JUN - 1 2017

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASH, UT, & TP, COMM

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TV-170293 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$51,900

Can't Stop Moving LLC 4253 22nd Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that you have committed violations of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-15-555 Criminal Background Checks for Prospective Employees. The Commission also believes that you have committed violations of WAC 480-15-560 Equipment Safety Requirements and WAC 480-15-570 Driver Safety Requirements, which adopt Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 391, 392, 395 and 396.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of one hundred dollars for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

In April 2017, Commission Motor Carrier Investigator Wayne Gilbert conducted a compliance review investigation of Can't Stop Moving LLC (Can't Stop Moving) and documented the following critical violations:

- Five violations of WAC 480-15-555 Failing to conduct or retain paperwork
 containing criminal background check for a household goods carrier in the state of
 Washington as required. Can't Stop Moving had no documentation of having
 conducted criminal background checks on employees Prince Austin, Patrick Allen,
 Bobby Quinn, Tom Munson, and John Grueneberg.
- Four hundred ninety-two violations of CFR Part 391.45(a) Using a driver not medically examined and certified. In the six months preceding the compliance review, Can't Stop Moving allowed five of its drivers, Bobby Quinn, Tom Munson, John Grueneberg, Prince Austin, and Patrick Allen to drive on 492 occasions during which time the drivers were not medically examined and certified. Between October, 2016 and March, 2017 Mr. Quinn drove 99 times; Mr. Munson drove 93 times; Mr. Grueneberg drove 82 times; Mr. Austin drove 116 times; and Mr. Allen drove 102 times.
- Five violations of CFR 391.51(a) Failing to maintain driver qualification file on each driver employed. Can't Stop Moving had no driver qualification files for employees Prince Austin, Patrick Allen, Bobby Quinn, Tom Munson, or John Grueneberg.

- Seventeen violations of CFR Part 392.2 Operating a motor vehicle not in accordance with the laws, ordinances, and regulations of the jurisdiction in which it is being operated. Prince Austin drove on 17 occasions during March 2017 during which time his driver's license was suspended.
- Two violations of CFR 395.8(a) Failing to require driver to make a record of duty status using appropriate method. Can't Stop Moving failed to require its employee Tom Munson to make a record of duty status after having been on duty for 13 hours on March 11, and 14 hours on March 24, 2017.
- Four violations of CFR 396.3(b) Failing to keep minimum records of inspection and vehicle maintenance. Can't Stop Moving failed to keep minimum records of inspection and vehicle maintenance on its four vehicles.
- Four violations of CFR 396.17(a) Using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected. Can't Stop Moving failed to ensure its four commercial motor vehicles had been periodically inspected.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for these violations:

1. How serious or harmful the violation is to the public. The violations noted are serious and potentially harmful to the public. Transportation providers put the traveling public at risk by using drivers with no documented criminal background checks and who are not medically examined and certified, not licensed, or otherwise unqualified, and by using vehicles not periodically inspected. A company using a driver with a criminal history, who is unqualified, or who has an undetected medical condition, or that is operating a vehicle with a defect presents serious safety concerns.

2. Whether the violation is intentional. Considerations include:

- Whether the company ignored Commission staff's previous technical assistance; and
- Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the company knew of and failed to correct the violation.

Eric Michelson began operations in August 2009 as Can't Stop Moving. The company became Can't Stop Moving LLC in July 2011. Mr. Michelson attended household goods movers training in October 2011 and received new entrant technical assistance from Commission staff in October 2012.

Can't Stop Moving was penalized \$100 in July 2011 under docket TV-111307 for one violation of CFR 391.45(a), using a driver not medically examined and certified. Also noted during the July 2011 compliance review were 30 occurrences of CFR 395.8(a),

¹ Mr. Michelson drove without a valid medical certificate on 97 occasions, however the company was only penalized \$100 for one violation of this type.

failing to require to make record of duty status.² A compliance review investigation in August 2012 identified no violations, demonstrating that the company is capable of full compliance.

Since 2009, the Commission has suspended or cancelled Can't Stop Moving's certificate four times for lack of insurance, and assessed penalties and/or cancelled the company's certificate six times for failure to submit its annual report.

Staff believes that Can't Stop Moving knew, or should have known about these violations. Staff also believes that the company's history demonstrates indifference, if not contempt, for the Commission requirements and safety regulations.

- 3. Whether the company self-reported the violation. The company did not self-report these violations.
- 4. Whether the company was cooperative and responsive. Can't Stop Moving cooperated with the investigation and provided Staff with all requested documentation.
- 5. Whether the company promptly corrected the violations and remedied the impacts. The company corrected many of the violations immediately.
- 6. **The number of violations.** For a small company like Can't Stop Moving, the number of critical violations noted is quite significant.
- 7. **The number of customers affected.** The company traveled 18,642 miles and reported \$1,345,183 in gross revenue for 2016. These safety violations likely affected a large number of customers as well as members of the traveling public.
- 8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Commission does not know if the company is likely to repeat these violations, however, historically the company has shown little interest in complying with the Commission's rules and safety regulations.
- 9. The company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties. This is the company's second compliance review. Two violation types noted in the 2011 compliance review were noted again in the current review.
- 10. **The company's existing compliance program.** Can't Stop Moving has no formal compliance program.
- 11. **The size of the company.** Can't Stop Moving is a small company, with nine drivers and four commercial vehicles. In 2016 the company reported 18,642 miles traveled and \$1,345,183 in gross revenue.

Several of these are first-time violations, but the Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will

² Both of these violation types were repeated in the case at hand.

issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation.³ The Commission generally will assess penalties per type of violation, rather than per occurrence, for other first-time violations of critical regulations that do not meet the criteria for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any repeat violations of critical regulations found in future compliance investigations, including for each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize Can't Stop Moving \$51,400 for violations of WAC 480-15-100 Criminal Background Checks for Prospective Employees, as well as WAC 480-15-560 Equipment Safety Requirements and WAC 480-15-570 Driver Safety Requirements, both of which adopt Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 391, 392, 395 and 396, calculated as follows:

- Five violations of WAC 480-15-555 Failing to conduct or retain paperwork containing criminal background checks for a household goods carrier in the state of Washington as required. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of this critical violation, for a total of \$500.
- Four hundred ninety-two violations of CFR Part 391.45(a) Using a driver not medically examined and certified. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of this critical violation, for a total of \$49,200.
- One violation of CFR 391.51(a) Failing to maintain driver qualification file on each driver employed. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for one critical violation of this type.
- Seventeen violations of CFR Part 392.2 Operating a motor vehicle not in accordance with the laws, ordinances, and regulations of the jurisdiction in which it is being operated. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of this critical violation, for a total of \$1,700.
- Two violations of CFR 395.8(a) Failing to require driver to make a record of duty status using appropriate method. As repeat violations, The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of this critical violation for a total of \$200.
- One violation of CFR 396.3(b) Failing to keep minimum records of inspection and vehicle maintenance. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for one critical violation of this type.
- One violation of CFR 396.17(a) Using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for one critical violation of this type.

³ Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

This information, if proved at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe any or all of the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violation(s) and contest the penalty assessment through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact concerning the violation(s) require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any contest of the penalty assessment must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that contest. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the contest.

If there is a reason for any or all of the violations that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of this penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request for mitigation must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation(s) or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violations.
- Request mitigation to contest the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and send it to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Post Office Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250, within FIFTEEN (15) days after you receive this notice.

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective May 1, 2017.

GREGORY J. KOPTA Administrative Law Judge

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT TV-170293

		You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission ter you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed.	
I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the			
		pelow and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under ag statements.	
[] 1.		Payment of penalty. I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$	
[] 2.			
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.	
[X] 3.		tion for mitigation. I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should sed for the reasons set out below:	
	[X] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.	
includin	g informa	enalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing, ation I have presented on any attachments, is true and correct.	
Dated: _	5/25 Ec:	[city, state] Image: Company Image: Company Image: Company	
Name of Respondent (company) – please print Signature of Applicant			
RCW 9A	4.72.020:	·	

R

"Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony."



DOT #: 1934023 HHG PERMIT #: HG063768

Corrective Action Plan

To: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

Attn: Wayne Gilbert

Using a driver not medically examined and certified

Solution: All drivers are now in compliance, and have received their medical cards, and I have verified authenticity

Reoccurrence prevention: We have set up an account with a clinic for our employees to get DOT physicals prior to becoming drivers in our company.

Failure to maintain driver qualification files for each employed driver

Solution: We have opened and are maintaining driver qualification files for all current drivers, as well as keeping employee files ready and accessible for any employee who may become a driver in our company

Reoccurrence prevention: As noted above, we have files for non-drivers ready to go in order to quickly build any new driver qualification files as needed. Also, we have pre-printed all applicable forms in order to expedite and streamline the process. Lastly, we are putting a system in place for bi-monthly checks of all employee files to ensure accuracy and compliance.

Failing to conduct/retain paperwork containing criminal background checks Solution: We have run criminal background checks on all employees, and are maintaining the records in our employee files

Reoccurrence prevention: Moving forward, all potential employees will be background checked prior to their start date to ensure compliance with WA/federal law.











DOT #: 1934023 HHG PERMIT #: HG063768

Reoccurrence prevention: Going beyond what is listed above we have decided to pull driver abstracts bi-yearly in order to better track our drivers history

Failure to require driver to make a record of duty status

Solution: Permanently place log books inside each of our vehicles

Reoccurrence prevention: We have trained each of our drivers in the proper procedures for filling out log books, as well as letting them know when they are necessary as opposed to filling out standard time cards

Failure to maintain roadside inspection form for 12 months

Solution: Added reports to vehicle files

Reoccurrence prevention: We have trained staff to appropriately file records when necessary. Our record keeping was lacking and myself as well as our office manager are taking it upon ourselves to not only reorganize our files and set up a more efficient system, but also making sure that we are keeping and filing all necessary paperwork/documents in the future.

We are still a small business, and have made great strides in our short time in business to grow and do business the right way. Although we regret the violations detailed above, I can assure you that we will use this as a learning opportunity and a stepping stone towards making ourselves a better company. Since the time of the initial review, we have put in place this corrective plan, among other things, in order to prevent violations like those listed above from occurring in the future. Thank you very much for your time and your consideration, and have a great day.

Sincerely,
Eric Michelson, Owner
Can't Stop Moving
"Running on Reputation"











DOT #: 1934023 HHG PERMIT #: HG063768

Failing to keep minimum maintenance record of inspection and repair

Solution: We have opened maintenance files for each of our trucks in order to keep accurate records moving forward

Reoccurrence prevention: We now have a periodic maintenance plan in place to follow, and we will be keeping all records of truck maintenance from this point on.

Using a Commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected

Solution: We have established a periodic maintenance plan at mileage intervals as per recommended by our vehicle owners manual.

Reoccurrence prevention: We have attached the maintenance plan to our truck folders, as well as early warning alerts in our daily calendar to provide reminders to stay on top of our newly established periodic maintenance schedule

Failure to fil MC-150

Solution: We filed and updated our MC-150 form

Reoccurrence prevention: Added alerts to our daily calendar as a reminder to ensure that we are filing an update to our MC-150 every 24 months

Operating a commercial vehicle not in accordance with the laws, ordinances and regulations of the jurisdiction in which it is being operated

Solution: We have tried to open up lines of communication with our drivers, and in cases like this offered amnesty in exchange for honesty. In this particular instance, our driver claims he was unaware that his license had been suspended. We value our employees and don't want anyone to lose their job, but also want to ensure that we are following the law, and feel that by allowing them to explain a situation without any fear of repercussions and giving us an opportunity to work around any similar instances will prevent this from happening in the future.











DOT #: 1934023 HHG PERMIT #: HG063768

To whom it may concern:

This is a supplemental attachment in addition to our corrective action plan regarding our 2017 Safety Audit. We do not dispute the violations against us, however we are making significant changes in the way we operate to ensure future compliance, and would like to request a mitigation hearing.

In the past we have put the majority of our time and efforts into providing the best moving service we can, and our main focus has been on our employees and our customers. While doing this however we have neglected to adhere to the standards set forth by the WUTC in a number of areas that the report mentioned. As a result of the investigation and subsequent report, we have named myself, Eric Michelson, as Lead Compliance Officer, who will ensure that we are maintaining the rules and recommendations of the UTC from this point forward.

As mentioned in our 15 day letter, which is also included here, we made great strides in correcting the issues, and many were brought into compliance immediately after the report, and prior to the findings of the commission reaching us. Since then, we have maintained this focus and continued to do what is needed to reach and keep compliance with said regulations, and are continuing to educate our employees on what needs to be done for us to remain compliant.

It is our hope that the commission will see fit to reduce the penalties levied against us, which would pose a great detriment to our continued operation as a small business in Washington. We greatly appreciate your time as well as your consideration. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Eric Michelson, Owner
Can't Stop Moving LLC







