:3	292:24 299:21	306:7,11
171:24 174:25	270:2	285:8	seeks 87:10 90:4	serves 126:6 197:21
186:14 189:12,14	<b>SB</b> 173:24 227:17	secondly 152:16	273:8 281:8	service 70:20 71:10
189:18,22,25	SB-1T 188:20,24	section 81:10,11,20	289:18 290:9	71:17 72:3 76:11
190:5,9 191:8	SB-2018 188:20	81:22,22 85:25	seen 123:1 161:14	76:20 77:3,7,22
211:17 212:2,21	SB-28T 188:25	111:14 134:16	211:2 248:24	83:12 86:20 87:4
213:8 214:3	SB-35X 190:24	185:4,7 205:17	274:23 279:5	87:13 89:18 90:4
215:13,14 216:4	SB-3X 209:16	208:17,20 218:12	291:9 302:12	90:7 91:18 97:16
231:1,8 241:23	SBX 215:21	237:8,9 264:12,18	305:20	112:21,24 113:8
254:7 260:10,13	scale 265:15	264:21 265:1	selecting 264:5	114:9 124:7,8,25
261:1 262:10	scaleable 300:17	267:11	selection 291:16	128:11 129:10
263:17,22 302:10	scenario 97:24	sections 81:25	self 71:19 216:22	131:3,5 138:10,25
303:8 304:16,21	126:25 128:16	see 64:25 65:1 73:7	287:1,14	139:11 141:16
304:22 305:4	135:17 136:1	91:15 102:6,16,20	sell 165:7	143:18 146:14,23
rural/urban 260:18	138:5 141:8	102:21 103:1,15	<b>sellers</b> 250:6	147:3 149:9 150:9
<b>Ryan</b> 61:2 308:16	154:16 175:24	114:8 117:18	send 101:25 157:2	150:10 151:24,25
308:20	207:15	119:12,19,22	205:5 225:25	152:2,3 154:9,25
	scenarios 130:22	120:4,15,17	229:19 232:17	155:3,16 156:2,7
S	148:1,21	123:22 136:2	291:6,8	156:11 157:11,24
<b>S</b> 60:1	schedule 95:4	144:15 148:1	sending 156:19,20	161:2 162:9 163:8
safe 71:6 218:20	313:6	149:21 161:6	senior 146:13	165:20 167:6,10
219:25	schedules 187:17	162:24 164:5,7,8	seniors 146:4	173:12 175:15,22
safeguards 91:2	scope 169:3 209:9	164:13 167:8	sense 92:17 108:17	176:2 177:12
127:15 219:6	220:12 280:25	168:25 169:12	110:7 159:23	178:1 179:10,16
safer 218:25	Scott 61:4	171:3 174:4,15,20		179:18,18 180:15
sake 100:10 155:7	screen 101:16,22	174:22 175:6	239:23 275:8	181:3 183:19
sale 76:9 165:8	102:1,17 123:21	177:19 181:22	303:4	184:16 185:10
281:19	174:6 209:24	186:11,16 191:7	sent 163:4	189:11,12,18
sat 109:15	screenshot 112:19	191:17 202:25	sentence 84:9 212:5	190:9 192:10,12
<b>satellite</b> 142:7 236:9,11	113:10 114:18	212:15,16 231:25	258:17 259:19	193:2,7,11 195:3
,	scroll 102:25	234:7 237:20	separate 67:15	195:3,13 206:25
<b>satisfied</b> 85:4,15 <b>save</b> 69:20 84:6	104:19	248:14 250:25	165:13 196:9,15	208:1 209:5
save 69:20 84:0 saved 84:2	<b>scrutiny</b> 96:17	257:1 265:22	234:9 302:23	210:19,25 211:7
saved 84:2 saw 126:1 231:22	166:5	266:17 276:12	separately 171:24	212:12 213:6,16
saw 120:1 231:22 saying 123:12	se 77:14	281:11 287:22	<b>September</b> 314:1,2	214:1,3,6,8,18,19
132:8 141:15	sea 208:12	292:1 312:8	314:24,24	214:24 215:20
132.8 141.15	seal 316:15	314:12,15	series 136:18 272:5	216:3 219:20
167:2,16 184:3	Sean 62:10 187:24	seeing 65:2 178:8	seriously 218:6	221:20,22 223:14
107.2,10 104.3	188:17	seek 95:24 115:13	serve 89:13 134:21	223:23 224:7

				8
225:9 226:11	303:15,18 305:7	132:6 134:8	66:2 67:12 68:19	172:13 181:16
227:18 229:21	305:22 306:23,24	145:19 149:14	68:24 70:1,1	194:7 252:3 261:6
230:10 231:18	308:5 310:1,1,7	154:10,11 159:6	73:18,21,25 74:3	<b>shut</b> 163:13
232:4 234:23	services 76:9,14,15	159:13,19 161:1	74:6,9,12,14,15	side 173:14 205:23
235:19,20 236:5,7	77:11,13 92:8,10	163:24 164:2,11	78:4 79:4,9,13,16	<b>signal</b> 129:25 215:4
237:14 239:7,8,14	92:15,25 108:16	175:13,23 183:11	79:19,22,25 80:2	260:19,21 261:4
241:17 242:9	129:17 137:14,16	184:6,8 185:4,11	80:5,9,13,18,21	284:8 285:6 286:1
244:9 249:11	137:19 138:23	185:13 188:24	80:24 81:2,5,9,12	286:6,8
252:12,12,18,19	139:11 141:2,10	192:8 198:14	81:23 82:3,6,10	<b>signed</b> 67:21
253:17,25 254:7	142:7 158:2	199:2 200:12,18	101:5,9,14,19	significant 75:23
254:12,18,20	161:12 172:15	201:1,24 202:2	105:12,19 106:19	76:3 84:19 130:14
255:10,13,21	173:1,2 175:2	204:3,8,24 213:15	106:20 107:2	152:1 170:20
257:20 258:22	182:18 190:4,23	217:16 218:17	115:7 132:21	171:5 175:20
259:3 260:6,16,24	193:3 194:19	220:2,9 223:2,21	133:14,17,22	178:4 180:22
261:1,17 262:5,6	213:10,11 214:2	224:20,24 225:5	137:20 248:7,9,12	190:10 215:15
262:9,12,17 263:1	223:13,20,24	227:16 228:2,4,13	250:20 262:2,3	223:4 257:21
263:2,11,15,18,19	225:10 226:4,9	228:17 230:16,17	276:14 277:18	296:7,13 301:22
263:22 264:25	231:19,22,24,25	231:16,17 237:7	281:3 310:14,14	304:19
265:8,15 266:9,16	232:20 234:8,25	237:19,19 238:1,6	311:11 312:16,22	significantly
267:1,7,14,21	235:14 239:18	238:8,12 249:7	313:14 314:3	155:25 157:8
268:2,14,15,22	242:7 249:17,21	250:15 251:4	shift 89:15 117:21	198:13 277:5
269:7,9,19 270:11	250:3,5,8 263:13	253:13,23 256:11	117:23 177:11	silent 283:25
271:8,24 272:12	267:19,20 269:25	258:10 259:22,25	208:23	<b>similar</b> 108:24
272:18 273:18,24	274:22 291:13	267:11 270:2	shifted 85:21	109:3 209:5 220:3
274:5,5,8,11,15	serving 138:9,20	273:7 276:13	<b>shoes</b> 143:15 144:2	250:3 278:12
274:17,18,20	139:19 148:18	277:12,22,24	<b>shop</b> 241:14 244:13	280:8
275:1,2,10,13,22	305:5	280:17 283:7,25	244:18	similarly 166:3
279:19,22 281:9	set 126:23 128:3	289:16,25 292:11	short 63:20 68:15	<b>simply</b> 97:14
281:13,17,24	149:20 176:22	292:13 295:4	69:23 70:16 73:1	106:10 147:8
282:6,21 283:10	186:7 223:1 226:8	298:8,11 299:12	82:16 104:23	148:6 212:24
283:16,21 284:2,5	235:22 267:10	299:14 306:1,4	124:4 132:22	simultaneous 311:5
284:7 285:2,8,21	293:2 316:14	310:12	268:8 299:6	single 166:13
287:19,25 288:16	settlement 62:22	settlements 142:14	shortage 105:17	175:10 274:20
288:22,22,23	63:18 66:12 69:3	seven 107:25	shortages 105:25	314:21
289:14,18 290:2	69:14 70:22 80:15	166:22	216:20	singular 159:8
290:10,12,25	80:18 81:15 82:24	shape 242:14	Shorthand 316:7	<b>sink</b> 149:3
292:9,24 293:10	83:7,22 84:3,7	<b>share</b> 101:16,22	<b>show</b> 71:22 145:6	sir 73:22 75:18
293:19,24 294:2,9	85:16,25 86:19	102:1 122:7,11	174:5 212:17	250:23 255:24
294:15,19,22,25	90:20 95:11,23	123:21 166:12,17	305:2	276:14
295:1,4,18 296:7	96:4 97:12,18	174:6 177:20	showed 215:9	sit 95:8,21 96:23
296:10,14,14,22	98:2,6,14,17	209:24 241:22	<b>showing</b> 65:14	103:25 124:16
296:23 297:1,4	105:15,24 112:7	276:1 313:17	206:24 264:15	147:23 157:5
298:13,15,24	115:24 116:1,22	sharing 94:14	<b>shown</b> 193:18	181:8
299:22 300:24	116:24 117:7,23	Sheer 67:10	269:25	sitting 110:12
301:9,10,12,17	118:2 126:5,21	<b>Sherr</b> 60:4 62:5,14	<b>shows</b> 136:25	144:20 161:18
302:5,6,18,25,25	127:16 130:14,20	63:22,23 65:19	141:10 171:19	286:3
	I	I	I	I

situated 166:3219:11 245:8speaking 64:22230:7 249:19276:17 278:1,9,21situation 99:1257:4138:22 192:17spill 140:5279:2 280:3 287:5144:6 156:17sorry 83:5 89:7272:7 285:3spite 213:17 218:15288:1 292:14,17181:18100:25 109:19310:21 314:14spliced 131:7293:1 295:8situations 132:3121:24 124:19speaks 239:8split 260:18308:14 309:11,14265:14133:8 140:1specialist 77:15,18spoke 221:18staff's 226:12six 140:24 161:7144:17,19 145:8specific 84:4 85:14spoke 207:21staffed 104:23165:24 166:21163:11 175:1687:18 90:18 91:14248:12218:8 299:6240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3sponsor 170:3staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Stacey 61:2staffs' 126:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:1664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15 </th
144:6 156:17 181:18sorry 83:5 89:7 100:25 109:19272:7 285:3 310:21 314:14spite 213:17 218:15 spliced 131:7288:1 292:14,17 293:1 295:8situations 132:3 265:14121:24 124:19 133:8 140:1310:21 314:14spliced 131:7 speaks 239:8splite 20:18 split 260:18308:14 309:11,14situations 132:3 265:14133:8 140:1 133:8 140:1speaks 239:8 speaks 239:8split 260:18 spoke 221:18308:14 309:11,14situations 132:3 265:14163:11 175:16 163:11 175:16specific 84:4 85:14 99:12 104:3 140:3spoke 207:21 248:12staff's 226:12 staffed 104:23situations 132:3 240:5 273:15180:4 184:2 103:11 175:1699:12 104:3 140:3 193:6 195:19spoken 207:21 248:12staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1 120:5 202:7,7,13 252:25 253:8,10 258:20 281:13 258:20 281:14 258:20 281:15 258:20 281:15 258:20 281:16 2
181:18100:25 109:19310:21 314:14spliced 131:7293:1 295:8situations 132:3121:24 124:19speaks 239:8split 260:18308:14 309:11,14265:14133:8 140:1specialist 77:15,18spoke 221:18staff's 226:12situ 40:24 161:7144:17,19 145:8specific 84:4 85:14spoke 207:21staffed 104:23165:24 166:21163:11 175:1687:18 90:18 91:14248:12218:8 299:6240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3sponsor 170:3staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14239:14,15 261:2squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2staff 60:15 63:17,25218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
situations 132:3 265:14121:24 124:19 133:8 140:1speaks 239:8 specialist 77:15,18split 260:18 spoke 221:18308:14 309:11,14six 140:24 161:7 240:5 273:15144:17,19 145:8 163:11 175:16specialist 77:15,18 specific 84:4 85:14spoke 207:21 248:12staff's 226:12 staffed 104:23240:5 273:15 240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3 188:14 192:25sponsor 170:3 153:15 154:16staffer 185:5 staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12 120:5 202:7,7,13 252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14 224:8 225:14193:6 195:19 246:11 239:13,13spots 71:17 260:20 spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11 105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13 297:25228:4 233:15 265:25 282:17,23239:14,15 261:2 263:25stability 164:1 248:12106:24,25 216:20 218:10sizes 265:2 skeptical 260:9309:18 313:10 314:1184:25 117:1 126:6 138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
265:14133:8 140:1specialist 77:15,18spoke 221:18staff's 226:12six 140:24 161:7144:17,19 145:8163:11 175:16specific 84:4 85:14spoken 207:21staffed 104:23240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3sponsor 170:3staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2staff 60:15 63:17,25218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
six 140:24 161:7144:17,19 145:8specific 84:4 85:14spoken 207:21staffed 104:23165:24 166:21163:11 175:1687:18 90:18 91:14248:12218:8 299:6240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3sponsor 170:3staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
165:24 166:21 240:5 273:15163:11 175:16 180:4 184:287:18 90:18 91:14 99:12 104:3 140:3248:12 sponsor 170:3218:8 299:6 staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1 117:8,8,11,12188:14 192:25 207:16 215:16153:15 154:16 193:6 195:19sponsor 170:3 188:19staffer 185:5 staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12 120:5 202:7,7,13207:16 215:16 217:22 222:11193:6 195:19 196:21 197:5spot 173:21 spots 71:17 260:20103:17 104:8,11 104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10 258:20 281:13 297:25224:8 225:14 228:4 233:15216:11 239:13,13 239:14,15 261:2squares 122:6 stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20 218:10sizes 265:2 skeptical 260:9283:1 292:11,12 309:18 313:10specifically 63:16 84:25 117:1 126:6staff 60:15 63:17,25 64:2 66:4,5 69:7 69:17,20 70:4,6staffs' 126:10
240:5 273:15180:4 184:299:12 104:3 140:3sponsor 170:3staffer 185:5size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
size 116:6,23 117:1188:14 192:25153:15 154:16188:19staffing 100:23117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
117:8,8,11,12207:16 215:16193:6 195:19spot 173:21103:17 104:8,11120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
120:5 202:7,7,13217:22 222:11196:21 197:5spots 71:17 260:20104:16 105:5,11252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
252:25 253:8,10224:8 225:14216:11 239:13,13squares 122:6105:17,25 106:1258:20 281:13228:4 233:15239:14,15 261:2stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
258:20 281:13 297:25228:4 233:15 265:25 282:17,23239:14,15 261:2 263:25stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20 218:10sizes 265:2 skeptical 260:9 skill 316:11239:14,15 261:2 263:25stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20 218:10sizes 265:2 skeptical 260:9 skill 316:11239:14,15 261:2 263:25stability 164:1106:24,25 216:20 218:10sizes 265:2 skeptical 260:9 skill 316:11239:14,15 261:2 263:25staff 60:15 63:17,25 64:2 66:4,5 69:7staffs' 126:10 193:24 222:12
297:25265:25 282:17,23263:25Stacey 61:2218:10sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
sizes 265:2283:1 292:11,12specifically 63:16staff 60:15 63:17,25staffs' 126:10skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
skeptical 260:9309:18 313:1084:25 117:1 126:664:2 66:4,5 69:7193:24 222:12skill 316:11314:11138:19 176:869:17,20 70:4,6224:10 237:15
<b>skill</b> 316:11 314:11 138:19 176:8 69:17,20 70:4,6 224:10 237:15
<b>Skylar</b> 61:4 <b>sort</b> 125:15 160:1 239:22 259:24 70:14 71:3,11 239:16
<b>slice</b> 166:12 168:2 160:15 161:22 310:2 72:10 89:16 94:14 <b>stage</b> 219:24
<b>slight</b> 95:2 196:25 243:9 <b>specified</b> 257:10 94:17,23 95:19 <b>stake</b> 287:17
slightly 69:25255:6 275:19specify 157:2498:3,3 99:20stakeholders
126:13,14,15 292:21 295:8 <b>speculate</b> 92:1 100:1,1 103:24 127:22 148:9
171:10 172:6 304:3 305:14 204:15 106:8 107:17 <b>stand</b> 75:19 158:19
slow 227:25 sorts 282:5 speculating 181:10 108:5 110:8,23 158:24 171:4,7,18
slowly 209:19 sought 111:21 181:12 280:11 123:20 125:22 173:8 195:11
<b>small</b> 72:3 97:7,8 281:12,16 299:22 <b>speculation</b> 88:9 126:2 127:25 206:3
166:3,23 241:14sound 102:11115:8 280:11129:20 133:5standard 174:24
242:6,8 243:6,16 312:19 <b>speculative</b> 182:2 145:1 169:21 183:2,25
243:19 256:8 sounds 217:5 speed 86:15 142:14 176:23 181:3,24 standards 192:22
<b>smaller</b> 166:12 310:24 314:8 217:22,23 261:4 182:8 183:17,22 208:19,20
230:24 259:4source 98:23268:19185:13,17 187:9standing 205:1
Smith 61:2108:13 195:15speeds 141:3187:23 188:8stands 63:13
<b>snow</b> 212:3,8 <b>sources</b> 87:12 161:13 193:17 195:1,3 158:23
<b>snowing</b> 212:7 <b>south</b> 191:8,11 <b>spell</b> 79:6 169:24 198:2 199:24 <b>start</b> 66:24 118:21
socializing 148:7 211:16 188:12,16 246:9 200:16 203:24,25 181:17 194:24
sold 281:20 spaces 303:8 spelled 79:8 170:2 204:8,11,17,17,22 227:12 234:17,18
solely 170:22 171:6 Spanish 232:5 188:18 220:25 204:25 205:7,14 297:15
solicit 269:25 spare 243:10 spelling 246:12 205:17 207:12 started 110:15
somebody 128:7 speak 69:1 128:8 spend 87:2 114:16 218:4 222:7,15,22 135:6 146:22
141:1,10,17 155:6 205:16 219:4 160:1,5,18,18,21 223:1,3,25 224:13 212:7 298:23
179:20 217:6,13 238:15 283:15 160:22 161:22,24 224:14 226:23 <b>starting</b> 63:21
285:22     297:20 307:13     189:16 249:25     230:5,17 231:20     75:22 86:8 103:13
<b>somebody's</b> 181:15 308:25 250:1,7,9 237:22 238:12 223:5 228:11
somewhat 298:25 SPEAKER 124:1,3 spent 87:6 110:3,8 243:22,22 270:12 229:23 307:13
<b>soon</b> 189:21 219:11 247:10 161:21 219:21 270:22 271:1 <b>starts</b> 83:5 104:6

[				<u> </u>
217:19 258:18	stating 173:17	strong 140:12	302:8	<b>sum</b> 183:14 217:17
289:22	286:17	212:4	subscriber 106:17	231:15
state 73:21 79:5	statistics 251:20	strongly 147:25	114:15	summer 131:10
87:17 91:19 92:6	status 214:11	204:23	subscribers 136:6	<b>Sumner</b> 61:4
92:9 99:25 108:18	statute 159:10	structurally 290:15	165:22 225:17	supervisor 196:22
109:24 110:14	208:21 233:14	structure 176:3	subscribership	supplement 199:9
111:5,23 120:16	254:25 255:1	217:21 220:24	103:17 195:6	support 82:24
120:23 122:12	273:14 274:6	231:12 238:6	Subsection 83:23	112:7,7 118:2
123:9 126:15	275:25 276:11,13	292:2 299:3	154:11 239:7	173:4 236:3 243:8
138:6,15 139:19	statutes 84:10,14	structured 235:10	310:2	250:4 298:22
140:7 152:15	statutory 311:7	structures 99:25	subsequent 274:7	302:10 307:21,22
159:2 161:6,17	stay 67:7 275:4	struggled 104:9	subsidiaries 165:2	307:23 310:23
165:24 166:3,11	stay-out 164:2	struggling 166:6	subsidize 159:24	313:4
166:13,21 167:3	Staying 268:7	studied 123:16	substantial 96:12	supports 307:21
169:24 173:4,16	stays 273:21	150:3 153:25	109:24 152:17	<b>suppose</b> 286:9
186:14 188:12,15	step 90:2 91:5 93:2	<b>studies</b> 96:20	156:14 161:11	<b>supposed</b> 184:22
195:7 198:9	93:20 94:13 95:16	263:16	219:5 224:23	<b>sure</b> 67:10,20 85:11
214:10 220:6	96:13 115:6,11	study 116:3 117:13	296:5,17,18	93:8 103:3 104:5
226:3 232:12	135:9,14,19,25	157:5 176:25	substantially	105:23 119:24
233:10 234:2,12	151:21 201:23	193:15,15 194:3,4	115:15 199:3	143:17 152:4,11
236:12 242:6	202:6,23	196:7,8 200:24	222:3 225:4	156:25 162:13
243:4,13 244:6,8	Stephanie 247:3	203:21 210:19,22	substitute 75:14	175:24 177:13
246:9 248:18	<b>stepped</b> 127:24	211:6,10 221:20	260:16,23,25	180:17 190:7
250:5,8 252:1	stepping 96:22	234:16,20	269:19	191:10 198:16
254:20 255:18	steps 66:24 67:15	studying 117:3	successful 301:20	200:11 203:10
261:1 266:7 279:8	89:23 135:1,20	stuff 143:25 144:1	sufficient 101:22	210:2,10 211:4
280:5 281:19	151:19 153:18	148:16	106:1,25 108:9	219:18 242:23
287:6 289:15	stick 252:18 274:18	<b>sub</b> 140:11	112:21 180:15	243:6,11 244:7
295:3 298:6 304:7	294:5	subdirectory 174:4	195:12 217:2	252:20 254:23
316:3,8	stingy 143:3	subject 67:4 91:21	233:16,17,19	255:24 256:2
stated 172:3 173:11	stipulate 65:25	120:20 121:2	273:23 303:9	258:14 259:23
<b>statement</b> 70:14,15	66:5	138:16 140:25	314:6	262:15 270:25
70:17 92:4 103:20	stipulates 66:3	168:11 231:9	sufficiently 70:22	276:5 286:21
154:11 206:13	<b>stone</b> 162:15	260:3 299:2	76:19 302:9	288:24 289:7
212:20 239:9	stop 132:25 177:19	subjective 284:20	suggest 92:1 113:23	293:5 296:19
statements 64:12	181:20 185:20	284:24 285:14	120:24 123:8	304:14 308:14,17
67:25 68:1,11,18	224:15	286:10	259:15 272:13	310:25
68:25 289:9	straight 299:3,19	<b>submit</b> 69:20	311:3	surprise 150:4
states 75:13 99:8	street 60:5 166:14	152:25 168:13	suggested 272:1	surprised 266:6
105:1,3,13 109:4	168:1	256:9 308:11	suggesting 267:3,9	surprising 126:17
109:6 197:21	strength 107:9	submits 141:1	269:12 271:23	surrounding
228:8 254:1	129:25 207:11	submitted 69:4	283:6 289:8 291:3	214:20
265:17 281:21	284:8 286:2,6,8	264:3 293:5	suggests 258:10	survey 118:1
statewide 157:24	<b>stretch</b> 308:2	subscribe 128:13	273:1 306:17	119:20 120:6
168:1 259:5	striking 211:7	252:18 266:15	suitable 263:22	136:10 174:21
static 219:8 222:4	282:19	267:1 301:12	Suite 60:11	190:21 199:20
	I	I	I	I

200:8,11,14	90:15 103:22	252:9 266:4 269:2	telephone 59:5	285:11 294:3
203:22	108:16 115:20,23	272:17 290:21	128:18 163:4	303:8,12,13
Survey's 193:22	119:5 124:12	302:15 314:12	189:24 211:21	territories 138:10
sustainable 191:15	129:18,19,24	talks 157:21	248:23	305:15
sustained 231:11	131:7 132:22	task 141:17 149:22	television 146:1	territory 76:25
swapped 179:7	133:7 135:10,24	153:13	<b>tell</b> 107:5 131:21	259:3 261:17
swear 73:10,11	136:9 148:24	tasked 109:20	138:7,12,19	262:5 263:11
78:21,22 169:15	149:14 155:2	125:15	140:21 143:10	265:9 304:21
169:16 188:3,4	160:22 162:8	taste 137:12	144:2 147:24	305:16
246:2,3 293:17	165:6 169:7	team 107:9 111:22	198:1 265:20	test 143:18,21
swiftly 131:10	173:13 186:24	111:24 141:4	279:17	176:1 283:10
swim 149:3	187:5 198:21	<b>tech</b> 162:10 285:25	telling 242:24,25	286:4
switch 200:17	201:21 202:9	286:7	<b>ten</b> 64:13 68:1	tested 123:19
switches 147:1	204:7 213:24	technical 65:5,8	161:7 203:3 245:7	testified 83:7 98:10
switching 171:21	225:10 231:6	163:10	253:14 301:15	159:19 160:6
sworn 316:10	235:6 239:12	technician 129:24	ten-year 138:14	170:20 189:15
<b>symptom</b> 77:10	245:10,13 250:14	152:22 156:19,21	tend 171:15,15,25	193:1 249:10
synonym 259:2	252:7 258:12	157:2 163:7	172:6 262:9	270:20 271:3,6
system 155:5,8	278:16 282:9	technicians 286:13	304:20	275:9 300:9
156:11,15 226:17	305:23 309:11	technological 77:21	tendency 172:6	testify 104:22
226:18 300:13	taken 59:16,24	146:19	tender 73:17 74:16	172:22 174:5
systems 115:19	68:5 128:4 133:9	technologies 76:20	79:2 169:21 246:7	246:3 270:22
135:22	139:19 163:16	86:12 289:12	248:4	testifying 245:20
	181:5 187:20	technology 60:4	tendered 65:4	255:24 272:14
T	235:7,11 245:17	88:18 89:3 123:24	tens 87:2	testimony 62:22
<b>T</b> 80:1 144:11,17	278:19	137:9 148:25	term 100:12 158:7	64:11 65:17,21
316:1,1	takes 190:11 218:5	226:9 289:10	163:15 184:6,12	66:1,11,15 68:13
<b>T-Mobile</b> 128:22	230:16	300:25 302:24	222:23 230:20	71:21 73:4,11
233:9	talk 99:2 100:22	303:8,13 304:22	293:13 294:8	74:4 75:1,2,9,19
table 64:3 70:6	111:9 121:21	305:5	terminate 298:24	76:2 77:8,20 78:9
78:17 81:6,7,15	124:11 125:3	telecom 70:20	terminated 163:9	78:22 79:14 80:3
81:18,24 144:15	172:12 177:15	158:1 189:11,12	terms 95:3 107:5	80:6,8,14,15,19
144:22 178:15	186:11 203:19	190:9 205:21	136:3 142:14	80:25 82:7,10,23
180:19,20 250:25	234:2 265:25	216:15 241:14	144:23 145:9	83:23 86:4 87:1
286:3,4	277:19 281:4	242:5 284:18	148:20 151:6,12	89:20 91:19 99:6
tables 194:15	292:6 293:9	298:17	152:16 157:11	99:14 100:25
<b>tabs</b> 147:10	talked 138:4	telecommunication	158:23 159:14	101:6,7,8,10
<b>Tad</b> 60:10 64:7	141:20 164:17	77:16,17 111:6	161:23 164:2,8	102:6,24 103:7,9
70:11 74:23	181:13 299:20,22	137:14,19 190:23	166:2 175:22	103:23 112:7,10
170:16	talking 64:9 77:13	205:16 242:19	183:12 187:11	115:3,11,22 118:2
tad.o'neill@atg	81:10 95:16	249:11 289:11	199:2 204:3,8	119:9,16 120:2,14
60:12	106:13 117:1,21	telecommunicati	217:16 223:20	122:8 123:2,19
tag 87:14 97:1	128:6 148:16	69:12 76:7 137:9	224:23 225:5	125:19 126:1,12
tailored 260:7	164:19 177:17	254:8,16 255:10	228:16 241:23	128:10 135:15
<b>take</b> 64:18 66:24	186:3 192:3 202:6	260:2	252:2,24 253:1,8	144:9 151:23
67:15 78:16 80:14	225:3 240:19	telecoms 218:12	255:17 258:23	156:3 159:19
	-			-

	1	1		
164:16 166:7	121:22 124:12	167:7 238:8	314:5	tight 104:12
168:10,11,18	132:17 133:14,23	279:20,21 288:14	thinking 149:10	<b>time</b> 63:4 65:4
169:16 170:7,19	133:24 137:20,22	289:11 312:12,15	165:16 231:12	69:19 73:1 89:10
171:1,4,7,18	137:25 140:18	think 72:24 92:2	thinks 285:7	91:21 94:22,22
172:2,12,20,22	141:19 150:18	94:25 95:1,3,16	third 93:20 95:16	95:3,6,9,12,18
173:8,11 175:1,5	153:3 158:11,12	96:9 106:13	107:14 180:19	96:10 99:14,15,18
176:16 178:3,15	163:19,21 168:3,8	107:23 110:16	268:21	103:22 104:9,9
178:20 179:1	168:9,17,18	115:15 117:10	third-party 130:17	106:7 109:8
187:1 188:4,10,23	169:13 170:12	123:8 126:6,9	<b>THOMAS</b> 60:10	114:16 115:23
188:24 192:24	171:3 174:7,12,14	132:13 134:7	Thompson 61:5	116:2,3 128:5
193:1,5,7,11,21	177:22 182:6,7	138:17 145:2	thorough 96:24	130:6 131:15
194:15,21 198:7	185:2,22 186:20	146:22 148:20	97:3,11 106:2	135:11,24 138:13
201:7,12,13,19	186:21,23,25,25	149:12 155:4,14	108:12	138:17 141:5
202:20 209:9	187:3 188:8 210:1	156:15 157:14	thoroughly 140:9	143:5 146:24
211:15,25 215:21	221:8,9 227:9,10	159:21 160:5	thought 96:7	147:6 151:25
216:19 227:6,16	228:12 230:13	161:4 163:7	259:19 286:17	160:25 168:7,18
228:1,5 241:20	231:21 233:2	165:17 168:6	thoughts 149:11	178:13 179:19
245:2 246:3,20	236:16,18,19,20	171:11 175:16	thousand 126:20	185:6,15 187:7,10
247:2,3,6 249:13	240:12,13 241:13	180:11 189:15	thousands 86:10	187:13,16,17
249:15 250:15	244:11,22 245:1,4	196:4 198:5	87:2 219:23	188:14 203:20,24
251:3,4 253:20	247:12 248:7	199:11 203:4	220:23	212:8 213:3
260:24 262:7	250:13,21 258:15	209:13 211:9	threats 132:4	215:15 218:21
266:7 269:21	259:14 263:6	217:3 219:8 222:4	three 87:4 91:23	219:9 220:7,10,16
271:4 272:6,8,11	264:17 269:17	226:13 227:8	93:22 113:5 116:8	220:18 221:3
273:4 274:1	276:14 297:10	231:23 238:9	132:3,7 137:4	230:7,12 233:11
275:17 277:13,18	303:20,23,23	241:2,3,4 249:6	156:10 157:1	233:21 235:6
280:14,17,22,24	306:25 307:1	252:10 254:4	165:22 205:18	237:9,13 242:6
282:15 287:20	308:6 313:21	256:6 257:18	217:11 247:17	244:1 245:7
293:10 294:20	314:18 315:3	258:8 261:10	257:11 268:13	253:11,24 254:2
295:23 296:4,5,13	Thanks 118:12	264:23 265:23	311:7	257:19 261:21
300:7 301:14,18	163:18 244:22	268:9 269:14	threshold 91:10	267:5 276:15
303:10,24 305:12	theft 130:17 132:4	273:15 276:23	100:3 131:22	277:6 289:18
307:8,9,13	132:12	277:4 278:13	176:7 260:14	291:17,17 292:18
testing 141:18	theory 250:12	279:16 280:2,2,7	266:10	293:1 294:22
286:1 298:14	302:16	282:8 283:9,12,20	<b>throw</b> 309:14	301:5 309:12
<b>tests</b> 130:3	they'd 223:11	284:9,19 285:15	thrust 249:15	310:21 311:2,8,16
text 216:8	235:2 254:20	288:11,17 290:14	ticket 129:3 131:1	311:24 312:2,21
thank 63:24 64:4,8	thin 303:10,11,12	291:2,21 293:15	132:10 177:24	314:13
65:22,23 66:4,6	303:13	293:23 294:11	178:8 226:17	timeframe 104:11
67:13 69:1,15,17	thing 142:19 162:1	295:16 297:9	tickets 130:23	257:10
70:4,8,13 72:12	210:25 265:22	298:24 301:3,10	149:19 178:22	timely 245:15
72:13 73:9,15	279:7 284:2,4	302:12,23 304:14	179:3 180:18	times 102:10
74:18 75:10 77:25	293:9	304:19 305:3,23	185:14,18 292:8	105:18 167:5
78:1,5,9,12 79:1	things 93:22 113:11	306:9,10,21 308:2	292:14 293:6	184:11 207:24
82:12 103:10	127:7 132:3 134:5	309:9 311:18	297:3,7	275:3 284:10
106:4 119:17	165:17 166:18	312:8,11 313:25	tie 126:2 197:13	today 63:4,10 64:9
	l	I	I	l .

				Tage 555
64:18 65:20 69:3	316:9	111:5 171:13,24	<b>turned</b> 168:6 210:4	200:18,25 203:18
69:16 73:12 78:10	transferred 271:12	172:4,8 176:15	257:19	204:21 208:8
78:23 85:3 88:1	271:18 272:2	246:19,21 250:12	turning 66:1 67:25	213:10 223:10
88:20 90:19 92:25	transferring 271:21	255:21 256:15	154:9 280:14	229:11 230:5
95:8,21 96:23	transform 290:25	268:3 270:18	<b>TV</b> 147:5 269:4	231:20
100:14,21 110:9	transgress 67:10	316:10	<b>twice</b> 154:20 285:5	<b>umbrella</b> 164:22
124:16 139:17	transition 147:17		<b>two</b> 77:5 84:9 87:22	<b>unable</b> 126:2
		truing 242:15		
140:8 146:25	149:21 226:6,7	truly 289:22	92:12,13,17 96:17	152:19 180:9
147:24 150:16	300:22,25 301:14	trust 218:4	107:23 109:14	unavailable 221:22
161:5 163:12	302:24 304:10	<b>truth</b> 73:12,12,13	113:11 122:14	uncertain 160:21
164:10 165:23	transitional 301:5	78:23,23,24 111:3	127:21 130:7	uncertainty 71:15
168:23 169:17	303:7	111:4 169:17,17	134:5,9 165:19	underlying 197:9
170:7 171:4 174:5	Transitionally	169:18 188:5,5,6	173:15 174:24	<b>underpin</b> 108:21
181:8 187:12,15	301:6	246:4,4,4 306:12	183:2 187:8	113:13
187:17 188:5,25	transitioning	<b>try</b> 67:6 102:1	189:17,19 194:6	underserved 139:7
198:7 201:25	223:20	120:5 125:4	198:23 207:21	203:11 223:14
216:19 226:13	translate 125:4	150:22 184:24	215:6 217:9	236:13 244:8
245:2 246:3,20	translated 232:4	216:25 225:10	219:23 221:6	301:9,21
252:16 273:19	translation 231:22	232:3 245:14	234:9 235:23	understand 65:16
293:15 301:25	231:25 232:20	266:13 293:3	257:10 296:19	72:19 83:21 94:9
309:1,2,5 310:16	Transportation	<b>trying</b> 100:9 110:18	297:7 300:15	109:16 129:21
told 149:3 250:5	59:1 63:6	146:2,25 167:6,14	301:5 302:14	147:11 148:10
254:2 272:23	traumatizing 146:4	168:2 187:13,16	310:19 311:5	175:24 176:1,21
285:20	<b>Trauner</b> 61:9	192:15,16 209:20	312:8,11,13	178:19 179:16
tools 199:15	treat 184:22	219:8 220:16	two-and-a-half	180:4,12 192:7
top 136:11 175:11	treated 254:22	228:6 244:5,10	245:7	198:22 218:3
292:6	trend 179:15	255:25 259:8	twosie 275:18	226:8 238:14
<b>topic</b> 170:24	293:19	300:6 304:3,12	<b>type</b> 88:19 96:1	241:15 249:19
topo 113:23	Tressa 61:5	307:24 314:14	148:1	256:17 257:9,25
topography 113:19	tribal 139:24 140:2	<b>turn</b> 64:13 65:3,10	types 260:3	259:8 266:12
total 180:20 183:14	140:2,3,5 172:4,5	65:12 68:17 69:1	typographical	267:22 268:1
187:10 271:11,17	tried 135:18	72:15 75:1,21	126:11	270:10 272:3
totality 220:23	trigger 127:6 206:2	78:13,20 79:24	120.11	273:6 279:1
touch 64:10 67:4	triggers 117:16	81:3 83:2 84:16	U	290:19 298:11
167:18	trouble 105:21	86:3 89:19 98:22	<b>U.S</b> 128:22 193:22	300:6
tower 215:1,4	130:23 131:1	100:23 112:6	209:17 252:4	understandable
towers 213.1,4	177:24 178:8,21	119:11 130:13	261:6	268:9
215:11 233:4,23	179:3,4 180:18	133:12 144:8	ubiquitous 147:5	understanding
<b>town</b> 160:7 166:15	185:14,18,21	157:19 163:13	ubiquitously 88:21	65:24 97:13 99:10
	, ,		Uh-huh 113:25	
211:16 treat: 180:17	226:17 278:6	168:21 172:11	307:2	109:5 110:14,17
track 180:17	292:8 295:24	190:24 194:21	<b>ultimate</b> 154:4	111:15 137:7
tracks 194:2	297:3,6,8	209:15 211:11	ultimately 87:15	140:10 143:2
traditional 155:20	<b>trucks</b> 141:25	215:20,21,24	127:1 136:5,6	154:17 184:3
training 149:17	<b>true</b> 74:4,12 80:10	245:21 277:12		185:3,19 205:4
transcript 67:16	82:7 93:18 103:20	282:15 295:19	194:1,14 195:17	206:14 226:12
190:25 211:12	104:15 105:10	312:13	197:9 199:17	230:9 232:1 233:3
	1	1	1	•

				ŭ
238:5,24 239:16	306:7	237:13 251:11,15	224:3 225:14	<b>Villa</b> 61:7
244:3 273:17	unspecified 257:14	251:19 252:4,11	validation 141:14	violation 183:18
275:24	unstated 253:20	258:10 261:7,18	224:2 225:15,19	294:25
understood 85:9	unsuccessful 195:7	262:6,21,23	229:13,24 282:19	<b>virtually</b> 166:19
99:4 106:6 118:12	unsustainable	268:14,18	285:17 290:8	visit 157:2
118:16 142:25	107:7	<b>user</b> 185:6 305:5	value 125:9,11	visits 286:12
312:16	<b>unturned</b> 162:16	<b>users</b> 119:5 121:4	147:9 267:15	<b>voice</b> 77:13 113:2
undertake 85:20	unutilized 104:1	251:19 252:5	<b>van</b> 131:6	113:14 122:11
undertaken 84:8	unwarranted	261:8 263:7,9	<b>vandalism</b> 132:4,12	146:11 152:10
underway 189:20	224:16	usually 274:18	226:16	165:24 170:22
189:21	up-to-date 303:12	<b>UT</b> 101:1,8 102:15	variety 69:9 136:23	172:1 173:2,12
uneconomic 86:11	update 229:8	UT-240029 59:5	various 151:14,19	174:20 175:2,15
87:25 91:11	updated 63:18	63:11 124:5	165:2 190:23	175:22 176:17,18
unequivocal 289:8	65:13 118:1 120:8	Utah 108:24 109:1	193:12	193:2 194:19
unfortunate 214:16	121:16 140:24	109:5	vast 255:18,22	195:3 213:22
unfortunately	171:9	UTC 87:19 89:19	<b>vehicle</b> 249:2	225:9 226:7
163:12 194:24	upgrade 226:17	89:24 95:17,24	venue 98:9 143:3	235:20 242:9
276:2	304:18 305:10	96:5 97:4 98:3,3	verifiable 202:23	255:17,18 261:1
uniformly 302:18	upheld 153:21	106:2 107:1,17	verifiably 214:1	265:8 266:16
<b>unit</b> 96:18 97:21,25	uphill 153:13	110:8 111:11	verification 218:5,7	267:1,6,14 268:14
98:7,18,19 117:2	upkeep 71:25	112:2 125:24	225:20	268:15 269:9
166:13 205:21	upper 175:9	198:2 199:23	verified 231:19	271:12,19,22,23
247:4 280:9	<b>urban</b> 90:16,24	204:25 206:1	verify 202:25 218:4	279:19
<b>UNITED</b> 59:5	91:4 139:4 159:24	207:2,12 208:2	244:16 290:22	voicemail 94:3
<b>units</b> 135:21	160:14 174:21	215:25 216:12	291:3,5	152:19
Universal 242:6	182:20 183:2	218:8 220:5	verifying 224:3	<b>voicing</b> 193:20
universally 302:18	186:9 230:25	230:15 302:2	Verizon 128:23	<b>volume</b> 59:11
<b>unknown</b> 177:2	231:8 260:20,20	utilities 59:1 63:6	304:15	140:10 272:21
unlicensed 236:8	260:22 303:8	104:10	versa 198:25 231:1	312:23
236:10	304:20,21	<b>utility</b> 161:10	version 119:20	voluntary 71:5
unlimited 253:11	<b>urged</b> 253:15	248:22 254:6	versus 99:25 123:7	vulnerability
254:5	256:13 260:9	280:5 282:2	161:20 171:21	209:17 211:7
unnecessary	264:8 269:18	283:20 287:18	208:20 305:21	vulnerable 148:17
248:21	urgency 258:8	300:19 303:14	<b>Vexing</b> 167:20	149:7,20 210:19
unreasonable	<b>urging</b> 256:20	306:23	viable 142:5,11	210:25
274:14 275:10	258:6	utilization 136:4	143:13	
299:11	<b>use</b> 72:20 87:12	utilize 137:19	<b>vibrancy</b> 83:9	<b>W</b> -e-b-b-e-r 170:2
unregulated 151:16	93:12 115:5 119:6	V	vice 198:25 231:1	<b>W-e-b-b-e-r</b> 170:2 <b>W-e-i-s-m-a-n</b>
267:22 271:13,22	121:9 123:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Victor 246:13	<b>w-e-i-s-m-a-n</b> 73:24
unrelated 271:19	128:15 137:16	<b>vague</b> 257:14 <b>valid</b> 306:22	<b>video</b> 65:2,3 123:22	<b>WAC</b> 237:10,16
unrepresentative	146:1 148:15	validate 141:18	123:25 124:14	wait 68:4 92:20,22
291:21	166:24 168:21	223:12 225:23	VIDEOCONFE	101:23 133:8
<b>unserved</b> 139:6	172:24 180:20	230:3,3 244:16	59:16	167:5
203:10,11 223:14	197:16 198:15	270:6,14,23	view 193:10 223:1	waived 70:14
236:13,13 244:5,8	206:20 214:24	validating 217:23	223:25 228:24	waiver 239:15
290:3 301:9,21	218:6 226:8	vanuaring 217.23	viewed 213:21	walvel 237.13
	-	-	-	-

				Fage 337
waiving 239:17	113:17 115:2	172:9 174:13	313:23	120:13 161:19
walk 96:13	118:19 120:16,23	176:20 177:20	we've 108:1,5	206:21 207:7
walking 135:1	122:12,20 123:5	181:8 186:11	110:23 117:21	279:10
want 64:21 68:22	137:1 138:10,15	189:24 192:9	126:23 148:7,18	western 140:16
102:17 103:25	139:19 140:9,17	207:20 210:3	148:19 151:23	141:24 142:5
107:15 117:23	140:18 150:1	211:21 235:10,22	161:14 164:20,21	wet 212:3
130:13,16 141:14	159:3 161:6	236:6 238:16	166:21 175:1	<b>WHATCOM</b> 316:4
141:24 143:8,23	165:25 166:4	243:14 249:23	181:13 206:11	whatsoever 90:9
144:1,5 147:8	170:21 175:3	267:24 305:25	217:8 242:14	259:22
148:21 150:15	189:13,17 190:2,9	312:1	248:12 273:22	WHEREOF
167:7 168:20	190:19 191:9	ways 137:4 234:9	302:12,13 310:16	316:14
177:5,9,11,14,14	192:3,10 195:7	269:25	web 229:20	white 255:7
181:18 186:3	197:20,22 198:9	we'll 129:19 130:3	Webber 62:8	wholesale 151:9
195:20 197:23,23	211:18 214:4,10	133:7 149:15	119:19 168:23,25	wide 88:20
200:17 201:17	226:3 232:12	160:7,21 187:5,5	169:5,8,9,10,13	widespread 296:10
208:23 210:5	233:10 234:11	187:14,19 245:13	170:1,10,16	<b>Wiener</b> 61:8
215:20 216:25	236:12 243:4,13	245:14,14 312:25	182:15 185:2	wildfire 211:1,6
219:3,17,18 228:3	244:6,9 248:18	we're 63:10 77:12	186:25 187:3	wildfires 209:5,18
229:11,12 234:8	249:17,22 251:11	80:3 97:10 103:1	201:7 273:3	wildlife 131:9
237:5 241:18	251:18,22 252:24	106:13 117:3	Webber's 119:9,16	willing 145:17
245:6 265:25	253:17 254:7,9,11	118:15 123:6	120:14 123:2	147:21 165:11
266:1,13 268:8,9	254:16 255:10	128:24 129:3,3	275:16	223:21 238:17
298:23 300:23	257:12 258:5	130:5 133:7 142:2	website 193:17	<b>win</b> 225:7
308:13,24 309:14	260:3,6,11 263:17	142:10 143:10	229:17	wind 212:4,8
wanted 109:16	263:23 278:15,18	144:16 146:3,16	week 239:24	<b>window</b> 204:4
138:4 149:25	281:23 282:4	148:18,19 157:12	308:10,15 309:1,2	wire 72:1 76:15
184:19 186:20	287:6 289:15	159:12 160:6,24	309:6,15 311:4	89:2,2 108:14,16
198:23 228:24	295:3 298:1,6	163:11,12,25	312:9,20 313:23	109:22,23 193:25
292:25 300:11	299:23 301:16	164:11,19 166:24	weeks 311:5,7	193:25 259:17
309:7,7	302:10 303:7	169:8 173:11	weigh 128:8 297:18	281:13,17,25
wanting 285:22	304:7 305:11	175:19 181:10,12	weighing 297:16	282:11 302:21,21
wants 86:19 145:25	316:3,8	186:3 187:19,22	weight 280:8	wireless 93:4,6,10
157:15	Washingtonians	192:2 200:10	Weisman 62:2	123:7 124:19
warrant 296:15	70:24 118:23	205:22 210:17	65:20 72:19,21	128:21 139:14
warranted 204:23	120:5,10 121:3,8	217:14 218:12	73:6,8,19,20,23	141:12,12 145:5,7
299:11	122:24 123:13	220:14 224:3,3	73:23 74:2,4,5,8	171:21 194:19
<b>Washington</b> 59:1,4	126:20 137:8	225:12,14 231:15	74:11,16,22 75:9	213:11,22 223:24
60:5,11,17 63:6	171:5 196:5	240:19 244:5	77:25 78:6,9,12	224:8,8 225:14
69:12 70:19 71:7	wasn't 123:11	245:19 247:22	85:3 92:2	236:8,11 240:17
71:24 76:4,21	147:5 165:11	252:9,16 269:2	<b>Weisman's</b> 65:17	240:18 241:6
77:6,7 83:9,11,17	215:11 253:13	280:10 290:21	72:23 166:7	251:7,11,15,19,23
89:4 91:19 92:6,7	254:13	291:2,3 294:6	welcome 186:21	252:5,5 260:10,15
99:12 104:25	watch 67:11	298:10 301:4,13	welfare 163:15	260:19,22,24
107:19 108:9,18	water 163:8,13	301:23 302:15,16	well-suited 300:17	261:3,7,8,19
108:24 110:14	way 81:21 96:13	305:22 306:3	went 108:14 109:17	262:21,24 263:1,2
111:5 112:12	114:5 145:1 155:2	310:20 312:12	113:22 114:14,23	263:9,14,18,22

				<u></u>
264:1 269:19	269:12	worth 155:8,16	266:23 280:21	250:25 309:17,20
270:4 283:8	word 96:1 148:15	313:3	282:22 283:4	<b>1-6</b> 120:1
288:16 289:14	222:16 247:8,23	worthwhile 293:23	288:10 289:3	<b>1,000</b> 126:16
290:1,3,3 305:12	283:13	294:3,5	291:25 299:19	155:13,24,25
305:13,15,18	words 88:10 160:7	wouldn't 77:12	308:1 311:18,21	167:11 240:7
wires 189:24	178:23 249:14	111:23 115:17	year 85:5,18 100:17	<b>1,200</b> 126:3,21
wiring 191:16	273:6	150:3 179:1 180:6	106:22 107:14,22	147:7 166:22
wise 302:1	WordStar 148:15	181:12 182:1	131:11 132:15	176:24 222:5
withdraw 215:18	work 71:8 82:17	204:15 207:14	216:10 221:6	287:24 291:19
withdrawal 283:20	95:19 97:25	213:5 223:5	256:23 257:10,10	<b>1,213</b> 305:13
withdrawn 279:6	110:22 115:17	231:10 235:20	257:11 297:18	<b>1,233</b> 126:7 288:8
withstands 108:20	123:20,24 129:2	236:3 254:22	302:14 311:12,19	288:19 290:4
witness 62:2,4,8,10	135:19 145:14	266:6 278:19	years 87:22 91:16	<b>1,300</b> 216:2
62:14 72:18 73:14	156:22 158:1	279:25 285:13,24	91:16,24 100:12	<b>1,800</b> 216:1
73:17 75:11 78:1	177:20 180:13	298:22	100:13,18 107:23	<b>1.7</b> 92:5
78:8,14,25 79:2,7	196:14 202:9,24	write 75:22 84:17	123:3 124:6,7	<b>1.75</b> 161:7
79:12,18,21,24	202:25 204:19	86:9 103:15	125:14 127:21	<b>1.9</b> 118:22 120:10
80:1,4,7,12,17,20	205:11,15,23	written 171:7,18	143:9 146:6 148:8	120:13 136:25
80:23 81:1,3,6,11	206:11 208:7	178:3	157:25 161:7	137:7,11,15
81:13 82:2,5,9,14	216:24 217:1,2,6	wrong 128:7,24	164:1 165:23	171:11
101:11,12,23	218:13 219:11	162:22	180:3,7 203:3	1/30th 131:22
102:4 130:6	237:21,23 238:2,3	<b>WSBO</b> 287:10	215:25 216:10	<b>1:00</b> 187:6
132:25 137:23	238:11,17 293:25		217:9 218:9	<b>1:03</b> 187:20,22
169:6,7,19,21	294:2 300:15	<u> </u>	219:23 221:6	<b>10</b> 86:9 102:24
170:1,5,9 174:15	312:24,25	<b>X</b> 118:14 123:19	234:18,19 253:14	103:1,6,7,8
182:7 184:24	workable 71:5	Y	256:18,21 257:15	114:19 173:7
185:23 186:21,25	116:7,10		284:17 301:15	237:7,9 252:8
187:9,23 188:7,9	worked 113:21	y'all 121:20	305:23,24	261:9,11,12
188:14,17,21	208:10 219:9,16	<b>Yacolt</b> 112:9 144:24 201:18	<b>Yep</b> 250:24	287:20,22,23
189:1 221:9 227:3	243:4,23 264:18	yeah 107:6 110:22	<b>yield</b> 278:18	<b>10-Ks</b> 282:5
236:19,22 240:12	workgroup 286:5	118:6 120:3		<b>10,000</b> 202:14
245:6,19 246:5,7	working 93:24	121:17 124:3		<b>10:40</b> 133:9
246:11,17,21	96:25 156:15	125:13 145:2	<b>zebra</b> 246:13	<b>10:55</b> 133:8
247:1,11,13,17,19	182:3 205:2 220:3	146:19 162:14,25	<b>ZIP</b> 108:15,15	<b>10:56</b> 133:9,10
248:4 261:23	241:16 264:14	164:16 166:6	<b>zoom</b> 61:1 102:2	<b>100</b> 155:22,25
283:4 295:22	310:1	167:4 168:24	210:2	157:6 223:22
300:3 307:2,5	workloads 313:18	173:11 174:3,7	0	234:14 240:6
316:14	works 121:19	186:19 190:13	0078 102:15	259:18
witness' 73:4	143:24 144:3	191:4 192:6	0070102.15	<b>100,000</b> 288:19
witnesses 64:14,16	146:10 202:21	191.4 192.0	1	290:2 305:12
64:17,25 67:7,11	238:12 270:8	209:25 215:6,23	181:16,19,24,25	<b>11</b> 215:24 250:17
72:16 184:20	world 211:24	217:17 232:25	83:5,6 96:13	250:22,23
187:7,8,23	worn 274:24	233:1,23,24 234:9	135:9,14,19,25	<b>11:05</b> 133:7
woman 70:25	worry 167:4 187:16	241:1,25 242:2	144:23 201:23	<b>11:47</b> 168:20
297:20	worse 148:21	250:11 251:1	202:6,23 241:20	<b>114,469</b> 120:22
wondering 165:15	179:12	230.11 231.1	,	<b>12</b> 131:17 154:11
	1	1	1	

171:2 172:22	<b>180</b> 156:11,13	265:11	<b>297</b> 62:15	60:18
240:1 247:19	<b>182</b> 62:9	<b>2022's</b> 120:15	<b>29X</b> 192:25	<b>36X</b> 119:16 211:12
307:13	<b>186</b> 62:9	<b>2023</b> 87:23 131:17	<b>2nd</b> 314:2,24	<b>36XC</b> 119:8
<b>12:13</b> 187:20	<b>189</b> 62:11	131:18,23 215:25		<b>379,555</b> 121:3,8
<b>120</b> 60:5	<b>19</b> 59:24 63:1 84:17	226:13 264:16	3	<b>38</b> 123:19 175:9
<b>120,000</b> 167:12	250:14 258:13	<b>2024</b> 59:24 63:1,4	<b>3</b> 75:1 151:21	<b>39</b> 175:9 176:18
<b>1230s</b> 176:12	266:7,19 271:4	87:23 174:21	202:15 267:9	247:22
<b>13</b> 89:19,22 104:19	283:1 287:24	219:10 264:16	268:19,23 269:4,6	
119:25 135:6	295:8 316:10	309:22 316:10,15	<b>30</b> 80:1 124:7	4
252:21,22 262:20	<b>1968</b> 311:17	<b>2025</b> 234:18	131:23 144:11,17	<b>4</b> 79:24 81:3,15,18
262:23 287:20,22	<b>1989</b> 146:23	<b>206-398-2507</b> 60:6	155:7,16,25	81:24 119:24
288:13	<b>1996</b> 76:7	206-464-7352	227:19,22 228:2	120:3 121:11
133 62:5	<b>19th</b> 63:4	60:12	228:11 277:15	122:6 155:11
<b>134</b> 115:10	<b>1FR</b> 158:9 268:15	<b>21</b> 112:6 144:8,18	<b>30-plus</b> 125:14	159:3 227:20
138 62:5	<b>1st</b> 69:4	266:19 269:21	<b>300</b> 84:10	228:7,8 241:20
<b>14</b> 89:22 215:25	<b>1T</b> 74:1,3 79:10	<b>214</b> 95:7 111:14,14	<b>300,000</b> 165:23	258:18 288:14
246:16 251:15	193:1 246:16,22	112:4 127:2	<b>304</b> 62:16	<b>4.6</b> 122:3
265:17	246:24	142:24 153:6,7,12	<b>307</b> 62:16	<b>4:29</b> 315:4
<b>14,000</b> 138:18		208:17,20 264:12	<b>30s</b> 175:9,20	<b>40-plus</b> 284:17
<b>14th</b> 311:4 312:21	2	264:18,21 265:1	<b>30T</b> 80:15 81:24	<b>40128</b> 60:17
313:4,22 314:10	<b>2</b> 74:1 81:20 82:1	278:10	82:24 135:7	<b>42</b> 138:16
314:22	83:2 84:17 140:10	<b>22</b> 112:17 269:21	<b>30th</b> 219:10	<b>43</b> 194:21,22
<b>15</b> 72:20 73:2 99:6	155:8,9 247:8,11	<b>22009424</b> 59:25	<b>31</b> 80:16 118:5,8	247:25
104:21 114:19	247:13	<b>221</b> 62:11 108:14	136:9,12,13,13,14	<b>45</b> 167:19
121:11 169:2	<b>2-5</b> 86:6	<b>227</b> 62:12	265:23	<b>45-day</b> 95:12 204:4
171:1 252:21,22	<b>2.3</b> 120:13 171:10	<b>23</b> 172:11 271:3	<b>316</b> 59:12	<b>45-minute</b> 187:6
<b>150</b> 132:15,16	<b>2.7</b> 119:1	<b>237</b> 62:12	<b>31st</b> 316:15	<b>481.20.083</b> 237:10
<b>150,000</b> 132:1	<b>2:33</b> 245:17	<b>24</b> 265:11 272:10	<b>31X</b> 173:24	<b>49</b> 178:14
226:14 297:18,24	<b>2:42</b> 245:14	296:1 297:5	<b>32</b> 80:16 136:12	
298:1,4	<b>2:44</b> 245:17	<b>24-hour</b> 131:22	172:21 216:4	5
151 62:6	<b>20</b> 75:13 192:25	<b>240</b> 62:13	250:23 307:12	<b>5</b> 81:4,15,18,21,24
<b>158</b> 62:6	234:15 247:22	<b>240029</b> 101:1 102:7	<b>32X</b> 209:16	112:16 114:18
<b>16</b> 103:14 119:11	266:19 277:8	240078 101:8	<b>33</b> 186:4 247:19	173:7 174:9,11
119:15,18,24	281:19 292:6	<b>248</b> 62:14	<b>33X</b> 100:24,25	183:5 194:24
120:3 121:11	295:19	<b>248,000</b> 194:4	101:8	252:1 263:8,10
228:7,8 283:4	<b>20-some</b> 165:22	196:4 221:19	<b>34</b> 215:21	<b>50</b> 91:9 157:6
<b>162,666</b> 120:25	<b>200</b> 91:9 309:9	<b>25</b> 86:3,5 144:12,17	<b>34.27</b> 174:22	259:18 268:23
<b>163</b> 62:7	<b>2000</b> 60:11	159:19 267:9	<b>34X</b> 82:25	<b>50-ish</b> 175:10
<b>166</b> 210:4	<b>2014</b> 253:6	268:19,22 269:4,6	<b>35</b> 118:14 139:15	<b>50,000</b> 87:6
<b>17</b> 84:17 135:12	<b>2016</b> 242:3	<b>25/3</b> 266:10 269:15	145:8,8 175:3	<b>55.13</b> 172:22 173:8
258:12 280:14,20	<b>2018</b> 242:5	<b>250,000</b> 87:5,14	176:17	173:16 174:2,24
282:14	<b>2019</b> 215:25	89:8 91:6,9	<b>35X</b> 118:7 191:5	175:14,18 183:6
<b>170</b> 62:8	<b>2020</b> 119:20 120:12	<b>26</b> 105:2 272:10	<b>36.135</b> 84:10	201:5,14
<b>18</b> 282:17,25 283:4	<b>2021</b> 191:13	<b>277</b> 62:15	<b>360</b> 156:13 157:1	<b>59</b> 59:12
285:17 287:23	<b>2022</b> 117:25 120:11	<b>28T</b> 227:17	161:21 180:14	<b>5th</b> 60:5
295:7	120:12,22 264:15	<b>29</b> 79:11 247:8,13	360-810-0509	
			l	l

6	9
<b>6</b> 240:1 252:1	<b>9</b> 75:22 81:22 82:1
277:15 288:14	83:23 85:25 171:2
<b>6-0</b> 120:1	248:1 267:11
<b>6,024,689</b> 120:16	297:17
<b>6.3</b> 119:4 251:18	<b>9:02</b> 63:2,4
<b>60</b> 82:13 159:1	<b>9:09</b> 68:5
204:9,13 220:1,8	<b>9:11</b> 68:5
227:21,22 228:3,4	<b>90</b> 248:6 252:4
228:15,18 265:17	261:6
309:23	<b>90s</b> 143:23
<b>600</b> 131:7	<b>94.5</b> 251:22
<b>61,13</b> 200:21	<b>98104-3188</b> 60:11
<b>61.13</b> 200:20	<b>98121</b> 60:5
<b>65</b> 123:3	<b>98504</b> 60:17
	<b>97</b> 252:22 277:12
<b>66</b> 62:23	
7	307:9
<b>7</b> 139:15 145:8,9	<b>9th</b> 314:1,24
157:20 172:12	
293:9 295:7 297:5	
307:12	
<b>70</b> 159:2	
<b>72.9</b> 261:18 262:6	
74 62:3	
<b>74.2</b> 251:11	
<b>75</b> 276:17 277:5	
<b>79</b> 124:6 143:9,22	
79-year-old 70:25	
297:20	
7th 309:22 312:20	
8	
<b>8</b> 81:22,25 154:10	
239:3,6 297:16	
307:15 309:24	
310:2	
<b>8-D</b> 185:4	
<b>80</b> 146:6	
80.36.090 275:21	
80.36.320 59:8	
<b>800</b> 60:11 225:16	
<b>82</b> 62:4	
<b>88</b> 173:15	
<b>89</b> 216:13,14	
07210.13,14	
	•