## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

CASCADIA WATER, LLC

Respondent.

**DOCKET UW-240151** 

# CROSS-EXAMINATION EXHIBIT OF RACHEL STARK ON BEHALF OF THE WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT

EXHIBIT RS-\_X

Staff Discovery Response to WCAW DR 142

**February 6, 2025** 

## WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION STAFF RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST

DATE PREPARED: January 10, 2025 WITNESS: Rachel Stark

DOCKET: UW-240151 RESPONDER: Rachel Stark TELEPHONE: 360-664-1272

### **DATA REQUEST NO. 142:**

When did you become aware that Cascadia replaced reservoirs (Major Projects 3, 7 and 8 per CJL 1T p. 9) with systems designed for greater capacity than that needed for either existing connection or approved connections?

#### **RESPONSE:**

Staff is aware of the argument that has been made by other parties about certain reservoirs being designed for greater capacity than needed for exiting or approved connections. Staff conducted site visits on April 22 and 23, 2024, and observed the new construction for projects 3 and 7 on those days. Staff reviewed the costs for all three projects as submitted in the Company filing on February 29, 2024. Staff's investigation did not require any determinations about the capacity of the infrastructure for projects 3, 7, or 8, or whether that capacity "exceeded what was needed for existing connections or approved connections". Staff's only requirements for rate setting was to determine whether the infrastructure complied with DOH requirements for those systems and to verify the cost of the infrastructure, which it did.