Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. III

In the Matter of Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation

April 24, 2018



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

| In the Matter of the Joint Application of HYDRO ONE LIMITED (acting through its indirect subsidiary, Olympus Equity LLC) |)) | Docket | U-170970 |
|--|-----|--------|----------|
| and |) | | |
| AVISTA CORPORATION For an Order Authorizing Proposed |) | | |
| Transaction. |) | | |
| | - | | |

PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING - VOL. III April 24, 2018

Colville Public Library

Transcribed By: Shelby Kay K. Fukushima, CCR 2028
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
1325 Fourth Avenue
Suite 1840
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.287.9066 I Seattle
360.534.9066 I Olympia
800.846.6989 I National
www.buellrealtime.com

```
Page 143
                                   -000-
 1
                             April 24, 2018
 2.
 4
           CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Good afternoon, everybody.
           COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: You have to speak up.
           CHAIRMAN DANNER: We don't have a microphone, so I'm
 6
        going to use my outdoor voice. This microphone is just for
 8
        the purposes of the videographer who is going to be keeping
 9
        a record of this so that we can have a transcript of the
10
        hearing today.
           My name is Dave Danner, and I'm the chair of the
11
12
        Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
        joined by my colleagues Commissioner Jay Balasbas and
13
14
        Commissioner Ann Rendahl. And we three are the Commission
        that regulates the rates and services of investor-owned
15
16
        natural gas and electric utilities in the State of
17
        Washington, and, of course, that means we regulate Avista.
18
           And as you know, because you're here today, Avista is in
19
        the process right now of seeking regulatory approval for a
        merger with Hydro One Limited. I'm not going to go through
20
        the specifics of it. You should have a handout that was
21
22
        provided by the Attorney General's Public Counsel Unit, and
23
        that lays out the specifics of the proceeding that was filed
24
        on September 14, 2017. And we have before us, currently, a
25
        settlement that's been proposed by several of the parties in
```

1 this proceeding.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 The way the UTC works is that when we have a proceeding 3 like this, we deal with it as an adjudication; that is it's just like a court case. The three of us are judges, and in 4 the proceedings before us, there are several parties. 5 Avista is a party. The Attorney General's Office is the 6 consumer advocate, and they are a party. Environmental 8 groups. Labor organizations. Large industrial customers of 9 both natural gas and electric service. So we have quite a group in most of our proceedings. 10

But we also like to get out into the communities and hear from the actual customers of the utilities, and get their views on these proceedings that we have. And that's why we're here today in Colville, and thank you very much for coming out on a sunny afternoon to sit in the basement and listen to me talk. I appreciate it.

So without further ado, we have several people signed up to give us their views on the proceeding that's before us, the proposed merger. And so without further ado, what I'm going to do is just start at the top of the sign-in list. If you want to speak and you haven't signed in, please move to Mr. Roberts there. Raise your hand. He has the sign-in sheets, and he would be glad to make sure that you are signed up.

The way these proceedings work, though, is that this is

- 1 your testimony to us. We will not engage in a dialogue, so
- any questions you ask, we're going to deem them to be
- 3 rhetorical questions. And, unfortunately, we can't get
- 4 involved in a conversation. That's just not the way that
- 5 our processes work.
- If you have specific questions and you're looking for
- 7 answers, there are representatives of Avista here. There
- 8 are also the Attorney General's Public Counsel. She is the
- 9 consumer advocate for the customers of investor-owned
- 10 utilities. And she's here, and she can answer any specific
- 11 questions you have about the particulars of the proposals
- that are before the UTC right now.
- So without further ado, I'm just going to call folks up.
- And I'm going to call you up in twos so that we can move
- things along. And I'll start at the top of the sheet, so
- 16 let me ask if Mark and Linda Youngblood are here, and if you
- 17 would like to come forward.
- MR. YOUNGBLOOD: It will just be myself. My wife isn't
- 19 going to speak.
- 20 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Please come forward, sir.
- 21 And, Valda Pancoast, would you also come forward.
- MS. PANCOAST: Where do you want us?
- 23 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, have a chair right there.
- 24 MS. PANCOAST: Aw, we have to talk to you and not to
- 25 them?

- 1 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well --
- MS. PANCOAST: I'm just kidding.
- 3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: -- that's why we're here.
- 4 All right. So, Mr. Youngblood, why don't you start.
- 5 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Okay. Is it okay if I read a letter
- from former Prosecutor Brockett from Spokane? Can I read a
- 7 letter from him?
- 8 CHAIRMAN DANNER: You may do that. I would ask that any
- 9 remarks you make, please, because we have a lot of people
- 10 here, just I ask you not to take too much time.
- MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So we're not going to call time on you
- unless you really take a long time, but --
- MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Okay. Thank you for...
- 15 CHAIRMAN DANNER: -- (inaudible).
- 16 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Thank you for taking our questions, our
- 17 comments, and I'll just give you my own comments here.
- 18 I understand that Hydro One is 49 percent Ontario owned,
- 19 and the other 51 percent, we don't have any idea who owns
- the other 51 percent of Hydro One.
- 21 And also Canada is a socialist country, and why was it
- announced on media outlets and letters sent out to employees
- and et cetera like it was already a done deal? I heard that
- there were letters sent out to the employees of Avista that
- 25 this was pretty much going to happen.

Page 147 And like I said, Canada is a socialist country, and why 1 2 in the world would we ever allow a socialist country to 3 control any part of our infrastructure? It's a national 4 security issue, as far as I'm concerned, and it's not in the public interest. And I would vote -- the Commission to vote 6 no. And how can Public Counsel claim to represent the public 8 interest and be in favor of Hydro One, a company owned by a 9 socialist country, wholly owning Avista? And on this brochure that I have here, the information 10 11 sheet, it says (as read): "The joint applicants proposed a 12 merger resulting in Avista becoming an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Hydro One." 13 14 And being a republic as we are, I feel we have no 15 business being invaded by a socialist company like this 16 taking over part of our infrastructure, and I would vote --I would urge you guys to vote against this for the sake of 17 18 our country. It's a national security issue to have any 19 foreign entity having any part of our infrastructure, and I would urge you to vote no. 20 21 MALE SPEAKER: Amen. 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. 23 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: So...

CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Ms. Pancoast, please go

24

25

ahead.

- 1 MS. PANCOAST: All right. The first thing I want to say
- is that Avista is a public utility, and it's a monopoly.
- 3 There's no place else I can go to get my electricity.
- 4 MALE SPEAKER: Right.
- 5 MS. PANCOAST: The second problem I have is I have no
- 6 vote in Canada. I have no power if a Canadian company
- 7 decides to do something I don't like, and it sets a horrible
- 8 precedent.
- 9 What's to keep China from buying out Hydro One? How am I
- going to stop that if they decide to do it that way? It is
- simply wrong to have a public utility monopoly owned outside
- 12 this country, and I think you should vote against it. I'm
- against it. I, myself, don't care. I'm not going to live
- long enough for the rates to go up, but my children and
- 15 grandchildren, they're kicking the can down the road.
- And the other thing is why are we having this in the
- afternoon when the poor stiffs who work for a living can't
- 18 be here?
- 19 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yep; yeah.
- 20 MS. PANCOAST: Thank you. I'm done.
- 21 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. Thank you very much.
- 22 All right. Guy Burnell and Mike Brown.
- 23 All right. Go ahead, sir.
- MR. BURNELL: All right. My comments are fairly
- 25 scattered, actually, but they're mostly bullet points I

- 1 would like to make. And I'll start off by saying that I'm
- 2 against the sale to Hydro One.
- 3 The gentleman before me gave some convincing arguments to
- 4 support my position here, but over the years the cost of
- 5 energy from Avista -- and the lady made the point that who
- 6 else do you go to -- from 2004, 2004 to the present, have
- 7 basically doubled not only in rates, but the per meter
- 8 charges have gone from \$5 to \$8.50, which is more than
- 9 double.
- And my primary belief is that this is due to the green
- energy movement of wind, solar, and biomass that's dictated
- to Avista by the federal government, and if anybody can tell
- me the cost of generating a kilowatt hour by these,
- 14 quote/unquote, green alternatives, I would like to see it
- 15 because I haven't been able to find it anywhere. Avista
- hasn't been able to give me the cost of a kilowatt generated
- by wind or solar or biomass, and what I have found, however,
- is that hydroelectric power to date is the cheapest for
- 19 kilowatt price.
- 20 Who is going to have control if Hydro One buys Avista?
- 21 Who is going to have control over the rate increases? Will
- the same process exist as it does today? In other words,
- 23 will the utility commission have the say over, or will they
- 24 be included in the conversation about increasing rates from
- 25 Hydro One? I don't know that answer, and that's a

- 1 rhetorical question.
- 2 This is not something that is new. If you'll recall, and
- I'm sure you remember, that Puget Sound electric was sold to
- 4 an Australian company recently.
- 5 And I would like to also point out that Amanda Maxwell,
- 6 she seems to be a spokesperson for the Commission, made the
- 7 statement that we have a statute called the "net benefits
- 8 standard." And to me that means there has to be a benefit
- 9 not only to Avista and its shareholders, which seems to be
- the primary beneficiary of this at the tune of \$5.3 billion,
- and yet the credit, there's supposed to be a credit they
- 12 were to receive for ten years, and the statement was that
- the credit would save a typical residential customer about
- 14 \$4.32 a year. That just doesn't seem like a net benefit.
- So in closing, I would like to say that this reminds me
- of -- and I'm sure you've all heard this on the news --
- 17 Uranium One, sale of 20 percent of U.S. uranium to Russia.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- Mr. Brown, go ahead.
- 21 MR. BROWN: Hi, my name is Mike Brown. I'm the assistant
- 22 business manager for Local 77 of the International
- 23 Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. I represent
- 24 approximately 650 workers for Avista Utilities. And
- 25 yesterday I spoke in Spokane, and I just wanted to elaborate

- on some of my comments that I -- that I had yesterday.
- 2 I represent the worker, and we take a very large pride
- and also some values in the work that we do. We represent
- 4 lineman, hydro operators, hydro mechanics, gas workers,
- meter readers, so on and so forth with the company.
- And Avista's employees and our members are union members.
- 7 They work really hard. They take a lot of value in the work
- 8 that they do, and with that, we also have a lot of value in
- 9 and also pride just within the industry.
- 10 When you look across the utility industry as a whole,
- it's a -- it's a very complex business, and we understand
- that. But also, too, there's a lot of workers and people
- out there out in -- out in the right-of-ways in the streets
- doing their thing, and they take a lot of pride and a lot
- 15 value in that.
- So with that, we've had a really good relationship with
- 17 Avista over the years dating back to Washington Water Power,
- and so when we learned about -- also, too, we take a lot of
- 19 pride and value in our apprenticeships and the way that
- 20 we're trained in order to put together a skilled workforce
- 21 that can construct and maintain the facilities of Avista,
- and we work really hard at that.
- 23 And so when we learned about basically part of this
- 24 settlement that there was an agreement with another entity,
- 25 the laborers' entity in regards to doing work on the gas

pipeline infrastructure and even hydroelectric projects, 1 2 although, you know, people, you know, can do business and 3 can contract and do the work, we also take a lot of value in the fact that some of these other entities may not have the training or the apprenticeships to do the type of work that other entities like the IBEW, or even people out in the fields that do work within the pipeline infrastructure and 8 different things like that. You've got the kind of skilled 9 workforce out there that can put together an infrastructure in the Avista system. Whether it be overhead power lines, 10 underground power lines, gas pipeline infrastructure, 11 12 whatever it may be, you definitely want people that are going to be working on those types of things that's going to 13 14 give you the quality and the things that you want.

So with that, those were the -- the few things that I wanted to kind of elaborate on is just the values and the importance that we hold as the workers in respect to all of that, and thank you very much for your time. We thank you for you guys coming out and listening to the voice of the public in regards to this matter, and thank you very much.

- 21 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Brown.
- 22 All right. Joel Shank and Jeanne Shank?
- 23 MR. SHANK: Just me.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 24 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Just you. Okay, sir.
- 25 And Bill Kruk (phonetic); is that right?

Page 153 MR. KROLL: Probably Kroll. 1 COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: Kroll. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Oh, Kroll. Okay. Come forward. 4 ahead, sir. I have been a customer and a stockholder in Washington Water Power since the early '70s. 6 I was a stockholder and customer of Puget Sound Energy for nearly 20 8 And I watched that sale take away my income that I 9 had planned to retire on as dividends, and I see those profits going to Australia. 10 11 Now, I'm seeing the same thing appear to unfold with 12 Washington Water Power, or Avista, as it's now known. concerns me that the country is sending the money outside of 13 14 the United States, outside of Washington in particular. 15 Both of these companies were built in this state. They are 16 employed -- or they do employ residents of this state, and 17 now we're talking about sending whatever profit there is outside of the entire country. 18 19 That deeply concerns me, so that I hope that you will take into consideration that we're not literally offshoring 20 our money for Washington -- or Avista that we did for Puget 21 22 Sound Energy. I would really like to see things like this 23 stay within the country. 24 And I know that the utilities are tightly controlled. 25 presume they will remain tightly controlled even if they're

- 1 held by a foreign agency, but it doesn't belong anywhere
- 2 else but here. That's it.
- 3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- 4 Go ahead.
- 5 MR. KROLL: Yeah, I'm Bill Kroll. I live in the area
- 6 around Springdale, Washington, in Stevens County. I'm just
- 7 here to speak for myself.
- 8 The wife and I lived in Clarkston, Washington, from 1984
- 9 to 1989. During that time, the City of Clarkston sold their
- 10 water rights, their water system, to an individual in
- 11 France. And of course they had contracts and regulations
- 12 that -- and regulators that he could only -- that they had
- to be fair with the water rates and that sort of thing. And
- then they found out that since the owner was in a foreign
- 15 country, all of the contracts and regulations and regulating
- boards didn't mean a single thing. They couldn't do a thing
- 17 to him.
- 18 He doubled and tripled the water rates. The water costs
- more than the electricity. People couldn't afford to water
- 20 their lawns, and after about five years, the City of
- 21 Clarkston bankrupted the town to buy it back from them.
- 22 And it was -- anyhow, the main point is that they found
- out all of the regulations and contracts with somebody in a
- foreign country couldn't be touched. It didn't mean
- anything. And that seems to me the same thing is going to

- 1 happen here.
- 2 And just one little idea here. Grant County, Chelan
- 3 County, and Douglas County in the State of Washington have a
- 4 public utility district. They have the cheapest electricity
- 5 in the United States. Why don't we just put Avista into a
- 6 public utility district?
- 7 FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.
- 8 MR. KROLL: Or even a cooperative. It just seems to me
- 9 that the whole reason behind what's going on is that
- 10 Avista's CEOs and things are just going to end up with a bag
- of money, and that the customers of Avista are going to be
- 12 really sorry.
- And just on a more positive note. We lived here by
- 14 Springdale for 29 years, and I have always been impressed
- 15 how they -- when we had a big windstorm or something and
- lost power how the Avista employees could come out in the
- 17 middle of the night and would fix things in a hurry. They
- 18 were always great. Thank you very much.
- 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- Okay. Bob Jackma -- did I say that right? -- and Michael
- 21 Nichols, please come forward.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Who goes first?
- MR. JACKMA: Why don't you go first.
- MR. NICHOLS: Oh, okay. I'm Mike Nichols. I'm a
- 25 resident of -- close to Colville and been a customer of

Avista for many years. And I appreciate the fellow just talked about the fact that Avista's always given really good service. We've always appreciated that. And when we go to a foreign entity, how are we going to be able to control that quality of service?

They had a fellow talking about the fact that when you go to a foreign entity like this, any profits go to that foreign entity. So we'll have a net drain of funds in the area that this affects, so it's an economy drain.

We had one fellow talking about the fact that he represented the workers who work for the utility who are under contract with the utility. We might recognize that federal law requires that you don't have to contract with anybody that you don't want to and by doing this, it is going to require people to actually lose their -- that ability to determine if they can exercise their right under the law or lose their jobs because of the -- we're different than they are in Canada. We are a country which often people say is a democracy, but we're not. We're a republic, and we have rights that other countries don't have.

Somebody mentioned that Canada is a communal country, and the government controls them. I think that we need to recognize that we need to maintain local control. We don't need to have the government and Canada controlling our power rates and -- and even the employees in this area. We need

to keep that as local as possible so that people can

maintain their services, they can maintain their rights, and

they are not affected by -- as one person mentioned, I have

seen this on a number of occasions when people from foreign

entities bought into and then suddenly you couldn't -- they

had no control over it.

And there was -- and I think we'll find that's true to some real great extent here when you get to where we don't control what happens, and it gets out of our control. It gets out of our country. And if we start diluting our country into other situations, then what have we got anymore if we can't maintain our representative form of government because the foreign entity is controlling our utilities?

Power is it. There are two really, really important things. Water and power. And we find some people trying to mess with our water now and the water bank and take our water rights away. But we have to have to power. And if we allow that to go to a foreign entity and controls of that, which we will have limited control here, then we have lost something, and it's something very important.

And the only reason that they're doing it is somebody's going to make big money off of this. They're not doing it in the interest of the public because the public's got good service and good rates and stuff like that. Somebody's going to make big money, and it's not the people that are

- 1 actually paying for it.
- I appreciate the opportunity to speak. I have done a
- 3 number of meetings like this where they just blah-blah and
- 4 you have no opportunity for input. Thank you very much.
- 5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. Appreciate it.
- 6 All right. Mr. Jackma?
- 7 MR. JACKMA: Thank you for allowing us to have this say.
- 8 So I -- this was given out at the last moment. I had no
- 9 opportunity to look at it or see what it says, so I have to
- 10 speak without this knowledge.
- 11 The knowledge I do have is the information pamphlet that
- Avista provided us in the bill, and it brings out some very
- 13 significant points. And I think the first thing we should
- 14 look at is Hydro One is on -- is Canada's largest
- 15 electricity transmission and distribution. It doesn't say
- 16 that they're the largest generator. In other words, their
- 17 business is transporting power from one area to another, and
- 18 I think this is very significant.
- 19 All right. Going further into the board of directors.
- They've got nine members, four of which for Avista, five
- 21 for, let's say, Hydro One, which is Canada, and so already
- the say-so of the new merged corporation is going to lie
- with Hydro One. And then further, as to the makeup of the
- board, what they say here of the five members, three of them
- will reside in the Pacific Northwest.

- 1 Well, that's a very broad area. Does that include
- 2 British Columbia? Would they be British Columbia residents
- or citizens, or would they be Washington State citizens with
- 4 the interest of Washington State first and primary? So
- 5 that's something else. Are they going to be U.S. citizens,
- these three people on the board, or are they going to be
- 7 Canadian citizens? We don't know. It's not touched.
- 8 But you got to go a lot by -- on these things as to what
- 9 they don't say, and what they don't say is what's going to
- 10 go -- what my opinion is, the primary reason for this whole
- 11 merger, and it goes back historically about three decades
- ago. I think the real goal is to transport Canadian
- electricity through Avista's serving area to other parts of
- our nation southbound, perhaps areas which are power short
- 15 like California. Arizona. It could even be northern Mexico
- 16 for all we know. But, anyway, I think this is the real goal
- 17 because this is what Hydro One's primary business is.
- Now, we'll go back a little bit historically, and since
- 19 I'm a 45-year resident of Columbia River Valley, I was
- actively involved in what happened.
- 21 Approximately 30 years ago, Avista, then known as WWP,
- 22 attempted to bring Canadian power down through the Columbia
- 23 River Valley all the way to Spokane and, hence, further on.
- 24 I don't know.
- But, anyway, we citizens, our neighbors, we organized an

- opposition to this called "NOPE," Neighbors Opposed to Power
- 2 Exploration.
- Anyway, so Avista, or WWP, needed the, let's say,
- 4 approval of the federal Department of Energy to get -- to
- build this line, and, eventually, through our efforts and
- other people's efforts, they decided not to. And one of the
- 7 reasons they decided not to is this power line was going to
- be built through private property, not government's
- property, federal or state forests, for instance.
- 10 And the reason being, we found out, is that there are
- 11 many environmental restrictions in order to build power
- 12 lines through these government properties. These
- restrictions do not apply to private properties, especially
- 14 residential areas, and our concern was the Columbia River
- Valley, which is one of the last free-flowing river sections
- with, let's say, scenic beauty left in the United States.
- 17 And to run a power line down that would scar it. Disfigure
- 18 it.
- 19 And it's also an economically depressed area, and as such
- our -- at least in my view, the only let's say possible way
- 21 that we can really improve the lot of our area and the
- 22 citizens of the, let's say, Stevens County, is through tours
- and recreation, and this power line coming down it would
- 24 affect that.
- 25 So the Department of Energy, through our efforts and

- other efforts, declined to approval, and I feel that this is
- the real -- where's the money going to come from? Who's
- 3 probably going to profit by selling out the -- well, let's
- 4 say they call it a "merger," but it's probably more a sale
- since Hydro One's taking over. How are they going to
- 6 profit? We've already got low rates. We don't have a need
- for improvement, is the way I look at it, and I think this
- 8 is a criteria.
- 9 And I think the other thing is I believe your mandate is
- 10 for the interest of Washington State, the public good, the
- 11 public welfare, and I don't think it's being met by this
- 12 power line. It might benefit these other areas further on
- down, but that's about all I have to say, except one other
- thing getting into the sovereignty issue.
- 15 With power, which is a very necessary thing and the --
- our modern society is dependent upon it, you're giving what
- 17 essentially amounts to Hydro One having a -- let's say a
- 18 governing ownership percentage-wise by the government of
- 19 Ontario. You're giving a foreign government a right to
- 20 exercise eminent domain to seize the property in order to
- 21 build this power line, and I think there's something
- 22 basically wrong with that. And Canada is a good neighbor at
- 23 present, but we never know what's going to happen in the
- 24 future.
- I have one more comment. I hope this doesn't go through,

- 1 but I think from now on our states -- and the federal
- 2 government has not been doing it yet. We should put as a
- 3 requirement on any such changes that our power lines and
- 4 power generators in Washington State, which is your domain,
- be hardened against EMP and against major solar flares,
- 6 which knocked out our so-called electrical system, solar
- 7 flares did in 1859, which amount to telegraph situations.
- And if you look at it now, it would completely devastate
- 9 our modern society if we had a solar flare or an EMP done by
- 10 hostile nations, so I thank you very much.
- 11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- 12 MALE SPEAKER: That was beautiful.
- 13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. David Paperd?
- MALE SPEAKER: He's up, huh?
- 15 MR. PAPERD: And this is just for recording, not for
- 16 increasing...
- 17 CHAIRMAN DANNER: That's right. You still have to use
- 18 your outdoor voice, but this is just for keeping the
- 19 (inaudible).
- 20 MR. PAPERD: Okay. Good enough. Well, I saw what you
- 21 did yesterday, and I liked that there were a lot more
- 22 liberals there than there are here today. I want to say
- that I do not support the merger, and I urge you all to vote
- 24 against it.
- 25 A long time ago, personally, I put in an offer on a water

- 1 company on Vashon Island where the land owner and the water
- 2 company owned an undivided half interest on every gallon of
- 3 water. I left the offer open, and after months the seller
- 4 came to me and said, If I bought the property, would I
- 5 charge for the water?
- And I reflected on that for some length, and I answered
- 7 not right away, but over time, I would definitely. I'm
- 8 charged for every gallon used. Dividing one-half interest
- 9 of the ownership meant that I owned every gallon that people
- were drinking. Sooner or later, I would charge them for it.
- I didn't think there was anything immoral about it. I just
- think that that's what's going to happen.
- 13 My opinion is that over time this merger -- if you want
- to call it a merger. It's not a merger. It's a purchase,
- 15 \$5.3 million cash for all the outstanding shares, and then,
- oh, by the way, we're not on the New York Stock Exchange
- 17 anymore.
- 18 My opinion is that over time, this will come to no good
- 19 for the local community. It is the community's water
- 20 company -- power company. It isn't Avista's. It's ours.
- 21 We pay for it. It's our cash flow. Our guaranteed monthly
- cost, which we absolutely must pay for a product that we can
- absolutely not live without, is an absolutely envious source
- to a business. Envious source of money.
- 25 Wow. We are a guaranteed cash flow source to the

company, any company, if they have control of this asset. A lovely investment for a buyer.

I would not presume -- I didn't presume yesterday after I heard some of the comments -- I would not presume to tell Avista or Hydro One how to run their businesses. That is if coal strips, clean air fears, or maintenance considerations, anything that the company has to do, but they do a fabulous job, and I want local control to reside here for maintenance and service without trying to get approval from a disinterested Ontario, Canada company.

Yesterday I heard regarding global warming and coral reef loss because of global warming. I do not share those fears. I think we have a very short attention span. We only live 70 to 90 years, and the Earth is maybe 30, 40 billion years old.

50,000 years ago, there was no water on either the north or the south poles, so I'm not one to say that my 70 years would have made a big change. We probably have, but I'm not to say that.

If I were to presume to tell Avista how to run their business, I -- and I understood they were here, so I want to say this out loud -- I would tell them that they have an unequivocal moral responsibility to harden their system against any large stray current electrical EMT.

I've read an interesting book which suggests that without

- 1 power on a society-wide basis, 90 percent of the people will
- die, and that we will be thrust back 200 years. Interesting
- 3 notion.
- In the event of a nuclear attack above our atmosphere,
- which I just can't help but believe that that's in the
- future somehow possibly, resulting in a loss of power for
- many years, we cannot live without power.
- I foresee no long-term benefit to us as a user community
- 9 for them to buy this business. It worked beautifully. Now,
- 10 why would you guys want to fix it? Thank you so much.
- 11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 12 Okay. Madonna Jones and Edwin Iverson?
- MS. JONES: Thank you for coming up to hear what we have
- 14 to say, and thank you for the work you've already done on
- this merger. I'm a science teacher, so I'm coming from a
- little bit different perspective maybe than a lot of the
- 17 people in the crowd because I am concerned about climate
- 18 change and...
- 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Can you speak up just a little bit,
- Ms. Jones?
- 21 MS. JONES: Oh, okay. Schoolteacher voice.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yes.
- 23 MS. JONES: And I do want to see a reduction in the use
- of fossil fuels to generate electricity, and so the issues
- 25 that I want to address are if the merger should pass in

- 1 regards to the coal strip mining.
- 2 So I understand that from the work that's already been
- done if the merger goes through that the depreciation date
- 4 has changed from 2037 to 2027 for 3 and 4, which is what
- 5 Avista's involved with, so, you know, thank you for working
- 6 that out.
- 7 And from what I understand, though, that's really not a
- 8 guarantee that the plant will be closed. And so I would
- 9 like to see that that happens, as I understand the other
- 10 utilities that are, you know, involved in the coal strip
- 11 plant will be pulling out in 2027, and I think Avista should
- 12 do that as well.
- I believe that the replacement energy after coal strip is
- 14 gone should come from renewable energy. Coal is
- environmentally disastrous. It's an outdated technology.
- 16 Actually wind and solar are now at par with generation from
- 17 coal, and the new technology will continue to get cheaper,
- 18 whereas the costs of coal to human health, air, water, our
- 19 climate will not be declining.
- 20 So I just think, you know, we need to look towards the
- 21 future and what kinds of technology our kids will be using.
- 22 Just like Ford isn't still rolling out Model Ts, we
- 23 shouldn't be getting electricity from coal. It's just a
- 24 technological dead end.
- 25 And so, hopefully, those jobs that would be lost there

- 1 could be replaced with renewable energy, and those existing
- 2 transmission lines could send us electricity.
- 3 You know, I'm just a science teacher. I can't understand
- 4 all of the financial aspects to big mergers like this. But,
- 5 clearly, there must be money to be made. Otherwise, it
- 6 wouldn't be happening.
- 7 So, you know, I live in a beautiful area. It's a
- 8 resource-based economy. You know, we have, you know,
- 9 incredible forests and streams. But as a resource-based
- 10 economy, our incomes are more low. Our quality of life is
- 11 very high, but our income's not so much.
- 12 And so I feel like since there must be money being made
- if this merger goes through, the costs, which are going to
- 14 be enormous of cleaning up that coal strip mine and starting
- into the new technology and the new renewable resources that
- 16 the money that that takes, should not come from the
- 17 ratepayers.
- 18 It's the -- the shareholders who are, you know, taking
- financial risks. They're the one that get all of the profit
- 20 at the end because it's partly -- and what's not all a
- 21 public utility, it's -- part of it is there for profit. And
- so if they're going to get the profits, then they also need
- 23 to accept the costs of cleaning up the coal plant and
- 24 getting us going on some of the other renewable energy.
- 25 So it seems like I'm, you know, at the federal level as

- far as climate change. We're not seeing much progress. And
 I think local utilities -- I mean, this -- you folks are
 local government, and so I hope that you will represent us
 in the future and to help see us move into, you know, these
 new technologies that are just like -- you know, my dad grew
 up farming with mules, but when he died, he had cell phones,
 you know?
- So if I imagine forward what my kids will see compared to
 what I see, I hope you will consider them and to keep us
 moving forward and the technology advances that are just
 coming like crazy as far as energy, so thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
- 13 All right. Go ahead, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. IVERSON: Yes. My name is Edwin Iverson. I'm
 opposed to this merger or buyout for the reasons that's
 already been mentioned, one of them being national security.
 - The energy resource is a critical resource for national security, and we have just been through -- for the last 50 years, we have been through an attempt to regain energy independence from the Arab states, and here we are right in the middle of giving energy independence away to a foreign entity. I think that's an extremely dangerous precedent.
- And the other thing is, is that with -- the rates are -
 I can't imagine what -- they won't go up after this buyout,

 for some of the same reasons that my table mate here just

- 1 mentioned that Canada is hell-bent for alternative energy
- 2 resources. I think the time has not come anywhere near
- 3 for -- for it to be considering that. Alternative energy is
- 4 still very much more expensive than hydro and other sources
- of power and, therefore, since Canada is so obsessed with
- 6 alternative energy and green energy, that's going to drive
- 7 the decisions regarding the resources that our energy comes
- 8 from.
- 9 So those are all the things that have already been
- 10 mentioned, but I come from -- most recently I come from the
- 11 Pullman area in Washington, and so I have been through some
- of the dam wars. And so there's a lot of concern -- I think
- justified -- that if we are controlled by -- if our energy
- resources are controlled by a company that is obsessed with
- alternative energy, they're also -- we know that they're
- hostile to our hydroelectric dams, and so there's going to
- 17 be a lot of pressure, a renewed pressure, to take out the
- dams.
- 19 And this has got a lot of implications on agriculture, on
- 20 shipping, on recreation, and all of that, in addition to
- 21 rates, and so I believe that it would be a very bad
- 22 precedent to give authority or give this kind of authority
- 23 to a foreign agency that is obsessed with alternative
- 24 energy. So I encourage you to vote against it.
- 25 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.

- Okay. We have some people who have signed up as maybe,
- 2 so maybe you can clarify yes or no.
- 3 Mary Selecky, would you like to come forward? I haven't
- 4 seen you on this side of the state before.
- 5 MS. SELECKY: Well, it's my home for 44 years.
- 6 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I know that.
- 7 MS. SELECKY: My name is Mary Selecky, and I have been a
- 8 customer of both Washington Water Power and Avista. And my
- 9 point for speaking today is to (a) say thank you to them for
- 10 the excellent kind of service they have given to us. I was
- 11 recently -- now three summers ago -- in a huge windstorm,
- and they worked night and day to restore power just out the
- 13 Tiger (phonetic) Highway 16 miles.
- 14 So my request of the Commission is should this go through
- that you hold whatever the new company is called to standard
- that certainly we've been used to and should be used to
- 17 because Avista's set the bar quite high. And I'm pleased
- 18 with the kind of work they have done, so make sure we get
- 19 good service in our rural areas, okay?
- 20 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.
- 21 MS. SELECKY: Nice to see you, David.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Nice to see you.
- 23 MS. SELECKY: And you too, Jay. And I'm sorry. I don't
- know you, but, Hello.
- 25 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: It is good to meet you.

Page 171 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Ken and Candy Barker, you 1 also signed in as maybes. 3 MR. BARKER: She's not going to, but I will. 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. Come forward. MR. BARKER: Because I came in a couple minutes late, you 6 guys represent who? CHAIRMAN DANNER: We are the Utilities and Transportation 8 Commission, so we're the State --9 MR. BARKER: Of the Washington State? 10 CHAIRMAN DANNER: We're the State agency that --11 MR. BARKER: Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: -- regulates our utilities. 13 MR. BARKER: My name is Ken Barker, and I live in Loon 14 Lake. And I'm 110 percent against this. I wonder how many people have thought of this word, "treason," happening to 15 16 our country. And by some foreign entity coming into our 17 country -- such as the power -- is highly treasonous, and I

Also the Seattle deal with the Australian company taking 20 21 that water system -- that was a water system, if I remember 22 That is another treasonous act to our country, and 23 I'm against all of this stuff that's going on.

hope to be able to talk to Inslee about it which might not

18

19

go any place.

24 The last administration sunk us down the tube, and now 25 with this power stuff that's coming on, I just don't think

- we should be doing it at all or even entertaining it. Thank
- 2 you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- 4 All right. Holly O'Connell. You signed up as maybe.
- 5 Okay. So you're a yes, too?
- 6 MS. O'CONNELL: Yes.
- 7 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Come forward.
- 8 .MS. O'CONNELL: Thank you. Yes. My name is Holly
- 9 O'Connell, and thank you for you folks coming here to
- 10 Colville. I've had property here for over 50 years, so this
- is not someplace I just happened into, and I have a couple
- of questions for you.
- I would like to know what renewable energy sources will
- be the focus point, and I see in some of your literature it
- 15 discusses gas.
- And so is that -- does that mean that they're going to be
- 17 pushing for more gas consumption and transmission? Because
- 18 I pay close attention to the pipeline for the oil through
- 19 central United States, and I watched on C-SPAN the hearings
- 20 relative to that pipeline that was going over our major
- 21 farming areas of the United States and an acknowledgement
- finally by the Canadian company that was really pushing for
- 23 this that this wouldn't be any oil sold in the United
- 24 States. Their objective was to get that oil to Texas where
- it would be refined and shipped around the world, so you

- 1 know there's -- the rest of the story is always important
- 2 from what it looks like on the surface.
- And in this area, as Donna Jones mentioned, you know, the
- 4 income isn't all that great. And so I have serious feelings
- 5 about numbers that are sent out like the average household
- 6 will use this much or their rates will increase this much
- 7 and this is what it will cost you. Because if you've been a
- 8 schoolteacher, as she suggested, that you know if you have
- 9 two zeros in the classroom and two one hundreds, the average
- is fifty, which represents no one. So if your head is in
- the refrigerator and your feet are in the oven, on average,
- 12 you're just right, right?
- Okay. And I just recently looked up the demographics for
- this area, and 30 percent of the people in this area have a
- household income of \$35,000 or less. That's 30 percent.
- 16 And so rate increases mean a great deal, and so somebody
- 17 with incomes greater than that don't realize what a -- you
- 18 know what -- how much this impacts so many families.
- 19 And I had -- I was in Spokane Valley -- I used to live
- there and -- where they have Inland Power, and it's a co-op
- as was suggested. And the PUD, of course, got
- 22 electrification to all of this rural area previously, so
- 23 bigger is not always better.
- 24 And I would like to know. I have concerns about what the
- compensation packages are going to be for those in

- administration, whether it's Avista or it goes to another
- 2 entity. You know how much is -- when they raise our rates,
- 3 how much is going into those kinds of benefits for those who
- 4 are not acknowledging those with incomes of \$35,000 or less,
- 5 okay? It's important.
- And relative to other countries having an impact on us, I
- 7 think Canada is a great neighbor, you know, and I think they
- 8 do lots of wonderful things.
- 9 My greater concern right now is the recent acknowledgment
- of hacking not only into our election system, which most
- 11 people have heard about, but it sort of got mentioned and
- then passed on by, that hacking had also been done to our
- 13 electric grid and our water grid.
- 14 So my -- those are my greater concerns about how we use
- 15 technology to protect ourselves or use whatever means and
- the research that needs to be done on protecting our grids
- 17 from hackers, okay?
- 18 So those are -- I think I pretty well covered the things
- that were uppermost in my mind, and I thank you again for
- 20 coming and sitting so patiently to -- listening to all of
- 21 us, and thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.
- MS. O'CONNELL: We'll look forward to your conclusion.
- 24 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much.
- MS. O'CONNELL: Thank you.

Docket No. U-170970 - Vol. III - 4/24/2018 Page 175 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So I just want to clarify. 1 materials that were handed out today, this sheet is from the 3 Attorney General's consumer advocate office and the Attorney General. The other one was from Avista. So we actually haven't handed out anything and... 6 MS. O'CONNELL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DANNER: But they're here in the room, so if you 8 have those questions, you should direct them to the company 9 or to the --10 MS. O'CONNELL: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: -- consumer advocate. 12 Thank you very much. Roland Hornor, are you here, and Sally Leque 13 All right. 14 come forward. 15 MS. LEQUE: Hi. 16 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Hi. Go ahead. 17 MS. LEQUE: My name is Sally Leque, and --18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Oh, okay. 19 MS. LEQUE: -- I live in the Loon Lake area. My main purpose to be here today is concerning the coal 20 21 strip plant in Montana, and I would -- four points I would 22 like to make; that no more ratepayer money past the year

The ratepayers should not be expected to pay for the

maintenance of that plant.

2025 going to -- you know, to prop up the coal strip plant.

23

24

25

- And the second point is the depreciation schedule assumes a remaining useful life until 2027, but there is presently no plan by Avista to close the coal strip by a specific date. So I would be looking for Avista to formulate a plan
- My third point concerns that Avista start setting money
 aside for cleanup and demolition of the plant. There's
 an -- 800 acres of ash ponds which continue to feed toxic
 underground water and -- that's leaked from ponds and has
 contaminated groundwater.

and set a firm date for shut down of that plant.

- The fourth point: Avista has 10 percent ownership share
 of the transmission lines that run about 300 miles to tie
 into the BPA transmission grid. Avista must make a plan for
 transmission of new renewable energy resources from Montana
 to Washington so as not to lose the rights to this
 transmission asset.
- And that's mainly my concerns. I don't want to leave the people in Montana suffering as that coal mine comes to its close.
- 20 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
- 21 MS. LEQUE: Thank you for being here.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you.
- 23 All right. Mr. Hornor?
- 24 MR. HORNER: Roland Hornor from Colville, Wyoming -- how
- 25 about Washington.

- 1 These dams in the Bonneville Power Administration, which
- are up and down the Columbia River and in my understanding,
- 3 even on the Snake River. And I think Avista has a vested
- 4 interest in a good many of them, if not -- I don't know how
- 5 many, but a large number of them.
- 6 These were all built by taxpayer money. American
- 7 taxpayer money. It was built by American labor. American
- 8 engineering. Sits on American soil. They're American
- 9 assets. They're major assets which most countries in the
- 10 world would give their right arm to have if they
- 11 collectively could have such and do such to have them.
- 12 To give control over American valuable assets such as
- power generating systems, coal, nuclear or natural gas
- 14 generating systems, giving them -- even remotely allowing a
- foreign entity to have control or input into the management
- or the use or whatever it may be on the hidden agenda,
- 17 what's really behind the scenes on this, there's no business
- in allowing an alien entity to have this.
- 19 Anybody that was born and raised in the United States,
- 20 hopefully, is interested in assets which will benefit our
- 21 people. These are already, in most cases, completed and
- fixed assets. Most of them -- I don't know if their debts
- are all paid off on that or not. You folks would know more
- about that than I do, but a large percentage of the debts on
- them are completed, and some are and some aren't.

- I don't want these given to any foreign corporation.
- We've got enough trouble with our own management, domestic
- 3 management and the problems that they create without
- 4 worrying about what a foreign entity may do.
- 5 Ontario Power has pretty much clearly stated that they
- 6 are really seriously interested in alternative energy. I
- 7 have no opposition personally to alternative energy other
- 8 than the fact on a widespread scale, you know, for industry
- 9 and things that we needed, you know, it's -- the sun doesn't
- 10 shine.
- 11 Anybody live in northeast Wyoming? They realize that
- there's very little sunshine for about four months out of
- 13 the year. It's just -- it can be done, and people do have
- it. But this is us on a private scale. This is not on a
- national or a statewide or a regional scale. It's just not
- 16 practical at this point in time.
- 17 If you lived in Yuma, Arizona, that would be a little
- different story. Yuma, Arizona, has a reputation of being
- 19 possibly one of the most sunniest spots on the entire
- 20 planet. That would be the spot to put your alternative
- 21 energy type of things.
- 22 Ontario Hydro is, of course, a Canadian corporation.
- 23 They're under the Paris accord. We, to the best of my
- 24 understanding, are not actually under (inaudible) them
- 25 except as far as I believe the governor of the State of

- 1 Washington seems to want to override whatever the federal
- 2 policy is. He's not necessary the only one, but one of
- 3 many.
- 4 And under Ontario Hydro, being a Canadian company or
- 5 corporation very likely in reality is just an offshoot of
- 6 the Bank of England, the Bank of England owning a large
- 7 percentage of the assets of many corporations in Canada, and
- 8 probably secretly many of them down here.
- 9 The Bank of England has been looting the planet for 300
- 10 years, and I look at this possibly as maybe a backdoor
- 11 method of getting the Aryan colonies back gradually under
- 12 the wing of the crown, you know? And that doesn't mean the
- king. Crown is a corporation. I'm not -- it has nothing to
- do with Queen Elizabeth or anything. Crown in England is a
- 15 corporation of which the Bank of England, of course, is
- 16 merely a part of it.
- 17 One of the main concerns should be about the possibility
- of tearing out the existing dams that we already have. They
- 19 have hinted at it, and, of course, hinted that they may make
- 20 up for the loss of power by the alternative energy sources.
- 21 Depending on the part of the country you are living in, it
- 22 might be practical, but we don't live in that part of the
- 23 country, unfortunately.
- 24 The lady that was here a moment ago was mentioning
- 25 something about the coal strip plant over there in southern

- 1 Montana. It's right on the border between Montana and
- Wyoming, and I want to mention a couple of things.
- 3 The Powder River Basin. I worked for 25 years in the
- 4 Powder River Basin railroad. I have been in at virtually
- 5 every mine in the Powder River Basin. I couldn't tell you
- 6 how many times. I have been in many, not necessary all, a
- 7 lot of the regional generating stations.
- 8 And what should be known is when I retired -- this is --
- 9 and they were producing close to 40 percent of the
- 10 generating coal utilized in the entire United States. The
- 11 State of Wyoming, eastern Montana, western North Dakota have
- enough coal -- this is fact -- for 200 years minimum; the
- next 200 years at present rates of usage. The real truth is
- it's closer to 400 years.
- This is discounting the immense amounts of coal that are
- 16 found in other regions of the United States. United
- 17 States -- this is -- this is something that you folks on the
- 18 Commission should know, and you should be telling the public
- instead of the public telling you -- the United States is
- 20 the Saudi Arabia of coal on the planet. We have more coal
- in the United States than any other country in the world.
- There's lots of other coal deposits all around the world,
- but nobody's got what we have.
- We are afraid to use it as if it's dirty.
- 25 FEMALE SPEAKER: It is.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have -- a friend at University of Wyoming 1 MR. HORNER: 2 just told me here about a month ago, Wyoming is a poor state 3 compared to Washington or California and so forth. 4 don't have quite the infrastructure that some of the states out here have, but they have begun to build transmission lines out of the state. They send stupendous amounts of 6 coal out, but they've got to the point where they're putting 8 in generating stations within Wyoming. They always had a 9 few, but they got newer ones. Anyway, they opened a new generating station, and the output particulates, and all 10 this sort of thing, is virtually nonexistent. 11

What I am telling you, the technology is here. It's not in the future. It's not fairytale. It's here now, and it is in use. We have the cleanest generating stations on the planet now, and the ones coming on stream now are cleaner yet. They can't even find any traces of pollution or particulates.

You people should be aware of this. To think about tearing out billions of dollars' worth of facilities, whether they're in Montana near a coal strip, in the generating stations there, or in a mine, that means that you're tearing out all sorts of infrastructure or -- I understand we have several generating plants on the west side over there. You folks are from the west side. You know more about that than I do, but I understand there are

- 1 some over there.
- 2 And whether the people have -- or the governor has
- forbidden the use of them, I'm not familiar with that. But
- 4 you're talking about hundreds and -- tens of hundreds of
- 5 millions, and maybe even a billion dollars each for these
- 6 generating plants and stations. And to tear them out, this
- is a criminal use of taxpayer money because the taxpayers or
- 8 ratepayers paid for every one of them.
- 9 You know, whether it's a mine, whether it's a railroad
- infrastructure, whether it's a -- generating plants,
- 11 taxpayers and customers and consumers pay for every bit of
- that, and nobody's got a right to tear that out. That's a
- 13 taking. That is theft on a national -- and if we have a
- 14 foreign corporation in here running an outfit like Avista,
- 15 you've got alien philosophies and alien people running and
- 16 potentially damaging long term your country.
- I think -- I don't have to tell you that I'm (inaudible)
- 18 very much opposed to this merger. I think it's -- I think
- 19 it's -- I think the occupants of the lunatic asylums have
- 20 been turned loose, and I think they've been put into
- 21 positions of authority to even consider something like this.
- 22 So I trust that you use some reason, logic, and common
- 23 sense and oppose this. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- Okay. Barry Smith, will you come forward, sir, and

- 1 William Zuehl. William Zuehl here? He signed in maybe.
- Go ahead, sir.
- 3 MR. SMITH: Well, this is going to be very brief and
- 4 personal. From my appearance, you can tell that I'm a
- 5 retired worker for Avista, and I went to work there right
- 6 after the Korean War. I was a CB for four years,
- 7 electrician, and went with the company, and it was a great
- 8 company.
- 9 And I worked at relay and test and the electric shop
- 10 going in, and then I spent a lot of time as a system
- operator, worldwide sale and broker, you know, and the other
- two people are equated with that.
- And I agree with just about everything that gentleman
- said, and I (inaudible) that to it because it would just be
- 15 excessive.
- But some of my colleagues with whom I worked asked me to
- 17 mention what happens to retirement funding when Hydro One
- 18 takes over. I realize the transportation and utilities
- 19 commission doesn't generally want to delve into the public
- 20 situations of corporations, but this is something that's
- 21 very serious to the best part of a thousand people.
- 22 And when you're almost a hundred, why you don't just go
- out and get another job. You depend on your pension and
- other things, and so it's a pretty serious question. And
- there hasn't been a word said in the papers about it, and I

- think it is something that's underpublished.
- 2 And I think you guys also need a good sound system. You
- get in the back of this room, you can't hear yourself talk.
- 4 FEMALE SPEAKER: That's right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I'm sorry.
- 6 MR. SMITH: And believe it or not, we come down here to
- 7 hear what the individuals have to say. And when you get
- down here and you're 25 or 30 feet away and you can't hear
- 9 them, it is serious. And sound systems aren't that
- 10 expensive.
- I have been down to Arizona for winters. I've had enough
- of the cold weather up here. And they're in the same
- problem, the Four Corner plats (verbatim) up in the
- 14 northeastern Arizona as you know. The environmentalists
- 15 want to shut down all of the coal plants.
- 16 Well, it puts hundreds of the Indian tribes out of work,
- 17 the Apaches, and they -- the Navajos both have staff in the
- 18 plants from the coal mines.
- 19 And it's going to create a real economic problem, and all
- 20 I could see happening from the brokering that I did in hydro
- 21 with the modern generation, coal -- actually, from my
- 22 standpoint to -- wind and solar are simply -- something
- you'd want to talk about and improve drastically before you
- 24 put millions or even billions of dollars into effective
- 25 installment plants and wind farms and the like.

- 1 Now, hydro, the minute Hydro One gets all the hydro from
- water power, watch the price goes up. And I don't care what
- 3 they guarantee as to that not happening, but it's a fact of
- 4 life. Hell, I saw it happen 20, 30 years ago when we had
- 5 some kind of a situation that put the pressure on a plant or
- 6 something. Nobody had cheap power for sale anymore. I
- 7 mean, it's just basic economics.
- 8 So I have to say I'm against the merger. And I know you
- 9 folks do a nice job looking at it and trying to make things
- 10 work, but I don't have much faith in the outcome. Thanks
- 11 very much.
- 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.
- MR. SMITH: And get a new system.
- 14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So this is everybody who
- 15 has signed up.
- 16 Is there anyone who has not signed up who wishes to
- 17 comment in this proceeding?
- 18 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Come on up.
- 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Come on forward. Have a seat, yeah.
- 20 MS. SHANK: I changed my mind. My name is Jeanne Shank,
- 21 and I'm from Colville.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And you signed up already?
- 23 Yes --
- 24 MS. SHANK: Well, I did --
- 25 CHAIRMAN DANNER: -- you did. All right.

1 MS. SHANK: -- and then I backed out. But I thought of 2 something.

I wondered if it had been taken into consideration the -Avista's waste to energy programs, because the one out in
Kettle Falls is really a jewel. It's a national -- it's
nationally recognized, and what will happen to that over
time?

There's also -- there's a waste energy in Spokane, but I'm more familiar with the one in Kettle Falls. I took a tour of it, and it's amazing. It's really high tech and very good and I just wondered -- because there's a whole lot of garbage that is transported there and into the Spokane waste energy systems, too -- what happens if it becomes something that maybe the Canadians are not interested in, you know, and maybe just -- they let it sort of go by the wayside, or they don't want to put money into it? Where does all that garbage go?

That means more -- they're going to need more landfills and -- I don't know. I look at it as I -- I know people are worried that the Canadians are so pro-alternative energy, but they don't have a sterling, you know, reputation for environmentalism. So I wouldn't be too afraid that they want to knock the dams down. I really wouldn't worry about that. They have a lot of environmental issues up there that -- you know, so I wouldn't worry that they want to take

Page 187 our dams down at all. 1 I also wonder if they might look upon Avista -- or what 3 would it become? -- Hydro One. Since it's in this country, if we would sort of be the maybe not unwanted, but unnoticed stepchild, basically, because we aren't Canadian. That's all. 6 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you very much. 9 Okay. Is there anyone else who did not sign up who 10 wishes to speak? 11 Sir, come forward. 12 MALE SPEAKER: I wouldn't mind (inaudible), too, because 13 I don't have much to say. 14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: And you are? 15 MR. PRIDDY: My name is Pat Priddy. 16 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And how do you spell that? 17 MR. PRIDDY: P-r-i-d-d-y. 18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. 19 MR. PRIDDY: I'm opposed to the -- this merger also, but I think the one thing that people need to keep in mind, with 20 21 today's technology, all the alternative power has -- it can 22 never be prime power because if the wind doesn't blow and 23 the sun doesn't shine, you have no power. 24 So they have to have -- you have to have -- they could

put wind farms clear across the state, and they still have

25

- 1 to have, say, some hydro or some nuclear plants or some coal
- fire plants in case that wind doesn't blow or that sun
- doesn't shine. And that's all I've got to say.
- 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 5 Okay. So this brings us to the end of the sign-in
- 6 sheets -- oh, I see a hand, sir. Come forward.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I would like the State's
- 8 attorney general representative to please come forward.
- 9 MS. GAFKIN: I'm right here.
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Come forward, please.
- 11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Sir, we'll --
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Just a minute, please.
- 13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, all right. This is unusual.
- 14 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I know this is (inaudible).
- 15 Please come forward.
- 16 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So this is not the way we're going to
- do the public hearing, sir.
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: This is a --
- 19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So --
- 20 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: This is a public hearing.
- 21 She's a representative that allowed this document, that she
- 22 represented to us --
- 23 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yes.
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- and she needs to be
- 25 present.

Page 189 1 MS. GAFKIN: I am present. CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, she's not here to testify, so... 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible). She's not here 4 to testify. CHAIRMAN DANNER: No, she's not, sir. UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I know. 6 CHAIRMAN DANNER: You are. 8 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Please stand up front. 9 MS. GAFKIN: So I am here, and I would be happy to answer 10 questions about the paper. 11 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Okav. Now. 12 MS. GAFKIN: But if you have comments to provide to the 13 Commissioners, that's (inaudible). 14 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible). CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So we're going to adjourn 15 16 this hearing now. 17 MS. PANCOAST: No. 18 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: No, you're not. 19 (Inaudible colloquy) (Inaudible). Come on. 20 MS. PANCOAST: 21 MALE SPEAKER: Listen to the people. 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: We are listening to people. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: No, you're not. 24 MALE SPEAKER: Shut your mouth and listen. 25 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: The question is this. Wait a

- 1 minute.
- 2 To the attorneys: Are these people appointed by Jay
- 3 Inslee?
- 4 MS. GAFKIN: No.
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Who are they -- who appointed
- 6 them?
- 7 MS. GAFKIN: The people of Washington voted for the
- 8 Attorney General. I am not an elected official.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I asked about these people.
- 10 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Oh, sir. I can answer that question
- 11 for you.
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Okay. Are you appointed by
- the governor?
- 14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yes. I'm appointed by the governor for
- 15 a six-year term.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Okay. Now, Mr. Inslee has
- 17 violated federal law in this instance by taking and ignoring
- 18 the President's edict that we are not part of the Paris
- 19 accord. That is under federal law, and it takes two people,
- 20 whether it's domestic or an actual criminal act of
- 21 terrorism, to be charged with treason.
- You, as board members, may be charged as co-conspirators.
- 23 FEMALE SPEAKER: For what?
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: For treason.
- 25 MALE SPEAKER: Oh.

- 1 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: You are giving up an asset
- that is not only, as been described, a critical asset. It
- is a critical asset of defense. It is a critical asset of
- 4 government.
- We, the taxpayers, pay your salaries. We also pay for
- the taxes that keep the lights on, keep our military going,
- 7 and the rest of government. This will increase
- 8 tremendously.
- 9 I know people in Arizona who are dealing with these
- 10 people already. They have jumped the rates eight times
- already. At eight times my rate that I -- paying per month
- for electricity will go from \$150 a month to \$1200 a month.
- 13 That's over -- well over \$12,000 a year.
- People out here don't have that kind of money. Many are
- retirees. And I am at the place right now, and I'll tell
- 16 you this. If this goes through, I will. And I know I can
- 17 get a second person to do so, and that's what's required by
- the Constitution, charging people with treason. That's all
- 19 I have to say.
- 20 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you, sir.
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: And that goes to your boss.
- 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. So that brings us to the
- 23 end of the testimony today.
- You have something to say? Please come forward.
- MS. PANCOAST: I would just like to see a show of hands,

- 1 since we're all here.
- 2 If you're in favor of Hydro One buying Avista, please
- 3 raise your hand.
- If you're opposed, please raise your hand.
- 5 MS. PANCOAST: I think that's information for you, sir.
- 6 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So, yes. And I just want to say
- 7 something. We have been listening to testimony all
- 8 afternoon. We understand everybody's testimony here today.
- 9 It is very clearly stated, and we appreciate everyone coming
- 10 out and talking to us.
- 11 We have to go through certain processes because that's
- our job, so we are listening to testimony. We are going to
- four different cities in Avista's service territory to
- listen to what folks have to say. And as we go forward, we
- 15 will take everything that has been said under advisement,
- 16 but we have to give everybody their day in court, and that
- 17 includes Avista.
- 18 So we aren't going to be making any statements here about
- 19 how we might vote. That has to come later. But I will say
- 20 we take this very seriously. We have a lot of information
- 21 still to gather. You have raised very important concerns
- today, and we are going to be looking at everything that
- 23 people have said today.
- 24 So I don't want to -- we didn't come out here because we
- are trying to force something on you. We came out here

- 1 because we wanted to hear what you had to say, and that's
- 2 the point.
- 3 And my objection to the last gentleman who came up was
- 4 really that he was -- as I saw it, was trying to force a
- 5 dialogue here that was not consistent with the processes
- 6 that we are bound to follow by law.
- 7 And so he -- if he can charge us with treason, I believe
- 8 that I'm a patriotic American, and I'm going to do what I
- 9 have to do under the law. But that's how we're going to go
- 10 forward, so, again, I want to thank you all for coming out.
- 11 That's the way the process works.
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I would like to point out
- 13 something else.
- 14 In 1950, Harry S. Truman started changing the laws in
- 15 this nation. It was not before that time that an individual
- 16 of a foreign nation or a foreign corporation in the United
- 17 States could own property unless it was prior under our land
- grant or something like down in the southwest, or the
- 19 Spanish or the British had given a land grant. Otherwise,
- 20 you couldn't own property.
- 21 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Thank you for that
- 22 information.
- All right. We are now adjourned, so thank you very much,
- 24 people.
- 25 (Conclusion of hearing.)

```
Page 194
 1
                          CERTIFICATE
 2
     STATE OF WASHINGTON
 4
 5
     COUNTY OF KING
 6
                 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty
 8
     of perjury that the foregoing court proceedings were
 9
     transcribed under my direction as a certified court reporter;
10
     and that the transcript is true and accurate to the best of my
     knowledge and ability, including any changes made by the trial
11
12
     judge reviewing the transcript; that I received the audio
13
     and/or video files in the court format; that I am not a
14
     relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
     parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.
15
16
17
                 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
     this 16th day of May, 2018.
18
19
20
21
                 screen kay k. 21 kustin
22
23
                 Shelby Kay K. Fukushima, CCR#2028
24
25
```