

Docket No. UG-190857 - Vol. I

Seattle Children's Hospital, et al. v. Puget Sound
Energy

November 25, 2019



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989**

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 1

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3 _____
4 SEATTLE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL;) Docket UG-190857
5 OVERLAKE HOSPITAL MEDICAL)
6 CENTER; HOSPITAL CENTRAL)
7 SERVICES ASSOCIATION; COSTCO)
8 WHOLESALE CORPORATION; NORTHWEST)
9 BAKING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,)
10 d/b/a NORTHWEST BAKING COMPANY;)
11 FIRST CALL PLUS OF WASHINGTON,)
12 L.L.C.; REPAUL TEXTILES LLC)
13 d/b/a STERILE SURGICAL SYSTEMS;)
14 SHINING OCEAN, INC; TUCCI &)
15 SONS, INC; WESTROCK CP, LLC;)
16 NUCOR STEEL SEATTLE INC.; ACE)
17 GALVANIZING, INC.; GARDNER)
18 ASPHALT CORPORATION; and WESTERN)
19 WOOD PRESERVING CO.,)
20)
21 Complainants,)
22)
23 v.)
24)
25 PUGET SOUND ENERGY,)
26)
27 Respondent.)
28 _____
29 PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I
30 Pages 1 - 13
31 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE NELLI DOROSHKIN
32 November 25, 2019
33 2:06 p.m.
34 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
35 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast
36 Lacey, Washington
37 REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR 2121

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES
2
3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
4 JUDGE: NELLI DOROSHKIN
5 Utilities and Transportation
6 Commission
7 621 Woodland Square Loop SE
8 Lacey, Washington 98503
9 (360) 664-1163
10 nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov
11
12 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: NASH CALLAGHAN
13 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
14 PO Box 40128
15 Olympia, Washington 98504
16 (360) 664-1187
17 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov
18
19 FOR THE CLAIMANTS: CHAD STOKES
20 CABLE HUSTON LLP
21 1455 SW Broadway
22 Suite 1500
23 Portland, Oregon 97201
24 503.244.3092
25 cstokes@cablehuston.com
26
27 FOR THE RESPONDENT: SHEREE STROM CARSON
28 PERKINS COIE LLP
29 10885 NE Fourth Street
30 Bellevue, Washington 98004
31 425.635.1422
32 scarson@perkinscoie.com
33
34 * * * * *
35

Page 3

1 PRECONFERENCE HEARING
2 INDEX
3 PROCEEDINGS PAGE
4 Prehearing Conference 4
5
6 EXHIBIT INDEX
7 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE
8 - None Marked -
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 4

1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; NOVEMBER 25, 2019
2 2:06 p.m.
3 -o0o-
4 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record.
5 Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Nelli
6 Doroshkin. I'm the Administrative Law Judge on
7 commission and I'll be presiding this matter.
8 This is a prehearing conference on docket
9 UG-190857, regarding complaint filed against Puget Sound
10 Energy or PSE by 14 PSE natural gas customers as noted
11 in the docket caption.
12 I'll refer to the complaints here as "the
13 customers" in this prehearing conference.
14 We will address petitions in the discovery,
15 the service list, filing of service requirements, and
16 the procedural scheduling in this case. Afterwards, I
17 will issue an order on these topics.
18 So to begin, we'll take appearances and then
19 address the petition for intervention. We will begin
20 with the customers.
21 MR. STOKES: Good afternoon. My name is
22 Chad Stokes from the Cable Huston law firm. The address
23 is 1455 Southwest Broadway, Suite 1500, in Portland,
24 Oregon 97201-3412.
25 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Thank you, Mr. Stokes.

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 You can give short formal appearances from</p> <p>2 PSE.</p> <p>3 MS. CARSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.</p> <p>4 Sheree Strom Carson from Perkins Coie representing Puget</p> <p>5 Sound Energy.</p> <p>6 MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callaghan, Assistant</p> <p>7 Attorney General, on behalf of Commission staff.</p> <p>8 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And Mr. Stokes, I'm</p> <p>9 assuming you're representing the Tulalip Tribe?</p> <p>10 MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor.</p> <p>11 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So I understand there's no</p> <p>12 one on the conference line.</p> <p>13 All right. So I have reviewed the timely</p> <p>14 filed petition to intervene with the Tulalip Tribe in</p> <p>15 Washington or Tulalip Tribe, and there were no written</p> <p>16 objections to that petition.</p> <p>17 Would anyone like to enter an objection now?</p> <p>18 MS. CARSON: No objections.</p> <p>19 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Hearing nothing,</p> <p>20 Tulalip Tribe's petition is granted.</p> <p>21 MR. STOKES: Thank you.</p> <p>22 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And I understand from the</p> <p>23 Tulalip Tribe's position that they are similarly</p> <p>24 situated to the customers and that their participation</p> <p>25 in the complaint was limited by the timing of certain</p>	<p>1 one to be issued.</p> <p>2 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And do the parties</p> <p>3 anticipate exchanging highly confidential information?</p> <p>4 MR. STOKES: No, Your Honor. I wouldn't</p> <p>5 think so.</p> <p>6 MR. CALLAGHAN: No, Your Honor.</p> <p>7 MS. CARSON: No, Your Honor.</p> <p>8 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right. Then I will</p> <p>9 enter a protective order in this docket.</p> <p>10 Regarding this discovery, the subpoena</p> <p>11 meeting the criteria of WAC 480-07-425 for the</p> <p>12 availability of discovery. So discovery rule will be</p> <p>13 conducted pursuant to the petition to the discovery</p> <p>14 rules in WAC 480-07-400 through 425.</p> <p>15 WAC 480-07-405 subsection (2) requires</p> <p>16 parties to serve all data requests upon all parties in</p> <p>17 the case.</p> <p>18 Does any party object making -- the</p> <p>19 Commission making the exchange of data request responses</p> <p>20 and requirement for parties for discovery in this case?</p> <p>21 MS. CARSON: No objection.</p> <p>22 MR. CALLAGHAN: No objection.</p> <p>23 MR. STOKES: No.</p> <p>24 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Hearing none, I'll</p> <p>25 incorporate that requirement into the prehearing</p>
Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 approvals from the Bureau of Indian Affairs.</p> <p>2 MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor.</p> <p>3 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. I am inclined to</p> <p>4 allow the customers leave to amend their complaint for</p> <p>5 the limited purpose of including the Tulalip Tribe as a</p> <p>6 complainant to encourage sufficiency in the proceeding.</p> <p>7 Would there be interest in doing so?</p> <p>8 MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor.</p> <p>9 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Would there be any</p> <p>10 objections from any other parties?</p> <p>11 MS. CARSON: No, Your Honor.</p> <p>12 MR. CALLAGHAN: No, Your Honor.</p> <p>13 MR. STOKES: Just to be clear, though, that</p> <p>14 will just be the caption and the body where -- like, the</p> <p>15 page where they are listed as a party and everything</p> <p>16 else.</p> <p>17 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Yes, exactly.</p> <p>18 Would an amendment deadline of December 2nd</p> <p>19 be sufficient?</p> <p>20 MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor.</p> <p>21 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Regarding</p> <p>22 discovery, will protective orders be necessary in this</p> <p>23 proceeding?</p> <p>24 MS. CARSON: Your Honor, we think there may</p> <p>25 be a need for a protective order, so we would ask for</p>	<p>1 conference order.</p> <p>2 Regarding the service list, if any party has</p> <p>3 not yet designated a lead representative for service,</p> <p>4 please do so in e-mail to me as soon as possible,</p> <p>5 preferably by the end of today. And my e-mail address</p> <p>6 is nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov.</p> <p>7 Also, if you would like to have any other</p> <p>8 representatives or support staff receive electronic</p> <p>9 courtesy copies of all documents filed in this</p> <p>10 proceeding, please e-mail me their names and addresses</p> <p>11 as well.</p> <p>12 Regarding electronic filing and electronic</p> <p>13 service, the Commission requires electronic filing of</p> <p>14 documents for formal filings. In this proceeding, the</p> <p>15 Commission will require to filing of the original and</p> <p>16 three paper copies for internal distribution.</p> <p>17 Now, filings include information designated</p> <p>18 as confidential and some made. Please file the original</p> <p>19 and three copies of the fully unredacted version and no</p> <p>20 paper copy is necessary for partly redacted or fully</p> <p>21 redacted. Please file those originals in electronic</p> <p>22 format and the Commission's rules, please note for</p> <p>23 electronic services of documents as well. So the</p> <p>24 Commission will serve the parties electronically and the</p> <p>25 parties will serve each other electronically.</p>

Page 9

1 Again, as a reminder, when serving filings
 2 upon parties, please also send courtesy copies of the
 3 filings to me.
 4 Now, prior to going on the record, the
 5 parties provided me with a proposed procedural schedule.
 6 And I understand that, there is agreement on the
 7 proposed schedule.
 8 MR. CALLAGHAN: There is, Your Honor, but I
 9 will add that staff does not believe that their
 10 participation in this case is necessary given that both
 11 parties are ably represented by counsel.
 12 So staff would ask leave to have no longer
 13 have any involvement in this case going forward. But
 14 with that said, the procedural schedule would fit with
 15 staff's schedule.
 16 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. I can address that
 17 in a minute then.
 18 There's consensus. So most of the noticing
 19 parties if they --
 20 MS. CARSON: Well, we hadn't actually talked
 21 together much about that. Counsel for staff had
 22 mentioned that was a possibility when we talked on the
 23 phone.
 24 In talking with my client, we do think it
 25 would be helpful to have staff involved. We will have a

Page 10

1 settlement conference coming up. There are issues in
 2 terms of any penalties that have been paid, have been
 3 passed through --
 4 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Ms. Carson, I'm asking
 5 about the procedural schedule right now. We can address
 6 staff's request to withdraw later.
 7 MS. CARSON: I'm sorry.
 8 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So the procedural
 9 schedule, is there agreement between PSE and the
 10 complainants including the Tulalip Tribe?
 11 MR. STOKES: Yes, Your Honor.
 12 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Why don't we read
 13 that into the record and then go on to staff's request
 14 for withdrawal.
 15 MR. STOKES: So the dates that we've agreed
 16 upon, we have settlement conference the week of
 17 December 9th. Was that December 10th?
 18 MS. CARSON: We're agreeable to
 19 December 10th.
 20 MR. STOKES: Okay. So December 10th will be
 21 the settlement conference.
 22 The cutoff for the discovery deadline is
 23 January 21st.
 24 January 31st we'll reach agreement on
 25 stipulated facts for summary determination if possible.

Page 11

1 February 18th, will be opening testimony, if
 2 needed.
 3 March 2nd, will be cross-answering
 4 testimony, if needed.
 5 March 19th, will be the hearing, if it's
 6 needed, or whatever date that the Commission is
 7 available.
 8 April 9, 2020, will be the date to file
 9 motions for summary determination or an opening brief,
 10 whichever is appropriate.
 11 April 30th, 2020, file responses to motions
 12 for summary determination or responses to the opening
 13 brief.
 14 And May 14, 2020, filed replies to responses
 15 to motions for summary determination, or replies to
 16 responses to opening brief.
 17 Discovery turnaround will be seven business
 18 days.
 19 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Thank you.
 20 So, I will incorporate that request to
 21 procedural schedule into the prehearing conference order
 22 including the deadline for amending the complaint to
 23 include Tulalip Tribe.
 24 Now returning to staff's desire to not
 25 participate in this proceeding.

Page 12

1 Ms. Carson, you were beginning to address
 2 that point.
 3 MS. CARSON: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
 4 Having now talked with my client about that,
 5 we think that it would be helpful to have staff as a
 6 party. The penalties at issue here were passed through
 7 the PSE's purchase gas adjustment, PGA, mechanism; and
 8 to the extent there's any kind of refund, if there were
 9 decided to be to these customers, we would want staff to
 10 be a part of that decision as well. So we ask that
 11 staff remain a party to this case.
 12 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Mr. Stokes, do you have
 13 anything to add?
 14 MR. STOKES: I don't have an objection to
 15 that. It may make sense to at least have them do the
 16 settlement conference; and then if we get beyond that,
 17 maybe they drop out then. That's another approach.
 18 MS. CARSON: That's a possibility.
 19 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And does staff have
 20 a response?
 21 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 22 After staff reviewed the complaint, it just
 23 seemed like there was very little in the way of facts in
 24 disputes, and this is simply a matter of interpreting
 25 the tariff.

1 As I said before, both parties are ably
2 represented by counsel, and so staff was confident that
3 this issue could be resolved without their intervention.

4 But that being said, obviously if ALD would
5 like them to be involved, they will be. Thank you.

6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right. I will take
7 that issue under advisement and address that in the
8 prehearing conference order.

9 Does anyone else have anything to add on
10 that?

11 MR. STOKES: No.

12 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Is there anything else
13 that we need to address today?

14 MR. STOKES: No, Your Honor.

15 MR. CALLAGHAN: No, Your Honor.

16 MS. CARSON: No, Your Honor.

17 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Then I will issue
18 an order shortly with the procedural schedule and the
19 other matters that we discussed here shortly.

20 So we are adjourned. Thank you.

21 MR. STOKES: Thank you.

22 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you.

23 MS. CARSON: Thank you.

24

25 (Hearing adjourned at 2:13 p.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE

2

3

4 STATE OF WASHINGTON)

5) ss.
6 COUNTY OF KITSAP)

6

7 I, CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, a Certified Court
8 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
9 certify that the foregoing transcript of the prehearing
10 conference held on NOVEMBER 25, 2019, is true and
11 accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 and seal this 5th day of December, 2019.

14

15

16

17 _____
18 CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR #2121

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25