J. M. (MIKE) COWLES

Mgr. Public Projects WA, ID, MT. and British Columbia

January 19, 2004

WUTC 1300 Evergreen Park Dr. So. P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA. 98504-7250 Attn: Ahmer Nizam

Burlington Northern Sama Fe

2454 Occidental Avenue So., Ste. 1-A Seattle, WA. 98134

E-Mail: Mike.Cowles@BNSF.com

Phone: 206-625-6146 Fax: 206-625-6115

File: Othello, WA. – Petition for Scootney Street crossing (new)

Attached is the original and 1 copy of a petition for the construction of the Scootney Street at-grade crossing in Othello, WA. The Columbia Basin Railroad is the railroad operating on the tracks in Othello, WA.

Please review the petition for the construction of the crossing and if you have no objections please prepare and issue an Order for the construction of the Scootney crossing based upon the requirements stated in the waiver of hearing

Sincerely,

M. (Mike) Cowles Mgr. Public Projects

JMC

Cc: Curt Andrews City Planner 500 East Main Street Othello, WA. 99344

BEFOR	E THE WASHINGTON UT	ILITIES AND TRANSPO	RTATION CONNISSION		
	•.	No			
	- -		PETITION		
	f Othello, Petici ndrews, City Engineer	oner Road Name	Scootney Street		
	∨ s.		rossing No.		
BURLINGTON NORTHERN SANTA FE Res		ondent D.O.T. Cro New Crossi	ng at Scootney Street		
Application for an orde	is hereby made to the r (check one or more o	Washington Utilities ar	nd Transportation Commission		
[X] directi	ng the <u>construction</u> (construction-r	econstruction-relocation	of a grade crossing;		
[] directi (other	than crossbucks) at a	new crossing;	ignal or other warning device		
[] directi	.ng	of warning o	devices at an existing		
•	(replacement-change	-upgrade)			
crossir	ng;	. •			
[] allocating funds from the "grade crossing protective fund" for of active warning devices; (installation and/or					
Interme	odal Surface Transports	of the project, funding tion Efficiency Act (IS f Transportation Local	TEA) in cooperation with the		
at the rai	lroad grade crossing ic n seeks the relief spec	lentified above and desc lified above by (check o	ribed in this petition. This ne of the following)		
	[] hearing and order	[X] order w	ithout hearing		
[] [X] YES NO	Has application for for Efficiency Act been ma	unding, pursuant to Inte ade to the Local Program	rmodal Surface Transportation is Division for this project?		
(] (] YES NO	If the answer is yes to the question above, has the funding requested under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act been denied?				
	I certify under penal with this petition is	ty of perjury that the i true and correct.	information provided in and		
	•		Ot JAN UTIL		
		City of Othello			
		Petitioner	DE NEC		
		ER Kelley, Mayor			
	•	Print Name Title	TRW AM		
	•	500 E. Main Street	S×SS SS CC		
		Street Address	92 A		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The second secon	Othello, WA 99344			
1	•	City-State-ZIP Code			

UTC RR-013 (3/93)

INTERROGATORIES

Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection: Existing or proposed highway N/A BURLINGTON NORTHERN SANTA FE Existing or proposed railway Columbia Basin Railroad mile post 1987+3160 Located in NE kof the NE kof Sec. 4 Twp. 15 N Range 29 E W.M. WUTC crossing number _____ DOT crossing number _____ Street Scootney Street City Othello County Adams
(if applicable) (if applicable) [2] Character of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable): (a) Common Carrier () Logging or Industrial () (b) Main Line () Branch Line () Siding or Spur (X) (c) Total number of tracks at crossing Two (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing.) Legal maximum train speed: (d) Operating maximum train speed: Passenger MPH
Freight MPH Passenger Freight (e) Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours: Freight Trains 50 switch movements per Passenger Trains (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements.) year over old main line 20 switch movements over industry track [3] Character of Roadway: (a) State Highway-Classification N/A (b) County Highway-Classification N/A (c) City Street-Classification Industrial access road (d) Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: one Number of additional traffic lanes proposed: (e) Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles 20 MPH Trucks 20 MPH (f) Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total ____, including ___ trucks and ____ school bus trips. Projected traffic in ____ years: total ____ 100 __, including ___ 75 ___ trucks and _____ school bus trips.

(a) If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.

N/A

(b) If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?

N/A

[5]

(a) State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.

There is no other right of ways through the developed area on the east side of the tracks.

(b) Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.

There is a dock on the south east corner which will block the view of rail switching into it's siding.

[6]

(1) Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why.

No; the grade does not lend itself to a grade separation.

(b) Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?

No

(c) If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

- (a) State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. 1/2 mile north is Main Street crossing 1/2 mile south is SR 26 overpass
- (b) If there is an existing crossing in near vicinity, or if more than one crossing is proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than one crossing? The area has no legal access, yet there

are three three business' located here with room for more; without new industry, the rail will have very little traffic.

(c) It so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes.

- (d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.

(e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings?

No

[8]

State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:

Approaching crossing from east (direction) an	unobstructed vi	ew to .
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	1000	fect
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	2000	fcct
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	3000	fcct
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	4000_	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
lest when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	100	feet
lest when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	200	fect
test when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	300	feet
lest when on highway 50 seet from crossing of	3000	feet
lest when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
Approaching crossing from (opposite direction) an unobstructed	d view to
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	3000	fcct
lest when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
lest when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
lest when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
lest when on highway 50 seet from crossing of	4000	feet
lest when on highway 25 seet from crossing of	4000	feet
lett when our nightway 23 teet from etessing or		

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection.

[10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?

 Yes
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain.
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

No; only 120' between tracks and 5 ft. of evelation change.

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

	None	•	
Interrogatories 12 and changing of automati	d 13 are to b	e completed only it sing signal or other	this petition involves installation, replacement or warning device, other than sawbucks.

[12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.)
- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company \$
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company\$
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices.
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?
 - () Yes () No

[13]

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or the devices as proposed.

Docket No. Petition of City of Othello Scootney Street Crossing I have investigated the conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the proposed crossing changes. As a result, [check one or more of the following, as appropriate:] X I am satisfied that conditions are as represented in the petition and the interrogatories and that the petition should be granted. [] The cost of installation (estimated at S____ is acceptable, [] subject to approval and apportionment pursuant to the Intermodal Surface Transportation Act by the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division. [] as apportioned between the parties. No to be paid by petitioner. Other conditions to waiver of hearing: The undersigned hereby waives hearing and further notice. The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission may enter a final order without further notice or hearing. Washington, on this 19th day Dated at Respondent Colymbia Basin Railfoad Co. Print Name:

RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING











