A completed Standard Inspection Checklist, Cover Letter and Field Report is to be submitted to the Senior Engineer within 30 days from completion of the inspection.

		Inspection Report			
Docket Number		PG-100023			
Inspector Name & Submit Date		Joe Subsits, July 15, 2010			
Director Name & Review Date	Y	D. Lykken, July 19, 2010			
;		Operator Information	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Name of Operator:	Pug	et Sound Energy	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	OP ID #:	22189
Name of Unit(s):	Kin	g County - East			•
Records Location:	Bel	levue	,		
Date(s) of Last (unit) Inspection:	10/2	22/2007	Inspection Date(s):)10-June 4, 2010)10-July 2, 2010

Inspection Summary:

A standard inspection was conducted of King County East. Records were reviewed at the Bellevue and Georgetown offices. The field visit included an inspection of Pressure regulating stations, Cathodic protection facilities, isolated services, Bridge crossings, landslide areas and odorization monitoring locations. No new violations were identified other than the requirement to perform a leak survey audits. This issue was identified in a previous audit and is 90 % complete at the time of the audit.

HQ Address:			System/Unit Name & Ad	dress:
Puget Sound Energy			East King Co	
PO Box 90868 MS: EST	07W			
Bellevue, WA 98009-08	68			
•				
Co. Official:	Bert Valdman		Phone No.:	425-462-3193
Phone No.:	425-462-3193		Fax No.:	425-462-3770
Fax No.:	425-462-3770		Emergency Phone No.:	1-888-225-5773
Emergency Phone No.:	1-888-225-5773	3		
Persons Intervi	ewed	Т	itle	Phone No.
Darrel Hong	g	Compliance Pro	gram Coordinator	(206) 462-3911
Roger Schee	tz	Supervisor Pr	ressure Control	(206) 766-6766
Dorothy Brack	ken	Customer Commi	unications Manager	(425) 462-3206
Gary Swanso	on	Program (Coordinator	(206) 766-6811
Jim Walker	r	Consultin	g Engineer	(425) 462-3193
Randy Bush	n		ntion coordinator	(425) 462-3193
Signe Lippe			ogram Supervisor	(206) 766-6787
Debbie Larse	 		coordinator	(425) 462-3193

UTC staff conducted abbreviated procedures inspection on 192 O&M and WAC items that changed since

th	the last inspection. This checklist focuses on Records and Field items per a routine standard inspection.						
	(check one below and enter appropriate date)						
	Team inspection was performed (Within the past five years.) or,	Date:	,				
	Other UTC Inspector reviewed the O & M Manual (Since the last yearly review of the manual by the operator.)	Date:	To be done in 2010 under different docket				

		GAS SYS	TEM OPERATIONS	:
Gas Supp	lier Williams – Northwest Pip	eline	***	
Number of	f reportable safety related conditions	last year 0	Number of deferred leaks in sys	stem >100
Number of	f non-reportable safety related condi	tions last year 0	Number of third party hits last y	/ear >100
Miles of tr	ransmission pipeline within unit (total) 4 areas) 4M />2M	nl miles and miles in		:
	Operating Pressure(s)		MAOP (Within last year)	Actual Operating Pressure (At time of Inspection)
Feeder:	Various		<960 psig cedar hills Landfill	<820 psig Cedar Hills Landfill
Town:	Various		Various	Various
Other:	Various		Various	Various
Does the o	perator have any transmission pipel	ines? Yes	and American Company of the Company	
Compressor stations? Use Attachment 4. N/A No comp			ressor stations in system	

Pipe Specifications:			
Year Installed (Range)	1930 to present	Pipe Diameters (Range)	½-inch -20 inches
Material Type	PE, Steel wrap, bare steel	Line Pipe Specification Used	API 5L, ASTM D2513
Mileage	>2000 M	SMYS %	<28.6%
Supply Company	Williams	Class Locations	1-4

Integrity Management Field Validation

Important: Per PHMSA, IMP Field Verification Form 16 (Rev 3/19/2010) shall be used by the inspector as part of this standard inspection. When completed, the inspector will upload this information into the PHMSA IM Database (IMDB) located at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/gasimp/home.gim **Date Completed:** 6/29/2010

PART 199 DRUG	and ALCOHOL TESTING REGULATIONS and PROCEDURES	S	U	NA	NC
Subparts A - C	Drug & Alcohol Testing & Misuse Prevention Program – Use PHMSA Form #13, Rev 3/19/2010. Do not ask the company to have a drug and alcohol expert available for this portion of your inspection.	х		:	

		REPORTING RECORDS	S	, U	N/A	N/C
1.		Submission of Data to the National Pipeline Mapping System Under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002			:	
	49 U.S.C. 60132, Subsection (b)	Updates to NMPS: Operators are required to make update submissions every 12 months if any system modifications have occurred. Go to http://www.npms.phmsa.dot.gov/submission/ to review existing data on record. Also report no modifications if none have occurred since the last complete submission. Include operator contact information with all updates. Submission on 3/15/2010	×			

		REPORTING RECORDS	S	*U	N/A	N/C
2.	RCW 81.88.080	Pipeline Mapping System: Has the operator provided accurate maps (or updates) of pipelines, operating over two hundred fifty pounds per square inch gauge, to specifications developed by the commission sufficient to meet the needs of first responders? Check Cedar hills, Kent Black diamond	x			
3.	191.5	Telephonic reports to National Response Center (800-424-8802)	х			
4.	191.15	Written incident reports; supplemental incident reports (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2)	х			
5.	191.17 (a)	Annual Report (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2-1)	х			
6.	191.23	Safety related condition reports	х			
7.	192.727(g)	Abandoned facilities offshore, onshore crossing commercially navigable waterways reports			х	
8.	480-93-200(1)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9146 (Within 2 hours) for events which (regardless of cause);				
9.	480-93-200(1)(a)	Result in a fatality or personal injury requiring hospitalization;			х	
10.	480-93-200(1)(b)	Results in damage to property of the operator and others of a combined total exceeding fifty thousand dollars; Note: Report all damages regardless if claim was filed with pipeline company or not.	x			
11.	480-93-200(1)(c)	Results in the evacuation of a building, or high occupancy structures or areas;	х			
12.	480-93-200(1)(d)	Results in the unintentional ignition of gas;	x			
13.	480-93-200(1)(e)	Results in the unscheduled interruption of service furnished by any operator to twenty five or more distribution customers;	х			
14.	480-93-200(1)(f)	Results in a pipeline or system pressure exceeding the MAOP plus ten percent or the maximum pressure allowed by proximity considerations outlined in WAC 480-93-020;	х			
15.	480-93-200(1)(g)	Is significant, in the judgment of the operator, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (e) of this subsection; or			х	
16.	480-93-200(2)	Telephonic Reports to UTC Pipeline Safety Incident Notification 1-888-321-9146 (Within 24 hours) for;	х			
17.	480-93-200(2)(a)	The uncontrolled release of gas for more than two hours;	x			
18.	480-93-200(2)(b)	The taking of a high pressure supply or transmission pipeline or a major distribution supply pipeline out of service; No lines taken out of service			х	
19.	480-93-200(2)(c)	A pipeline operating at low pressure dropping below the safe operating conditions of attached appliances and gas equipment; or No instances of pressure dropping below safe operating conditions			х	
20.	480-93-200(2)(d)	A pipeline pressure exceeding the MAOP	х			

Comments:

- 7.No abandoned facilities crossing navigable waterways in East King County
- 9. No fatality or in-hospitalization incidents occurred in East King County over the past two years
- 15. No incidents reported in East King County which were significant in the judgment of the operator
- 18. No major lines were taken out of service in East King county over the past two years
- 19. No instances of pressure dropping below safe operating conditions in East King County over the past two years

21.	480-93-200(5)	Written incident reports (within 30 days) including the following;	⊮s	//U/	N/A	N/C
22.	480-93-200(4)(a)	Name(s) and address(es) of any person or persons injured or killed, or whose property was damaged;	х			
23.	480-93-200(4)(b)	The extent of injuries and damage;	х			

24.	480-93-200(4)(c)	A description of the incident or hazardous condition including the date, time, and place, and reason why the incident occurred. If more than one reportable condition arises from a single incident, each must be included in the report;	х		
25.	480-93-200(4)(d)	A description of the gas pipeline involved in the incident or hazardous condition, the system operating pressure at that time, and the MAOP of the facilities involved;	х		
26.	480-93-200(4)(e)	The date and time the gas pipeline company was first notified of the incident;	х		
27.	480-93-200(4)(f)	The date and time the ((operators')) gas pipeline company's first responders arrived on-site;	х		
28.	480-93-200(4)(g)	The date and time the gas ((facility)) pipeline was made safe;	х	:	
29.	480-93-200(4)(h)	The date, time, and type of any temporary or permanent repair that was made;	х		
30.	480-93-200(4)(i)	The cost of the incident to the ((operator)) gas pipeline company;	х		
31.	480-93-200(4)(j)	Line type;	х		
32.	480-93-200(4)(k)	City and county of incident; and	х		
33.	480-93-200(4)(1)	Any other information deemed necessary by the commission.	х	 -	
34.	480-93-200(5)	Submit a supplemental report if required information becomes available	х		
35.	480-93-200(6)	Written report within 45 days of receiving the failure analysis of any incident or hazardous condition due to construction defects or material failure	х	·	

Comments:			
		•	
	•		

36.	480-93-200(7)	Annual Reports filed with the commission no later than March 15 for the proceeding calendar year	S	Ü.	N/A	N/C
37.	480-93-200(7)(a)	A copy of PHMSA F-7100.1-1 and F-7100.2-1 annual report required by U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA/Office of Pipeline Safety	х			
38.	480-93-200(7)(b)	Damage Prevention Statistics Report including the following;	х			
39.	480-93-200(7)(b)(i)	Number of gas-related one-call locate requests completed in the field;	х			
40.	480-93-200(7)(b)(ii)	Number of third-party damages incurred; and	х			
41.	480-93-200(7)(b)(iii)	Cause of damage, where cause of damage is classified as one of the following: (A) Inaccurate locate; (B) Failure to use reasonable care; (C) Excavated prior to a locate being conducted; or (D) Excavator failed to call for a locate.	x			
42.	480-93-200(7)(c)	Reports detailing all construction defects and material failures resulting in leakage. Categorizing the different types of construction defects and material failures. The report must include the following: (i) Types and numbers of construction defects; and (ii) Types and numbers of material failures.	x	·		
43.	480-93-200(8)	Providing updated emergency contact information to the commission and appropriate officials of all municipalities where gas pipeline companies have facilities	х			
44.	480-93-200(9)	Providing by email, reports of daily construction and repair activities no later than 10:00 a.m.	х		:	
45.	480-93-200(10)	Submitting copy of DOT Drug and Alcohol Testing MIS Data Collection Form when required	X .			

C	01	m	m	en	ts:

		CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	_S.,	U	N/A	N/C
46.	192.225	Test Results to Qualify Welding Procedures	х			
47.	192.227	Welder Qualification	х			
48.	192.241(a)	Visual Weld Inspector Training/Experience	х			
19.	192.243(b)(2)	Nondestructive Technician Qualification	х			
50.	192.243(c)	NDT procedures use NDT company procedures	х			
51.	192.243(f)	Total Number of Girth Welds	х			
52.	192.243(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT	х			
53.	192.243(f)	Number of Welds Rejected	х			
54.	192.243(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected	х			
55.	480-93-080(1)(b)	Use of testing equipment to record and document essential variables	х			
6.	480-93-115(2)	Test leads on casings (without vents) installed after 9/05/1992	х			
57.	480-93-115(3)	Sealing ends of casings or conduits on Transmission lines and main	х	·		
58.	480-93-115(4)	Sealing ends (nearest building wall) of casings or conduits on services	х			
59.	192.303	Construction Specifications	х			
60.	192.325	Underground Clearance	х			
61.	192.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each Size of Pipe Installed	х			
62.	192.328	If the pipeline will be operated at the alternative MAOP standard calculated under 192.620 (80% SMYS) does it meet the additional construction requirements for: • Quality assurance • Girth welds • Depth of cover • Initial strength testing, and; • Interference currents?			х	
63.	480-93-160(1)	Detailed report filed 45 days prior to construction or replacement of transmission pipelines \geq 100 feet in length	x			
64.	480-93-170(3)	Pressure Tests Performed on new and replacement pipelines	х			
65.	480-93-170(10)	Pressure Testing Equipment checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Manufacturers Recommendation or Operators schedule)	x			

Comments:

66.

67.

62.No pipelines to operate at 80% SMYS

480-93-175(1)

192.455

66.No lines were lowered or moved during the past two years in East King County

Cathodic Protection

Recommendation or Operators schedule)

	w//27# 1/2020 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126
OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S U N/A N/C

Study prepared and approved prior to moving and lowering of metallic pipelines > 60 psig

		OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE RECORDS	S	U.	N/A	N/C
68.	192.14	Conversion To Service Performance and Records				
69.	192.14 (a)(2)	Visual inspection of right of way, aboveground and selected underground segments			х	
70.	192.14 (a)(3)	Correction of unsafe defects and conditions			х	
71.	192.14 (a)(4)	Pipeline testing in accordance with Subpart J	1		x	
72.	192.14 (b)	Pipeline records: investigations, tests, repairs, replacements, alterations (life of pipeline)			x	
73.	192.16	Customer Notification (Verification – 90 days – and Elements)	х			
74.	192.603(b)	Procedural Manual Review – Operations and Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months) .605(a)	х			
75.	192.603(b)	Abnormal Operations .605(c)			х	
76.	192.603(b)	Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel .605(b)(3)	х		i	
77	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of normal O&M procedures .605(b)(8)	х			
78.	192.603(b)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of abnormal operation procedures .605(c)(4)			х	
79.		Damage Prevention Program				
80.	192.603(b)	List of Current Excavators .614 (c)(1)	х			
81.	192.603(b)	Notification of Public/Excavators .614 (c)(2)	x			
82.	192.603(b)	Notifications of planned excavations. (One -Call Records) .614 (c)(3)(х			
83.		Provide as follows for inspection of pipelines that an operator has reason to believe could be damaged by excavation activities:				
84.	.614(c)(6)	 Is the inspection the done as frequently as necessary during and after the activities to verify the integrity of the pipeline? (for high pressure, more than 6-inches, wrought iron)Public improvement inspector does this. 	x,		ŧ	
85.	7	2. In the case of blasting, does the inspection include leakage surveys? (required)	х			
86.		Damage Prevention (Operator Internal Performance Measures)				
87.		operator voluntarily submit pipeline damage statistics into the UTC Damage Information DIRT)? Operator may register at https://identity.damagereporting.org/cgareg/control/login.do				
88.		Does the operator have a quality assurance program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities? Do operators conduct regular field audits of the performance of locators/contractors and take action when necessary? (CGA Best Practices v. 6.0, Best Practice 4-18. Recommended only, not required)	х	· .		
89.		Does operator including performance measures in facility locating services contracts with corresponding and meaningful incentives and penalties?	х			
90.		Do locate contractors address performance problems for persons performing locating services through mechanisms such as re-training, process change, or changes in staffing levels?	х			
91.		Does the operator periodically review the Operator Qualification plan criteria and methods used to qualify personnel to perform locates?		х	: : 	
92.		Review operator locating and excavation <u>procedures</u> for compliance with state law and regulations.	x			ļ
93.		Are locates are being made within the timeframes required by state law and regulations? Examine record sample.	x		:	
94.	195.507(b)	Are locating and excavating personnel properly <u>qualified</u> in accordance with the operator's Operator Qualification plan and with federal and state requirements?(done by locating inc)	x			
95.	192.709	Class Location Study (If Applicable) .609	1		X	
96.	192.603(b)	Prompt and effective response to each type of emergency .615(a)(3) Note: Review operator records of previous accidents and failures including third-party damage and leak response	х		i	
97.	192.603(b)	Location Specific Emergency Plan .615(b)(1)	х		:	
98.	192.603(b)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effectiveness of training .615(b)(2)	х		:	
99.	192.603(b)	Employee Emergency activity review, determine if procedures were followed615(b)(3)	х			
		<u> </u>				+

Comments:
69-72 No conversion of service pipe in system 75&78. No abnormal operations experienced in East King County the past two years 91. Criteria is reviewed when contract is first established. No written process to periodically review locator OQ. Issue is not a requirement. 95.PSE adopts the most stringent class impacted requirements and HCA's are based on PIR circle. There is therefore no need to perform class location studies.
·

		Public Awarene	ess Program .616	S	U	N/A	N/C
	•	Operators in existence on June 20, 2005, must than June 20, 2006. See 192.616(a) and (j) for	have completed their written programs no later exceptions.				
		API RP 1162 Baseline* Rec	ommended Message Deliveries				
		Stakeholder Audience (Natural Gas Transmission Line Operators)	Baseline Message Frequency (starting from effective date of Plan)				
	192.603(b)	Residents Along Right-of-Way and Places of Congregation	2 years	4		10 .0	
		Emergency Officials	Annual				
		Public Officials Excavator and Contractors	3 years Annual				
		One-Call Centers	As required of One-Call Center				
ļ	:						
		* Refer to API RP 1162 for additional requirer recommendations, supplemental requirements,	recordkeeping, program evaluation, etc.				
101.		government organizations, and persons engage (1) Use of a one-call notification system activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with the (3) Physical indications of a possible rel	prior to excavation and other damage prevention unintended release from a gas pipeline facility ease;	x			
102.	<u> </u>	(4) Steps to be taken for public safety or (5) Procedures to report such an event (to Documentation properly and adequately reflect					
103.	192.603(b)	Program requirements - Stakeholder Audience method and frequency, supplemental enhancer mailing rosters, postage receipts, return receip	identification, message type and content, delivery nents, program evaluations, etc. (i.e. contact or	x			
104.		The program conducted in English and any oth significant number of the population in the open	erator's area616(g)	х			
105.			rst completed. <u>For operators in existence on June</u> en programs no later than June 20, 2006, the first	x			
106.		Analyzing accidents and failures including lab cause and prevention of recurrence .617 Note: Including excavation damage (PHMSA)	oratory analysis where appropriate to determine area of emphasis)	х			

Comme	ents:							
				······································			:	
107.	192.517	Pressure Testing	- <u></u>		l x	l		Τ
108.	.553(b)	Uprating			x			
109.	192.709	Maximum Allowable Operating Pr	essure (MAOP)					
110.		Note: If the operator is operating at 80% SMYS with waiver special conditions of the waiver.		s to review the				
111.	.709	MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following: .619						
112.		Design pressure of the weakest element, .619(a)(1) An 07/10/06	ndt, 192-103 pub. 00	6/09/06, eff.	х			
113.		The highest actual operating pressure to which the segme years preceding the applicable date in the second column according to .619(a)(2) after the applicable date in the thi uprated according to subpart K. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15 line related compliance deadlines and additional gath Part 192 including this amendment619(a)(3)	, unless the segment and column or the se 1/06, eff. 04/14/06.	t was tested in gment was For gathering				
		Pipeline segment	Pressure date	Test date	x			
		-Onshore gathering line that first became subject to this part (other than §192.612) after April 13, 2006.	March 15, 2006, or date line becomes subject to this part, whichever is later.	5 years preceding applicable date in second column.				
		Offshore gathering lines All other pipelines	July 1, 1976 July 1, 1970	July 1, 1971 July 1, 1965			1	
114.	.709	619(c) The requirements on pressure restrictions in this sinstance. An operator may operate a segment of pipeline considering its operating and maintenance history, at the which the segment was subjected during the 5 years prec second column of the table in paragraph (a)(3) of this sec with §192.611. Amdt 192-102 pub. 3/15/06, eff. 04/14/06 compliance deadlines and additional gathering line re including this amendment.	section do not apply found to be in satisf highest actual opera eding the applicable tion. An operator m 5. For gathering li	in the following factory condition, ating pressure to a date in the sust still comply ne related			x	
115.		.620 If the pipeline is designed to the alternative MAOP additional design requirements for: • General standards • Fracture control • Plate and seam quality • Mill hydrostatic testing • Coating • Fittings and flanges • Compressor stations Final rule pub. 10/17/08) does it meet the			x	
116.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization of Gas - Concentrations adequate			x			
117.	480-93-015(2)	Monthly Odorant Sniff Testing			х			
118.	480-93-015(3)	Prompt action taken to investigate and remediate odorant minimum requirements					įχ	
119.	490 02 015(4)	Odorant Testing Equipment Calibration/Intervals (Annua	ally or Manufacturer	'S	l	1	1	

Markers reported missing or damaged replaced within 45 days?

Pipeline markers attached to bridges or other spans inspected? 1/yr(15 months)

X

480-93-015(4)

480-93-124(3)

480-93-124(4)

120.

121.

Recommendation)

Comments:

- 114. No MAOP's are based on operating history
- 115.No alternative MAOP's were established
- 118. All data reviewed showed adequate concentrations of gas, there was no need to remediate odorant concentrations

122.	480-93	185(1)	Reported gas leaks inve	estigated promptly/gr	raded/record retained		х			
123.	480-93-		<u> </u>		orted promptly/notification b	y mail/record	х			T
124.	480-9	3-187	Gas Leak records				x	 	\dagger	T
125.	480-93		Gas Leak surveys				х			t
126.	480-93		Gas detection instrumer	nts tested for accurac	cy/intervals (Mfct rec or mo	nthly not to exceed	х			
127.	480-93	-188(3)	Leak survey frequency	(Refer to Table Be	elow)		х			
			Business Districts (By	6/02/07)	1/yr (15	months)		1		
			High Occupancy Str	uctures	1/yr (15	months)				
	:		Pipelines Operating ≥			months)				
		Other M	Iains: CI, WI, copper,	unprotected steel	2/yr (7.5	months)				
128.	480-93-	188(4)(a)			resurfacing, following street		х			Ι
129.	480-93-	188(4)(b)		Special leak surveys - areas where substructure construction occurs adjacent to underground gas facilities, and damage could have occurred				х		
130.	480-93-	188(4)(c)	Special leak surveys - Unstable soil areas where active gas lines could be affected				x			
131.	480-93-	Special leak surveys - areas and at times of unusual activity, such as earthquake, floods, and explosions			arthquake, floods,	х				
132.	480-93-	188(5)	Gas Survey Records		x					
133.	480-93-	188(6)	Leak Survey Program County –Pierce cou		ct is developing, brought up ne	in west king		х		
134.	192.709	••	Patrolling (Refer to	Table Below) .705			х		<u></u>	_
			Class Location		and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Pla				
			1 and 2		r (7½ months)	1/yr (15 months	·			
			3 4		r (4½ months) r (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ month 4/yr (4½ month		_		
135.	192.709		Leak	Surveys (Refer to T	Table Below) .706		x	<u> </u>	T	Τ
	<u> </u>				Required	Not Exceed		1	<u></u>	_
			Class Location 1 and 2		1/yr	15 months		-		
			3		2/yr	7½ months		1		
			4		4/yr	4½ months				
136.	192.605	(b) T	Abandoned Pipelines;	Inderwater Facility	Reports 727(g)		r		Τ	Т
130.	172.003	(<i>U)</i>	Availabiled Fibernies,	Onderwater Facility	Roports .121(g)		х	1 _	L	L

138.	192.709	Compressor Station Emergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months) .731(c)		x	
139.	192.709	Compressor Stations – Detection and Alarms (Performance Test) .736(c)		х	
140.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations (1 per yr/15 months) .739	х		
141.	192.709	Pressure Limiting and Regulator Stations – Capacity (1 per yr/15 months) .743	х		

Comments:

- 129. No instances were adjacent construction could have caused damage
- 130. No instances of unstable soils occurring in East King County over the past two years
- 133. No evidence that leak survey self audits were conducted. Was brought up in a previous audit. Work is 90% complete.
- 137-139 No compressor stations in PSE's system

142.	192.709	Valve Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months) .745	х		
143.	192.709	Vault Maintenance (≥200 cubic feet)(1 per yr/15 months) .749		х	
144.	192.603(b)	Prevention of Accidental Ignition (hot work permits) .751	х		
145.	192.603(b)	Welding – Procedure .225(b)	х		
146.	192.603(b)	Welding – Welder Qualification .227/.229	х		
147.	192.603(b)	NDT – NDT Personnel Qualification .243(b)(2)	х		
148.	192.709	NDT Records (Pipeline Life) .243(f)	х		
149.	192.709	Repair: pipe (Pipeline Life); Other than pipe (5 years)	х		
150.	.807(b)	Refer to PHMSA Form # 15 to document review of operator's employee covered task records	х		
151.	192.905(c)	Periodically examining their transmission line routes for the appearance of newly identified area's (HCA's)	х		

Comments:

143. No vaults in East King County

		CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS	·S	Ü,	N/A	N/C
152.	192.453	CP procedures (system design, installation, operation, and maintenance) must be carried out by qualified personnel	х			
153.	192.455(a)(2)	CP system installed on and operating within 1 yr of completion of pipeline construction (after 7/31/71)	x			
154.	192.491	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months) for short sections (10% per year; all in 10 years) .465(a)	x		:	
155.	192.491	Maps or Records .491(a)	x			

		CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS	S	. U.	N/A	N/C
156.	192.491	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed .459	х			
157.	480-93-110(8)	CP test reading on all exposed facilities where coating has been removed	х			
158.	192.491	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(b)	х			
159.	192,491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Critical (6 per yr/2½ months) .465(c)			х	
160.	192.491	Interference Bond Monitoring – Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months) .465(c)			х	
161.	192.491	Prompt Remedial Actions .465(d)	х			
162.	192.491	Unprotected Pipeline Surveys, CP active corrosion areas (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months) .465(e)	х			
163.	192.491	Electrical Isolation (Including Casings) .467	х			
164.	480-93-110(2)	Remedial action taken within 90 days (Up to 30 additional days if other circumstances. Must document) .465(d)			х	
165.	480-93-110(3)	CP Test Equipment and Instruments checked for Accuracy/Intervals (Mfct Rec or Opr Sched)	х			
166.	480-93-110(5)	Casings inspected/tested annually not to exceed fifteen months	х			
167.	480-93-110(5)(a)	Casings w/no test leads installed prior to 9/05/1992. Demonstrate other acceptable test methods	х			
168.	480-93-110(5)(b)	Possible shorted conditions – Perform confirmatory follow-up inspection within 90 days			х	
169.	480-93-110(5)(c)	Casing shorts cleared when practical			х	
170.	480-93-110(5)(d)	Shorted conditions leak surveyed within 90 days of discovery. Twice annually/7.5 months	х			
171.	192.491	Interference Currents .473	х			
172.	192.491	Internal Corrosion; Corrosive Gas Investigation .475(a)	х			
173.	192.491	Internal Corrosion; Internal Surface Inspection; Pipe Replacement .475(b)	x			
174.	192.491	Internal Corrosion; New system design; Evaluation of impact of configuration changes to existing systems .476(d)	х			
175.	192.491	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/7½ months) .477			х	
176.	192.491	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore) .481	х			
177.	192.491	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions .483/.485	х			

Comments:

159-160. No bonds critical or non-critical are in PSE's system in East King County

164. CP readings were OK-no need for remedial action

168-169 Casing records reviewed showed adequate isolation

175. No coupons in East king County

	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)			"U,	N/A	N/C
178.	192.161	Supports and anchors	х			
179.	192.179	Valve Protection from Tampering or Damage	х			
180.	480-93-015(1)	Odorization levels	х			
181.	192.463	Levels of Cathodic Protection	х			
182.	192.465	Rectifiers	х			

		PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)	-S	U-	N/A	N/C
183.	192.467	CP - Electrical Isolation	x			- p < 0 ap 0 0 mm
184.	192.469	Test Stations (Sufficient Number)	x		:	
185.	192.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere	х			
186.	192.481	Atmospheric Corrosion - monitoring	х			
187.	480-93-115(2)	Casings – Test Leads (Casings w/o vents installed after 9/05/1992)	х			
188.	192.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel	х			
189.	613(b), .703	Pipeline condition, unsatisfactory conditions, hazards, etc.	х		11	
190.	480-93-124	Pipeline Markers	х			
191.	192.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory)	х			
192.	192.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Mechanical)	х			
193.	192.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Capacities)	х			
194.	192.745	Valve Maintenance	х		•	
195.	192.751	Warning Signs	х	·		
196.	192.801 - 192.809	Operator qualification questions – Refer to OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form	x		:	

Operator Qualification Field Validation

Important: Per PHMSA, the OQ Field Inspection Protocol Form 15 (Rev 3, Feb 08) shall be used by the inspector as part of this standard inspection. When completed, the inspector will upload this information into the PHMSA OQ Database (OQDB) located at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/oqdb/home.oq

Date Form Upload Completed: 07/12/2010

Comments:			
Comments.			
			and the second s
			•
B .			
1			
I .			
•			
1			
1			and the second s

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	\mathbf{u}	N/Al	N/C
.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits			х	
	Door latch must open from inside without a key			х	
	Doors must swing outward			х	
(d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit			х	

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION	S	Tr.	N/A	N/C
<u> </u>	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")		Y		
:	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward		<u> </u>	x	
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key			х	
(e)	Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code, ANSI/NFPA 70?			x	
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?			х	
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?			х	
:	If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?			х	
.167(a)	ESD system must:				
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location			х	
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station			х	
,	- Shut down gas compressing equipment, gas fires, electrical facilities in compressor building and near gas headers			х	
	- Maintain necessary electrical circuits for emergency lighting and circuits needed to protect equipment from damage			х	
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:				
	- Outside the gas area of the station			x	
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station			х	
•	- ESD switches near emergency exits?			х	
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?			х	
167(c)	Are ESDs on platforms designed to actuate automatically by	7.13	,		
	- For unattended compressor stations, when:				
	The gas pressure equals MAOP plus 15%?			х	
	An uncontrolled fire occurs on the platform?			х	
	- For compressor station in a building, when				
	An uncontrolled fire occurs in the building?			х	
	• Gas in air reaches 50% or more of LEL in a building with a source of ignition (facility conforming to NEC Class 1, Group D is not a source of ignition)?			х	
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.			х	
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?			х	
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?			х	
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?			х	
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?			х	
.173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?			х	
.457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?			х	
.481	Atmospheric corrosion of aboveground facilities			х	
.603	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units?			х	
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date?			х	
.616	Public Awareness Program effectiveness - Visit identified stakeholders as part of field inspection routine			x	-
.615	Emergency Plan for the station on site?	\vdash		x	
.707	Markers	-		X	
.731	Overpressure protection – reliefs or shutdowns	ļl		×	

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	ΰ	N/A	N/C
.735	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?			х	
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?			х	
.736	Gas detection – location			х	

Comments: PSE has no compressor stations in system	

Alternative Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure

For additional guidance refer to http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm
For Additional guidance see the FAQs at http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/maop/faqs.htm

192.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications	S	U	N/A	N/C
:	The alternative MAOP is calculated by using different factors in the same formulas used for calculating MAOP in §192.619. In determining the alternative design pressure under §192.105 use a design factor determined in accordance with §192.111(b), (c), or (d), or, if none of these apply in accordance with:				
	Class Location Alternative Design Factor (F) 1 0.80 2 0.67 3 0.56	7 A 18 C			
.620(a)	(1) Establish alternative MAOP commensurate with class location – no class 4	80 N	1.04	X	
:	(2) MAOP cannot exceed the lowest of the following:	434	freez.		<i>i</i> 1
	(i) Design pressure of the weakest element	06807904		х	133554
	(ii) Test pressure divided by applicable factor			х	\Box
.620(b)	(2) Pipeline constructed of steel pipe meeting additional requirements in §192.112.	 	 -	X	\vdash
:	(3) SCADA system with remote monitoring and control			x	ļ
	(4) Additional construction requirements described in §192.328			х	 -
	(5) No mechanical couplings			х	
	(6) No failures indicative of systemic material fault – if previously operated at lower MAOP	 		Х	
	(7) 95% of girth welds have NDT			x	
.620(c)	(1) PHMSA notified 180 days before operating at alternative MAOP	 		Х	
•	(2) Senior Executive signatures and copy to PHMSA			Х	
	(4) Strength test per §192.505 or certify previous strength test			х	
, i	(6) Construction tasks treated as covered tasks for Operator Qualification			Х	
	(7) Records maintained for life of system			Х	
	(8) Class location change anomaly remediations			Х	
.620(d)	(1) Threat matrix developed consistent with §192.917			Х	
	(2) Recalculate the potential impact circle per §192.903 and implement public education per §192.616			X	
	(3) Responding to an emergency in an HCA	ii)			
	(i) Identify HCAs using larger impact circle			Х	
:	(ii) Check personnel response times			Х	
	(iii) Verify remote valve abilities			Х	
	(iv) Verify line break valve control system			Х	
	(4) Protect the right-of-way:	13.5		37.7%. 1713 is	
	(i) ROW patrols 12 per year not to exceed 45 days			Х	
	(ii) Plan to identify and mitigate unstable soil			Х	
	(iii) Replace loss of cover if needed			Х	
	(iv) Use line-of-sight markers per §192.707			Х	
	(v) Review damage prevention program in light of national consensus practices			Х	
	(vi) ROW management plan to protect against excavation activities			Х	
	(5) Control Internal Corrosion:			49,792	
	(i) Program to monitor gas constituents			Х	
	(ii) Filter separators if needed			х	
	(iii) Gas Monitoring equipment used			Х	
	(iv) Cleaning pigs, inhibitors, and sample accumulated liquids	100		ini. Paga	
.620(d)	(v) Limit CO2, H2S, and water in the gas stream			Х	
-	(vi) Quarterly program review based on monitoring results			Х	
:	(6) (i) Control interference that can impact external corrosion			х	

192.620	Alternative MAOP Procedures and Verifications	S	Ü	N/A	N/C
	(ii) Survey to address interference currents and remedial actions			X	
	(7) Confirm external corrosion control through indirect assessment			Х	
	(i) Assess adequacy of CIS and perform DCVG or ACVG within 6 months		- 1		
	(ii) Remediate damage with IR drop > 35%			Х	
	(iii) Integrate internal inspection results with indirect assessment			X	
	(iv) Periodic assessments for HCAs			X	
	(A-C) Close interval surveys, test stations at ½ mile intervals, and integrate results	15		equit.	
	(8) Cathodic Protection			X	
	(i) Complete remediations within 6 months of failed reading	5.1			
	(ii) Confirm restoration by a close interval survey	58.0		X	
	(iii) Cathodic protection system operational within 12 months of construction completion		 	x	
	(9) Baseline assessment of integrity			X	
	(i)(A) Geometry tool run within 6 months of service	A.C.	Sp. S		. Ž
	(i)(B) High resolution MFL tool run within 3 years of service	18-380	:	Γx	10, 40,000
	(ii) Geometry and MFL tool 2 years prior to raising pressure for existing lines		<u> </u>	X	
	(iii) If short portions cannot accommodate tools, use direct assessment per §192.925, 9 929 or pressure testing	27,		х	
	(10) Periodic integrity assessments			Х	
	(i) Frequency for assessments determined as if all segments covered by Subpart O				
	(ii) Inspect using MFL tool or direct assessment per §192.925, 927, 929 or press testing.	10,70		x	13.10.11.136
,	(11) Repairs			Х	
	(i)(A) Use of the most conservative calculation for anomaly remaining strength	(4)	iĝi		
	(B) Tool tolerances taken into consideration			х	
•	(ii) Immediate repairs for:			Х	
	(A) Dents meeting 309(b) criteria				
	(B) Defects meeting immediate criteria in §192.933(d)	100,000	A. M. S	Х	7.55.13 pt
	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .67 design factor			Х	
	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.4 for .56 design factor			X	\vdash
	(iii) Repairs within 1 year for:		1	х	
ľ	(A) Defects meeting 1 year criteria in 933(d)	(4%)			
Ì	(B) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.25 for .80 design factor	50000	l	x	
Ì	(C) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.50 for .67 design factor		<u> </u>	х	
Ì	(D) Calculated failure pressure ratio less than 1.80 for .56 design factor		 	X	
	(iv) Evaluate defect growth rate for anomalies with > 1 year repair interval and set repair interval	air		x	
	(1) Provide overpressure protection to a max of 104% MAOP			х	
.620(e)				Х	
	(2) Procedure for establishing and maintaining set points for SCADA		:	Х	
		_	<u> </u>		

			:
Comments		 	

Comments:	
PSE has not applied an alternative MAOP	

Recent Gas Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletins: (Last 2 years)

<u>Number</u>	Date	<u>Subject</u>
ADB-08-01	May 13, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Notice to Operators of Gas Transmission Pipelines on the
;		Regulatory Status of Direct Sales Pipelines
ADB-08-04	June 5, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Installation of Excess Flow Valves into Gas Service Lines
ADB-09-01	May 21, 2009	Potential Low and Variable Yield and Tensile Strength and Chemical
		Composition Properties in High Strength Line Pipe
ADB-09-02	Sept 30, 2009	Weldable Compression Coupling Installation
ADB-09-03	Dec 7, 2009	Operator Qualification Program Modifications
ADB-09-04	Jan 14, 2010	Reporting Drug and Alcohol Test Results for Contractors and Multiple
		Operator Identification Numbers
ADB-10-02	Feb 3, 2010	Implementation of Revised Incident/Accident Report Forms for Distribution
		Systems, Gas Transmission and Gathering Systems, and Hazardous Liquid
		Systems
ADB-10-03	March 24, 2010	Girth Weld Quality Issues Due to Improper Transitioning, Misalignment, and
		Welding Practices of Large Diameter Line Pipe

For more PHMSA Advisory Bulletins, go to http://ops.dot.gov/regs/advise.htm