## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Sandy Judd, et al.,

Complainants,

V.

AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest and T-NETIX, Inc.,

Respondents.

Docket No. UT-042022

## DECLARATION OF SANDRIN B. RASMUSSEN IN SUPPORT OF T-NETIX'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF RESPONSE DEADLINE TO AT&T'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION

Sandrin B. Rasmussen declares under penalty of perjury and in accordance with the laws of the State of Washington that:

- 1. I am one of the attorneys representing T-Netix in this matter. The facts stated in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge.
- 2. On December 15, 2004, AT&T served a Motion for Summary Determination on T-Netix. The response is due within 20 days after the motion is served: January 4, 2005.
- 3. On or about December 20, 2004, Counsel for Complainants first advised me that they had entered into an agreement with AT&T to extend the time for their response to the Motion for Summary Determination, and to engage in certain preliminary discovery in the interim. T-Netix was not a party to those discussions but agreed to the requested continuance.

On December 21, 2004, Complainants served us with their agreed motion, 4.

and on December 22, 2004, we received the Commission's Notice of Extension of Time

for Complainants to respond to AT&T's Motion for Summary Determination until

February 1, 2005.

5

Being now aware of the implications relevant to T-Netix in AT&T's

Motion for Summary Determination, and the Extension of Time granted to Complainants,

and the agreement between AT&T and the Complainants to engage in certain discovery

prior to the deadline for Claimants' response to the Motion for Summary Determination,

T-Netix requests that an extension of the time for T-Netix to respond should likewise be

granted.

6. I have contacted counsel for Complainants regarding the proposed

continuance, who indicated that Complainants do not oppose this motion.

7. I have discussed this motion with counsel for AT&T who has agreed not

to oppose it on condition that T-Netix does not engage in any independent discovery

against AT&T, although T-Netix will be involved in the discovery agreed to between

AT&T and Complainants, receiving copies of all documents produced and participating

in the depositions that are taken.

Signed this 29<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2004, at Seattle, Washington.

Sandrin B. Rasmussen WSBA No. 11735

BADGLEY-MULLINS LAW GROUP

4750 Bank of America Tower

701 Fifth Avenue

Seattle, WA 98104

Tel.: (206) 621-6566

Fax.: (206) 621-6543

Of Counsel: Glenn B. Manishin Stephanie A. Joyce KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 1200 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys for T-NETIX, Inc.