Exh. MY-1T Docket UW-230997 Witness: Michael Young

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKET UW-230997

Complainant,

v.

WASHINGTON WATER SUPPLY, INC.,

Respondent.

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT

OF SETTLEMENT

ON BEHALF OF STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

May 30, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	TERMS OF SETTLEMENT	2
Ш	THE SETTLEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST	3

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Michael Young and my business address is 621 Woodland Square Loop
5		SE, Lacey, Washington.
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
8	A.	I am employed by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
9		currently as Acting Section Manager for the Telecom, Water, and Transportation
10		section.
11		
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
13	A.	I offer testimony in support of the Full Settlement Stipulation (Settlement) filed by
14		the parties on May 30, 2024. This settlement addresses all disputed issues in this
15		adjudication. My testimony will describe why this settlement is consistent with the
16		public interest.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe your professional qualifications.
19	A.	I have a bachelor's degree in accounting from Western Washington University. I
20		have worked for the state of Washington for 35 years, 24 of those years with the
21		Utilities and Transportation Commission, with the most recent 12 years in the Water
22		and Transportation section. I have served as the Section Manager, and Assistant
23		Director for the section, managing Staff's workload, training Staff, and conducting

1		formal and informal rate cases, as well as numerous other filings in several different
2		industries.
3		
4		II. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT
5		
6	Q.	Please detail the terms of the settlement.
7	A.	The settlement resolves all disputed issues in this matter. The terms include:
8		• Recovery of the cost of trucked water: The parties agree that the Company is
9		entitled to recover the cost of the trucked water. The Company incurred
10		\$21,269.90 to truck in water for customers on its Echo Glen water system
11		between June and September 2023. The Company collected \$7,560.00 in
12		surcharges approved by the Commission in Docket UW-230598, leaving
13		\$13,709.90 to be collected. The Company will collect the remaining amount
14		through a new surcharge, and Staff agrees that the remaining balance is
15		appropriate to be recovered through a surcharge.
16		• Terms of the surcharge: The parties agree that the Company will collect the
17		remaining trucked water expenses through a surcharge over six months. The
18		amount of the surcharge will be \$60.00 per customer for the first five months and
19		\$26.43 per customer for the sixth month. Customers will have the alternative

option to pay a one-time payment of \$326.43.

20

1		• Filing of a new Cost Recovery Surcharge: The parties agree that the Company
2		will file a revised Cost Recovery surcharge containing the language the parties
3		agreed upon as specified in the settlement. ¹
4		
5		III. THE SETTLEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
6		
7	Q.	Has Staff previously provided testimony on the issues included in the
8		settlement?
9	A.	No. The settlement in principle was reached before the Response Testimony
10		deadlines. Thus, Staff has not offered any other testimony in this docket.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe the standard that must be met for the Commission to accept
13		settlement agreements.
14	A.	The Commission will approve a settlement if it is lawful, supported by an
15		appropriate record, and consistent with the public interest in light of all the
16		information available to the Commission. ²
17		
18	Q.	Is the settlement consistent with the public interest? If so, why?
19	A.	Staff believes that the settlement is in the public interest. The Commission's rules
20		allow water companies to collect a surcharge to pay for special expenses, such as
21		operating expenses that are independent and unique from normal operating expenses
	1.0	

 1 See paragraph 11 of the Settlement Stipulation and Agreement. 2 WAC 480-07-750(2).

Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
	System.
	recovery of the cost of water trucked in by the Company for the Echo Glen Water
	with the public interest and eliminates the cost of litigating the issues related to
	the Settlement as filed by the parties without condition. The Settlement is consistent
A.	Based on the reasons provided above, Staff recommends the Commission approve
Q.	What action do you recommend the Commission take on the settlement?
	sixth month ensures that there will not be an over-conection by the Company.
	sixth month ensures that there will not be an over-collection by the Company.
	\$60.00 per customer for the first five months and then \$26.43 per customer for the
	Docket UW-230598. Allowing collection of the expenses through a surcharge of
	expense of the trucked water that was not recovered by the surcharge authorized in
	appropriate to allow the Company to collect in a surcharge the remainder of the
	were necessary to the provision of water service. Staff also believes that it is
	books and supporting records and agrees that the expenses incurred by the Company
	percent of the total cost of a project or expense. ⁴ Staff has reviewed the Company's
	or that may be subject to large variations. ³ A surcharge may fund up to one hundred

Yes.

A.

 $^{^{3}}$ WAC 480-110-455(2). 4 *Id*.