BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

CASCADIA WATER, LLC

Respondent.

DOCKET UW-240151

CROSS-EXAMINATION EXHIBIT OF RACHEL STARK ON BEHALF OF THE WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT

EXHIBIT RS-_X

Staff Discovery Response to WCAW DR 43

February 6, 2025

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION STAFF RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST

DATE PREPARED: January 10, 2025 WITNESS: Rachel Stark

DOCKET: UW-240151 RESPONDER: Rachel Stark REQUESTER: WCAW TELEPHONE: 360-664-1272

DATA REQUEST NO. 43:

Introduction to WCAC DR 32-64. These data requests refer to and incorporate by reference the following TESTIMONY OF RACHEL STARK Exh. RS -1T, p. 4.

"Q. How does Staff determine whether a cost or expense was prudently incurred? A. Staff follows established regulatory principles and considers whether the company acted reasonably based on what the company knew, or should have known, at the time it made the decision to incur the cost. To do so, Staff looks at, among other things, whether the expense was necessary, whether the company considered alternatives, and whether the company documented its decision-making process for later review".

These data requests relate to whether Staff applied the standard above to each of the 14 projects Cascadia identifies as "major". See CJL 1T pp. 9-10 (hereinafter "Cascadia's major capital improvements". These data requests speak to all Staff efforts, not just those of Ms. Stark.

Please identify all documentation produced by Cascadia which Staff deemed relevant in its assessment of whether Cascadia "considered alternatives" for each of Cascadia's major capital improvements.

RESPONSE:

None.