# US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

# Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Parts 195.450 and 195.452

#### General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
  - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
  - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected: NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P.
Op ID: 10012

Perform Activity (denoted by mark)	Activity Number	Activity Description
	1A	In-Line Inspection
X (initial)	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Other Assessment Technologies
	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
	3A	Installed Leak Detection System Information
	3B	Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device
X	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
X	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection
	,	System
X	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics

#### **Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection Form**

Name of Operator: NuStar Energy L.P.

Headquarters Address: 7340 W 21 Street North

Wichita, Kansas 67205

Company Official:

Dan Tibbits, Director - Health, Safety & Environmental

Phone Number: (316) 773-9000 Fax Number: (316) 773-9001

Operator ID: 10012

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Kent Perry	Supervisor Pipeline Safety	316-773-9000	
Jim Norvell	Pipeline Safety Coordinator	573-486-5488	
Gary Hollis	Manager Corrosion Control	316-721-7018	
Dan Klinetobe		712-338-4732	
Tim Ottmar	Shelco Electric (Maint. Contractor)	541-561-5354	

OPS/State Representative(s): Scott Rukke/UTC Dates of Inspection: April 11 – 14, 2011

Inspector Signature: Scott Rukke

Date: June 7, 2011

**Pipeline Segment Descriptions:** [note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Inspected. (Include the pipe size, wall thickness, grade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, and Pipeline Segment boundaries.)]

NuStar Snake River – Pasco BN Pipeline System operated by NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P. is approximately 4.2 miles in length of 4-inch diameter, 0.237" wall thickness, API 5L grade X-52 pipe, ERW seam, FBE coating, transporting diesel fuel with 550 psig MOP (MOP at time of inspection, may change). The entire line is in HCA

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

There were no field activities related to IMP during this inspection.

#### Summary:

NuStar O&M manual was reviewed during an OPS team inspection conducted in July 16, 2007. The O&M manual was not reviewed during this inspection except for revisions made after the Team O&M inspection was conducted.

This inspection included pipeline right-of-way inspection, line markers, mainline valves, rectifiers, CP test stations, casings, and pump stations at Tidewater Terminal in Pasco. All the records were reviewed.

#### Findings:

There were no field activities related to IMP during this inspection.

#### **Key Documents Reviewed:**

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
Hydrotest records for pre-tested pipe.			1993
Public Awareness Program (Sample mailings and records)			2010
CP annual surveys			2008, 9, 10 and 2011
Mainline valves inspection reports			2008, 9, 10 and 2011
Right-of-way fly-over reports			2008, 9, 10 and 2011
CP rectifiers inspection reports			2008, 9, 10 and 2011

# Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
1A. In-Line Inspection (Protocol 3.04 & 3.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural				
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for			X	
performance of ILI were followed.		l		
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol	llowed (e.g.	operation of t	rap	·
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control	of flow), as	appropriate.		
Vaif III to all a to a land a line to a land a		C 13		
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before retool was operating correctly prior to assessment being g				
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p	beriormed, a	is appropriate.		
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require	ments for n	erformance of	f a	
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits			а	
coverage), as appropriate.	s, adequate t	ransaacer		
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, I	Deformation	). Document		•
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a		,		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applica		res		,
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
IB. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing (Protocol 3.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with	Satisfactory	Olisatisfactory	IN/C	Notes.
Part 195 Subpart E requirements.	X			
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test pa	rameters and	l results. Ver	і <u></u> ifv	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance				
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	ptability and	d validity.		
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ailures, as ap	propriate.		·
		<del></del>		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	ment used, a	as appropriate	•	
Other:				
			<u> </u>	
1C. Other Assessment Technologies (Protocol 3.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment	Batistactory	Chisacistactory	14/0	Notes.
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,				
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to			X	·
OPS, and that appropriate data was collected.				
Review documentation of notification to OPS of Operation	tor's applica	tion of "Other	r	
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian				
procedural requirements. If documentation of notificati				
application of "Other Assessment Technology" is availa				
assessment within parameters originally submitted to O	PS.			
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap	opropriate di	ata is being		
collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				·
Ouici.				
		<del></del>		

### Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

	1			
2A. Remedial Actions – Process (Protocol 4.1)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the			Х	
Operator's procedural requirements.				
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation				
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			ý	
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 195	requiremen	its.		· .
				:
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	ting and ex	posing the		
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line local	ation, identi	fying		·
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava	ation, coatin	g removal).		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the	anomaly, de	termining the		
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre				· •
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical	ble procedu	res.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
Other:				
2B. Remediation - Implementation (Protocol 4.02)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented				
its remediation process and procedures to effectively			37	
remediate conditions identified through integrity	ľ		X	
assessments or information analysis.		ļ		
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co	ompleted in	accordance w	vith	
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f				
§195.452(h).				
§175.452(II).		•		
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	n immediate	e repair condit	tion	
(§195.452(h)(4)(i) where operating pressure was reduce	ed or the pir	eline was		
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that	at temporary	operating		
pressure was determined in accordance with the formul				
ASME/ANSI B31.4 or, if not applicable, the operator s			rino	
basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.	nousa provi	de an engineer	11115	
basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.				
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with	r's	†		
	g175.722 ai	id the Operato	1 3	
O&M Manual, as appropriate.				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S	ee Part / of	this form		1
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic P	rotaction S	estam" os		
1	rotection Sy	siem, as		
appropriate.	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to			
				soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential:mV
Othory				Off Potential: mV
Other:				on retention.
				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
	<del></del>			we appropriately

# Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. Installed Leak Detection System Information	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:	
(Protocol 6.05)	Satisfactory	Clisatisfactory	11/C		
Identify installed leak detection systems on pipelines and facilities that can affect an HCA.			X		
Document leak detection system components installed capabilities, as appropriate.					
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed leal connection of installed components to leak detection mappropriate,					
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]	
3B. Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (Protocol 6.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:	
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions implemented by Operator.			Х		
Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD system.	Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD) component(s) installed on				
Note that EFRD per §195.450 means a check valve or follows:  (1) Check valve means a valve that permits fluid to and contains a mechanism to automatically prevent flow (2) Remote control valve or RCV means any valve location remote from where the valve is installed. The the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) the pipeline control center and the RCV may be by fiber telephone lines, or satellite.					
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed EFI installed components to monitoring/operating system, a					
Verify operation of remote control valve by having operation to partially open or close the valve, as appropriate.					
Comment on the perceived effectiveness of the EFRD in mitigating the consequences of a release on the HCA that it is designed to protect.			[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]		
Other:					
				<u> </u>	

#### Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.				110.00
Utilize NPMS, as appropriate.	X			
Verify population derived HCAs in the field are as they		•	ps	
and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly constructed				
population and/or commercial areas that could be affect	ed by a pipe	eline release,	as	
appropriate.				
Note that population derived HCAs are defined in §195 Verify drinking water and ecological HCAs in the field				
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document			ing	
water sources and/or ecological resources areas (within				
affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate.	•			
Note that unusually sensitive areas (USAs) are defined	in §195.6			
Verify commercially navigable waterway HCAs in the				
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document			l in	
nature) that could affect the waterways status as a comm	nercially na	vigable		[Note: Add location specific information,
waterway, as appropriate.	defined in c	105 450		as appropriate.]
Note that commercially navigable waterway HCAs are	aemiea in §	173.430		as appropriate.
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.			X	
Document the anomaly dig sites reviewed as part of this	s field activi	ity and action	S	[Note: Add location specific information,
taken by the operator.				as appropriate.]
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	G	**	) N/O	Notes:
Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic				
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general	X			
adequacy.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
The operator should review the CP system performance hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessm				
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator				
performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur		ic Cr system		
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual		nsure minimu	ım	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
code requirements are being met, if available.		soil at dig site (if available):		
				On Potential:mV
Review results of random field CP readings performed		Off Potential:mV		
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible.				[Note: Add location specific information,
checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are ope	le.	as appropriate.]		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			as appropriate.
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of				
pipeline and associated facilities for a general	X	1		
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP				
implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to en	IIIre minim	ım code	1	-
requirements are being met, as appropriate.	oure minimil	anii code		
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in	tegrity and	safe operation	n of	1
their system, as appropriate.		P	- <b>-</b>	
				7
Other				

# Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pineline Syst	em and Line Pipe Information				
Operator (OpID and System Name):	em una Eme i ipe intot mation				
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)					
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:				
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Seam Orientation:				
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type:				
Grade of Pipe:	MOP:				
	Reported Information				
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):	Reported Information				
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Los	s):				
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an He	,				
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):	Date of Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):				
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/					
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-da					
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:				
Anomaly Details: Length (in):	Width (in): Depth (in):				
Anomaly Log Distance (ft):	Distance from Upstream weld (ft):				
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is i					
	g Site Information Summary				
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):	g site initialities summerly				
Location Information:					
Mile Post Number:	Distance from A/G Reference (ft):				
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	Distance from the exercises (11).				
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:				
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:				
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is f					
	hanical Damage Anomaly				
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, pla					
	Width (in): Depth (in):				
Near a weld? (Yes / No):					
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Y	(es / No):				
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence of cracks in dent? (Yes / No):					
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):					
	osion Metal Loss Anomaly				
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):	John Market Book Market Brown				
	Width (in): Max. Depth (in):				
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in):	Maximum % Wall Loss measurement(%):				
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate					
1 1 1	ther Types" of Anomalies				
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss					
	Width (in): Max. Depth (in):				
Other Information, as appropriate:	,				
Did operator perform additional NDE to eva-	luate presence of cracks? (Yes / No):				
Cracks present? (Yes / No):					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

# Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Re	epair Information				
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No	):				
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for re	epair? (Yes / No):				
If grinding used, complete the following for a	ffected area:				
<u>UV</u>	Vidth (in):	Depth (in):			
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRE	NG is applicable, were the Oper	rator's RSTRENG calculations			
reviewed? (Yes / No):					
If Repair made, complete the following:					
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite	wrap)				
Length of Repair:	·				
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate					
Pipe re-coating material used following excav	ation:				
General Observations and Comments					
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the an	omaly made? (Yes / No):	(Include in report if available)			
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection reading	s taken? (Yes / No):				
If readings taken, Record: On Potential:	mV; Off Poten	itial:mV			
Describe method used to Operator to locate ar	nomaly (as appropriate):				
Comments regarding procedures followed dur	ring excavation, repair of anoma	ly, and backfill (as appropriate):			
General Observations and Comments (Note: attach photographs, sketches, etc., as appropriate):					