OPERATOR QUALIFICATION FIELD INSPECTION PROTOCOL FORM

Inspection Date(s):	June 14-18, 2010
Name of Operator:	Northwest Natural Gas Company
Operator ID (OPID):	13840
Inspection Location(s):	Portland, Oregon
Supervisor(s) Contacted:	Darlene Maurer, Pipeline Safety Compliance Specialist
# Qualified Employees Observed:	4
# Qualified Contractors Observed:	0

Individual Observed	Title/Organization	Phone Number	Email Address			
Russell Grable	Construction 3 (Field Valve Inspection)	503-226-4211				
David Maynard	Compliance 2 (Field CP Inspection)	503-226-4211				
Jack Ledgerwood	System Ops 1 (Field Regulators)	503-226-4211	_			
Vance Jones	Customer Field Service 4 (Field Odorization)	503-226-4211				

To add rows, press TAB with cursor in last cell.

Region/State	Email Address
Washington	aljones@utc.wa.gov
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

 ${\it To \ add \ rows, \ press \ TAB \ with \ cursor \ in \ last \ cell.}$

Remarks:

A table for recording specific tasks performed and the individuals who performed the tasks is on the last page of this form. This form is to be uploaded on to the OQBD for the appropriate operator, and then imported into the file.

9.01 Covered Task Performance

Verify the qualified individuals performed the observed covered tasks in accordance with the operator's procedures or operator approved contractor procedures.

9.01 Inspection Results (type an X in exactly one cell below) X No Issue Identified		Inspection Notes
X	X No Issue Identified	
	Potential Issue Identified (explain)	
	N/A (explain)	
	Not Inspected	

9.02 Qualification Status

Verify the individuals performing the observed covered tasks are currently qualified to perform the covered tasks.

9.02 Inspection Results (type an X in exactly one cell below)				
X	X No Issue Identified			
	Potential Issue Identified (explain)			
	N/A (explain)			
	Not Inspected			
				*

9.03 Abnormal Operating Condition Recognition and Reaction

Verify the individuals performing covered tasks are cognizant of the AOCs that are applicable to the tasks observed.

9.03 Inspection Results (type an X in exactly one cell below)		Inspection Notes
X	No Issue Identified	·
	Potential Issue Identified (explain)	
	N/A (explain)	
	Not Inspected	

9.04 Verification of Qualification

Verify the qualification records are current, and ensure the personal identification of all individuals performing covered tasks are checked, prior to task performance.

1 .	nspection Results n X in exactly one cell below)	Inspection Notes
X	No Issue Identified	
	Potential Issue Identified (explain)	
	N/A (explain)	
	Not Inspected	

9.05 Program Inspection Deficiencies

Have potential issues identified by the headquarters inspection process been corrected at the operational level?

	Inspection Results n X in exactly one cell below)	Inspection Notes
X	No Issue Identified	
	Potential Issue Identified (explain)	
	N/A (explain)	
	Not Inspected	

Field Inspection Notes

The following table is provided for recording the covered tasks observed and the individuals performing those tasks.

			Name	e/ID of Individua	l Observed	
		Russell	David	Jack	Vance	
		Grable	Maynard	Ledgerwood	Jones	
·		Correct Performance	Correct Performance	Correct Performance	Correct Performance	
No	Task Name	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	Comments
1	Valve	X	·			Pressure Control was notified before partial operation of valves.
2	Pipe attached to bridge crossings	x				Two bridges have new pipe and support brackets. The above grade water crossing at NE 11 th and NE Division should be evaluated for possible corrosion at concrete supports. The supports were built in 1956.
3	CP: Pipe-to-Soil Values		x			Good CP values in Vancouver, Camas, and Washougal areas.
4	CP: Rectifier Reads		X			Rectifier at East Mill Plain & Missoula Ave in Vancouver is failing and should be evaluated for replacement.
5	CP: Casings Isolation Verified		X			
6	Regulator: lockup			X		One regulator did not lock-up. New parts were installed in the regulator and pilot to demonstrate lock-up. Good understand of AOC and monitoring line pressure during inspection.
7	Overpressure Relief set point.			X		Two relief valves were adjusted at or below MAOP of line pressures.
8	Odorization: Sniff Test				X	Good odorant levels at test site located in north central Vancouver and eastside of Washougal, Washington.

PHMSA Pipeline Drug & Alcohol Questions

Instructions

- 1. Use in conjunction with Unit inspections
- 2. Interview the primary operator contact for the Unit inspection you are conducting and enter their responses. Do not request the operator substance abuse expert to provide responses to these questions.
- 3. Send completed form to stanley.kastanas@dot.gov

	Name of Operator	Northwest Natural Gas	S Company	Op ID#	13840
Inspector Date of Inspection Inspection Location City & State		Al Jones & Lex Vinsel		Unit #	Clark
		June 14-18, 2010			
		Portland, Oregon	Portland, Oregon		
Operator Employee Interviewed		Darlene T. Maurer		Phone #	503-226-4211 Ext 4391
	Position/Title	Pipeline Safety, Code Compliance Engineering Services			
Operator Designated Employer Representative (DER), (a.k.a. Substance Abuse Program Manager)			Zane White		
DER Phone # 503	3-226-4211 x5421				

§199	Pipeline Safety Regulations Drug and Alcohol Testing	Yes	-No	Does Not Know
.3, .101 .201, .245	1. Does the company have a plan for drug and alcohol testing of employees and contractors performing, or ready to perform, covered functions of operations, maintenance, and emergency response?	X		
Comments	Yes, Northwest Natural's drug and alcohol testing is provided by A WorkS.	AFE Sei	rvice, In	ic.
.3 .105(c) .225(b)	2. Does the company perform random drug testing and reasonable suspicion drug and alcohol testing of employees performing covered functions? For random drug testing, enter the number of times per year employees are selected and the number of employees in each selection in Comments below.	X		
Comments	Yes, the number of covered function employees that were: Randomly tested in 2008 for drugs were 178 out of a pool of 674 or 26 Randomly tested in 2009 for drugs were 171 out of a pool of 675 or 25			
: :	Also, the number of covered function employees on-suspicion of alcohol untertained in 2008 was 0. Tested in 2009 was 0.	ise wer	e:	
.3 .105(b)	3. Does the company conduct post-accident/incident drug and alcohol testing for employees who have caused or contributed to the consequences of an accident/incident? Enter the position/title of the employee who would make the decision to conduct post-accident/incident testing in Comments below.	X		
Comments	Yes, Northwest Natural will conduct post-accident testing for affected covered employees following every accident/incident as determined necessary. Property in a covered to the notified when a Covered Employee is investing the DER along with the onsite Supervisor will make the decision on wheth accident test should be pulled. All NWN's Field Supervisors are aware of the notified will be pulled.	ost acci olved in ier or no	ident te an acc	ident.
:	·			

PHMSA Pipeline Drug & Alcohol Questions

§199	Pipeline Safety Regulations Drug and Alcohol Testing	Yes	No	Does Not Know
.113(c) .117(a)(4) .227(b)(2) .241	4. Does the company provide training for supervisors on the detection of potential drug abuse (minimum 60 minutes) and alcohol misuse (minimum 60 minutes)?	X	500 ₀	
Comments	Yes our supervisors have attended at least 60 minute training. Training is a year.	offered	at leas	t once
.3 .113(b) .117(a)(4) .239(b)(11)	5. Does the company give covered employees an explanation of the drug & alcohol policies and distribute information about the Employee Assistance Program, including a hotline number? Provide details in Comments below.	X		
Comments	Yes, employees are provided information about the drug and alcohol police resources. Information can be found on the NWN internal website. Also all the Rescource Centers for distribution or information hung on the bullet	informati	on is s	sent to