27

28

## **BEFORE THE**

## WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

Docket No. UE-031725

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 12

Respondent.

Pursuant to WAC 480-07-835, Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") requests that the Commission clarify Order No. 12 in this proceeding (entered on April 7, 2004). Specifically, PSE seeks the following two clarifications so that approved revisions to Rate Schedule 95 tariff sheets can be accurately prepared and the deferral amounts within the Power Cost Adjustment ("PCA") mechanism can be accurately calculated:

- (1) For ratemaking purposes, Order No. 12 approves Adjustments 1, 2, and 4 through 9<sup>1</sup> in Exhibit 318 (shown on lines 3, 4, and 6 through 11 of the exhibit).<sup>2</sup>
- (2) For purposes of calculating its PCA deferral account, PSE may use the fixed-cost components of its contracts shown on "Exhibit E Contract Adjustments" in Exhibit 222C as of the date of Order No. 12, *i.e.*, April 7, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commission has approved Adjustment 3 (shown on line 5 in Exhibit 318). This adjustment concerns the costs that are associated with PSE's acquisition of the interest in the Frederickson 1 facility. *See, e.g.*, Order No. 12 at ¶¶ 63, 67-68, and 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The resolution of the Tenaska and Encogen issues could conceivably affect the final calculation of the eight adjustments. The final amount of these adjustments, therefore, will depend upon the action that the Commission takes with respect to the Tenaska and Encogen issues.

## Discussion

PSE seeks the first clarification because Order No. 12 did not expressly approve Adjustments 1, 2, and 4 through 9 in Exhibit 318.<sup>3</sup> Except for the one issue that ICNU raised concerning PSE's proposed baseline fuel cost, these adjustments were agreed to or not contested by the parties.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, PSE requests that the Commission approve these adjustments so that PSE can prepare revised tariff sheets for Rate Schedule 95.

PSE seeks the second clarification in order to accurately reflect how the fixed costs of contracts are reflected in the calculation of the PCA deferral account. PSE requests clarification that it may use, for purposes of calculating its PCA deferral account, the fixed-cost components of its contracts reflected on "Exhibit E – Contract Adjustments" of Exhibit 222C as of the date of Order No. 12, *i.e.*, April 7, 2004.

This second clarification is necessitated by the Commission's decision, in Order No. 12, to let rates go into effect after the closing of the Frederickson 1 acquisition, as opposed to April 1, 2004 (the date that PSE originally proposed). While PSE does not seek to put rates into effect to recover its fixed contract costs as of the date of Order No. 12, it does want its unrecovered costs (estimated at approximately \$450,000 for the month of April 2004) to be accounted for in the calculation of the PCA deferral account pending the final resolution of the Tenaska and Encogen issues. These fixed costs are a component of Schedule E as set forth in the PCA Settlement, and are used in Exhibit B to the PCA Settlement: Power Costs Subject to PCA Sharing, Row 6, titled "Other Fixed Costs."

PSE has conferred with Commission Staff regarding the clarifications discussed above. PSE understands that Commission Staff does not object to the clarifications for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission discussed the adjustments in the body of Order No. 12 (at  $\P$  14), but did not expressly approve them in the Order's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, or ordering paragraphs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Order No. 12 at ¶ 14. With regard to the issue that ICNU raised, the Commission found PSE's approach "adequate for purposes of this proceeding." See Order No. 12 at ¶ 55.

1	the stated purposes.	
2		
3	DATED: A.:.:'114 2004	Decree of the Colonius 1
4 5	DATED: April 14, 2004	Respectfully Submitted,
6		Todd G. Glass
7		Lisa D. Hardie Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, LLP
8		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6100 Seattle, Washington 98104 e-mail: tglass@hewm.com
9		e-mail: lhardie@hewm.com
10		Ph: (206) 447-0900 Fax: (206) 515-8968
11		Attorneys for Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

'	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that I have this day served PSE's Motion for		
3	Clarification of Order No. 12 upon all parties of record in this proceeding, by U.S		
4	mail, postage prepaid and/or fedex overnight delivery:		
5 6	Robert D. Cedarbaum S. Bradley Van Cleve Senior Counsel Matthew W. Perkins		
7	1400 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W. Davison Van Cleve, P.C. PO Box 40128 Davison Van Cleve, P.C. 1000 SW Broadway, Suite 2460		
8	Olympia, WA 98504 Portland, OR 97205		
9	Simon J. ffitch Norman J. Furuta Robert Cromwell Department of the Navy		
11	Public Counsel Section 2001 Junipero Serro Boulevard Office of the Attorney General Suite 600		
12	900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000 Daly City, CA 94014 Seattle, WA 98104		
13	Michael Alcantar		
14	Donald Brookhyser Alcantar & Kahl LLP		
15 16	1300 SW 5 <sup>th</sup> , Suite 1750 Portland, OR 97201		
17			
18	Signed at Seattle, Washington this 13th day of April, 2004.		
19			
20	<u>/s/</u>		
21	TODD G. GLASS		
22			
23			
24			
25			

27

28