

1		1. INTRODUCTION	
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position with Avista	
3	Corporation ("Avista" or "Company").		
4	A.	My name is Ronald L. McKenzie and my business address is East 1411 Mission	
5	Avenue, Spo	kane, Washington. I am employed by Avista as Manager, Regulatory Accounting in	
6	the State and Federal Regulation Department.		
7	Q.	Would you briefly describe your educational background and professional	
8	experience?		
9	A.	I graduated from Eastern Washington University in 1973 with a Bachelor of Arts	
10	degree in Bu	siness Administration majoring in accounting. I joined the Company in September	
11	1974. I ob	stained a Master of Business Administration Degree from Eastern Washington	
12	University is	n 1989. I have attended several utility accounting and ratemaking courses and	
13	workshops.	I have held various accounting positions within the Company. I have served in the	
14	State and Federal Regulation Department for the majority of my career with the Company.		
15	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?	
16	A.	Yes. I have testified before this Commission in several prior proceedings.	
17	Q.	What is the scope of your testimony in this proceeding?	
18	A.	My testimony addresses the accounting associated with the power cost deferrals	
19	under the Er	nergy Recovery Mechanism ("ERM") approved by the Commission in Docket No.	
20	UE-011595.	I also explain what is contained in the monthly reports that are filed with the	
21	Commission		
22	Ο.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits?	

- 1 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No. ___(RLM-2), which consists of a copy of the 2 December 2006 monthly ERM report for informational purposes.
 - II. ACCOUNTING ASSOCIATED WITH ERM DEFERRALS
- Q. Would you please describe the accounting associated with the Company's ERM deferral mechanism?
 - Company witness Mr. William G. Johnson discusses, in his direct Yes. A. testimony, the procedure to calculate the monthly variations between actual and authorized power supply revenues and expenses. The ERM deadband and sharing mechanism were modified effective January 1, 2006 pursuant to Order 03 in Docket UE-060181 dated June 16, 2006. Under the revised mechanism, monthly variations are accumulated until the deadband of \$4.0 million is exceeded. Once the deadband is exceeded, 50% of the cumulative variation between actual and authorized net power supply costs between \$4.0 million and \$10.0 million is deferred. Once the cumulative power supply cost variance from the amount included in base rates exceeds \$10.0 million, 90% of the cost variance is deferred for future surcharge or rebate. When actual net power supply costs exceed authorized costs, entries are made to record the deferral amount by crediting Account 557.28 - Other Power Supply Expenses, thereby decreasing recorded power supply expenses, and debiting Account 186.28 - Miscellaneous Deferred Debits. If actual net power supply costs are less than authorized costs in a given month, an entry is made to record the difference by debiting Account 557.28 - Other Power Supply Expenses, thereby increasing recorded power supply expenses, and crediting Account 186.28 - Miscellaneous Deferred Debits. An accumulated debit balance in Account 186.28 represents a surcharge balance, while an accumulated credit balance represents a rebate balance.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

O. How is interest recorded on the deferral balances?

A. Interest is calculated pursuant to the Settlement Stipulation approved by the Commission's Fifth Supplemental Order in Docket No. UE-011595, dated June 18, 2002. Interest is applied to the average of the beginning and ending month balances in Account 186.28 net of associated deferred federal income tax. The Company's weighted cost of debt is used as the interest rate. The interest rate is updated semi-annually and interest is compounded semi-annually. The interest rate used for the period January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006 was 8.100%, the Company's weighted cost of debt at December 31, 2005. The interest rate used for the period July 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006 was 8.253%, the Company's weighted cost of debt at June 30, 2006.

- Q. How are income taxes accounted for under the deferred power cost mechanism?
- A. The power cost deferral entries are not recognized in the determination of taxable income for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, deferred federal income taxes are recorded. Account 283.28 Accumulated Deferred Federal Income Tax reflects a credit balance of 35% of the debit balances in Account 186.28. When Account 283.28 is credited, Account 410.10 Deferred FIT Expense in debited. Likewise, when Account 283.28 is debited, Account 410.10 is credited.
- Q. In 2006 what were the amounts deferred, absorbed by the Company, and the balance in the 2006 deferral account, Account 186.28, at December 31, 2006?
- A. For the 2006 calendar year actual net power costs were less than authorized net power costs for the Washington jurisdiction by \$2,601,664. Since the difference between actual

and authorized power costs for the year was less than the \$4.0 deadband, there was no deferral for the year as a whole, and the entire difference was absorbed by the Company. However, during the months of March through July 2006, there were deferral balances in the rebate direction since the cumulative differences between actual and authorized power costs exceeded the \$4.0 deadband amount in the rebate direction for each of those months. For the other months of the year, and for the year as a whole, the cumulative differences between actual and authorized power costs fell within the \$4.0 million deadband, and the deferral balances were zero. During the months of March through July 2006 when there were deferral balances in the rebate direction, interest was accrued on the deferral balances. The balance in the 2006 deferral account at December 31, 2006 was \$-68,246 (rebate direction) and consists entirely of interest for the 2006 calendar year.

III. ERM MONTHLY AND ANNUAL REPORTS

- Q. Would you please describe the monthly reports that the Company submits to the Commission?
- A. The Company submits monthly reports to the Commission, Public Counsel, and ICNU that include the monthly power cost deferral journal entries together with backup workpapers and other supporting documentation. The cover letter to the monthly report contains a brief explanation of the factors causing the variance between actual and authorized power costs. The beginning of the month account balances, the recorded activity within the accounts, and the ending month account balances are shown. The January and July reports contain the supporting workpapers for the semi-annual updates of the weighted cost of debt used in the interest calculations. The monthly reports also include any new power contracts of one-year or longer,

1	entered into	during the month. Attached as Exhibit No(RLM-2) is a copy of the December	
2	2006 report for informational purposes.		
3	Q.	What are the requirements associated with the annual filing to review	
4	deferrals?		
5	A.	The Company is required to make an annual filing, on or before April 1 of each	
6	year, regarding the power costs deferred in the prior calendar year under the ERM. The filing		
7	consists of testimony, exhibits, and supporting documentation. Since its inception in 2002, the		
8	Company has made five such annual filings, including the present filing covering the 2006		
9	calendar year.		
10	Q.	What is the review period for the annual ERM filing?	
11	A.	The Commission Staff and interested parties have the opportunity to review the	
12	deferral information during a 90-day review period ending June 30th each year. The 90-day		
13	review period may be extended by agreement of the parties participating in the review, or by		
14	Commission order.		
15	Q.	When was the last annual ERM filing addressed by the Commission?	
16	A.	The annual ERM filing covering the 2005 calendar year was reviewed in Docket	
17	No. UE-0604	493. Order 01 was issued in that docket on June 28, 2006, and the Commission	
18	found that the power cost deferrals for 2005 were prudent.		
19	Q.	Have the 2006 ERM calculations and accounting entries been made in a	
20	manner consistent with the ERM methodology approved by the Commission?		
21	A.	Yes.	
22	Q.	Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?	

1 A. Yes, it does.

2

3

4

5

6

7